

The X -Dirichlet polynomial of a finite group

Andrea Lucchini

(Communicated by J. S. Wilson)

1 Introduction

Suppose that G is a finite group and fix a subset X of G . For any positive integer t , let $\phi_G(X, t)$ denote the number of ordered t -tuples (g_1, \dots, g_t) of group elements such that $G = \langle X, g_1, \dots, g_t \rangle$. The number $P_G(X, t) = \phi_G(X, t)/|G|^t$ is the probability that t randomly chosen elements generate G together with the elements of the subset X . Clearly $\sum_{X \subseteq H \leq G} \phi_H(X, t) = |G|^t$; applying the Möbius inversion formula we obtain

$$\phi_G(X, t) = \sum_{X \subseteq H \leq G} \mu(H, G) |H|^t, \quad (1.1)$$

where μ is the Möbius function associated with the subgroup lattice of G . In view of (1.1), we may write

$$P_G(X, t) = \sum_{X \subseteq H \leq G} \frac{\mu(H, G)}{|G:H|^t}. \quad (1.2)$$

We may interpolate the above integer function and define $P_G(X, s)$ for any complex variable s . Rearranging the summands in (1.2) we obtain a Dirichlet polynomial as follows:

$$P_G(X, s) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \quad \text{where } a_n := \sum_{\substack{|G:H|=n, \\ X \subseteq H \leq G}} \mu(H, G).$$

The formula (1.2) when $X = \emptyset$ was discovered by Hall [7]; in this case $P_G(\emptyset, s)$ is the multiplicative inverse of a zeta function for G , as described by Mann [9] and Boston [2].

The ring \mathcal{D} of Dirichlet polynomials with integer coefficients is a unique factorization domain. In [5] it is shown that if $X = \emptyset$ then knowledge of the chief factors of G gives a factorization of $P_G(X, s)$ in \mathcal{D} . Our aim here is to prove similar results for arbitrary choices of X . The starting remark is that normal subgroups play a crucial role in the factorization of $P_G(X, s)$. Indeed (see Proposition 16) if $N \trianglelefteq G$, then there exists a polynomial $P_{G,N}(X, t) \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$P_G(X, s) = P_{G/N}(XN, s)P_{G,N}(X, s). \quad (1.3)$$

By taking a chief series of G , and iterating the above formula, we obtain an expression of $P_G(X, s)$ as a product indexed by the factors of the series. As in the case $X = \emptyset$, the main result is that *the factors of $P_G(X, s)$ obtained in this way are independent of the choice of the chief series*. We shall describe the Dirichlet polynomials that arise in this kind of factorization. A key tool in the case when $X = \emptyset$ was an equivalence relation on the set of irreducible G -groups. Here we refine this equivalence relation, to take account of the behaviour of the irreducible G -groups with respect to the subset X . We place the results of [5], [8] in this more general setting. Though in some cases the modifications required are slight, we have included them in order to make our exposition self-contained.

2 (G, X) -equivalence and crowns

Throughout this paper, G denotes a finite group and X is a subset of G .

Definition 1. Let A and B be two G -groups. We say that they are (G, X) -equivalent, and write $A \sim_{G,X} B$, if there are isomorphisms $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ and $\Phi : A \rtimes G \rightarrow B \rtimes G$ such that

- (1) $x^\Phi = x$ for all $x \in X$, and
- (2) the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A \rtimes G & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \Phi & & \parallel & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & B \rtimes G & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & 1. \end{array}$$

This relation is an equivalence relation and it was studied by Jiménez-Seral and Lafuente [8] when $X = \emptyset$. In this particular case we write $A \sim_G B$ instead of $A \sim_{G,X} B$ and we say that A and B are G -equivalent. Clearly if $A \sim_{G,X} B$, then $A \sim_G B$, but, as we will see, (G, X) -equivalence is in general strictly weaker than G -equivalence. However most of the results proved in [8] concerning G -equivalence can be generalized to (G, X) -equivalence.

Arguing as in [8, Proposition 1.2], we can translate our definition of (G, X) -equivalence in terms of non-abelian cohomology. Given a G -group B and a 1-cocycle

$\beta \in Z^1(G, B)$, we get that $b^{g^\eta} = b^{gg^\beta}$ defines a homomorphism $\eta : G \rightarrow \text{Aut } B$. The corresponding G -group is denoted by B_β . We write

$$Z_X^1(G, B) = \{\beta \in Z^1(G, B) \mid x^\beta = 1 \text{ for all } x \in X\}.$$

Proposition 2. *Let A and B be two G -groups. Then $A \sim_{G, X} B$ if and only if there exists $\beta \in Z_X^1(G, B)$ such that $A \cong_G B_\beta$.*

Proof. Given $\beta \in Z_X^1(G, B)$ and an isomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ such that $a^{g^\phi} = a^{\phi g g^\beta}$ for all $a \in A$, $g \in G$, we may define $\Phi : A \rtimes G \rightarrow B \rtimes G$ by $(ga)^\Phi = gg^\beta a^\phi$ and obtain $A \sim_{G, X} B$. On the other hand if $A \sim_{G, X} B$, then we may define $\beta : G \rightarrow B$ by $g^\beta = g^{-1}g^\Phi$. The result follows.

A consequence of the previous proposition is that if $A \cong_G B$, then $A \sim_{G, X} B$, independently of the choice of X (indeed we can take $\beta \in Z^1(G, B)$ such that $g^\beta = 1$ for all $g \in G$). Moreover, if A and B are abelian, then $B_\beta = B$ for any $\beta \in Z_X^1(G, B)$, and hence if $A \sim_{G, X} B$, then $A \cong_G B$. Therefore two abelian G -groups are (G, X) -equivalent if and only if they are G -isomorphic. However in the non-abelian case the (G, X) -equivalence relation depends on the choice of the subset X , as illustrated in the following example:

Example 3. Take a non-abelian simple group S and let $G = S^2$. The minimal normal subgroups $S_1 = \{(s, 1) \mid s \in S\}$ and $S_2 = \{(1, s) \mid s \in S\}$ are irreducible G -groups. Define $\beta \in Z^1(G, S_2)$ by setting $(a, b)^\beta = (1, b^{-1}a)$. Then $S_1 \cong_G (S_2)_\beta$ since the map $\phi : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ defined by $(s, 1)^\phi = (1, s)$ satisfies the condition $a^{g^\phi} = a^{\phi g g^\beta}$ for any $a \in S_1$, $g \in G$; hence if $X \subseteq \{(s, s) \mid s \in S\}$, then $\beta \in Z_X^1(G, S_2)$ and $S_1 \sim_{G, X} S_2$. However if $X = S_2$, then S_1 and S_2 are not (G, X) -equivalent. Otherwise there would exist $\beta \in Z_{S_2}^1(G, S_2)$ such that $S_1 \cong_G (S_2)_\beta$, but in this case $g^\beta = 1$ for all $g \in G$, and $S_1 \sim_{G, S_2} S_2$ would imply that $S_1 \cong_G S_2$, which is false since $C_G(S_1) \neq C_G(S_2)$.

Note that a G -group B which is (G, X) -equivalent to an irreducible G -group A is not necessarily irreducible; indeed consider the following example:

Example 4. Let S be a non-abelian simple group and let T be a subgroup of S . Consider the direct product $G = S \times T$ and let $X = \{(t, t) \mid t \in T\}$. Define actions $\alpha_i : G \rightarrow \text{Aut } S$ for $i = 1, 2$ in the following way:

$$x^{(s, t)^{\alpha_1}} = x^s, \quad x^{(s, t)^{\alpha_2}} = x^t \quad \text{for any } x \in S, (s, t) \in G.$$

Denote by S_1 and S_2 the G -groups corresponding to these two actions. Define $\beta : G \rightarrow S_1$ by $(s, t)^\beta = s^{-1}t$. For any $(s_1, t_1), (s_2, t_2) \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((s_1, t_1)(s_2, t_2))^\beta &= (s_1 s_2, t_1 t_2)^\beta = (s_1 s_2)^{-1} t_1 t_2 = (s_1^{-1} t_1) s_2 (s_2^{-1} t_2) \\ &= ((s_1, t_1)^\beta)^{s_2} (s_2, t_2)^\beta = ((s_1, t_1)^\beta)^{(s_2, t_2)^{\alpha_1}} (s_2, t_2)^\beta, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\beta \in Z_X^1(G, S_1)$. Moreover for any x in S and $(s, t) \in G$ we have

$$x^{(s,t)^{\alpha_1}(s,t)^\beta} = x^{ss^{-1}t} = x^t = x^{(s,t)^{\alpha_2}},$$

so that $S_2 = (S_1)_\beta$ and S_1 and S_2 are (G, X) -equivalent. Clearly S_1 is G -irreducible, while if $T < S$, then T is a proper G -subgroup of S_2 .

Another example is the following:

Example 5. Let $G = \text{Alt}(6)$, $N = \text{Alt}(5)^6$ and consider the following elements of G : $t_1 = (1, 6)$, $t_2 = (2, 6)$, \dots , $t_5 = (5, 6)$, $t_6 = 1$. If $g \in G$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$, then $6(t_{ig^{-1}}gt_i) = 6$, and hence $t_{ig^{-1}}gt_i$ can be viewed as an element of $\text{Alt}(5)$. Define actions $\alpha_i : G \rightarrow \text{Aut } N$ for $i = 1, 2$ in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1, \dots, x_6)^{g^{\alpha_1}} &= (x_{1g^{-1}}, \dots, x_{6g^{-1}}), \\ (x_1, \dots, x_6)^{g^{\alpha_2}} &= (x_{1g^{-1}t_{1g^{-1}}gt_1}, \dots, x_{6g^{-1}t_{6g^{-1}}gt_6}). \end{aligned}$$

Denote by N_1 and N_2 the G -groups corresponding to these two actions. Define $\beta : G \rightarrow N_1$ by $g^\beta = (t_{1g^{-1}}gt_1, \dots, t_{6g^{-1}}gt_6)$; since $\beta \in Z^1(G, N_1)$ and $n^{g^{\alpha_2}} = n^{g^{\alpha_1}g^\beta}$ for any $n \in N$, $g \in G$, then N_1 and N_2 are G -equivalent. The semidirect product $N \rtimes_{\alpha_2} G$ is isomorphic to the twisted wreath product $\text{Alt}(5) \text{ twr}_\alpha \text{Alt}(6)$, where α is the embedding obtained by identifying $\text{Alt}(5)$ with the stabilizer of 6 in $\text{Alt}(6)$; this is a primitive permutation group, and so G is a maximal subgroup of $N \rtimes_{\alpha_2} G$ and the action α_2 is irreducible. On the other hand, the diagonal subgroup $\{(x, \dots, x) \mid x \in \text{Alt}(5)\} \leq N$ is G^{α_1} -invariant.

Let $\mathcal{CF}(G)$ be the set of all chief factors of G . Our target is the study of the (G, X) -equivalence relation between elements of the set $\mathcal{CF}(G)$. First we need a definition. Given a G -group A let

$$I_G(A) = \{g \in G \mid g \text{ induces an inner automorphism of } A\}.$$

An immediate consequence of Proposition 2 is that if $A \sim_{G,X} B$, then $I_G(A) = I_G(B)$. When A is abelian, $I_G(A) = C_G(A)$, whereas in the non-abelian case this is not true and it is possible that $C_G(A) \neq C_G(B)$. (In Example 3, $S_1 \sim_{G,X} S_2$ and $I_G(S_1) = I_G(S_2) = G$ but $S_2 = C_G(S_1) \neq C_G(S_2) = S_1$.) However if A is a non-abelian chief factor of G , then $G/C_G(A)$ is a monolithic primitive group, and its socle $I_G(A)/C_G(A)$ is G -isomorphic to A . So if $A, B \in \mathcal{CF}(G)$ and $A \sim_{G,X} B$, then $I_G(A) = I_G(B) = I$ and $I/C_G(A) \cong I/C_G(B)$. We also observe that if A is a non-abelian chief factor and B is a G -group, then the condition $A \sim_{G,X} B$ does not imply $I_G(A)/C_G(A) \cong I_G(B)/C_G(B)$. In Example 4, S_1 can be identified with a chief factor of $G = S \times T$, $S_2 \sim_{G,X} S_1$, but $I_G(S_1)/C_G(S_1) = G/T \cong S$, whereas $I_G(S_2)/C_G(S_2) = G/S \cong T$.

In order to proceed with our discussion, we need another definition.

Definition 6. Let $H_1/K_1, H_2/K_2 \in \mathcal{CF}(G)$. We say that H_1/K_1 and H_2/K_2 are (G, X) -related if either $H_1/K_1 \cong_G H_2/K_2$ or G has a normal subgroup N such that

- (i) G/N is a primitive permutation group which contains distinct minimal normal subgroups M_1/N and M_2/N ;
- (ii) there exists a subgroup U containing $\langle X, N \rangle$ such that U/N is a complement for both M_1/N and M_2/N in G/N ;
- (iii) $H_1/K_1 \cong_G M_1/N$, $H_2/K_2 \cong_G M_2/N$.

Now we are ready to prove the following generalization of [8, Proposition 1.4].

Proposition 7. Let $H_1/K_1, H_2/K_2 \in \mathcal{CF}(G)$. Then H_1/K_1 and H_2/K_2 are (G, X) -equivalent if and only if they are (G, X) -related.

Proof. Assume that H_1/K_1 and H_2/K_2 are (G, X) -equivalent but not G -isomorphic. Then $I_G(H_1/K_1) = I_G(H_2/K_2) = I$, while $C_1 = C_G(H_1/K_1) \neq C_G(H_2/K_2) = C_2$. Set $C = C_1 \cap C_2$. We have

$$B = C_2/C \cong_G I/C_1 \cong_G H_1/K_1 \quad \text{and} \quad A = C_1/C \cong_G I/C_2 \cong_G H_2/K_2.$$

Hence $A \sim_{G,X} B$ and there exists $\beta \in Z_X^1(G, B)$ such that $A \cong_G B\beta$; thus $U = \ker \beta$ is the required subgroup of G .

In order to prove the converse it suffices to show that if N_1 and N_2 are minimal normal subgroups of a primitive permutation group G and U is a common complement for N_1 and N_2 in G containing a subset X of G , then $N_1 \sim_{G,X} N_2$. Consider

$$\phi : N_1 \rightarrow N_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta : G \rightarrow N_2$$

given, respectively, by $n_1^\phi = n_2$ if $n_1 n_2 \in U$ and $g^\beta = n_2$ if $g \in G$, $n_2 \in N_2$ and $gn_2 \in U$. Then $\beta \in Z_X^1(G, N_2)$ and ϕ is a G -isomorphism between N_1 and $(N_2)_\beta$.

Definition 8 (see [4]). Let L be a monolithic primitive group and let A be its unique minimal normal subgroup. For each positive integer k , let L^k be the k -fold product of L . The *crown-based power* of L of size k is the subgroup L_k of L^k defined by

$$L_k = \{(l_1, \dots, l_k) \in L^k \mid l_1 \equiv \dots \equiv l_k \pmod{A}\}.$$

Clearly $\text{soc}(L_k) = A^k$, $L_k/\text{soc}(L_k) \cong L/A$ and the quotient group of L_k over any minimal normal subgroup is isomorphic to L_{k-1} , for $k > 1$. Moreover any normal subgroup of L_k either contains or is contained in $\text{soc}(L_k)$. Furthermore let \mathcal{Q} be a subset of L and consider

$$\Delta(\mathcal{Q}) = \{(q, \dots, q) \mid q \in \mathcal{Q}\} \leq \Delta(L) = \{(l, \dots, l) \mid l \in L\} \leq L_k.$$

Proposition 7 implies that the minimal normal subgroups of L_k are all $(L_k, \Delta(\mathcal{Q}))$ -equivalent. Indeed, if A is abelian, then they are L_k -isomorphic. Suppose that A is

non-abelian; then the minimal normal subgroups of L_k are precisely the direct factors A_1, \dots, A_k of $\text{soc}(L_k) = A^k$. For $i \neq j$ set $N = \prod_{r \neq i, j} A_r$. Then $A_i \cong_G A_i N / N$ and $A_j \cong_G A_j N / N$; moreover $\Delta(L)N / N$ is a complement for both $A_i N / N$ and $A_j N / N$ in L_k / N , so that A_i and A_j are $(L_k, \Delta(Q))$ -related.

We say that a factor H/K of G is X -complemented in G if there exists a subgroup U of G containing X such that $UH = G$ and $U \cap H = K$. An abelian chief factor H/K of G is X -complemented if and only if there exists a maximal subgroup of G which contains $\langle X, K \rangle$ but not H . This does not hold if H/K is non-abelian; nevertheless we have the following criterion, which can be proved arguing as in [8, Proposition 1.3].

Proposition 9. *Let H/K be a non-abelian chief factor of G . Then H/K is X -complemented in G if and only if there exists a G -group B which is (G, X) -equivalent to H/K and satisfies the condition $H \leq C_G(B)$.*

We say that a chief factor H/K of G is X -Frattini if it is abelian and not X -complemented. If $X = \emptyset$, or more in general if $X \subseteq \text{Frat } G$, then H/K is X -Frattini if and only if $H/K \leq \text{Frat}(G/K)$.

Definition 10. Let A be an irreducible G -group, and consider the set $\mathcal{N}_{G,X}(A)$ of normal subgroups N of G which satisfy the following properties:

- (i) $N \leq I_G(A)$;
- (ii) $I_G(A)/N \sim_{G,X} A$;
- (iii) $I_G(A)/N$ is not an X -Frattini chief factor.

We define $R_{G,X}(A) = \bigcap_{N \in \mathcal{N}_{G,X}(A)} N$, if $\mathcal{N}_{G,X}(A) \neq \emptyset$ and $R_{G,X}(A) = I_G(A)$ otherwise.

We set $R_{G,\emptyset}(A) = R_G(A)$; clearly $R_G(A) \leq R_{G,X}(A) \leq I_G(A)$. The quotient group $I_G(A)/R_G(A)$ is called the A -crown of G , as described in [5], [8]; generalizing this definition we call $I_G(A)/R_{G,X}(A)$ the (G, X) -crown associated with A . Note that two (G, X) -equivalent G -groups define the same (G, X) -crown.

We want to study the structure of $G/R_{G,X}(A)$ when $R_{G,X}(A) \neq I_G(A)$, i.e. when A is (G, X) -equivalent to a non- X -Frattini chief factor of G ; in the sequel we shall assume A to be a chief factor of G .

Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut } A$ such that $g^\rho : a \mapsto a^g$ for all $a \in A$. The *monolithic primitive group associated with A* is defined as

$$L_G(A) = \begin{cases} G^\rho A \cong A \rtimes (G/C_G(A)) & \text{if } A \text{ is abelian,} \\ G^\rho \cong G/C_G(A) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We define

$$Q_{G,X}(A) = X^\rho.$$

When A is abelian, $A = \text{soc}(L_G(A))$ is $Q_{G,X}(A)$ -complemented in $L_G(A)$.

If a chief factor B is (G, X) -equivalent to A , then there exists an isomorphism $\gamma : L_G(A) \rightarrow L_G(B)$ such that $(Q_{G,X}(A))^\gamma = Q_{G,X}(B)$. This follows immediately from the definition when A and B are abelian, since then $C_G(A) = C_G(B)$. Therefore assume that A is non-abelian. Then $A \sim_{G,X} B$ and $B \cong_G I_G(B)/C_G(B)$, so that there exists $\beta \in Z_X^1(G, I_G(B)/C_G(B))$ such that $A \cong_G (I_G(B)/C_G(B))_\beta$. Now we define $\gamma : L_G(A) \cong G/C_G(A) \rightarrow L_G(B) \cong G/C_G(B)$ by $(gC_G(A))^\gamma = g\beta^g C_G(B)$.

The existence of an isomorphism $\gamma : L_G(A) \rightarrow L_G(B)$ such that

$$(Q_{G,X}(A))^\gamma = Q_{G,X}(B)$$

does not imply that $A \sim_{G,X} B$. For example let S be a non-abelian simple group and let Y be a subset of S containing at least one element $s \neq 1$. Take $G = S^2$ and $X = Y^2 \subseteq G$. If S_1 and S_2 are the two direct factors of G , then the map $\gamma : G/C_G(S_1) \rightarrow G/C_G(S_2)$ defined by $((s_1, s_2)C_G(S_1))^\gamma = (s_1, s_2)C_G(S_2)$ is an isomorphism between $L_G(S_1)$ and $L_G(S_2)$ such that $(Q_{G,X}(A))^\gamma = Q_{G,X}(B)$. However S_1 and S_2 have no common complement in G containing X , and so, by Proposition 7, S_1 and S_2 are not (G, X) -equivalent.

Let A be a chief factor of G . To simplify our notation we identify A with $\text{soc}(L_G(A))$ and we set $I = I_G(A)$, $R = R_G(A)$, $R_X = R_{G,X}(A)$, $L = L_G(A)$, $Q = Q_{G,X}(A)$ and $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_{G,X}(A)$.

Lemma 11. *If $N \in \mathcal{N}$, then there exists an epimorphism: $\eta : G \rightarrow L$ such that*

- (1) $\ker \eta = N$;
- (2) $I^\eta = A$;
- (3) $g^\eta \equiv g^\rho \pmod{A}$ for each $g \in G$;
- (4) $x^\eta = x^\rho$ for each $x \in X$;
- (5) if A is abelian, then G^ρ is a complement for A in L and it contains $Q = X^\rho$.

Proof. There exist $\beta \in Z_X^1(G, A)$ and an isomorphism $\phi : I/N \rightarrow A$ such that $a^{\phi^{-1}g\phi} = a^{g\beta^g}$, for all $a \in A$ and $g \in G$. If A is abelian, then $I = C_G(A)$ and, as I/N is X -complemented in G , there exists a subgroup U of G such that $X \subseteq U$, $IU = G$ and $I \cap U = N$. We define $\eta : G \rightarrow A \rtimes \text{Aut } A$ by setting $g^\eta = (cN)^\phi u^\rho$ where $g = cu$ with $u \in U$ and $c \in I$. If A is non-abelian, then define $\eta : G \rightarrow \text{Aut } A$ by $a^{g^\eta} = a^{\phi^{-1}g\phi}$. We have $g^\eta = g^\rho y$ where y is the inner automorphism of A induced by conjugation with g^β ; in particular $y \in \text{soc } L$. Let $g \in \ker \beta$. If A is abelian then $g^\eta = (cN)^\phi u^\rho = 1$ implies that $g \in I \cap U = N$. If A is non-abelian then $g^\eta = 1$ if and only if $g \in C_G(I/N) = N$. In both cases, $\ker \eta = N$ and $G^\eta = L$. Finally let $x \in X$. If A is non-abelian, then $x^\eta = x^\rho$ since $x^\beta = 1$; if A is abelian, then $x \in U$ and again $x^\eta = x^\rho$.

The next result explains the role played by the crown-based powers in the study of G/R_X .

Proposition 12. *There exist $\delta = \delta_{G,X}(A) \in \mathbb{N}$ and an epimorphism $\alpha : G \rightarrow L_\delta$ such that*

- (1) $\ker \alpha = R_X$;
- (2) $X^\alpha = \Delta(Q) = \{(q, \dots, q) \mid q \in Q\} \leq \Delta(L) = \{(l, \dots, l) \mid l \in L\}$;
- (3) $\text{soc}(L_\delta)$ is X^α -complemented if A is abelian.

Proof. Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut } A$ be the homomorphism induced by the conjugation action of G on A . Assume that $\{U_1, \dots, U_\delta\}$ is a minimal subset of \mathcal{N} such that $\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq \delta} U_i = R_X$. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, \delta\}$ let $\eta_i : I/U_i \rightarrow L$ be the epimorphism defined in Lemma 11. Note that $g^{\eta_i} \equiv g^{\eta_j} \pmod{A}$, and so we may define a homomorphism $\alpha : G \rightarrow L_\delta$ by setting $g^\alpha = (g^{\eta_1}, \dots, g^{\eta_\delta})$. We have $\ker \alpha = \bigcap_i \ker \eta_i = \bigcap_i U_i = R_X$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, \delta\}$ let $N_i = \bigcap_{j \neq i} U_j$. It can easily be seen that $N_i^{\eta_i} = A$ while $N_i^{\eta_j} = 1$ if $i \neq j$; thus α is surjective. If $x \in X$ then $x^\alpha = (x^\rho, \dots, x^\rho) \in \Delta(Q)$. When A is abelian, we deduce from Lemma 11 that $\Delta(G^\rho)$ is a complement of $\text{soc}(L_\delta) = A^\delta$ in L_δ and contains X^α .

This proposition has the following consequence:

Lemma 13. *Let H/K be a chief factor of G . If $R_X \leq K < H \leq I$, then H/K is (G, X) -equivalent to A and is non- X -Frattini.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be the set of chief factors H/K such that $R_X \leq H \leq K \leq I$ and let $\alpha : G \rightarrow L_\delta$ be the epimorphism defined in Proposition 12. It can easily be seen that if $H_1/K_1, H_2/K_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, then the chief factors H_1^α/K_1^α and H_2^α/K_2^α are (L_δ, X^α) -related; this implies that H_1/K_1 and H_2/K_2 are (G, X) -related. Hence all factors in \mathcal{C} are (G, X) -equivalent, and in particular they are (G, X) -equivalent to A , as $I/N \in \mathcal{C}$ for any $N \in \mathcal{N}$. Moreover, if A is abelian, then H^α/K^α is X^α -complemented in L_δ , and hence H/K is X -complemented in G . We conclude that H/K is non- X -Frattini.

We can also prove a converse result:

Lemma 14. *Let H/K be a chief factor of G . Then H/K is non- X -Frattini and (G, X) -equivalent to A if and only if $R_X H / R_X K \neq 1$ and $R_X H \leq I$.*

Proof. If $R_X K \neq R_X H \leq I$, then, by Lemma 13, $R_X H / R_X K$ is non- X -Frattini and (G, X) -equivalent to A ; hence also H/K satisfies these properties.

Now assume that the chief factor H/K is non- X -Frattini and is (G, X) -equivalent to A . There exists a maximal subgroup, say M , which contains K but not H . Let N be the normal core of M in G . Since H and K are normal in G , from $K \leq M$ and $H \not\leq M$ we deduce that $K \leq N$ and $H \not\leq N$. In particular HN/N is a minimal normal subgroup of the primitive group G/N and it is G -isomorphic to H/K , hence (G, X) -equivalent to A ; moreover when H/K is abelian, since H/K is X -complemented in G , we may assume that $X \subseteq M$, so that HN/N is X -complemented. By the definition of $I = I_G(A)$, the socle of the primitive group G/N is I/N . Then either $I/N = HN/N$

and $N \in \mathcal{N}_X$ or G/N is a primitive group with distinct non-abelian minimal normal subgroups HN/N and Y/N . In the first case $R_X \leq N = NK < NH = I$ and thus $R_X K \neq R_X H \leq I$. In the second case $I/N = HN/N \times Y/N$, so that $I/Y \cong_G HN/N$ is non-abelian and (G, X) -equivalent to A ; this implies that $Y \in \mathcal{N}_X$ and $R_X \leq Y$. We deduce that $R_X H \leq YH \leq I$; moreover $R_X K \leq R_X N \leq Y$, while $H \not\leq Y$, and hence $R_X K < R_X H$.

Theorem 15. *Each chief series of G contains exactly $\delta_{G,X}(A)$ factors which are non- X -Frattini and are (G, X) -equivalent to A .*

Proof. Let $\Sigma : 1 = G_t < G_{t-1} < \cdots < G_0 = G$ be a chief series of G . For $0 \leq i \leq t$ define $H_i = G_i R_X$. If $i < t$ then either $H_i = H_{i+1}$ or H_i/H_{i+1} is a chief factor of G/R_X . Moreover the set of non-trivial factors H_i/H_{i+1} coincides with the set of factors of a chief series of G/R_X . Since a normal subgroup N/R_X of G/R_X either contains I/R_X or is contained in I/R_X , either $H_i \leq I$ or $H_i \geq I$. Let j be the smallest integer such that $H_j \leq I$. Lemma 14 implies that if $i < j$ then G_i/G_{i+1} cannot be non- X -Frattini and (G, X) -equivalent to A . Moreover, if $i \geq j$, then $H_i/H_{i+1} \neq 1$ if and only if G_i/G_{i+1} is non- X -Frattini and (G, X) -equivalent to A . Let $\Theta = \{G_{i_1}/G_{i_1+1}, \dots, G_{i_\delta}/G_{i_\delta+1}\}$ be the set of factors of Σ which are non- X -Frattini and (G, X) -equivalent to A and assume that $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_\delta$. From above we have

$$I = H_{i_1} > H_{i_1+1} = H_{i_2} > \cdots > H_{i_j+1} = H_{i_{j+1}} > \cdots > H_{i_\delta+1} = R$$

and H_{i_j}/H_{i_j+1} is a chief factor of G ; in particular $\delta = |\Theta|$ does not depend on Σ and it coincides with $\delta_{G,X}(A)$.

3 The Dirichlet polynomial $P_G(X, s)$

We define a Dirichlet polynomial $P_G(X, s)$ as follows:

$$P_G(X, s) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \quad \text{with } a_n := \sum_{\substack{|G:H|=n, \\ X \subseteq H \leq G}} \mu(H, G),$$

where μ is the Möbius function of the subgroup lattice of G . When t is a positive integer, $P_G(X, t)$ is the probability that t random elements generate G together with the elements of X . The ring \mathcal{D} of Dirichlet polynomials is a unique factorization domain. If $N \trianglelefteq G$, then we may consider the Dirichlet polynomial $P_{G/N}(XN, s)$, where $XN = \{xN \mid x \in X\}$; this polynomial divides $P_G(X, s)$ in the ring \mathcal{D} . More precisely, we have the following result.

Proposition 16. *If N is a normal subgroup of a finite group G , then*

$$P_G(X, s) = P_{G/N}(XN, s) P_{G,N}(X, s)$$

where

$$P_{G,N}(X, s) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{b_n}{n^s} \quad \text{with } b_n := \sum_{\substack{|G:H|=n \\ X \subseteq H \leq G \\ HN=G}} \mu(H, G).$$

This proposition will be proved by applying the complement theorem of Crapo:

Theorem 17 ([3], Theorem 12). *Let \mathcal{L} be a finite lattice and fix $x \in \mathcal{L}$. Let $x^\perp = \{y \in \mathcal{L} \mid x \wedge y = 0, x \vee y = 1\}$. Then*

$$\mu_{\mathcal{L}}(0, 1) = \sum_{\substack{y, z \in x^\perp \\ y \leq z}} \mu_{\mathcal{L}}(0, y) \mu_{\mathcal{L}}(z, 1).$$

In particular, if x^\perp is an antichain, then

$$\mu_{\mathcal{L}}(0, 1) = \sum_{y \in x^\perp} \mu_{\mathcal{L}}(0, y) \mu_{\mathcal{L}}(y, 1).$$

Proof of Proposition 16. Let H be a subgroup of G . We apply Theorem 17 to the lattice \mathcal{L}_H of subgroups of G containing H and we take $x = HN$. Let us define

$$\mathcal{S}_H := \{Y \leq G \mid H \leq Y, YN = G, Y \cap N = H \cap N\};$$

we have $x^\perp = \{Y \leq G \mid H \leq Y, \langle Y, HN \rangle = G, Y \cap HN = H\} = \mathcal{S}_H$. Notice that if $Y \in \mathcal{S}_H$ then $|G : Y| = |N : N \cap H|$, and hence x^\perp is an antichain and by Theorem 17

$$\mu(H, G) = \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{S}_H} \mu(H, Y) \mu(Y, G).$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} P_G(X, s) &= \sum_{X \subseteq H \leq G} \frac{\mu(H, G)}{|G : H|^s} = \sum_{X \subseteq H \leq G} \left(\sum_{Y \in \mathcal{S}_H} \frac{\mu(H, Y)}{|Y : H|^s} \frac{\mu(Y, G)}{|G : Y|^s} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq Y \leq G \\ YN=G}} \left(\frac{\mu(Y, G)}{|G : Y|^s} \left(\sum_{H \in \mathcal{T}_Y} \frac{\mu(H, Y)}{|Y : H|^s} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

with $\mathcal{T}_Y = \{H \mid X \subseteq H \leq Y, H \cap N = Y \cap N\}$. Now fix Y with the properties $X \subseteq Y$ and $YN = G$ and let \mathcal{L}_{XN} be the lattice of subgroups of G containing $\langle X, N \rangle$. It can

easily be verified that $H \mapsto HN$ induces an isomorphism between \mathcal{T}_Y and \mathcal{L}_{XN} (with inverse the map $K \mapsto K \cap Y$); this implies that

$$\mu_{\mathcal{T}_Y}(H, Y) = \mu_{\mathcal{L}_{XN}}(HN, YN) = \mu_{\mathcal{L}_{XN}}(HN, G) = \mu(HN, G).$$

Moreover if $H \in T_Y$ and $H \leq K \leq Y$, then $K \in T_Y$ and so

$$\mu(H, Y) = \mu_{\mathcal{T}_Y}(H, Y) = \mu(HN, G).$$

In addition, if $H \in \mathcal{T}_Y$, then $|Y : H| = |G : HN|$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} P_G(X, s) &= \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq Y \leq G \\ YN=G}} \left(\frac{\mu(Y, G)}{|G : Y|^s} \left(\sum_{H \in \mathcal{T}_Y} \frac{\mu(H, Y)}{|Y : H|^s} \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq Y \leq G \\ YN=G}} \left(\frac{\mu(Y, G)}{|G : Y|^s} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{L}_{XN}} \frac{\mu(K, G)}{|G : K|^s} \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq Y \leq G \\ YN=G}} \left(\frac{\mu(Y, G)}{|G : Y|^s} P_{G/N}(XN, s) \right) \\ &= P_{G/N}(XN, s) \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq Y \leq G \\ YN=G}} \frac{\mu(Y, G)}{|G : Y|^s} \\ &= P_{G/N}(XN, s) P_{G, N}(X, s), \end{aligned}$$

and so we have proved our statement.

Notice that if a chief factor H/K of G is X -Frattini, then $P_{G/K, H/K}(XK, s) = 1$. Hence, by taking a chief series

$$\Sigma : 1 = N_l < \cdots < N_1 < N_0 = G$$

and iterating Proposition 16, we obtain an expression of $P_G(X, s)$ as a product indexed by the non- X -Frattini chief factors in the series

$$P_G(X, s) = \prod_{\substack{N_i/N_{i+1} \\ \text{non-}X\text{-Frattini}}} P_{G/N_{i+1}, N_i/N_{i+1}}(XN_{i+1}, s). \quad (3.1)$$

Now our aim is to describe the factors in (3.1). In order to do this we need a definition.

Let L be a finite monolithic primitive group, and let A be its socle. Assume also that $Q \subseteq L$ and that A is Q -complemented when A is abelian. We define

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{P}_{L,1}(Q, s) &= P_{L,A}(Q, s), \\ \tilde{P}_{L,i}(Q, s) &= P_{L,A}(Q, s) - \frac{(1 + q + \cdots + q^{i-2})\gamma}{|A|^s},\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

where $\gamma = |C_{\text{Aut } L}(L/A) \cap C_{\text{Aut } L}(Q)|$ and $q = |\text{End}_L A|$ if A is abelian and $q = 1$ otherwise.

Lemma 18. *Let L be a finite monolithic primitive group, with socle A . Let $G = L_\delta$ for a positive integer δ , and assume that N is a minimal normal subgroup of G . Suppose that $Q \subseteq L$ and that A is Q -complemented when A is abelian. Then*

$$P_{G,N}(\Delta(Q), s) = \tilde{P}_{L,\delta}(Q, s).$$

Proof. For $\delta = 1$ there is nothing to prove. Assume that $\delta > 1$. We have $\text{soc}(G) = A_1 \times \cdots \times A_\delta$ and we may identify N with A_δ . Write $M = A_1 \times \cdots \times A_{\delta-1}$. Define

$$\mathcal{S} = \{H \leq G \mid \Delta(Q) \leq H, HN = G\}, \quad \mathcal{S}_1 = \{H \in \mathcal{S} \mid M \leq H\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}_1.$$

By Proposition 16, $P_{G,N}(\Delta(Q), s) = P_1(s) + P_2(s)$, with

$$P_1(s) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{S}_1} \frac{\mu(H, G)}{|G : H|^s}, \quad P_2(s) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{S}_2} \frac{\mu(H, G)}{|G : H|^s}.$$

Let $\pi : G \rightarrow L$ be the restriction to $G = L_\delta \leq L^\delta$ of the projection $\pi_\delta : L^\delta \rightarrow L$ onto the δ th factor and let $\mathcal{T} = \{T \leq L \mid Q \leq T, TA = L\}$. The map $H \rightarrow H^\pi$ defines a bijection between \mathcal{S}_1 and \mathcal{T} ; moreover $\mu(H, G) = \mu(H^\pi, L)$ and $|G : H| = |L : H^\pi|$, so that

$$P_1(s) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{S}_1} \frac{\mu(H, G)}{|G : H|^s} = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{S}_1} \frac{\mu(H^\pi, L)}{|L : H^\pi|^s} = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \frac{\mu(T, L)}{|L : T|^s} = P_{L,A}(Q, s).$$

Now assume that $H \in \mathcal{S}_2$ and let $K = H \cap M$. Then K is a normal subgroup of $G = HN$ as $[M, N] = 1$, and hence $K \cong A^i$ with $i \leq \delta - 2$. Since $HN = G$ we have

$$|G : H| = |N : H \cap N| \leq |N| = |A|,\tag{3.3}$$

while

$$|G : H| \geq |MH : H| = |M : H \cap M| = |M : K| = |A|^{\delta-1-i}.\tag{3.4}$$

Comparing (3.3) and (3.4) we deduce that H has the following properties:

- (1) $K = M \cap H$ is the direct product of $\delta - 2$ minimal normal subgroups of G ;
- (2) $G/K \cong L_2$, and H/K is a common complement of the minimal normal subgroups NK/K and M/K of $G/K \cong L_2$ and it contains $\Delta(Q)K/K$.

Conversely, if H is a subgroup which contains a normal subgroup K of G in such a way that the previous properties are satisfied, then $H \in \mathcal{S}_2$.

Note that the subgroups of L_2 which are complements of two distinct minimal normal subgroups and contain $\{(q, q) \mid q \in Q\}$ are precisely those of the form $\{(l, l^\alpha) \mid l \in L\}$, with $\alpha \in C_{\text{Aut } L}(L/A) \cap C_{\text{Aut } L}(Q)$. Thus a subgroup H with the previous properties is uniquely determined by K and α . The number of choices for K is $1 + q + \cdots + q^{\delta-2}$, while the number of choices for α is γ . Moreover a subgroup H which satisfies (1) and (2) is a maximal subgroup of G , and so $\mu(H, G) = -1$ for each $H \in \mathcal{S}_2$. Hence

$$P_2(s) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{S}_2} \frac{\mu(H, G)}{|G : H|^s} = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{S}_2} \frac{-1}{|A|^s} = -\frac{(1 + q + \cdots + q^{\delta-2})\gamma}{|A|^s}$$

and the proof is complete.

Theorem 19. *Let $A = H/K$ be a non- X -Frattini chief factor of G . If $R_X = R_{G,X}(A)$ then*

$$P_{G/K, H/K}(XK, s) = P_{G/R_X K, R_X H/R_X K}(XR_X K, s) = \tilde{P}_{L,k}(Q, s),$$

where $L = L_G(A)$, $Q = Q_{G,X}(A)$ and $k = \delta_{G/K, XK}(A)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{U \leq G \mid X \subseteq U, K \leq U, UH = G, \text{ and } \mu(U, G) \neq 0\}$; notice that

$$P_{G/K, H/K}(XK, s) = \sum_{U \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{\mu(U, G)}{|G : U|^s}.$$

Observe that $\mu(U, G) \neq 0$ only if U is intersection of maximal subgroups of G . Now let M be a maximal subgroup of G containing U and let N be the normal core of M in G ; HN/N is a minimal normal subgroup of the primitive group G/N and it is G -isomorphic to H/K , and hence (G, X) -equivalent to A ; when H/K is abelian, HN/N is also X -complemented. By the definition of $I = I_G(A)$, the socle of the primitive group G/N is I/N . Then either $I/N = HN/N$ and $N \in \mathcal{N}_{G,X}(A)$ or G/N is a primitive group with two distinct non-abelian minimal normal subgroups HN/N and Y/N . In the second case $I/N = HN/N \times Y/N$ and M/N is a common complement for HN/N and Y/N in G/N , so that $HN/N \sim_{G,X} Y/N \sim_{G,X} A$; since $I/HN \cong_G Y/N$ and $I/Y \cong_G HN/N$, both HN and Y belong to $\mathcal{N}_{G,X}(A)$. In each case we deduce that $R_X \leq N \leq M$. Hence $R_X K \leq U$ for any $U \in \mathcal{S}$. This implies immediately that $P_{G/K, H/K}(XK, s) = P_{G/R_X K, R_X H/R_X K}(XR_X K, s)$. Combining Proposition 12 and Lemma 18, we conclude that $P_{G/R_X K, R_X H/R_X K}(XR_X K, s) = \tilde{P}_{L,k}(Q, s)$.

Since in any chief series of G the number of non- X -Frattini factors (G, X) -equivalent to A is precisely $\delta_{G,X}(A)$, we get that the total contribution to $P_G(X, s)$ from these factors is $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq \delta_{G,X}(A)} \tilde{P}_{L_G(A), i}(Q_{G,X}(A), s)$. Thus, given a chief series $1 = N_l < \dots < N_0 = G$, we can collect together the (G, X) -equivalent terms and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_G(X, s) &= \prod_{\substack{N_i/N_{i+1} \\ \text{non-}X\text{-Frattini}}} P_{G/N_{i+1}, N_i/N_{i+1}}(XN_{i+1}, s) \\ &= \prod_A \left(\prod_{N_i/N_{i-1} \sim_{G,X} A} P_{G/N_{i+1}, N_i/N_{i+1}}(XN_{i+1}, s) \right) \\ &= \prod_A \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq \delta_{G,X}(A)} \tilde{P}_{L_G(A), i}(Q_{G,X}(A), s) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where A runs over a set of representatives of the (G, X) -equivalence classes of non- X -Frattini chief factors of G . Thus we get the following factorization, which is independent of the choice of the chief series.

Theorem 20. *Let G be a finite group. Then*

$$P_G(X, s) = \prod_A \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq \delta_{G,X}(A)} \tilde{P}_{L_G(A), i}(Q_{G,X}(A), s) \right) \quad (3.5)$$

where A runs over a set of representatives of the (G, X) -equivalence classes of non- X -Frattini chief factors of G . Moreover, the factorization of $P_G(X, s)$ corresponding to the non- X -Frattini factors in a chief series Σ of G is precisely (3.5), independently of the choice of Σ .

Corollary 21. *Let $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exist g_1, \dots, g_t such that $G = \langle X, g_1, \dots, g_t \rangle$ if and only if $\tilde{P}_{L_G(A), \delta_{G,X}(A)}(Q_{G,X}(A), t) > 0$ for any non- X -Frattini chief factor A of G .*

Proof. Recall that $P_G(X, t)$ is the probability that t random elements together with the elements of X generate G . Thus there exist g_1, \dots, g_t such that $G = \langle X, g_1, \dots, g_t \rangle$ if and only if $P_G(X, t) > 0$. By definition, if $i \leq \delta_{G,X}(A)$ then

$$\tilde{P}_{L_G(A), i}(Q_{G,X}(A), t) \geq \tilde{P}_{L_G(A), \delta_{G,X}(A)}(Q_{G,X}(A), t),$$

and so if $\tilde{P}_{L_G(A), \delta_{G,X}(A)}(Q_{G,X}(A), t) > 0$ for any non- X -Frattini chief factor A of G then

$$P_G(X, t) = \prod_A \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq \delta_{G,X}(A)} \tilde{P}_{L_G(A), i}(Q_{G,X}(A), t) \right) > 0.$$

Conversely, assume that $P_G(X, t) > 0$ and let A be a non- X -Frattini chief factor of G . We want to prove that $\tilde{P}_{L, \delta}(Q, t) > 0$, where $L = L_G(A)$, $\delta = \delta_{G, X}(A)$ and $Q = Q_{G, X}(A)$. Let $R = R_{G, X}(A)$ and let N/R be a minimal normal subgroup of G/R . By Theorem 19,

$$\tilde{P}_{L, \delta}(Q, t) = P_{G/R, N/R}(XR, t) = \frac{P_{G/R}(XR, t)}{P_{G/N}(XN, t)};$$

clearly $P_{G/N}(XN, t) > P_{G/R}(XR, t) > P_G(X, t) > 0$, and so we reach our conclusion.

Suppose that H is a finite group and let A be an abelian irreducible H -group. It is well known (see for example [1]) that

$$|Z^1(H, A)| = q^\delta |Z^1(H/C_H(A), A)| \quad (3.6)$$

where $q = |\text{End}_H A|$ and $\delta = \delta_{H, \emptyset}(A)$ is the number of non-Frattini factors H -isomorphic to A in a chief series of H . This result can be generalized as follows:

Proposition 22. *Suppose that H is a finite group and let A be an abelian irreducible H -group. If $X \subseteq H$, then*

$$|Z_X^1(H, A)| = q^{\delta_{H, X}(A)} |Z_{Q_{H, X}(A)}^1(H/C_H(A), A)|.$$

Proof. Let $G = HA$; note that $P_{G, A}(X, s) = 1 - c/|A|^s$ where c is the number of complements of A in G containing X . These complements are precisely the subgroups of G of the form $H_\beta = \{hh^\beta \mid h \in H\}$, with $\beta \in Z_X^1(H, A)$. Hence

$$P_{G, A}(X, s) = 1 - \frac{|Z_X^1(H, A)|}{|A|^s}. \quad (3.7)$$

Let $L = L_G(A)$, $Q = Q_{G, X}(A)$, $\gamma = |C_{\text{Aut } L}(L/A) \cap C_{\text{Aut } L}(Q)|$ and

$$q = |\text{End}_L A| = |\text{End}_H A|.$$

It can easily be verified that

$$\gamma = (q - 1) |Z_Q^1(L/A, A)|. \quad (3.8)$$

Moreover $H/C_H(A) \cong L/A$ and

$$P_{L, A}(Q, s) = 1 - \frac{|Z_Q^1(L/A, A)|}{|A|^s}. \quad (3.9)$$

Let $\delta = \delta_{H, X}(A)$. Since $\delta_{G, X}(A) = \delta + 1$, by Theorem 19 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
1 - \frac{|Z_X^1(H, A)|}{|A|^s} &= P_{G,A}(X, s) = \tilde{P}_{L, \delta+1}(Q, s) \\
&= 1 - \frac{|Z_Q^1(L/A, A)|}{|A|^s} - \frac{(1 + q + \cdots + q^{\delta-1})\gamma}{|A|^s} \\
&= 1 - \frac{|Z_Q^1(L/A, A)|}{|A|^s} - \frac{(1 + q + \cdots + q^{\delta-1})(q-1)|Z_Q^1(L/A, A)|}{|A|^s} \\
&= 1 - \frac{q^\delta |Z_Q^1(L/A, A)|}{|A|^s}
\end{aligned}$$

and hence $|Z_X^1(H, A)| = q^\delta |Z_Q^1(L/A, A)|$.

4 An application

Denote by $d(G)$ the smallest cardinality of a set of generators of G . Given an element $g \in G$ we ask whether there exists a set of cardinality $d(G)$ which contains g and generates G . This is equivalent to asking whether

$$P_G(\{g\}, d(G) - 1) > 0. \quad (4.1)$$

Let $X = \{g\}$. By Corollary 21, the condition (4.1) is satisfied if and only if for any non- X -Frattini chief factor A of G the following holds:

$$\tilde{P}_{L_G(A), \delta_{G,X}(A)}(Q_{G,X}(A), d(G) - 1) > 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Let

$$\Omega_G = \{A \mid \tilde{P}_{L_G(A), \delta_{G(A)}}(\emptyset, d(G) - 1) \leq 0\}.$$

If $A \notin \Omega_G$, then $\tilde{P}_{L_G(A), \delta_{G,X}(A)}(Q_{G,X}(A), d(G) - 1) \geq \tilde{P}_{L_G(A), \delta_{G(A)}}(\emptyset, d(G) - 1) > 0$, and so it suffices to verify condition (4.2) just for $A \in \Omega_G$. On the other hand if $A \in \Omega_G$ and $g \in R_G(A)$, then $R_{G,X}(A) = R_G(A)$, $\delta_{G,X}(A) = \delta_G(A)$, $Q_{G,X}(A) = 1$ and (4.2) does not hold; therefore $P_G(\{g\}, d(G) - 1) > 0$ implies that $g \notin \bigcup_{A \in \Omega_G} R_G(A)$. This last condition is also sufficient when G is supersoluble.

Theorem 23. *Let G be a supersoluble group and fix $g \in G$. There exists a subset of G of cardinality $d(G)$ which contains g and generates G if and only if $g \notin \bigcup_{A \in \Omega_G} R_G(A)$.*

Proof. Let $A \in \Omega_G$; to prove our theorem it suffices to show that if $g \notin R_G(A)$ then (4.2) holds. We may assume that $R_G(A) = 1$, so that $G \cong L_\delta$ with $L = L_G(A)$ and $\delta = \delta_G(A)$. Since A is isomorphic to a chief factor of G and G is supersoluble, $|A| = |\text{End}_L A| = q$ is a prime number. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G ; arguing as in the proof of Proposition 22 we deduce that

$$\tilde{P}_{L,\delta}(\emptyset, s) = P_{G,N}(\emptyset, s) = 1 - \frac{q^{\delta-1} |Z^1(L/A, A)|}{q^s}.$$

Moreover $Z^1(L/A, A) = \{\beta_a \mid a \in A\}$ where $(Al)^{\beta_a} = [a, l]$, and hence

$$|Z^1(L/A, A)| = q^\theta,$$

where $\theta = 1$ if $A \neq L$ and $\theta = 0$ otherwise. Consequently $\tilde{P}_{L,\delta}(\emptyset, s) = 1 - q^{\delta-1+\theta-s}$. Since $A \in \Omega_G$, we have $\tilde{P}_{L,\delta}(\emptyset, d(G) - 1) \leq 0$ so that $d(G) \leq \delta + \theta$. On the other hand, since $P_G(d(G)) > 0$ we have $d(G) > \delta + \theta - 1$, and hence $d(G) = \delta + \theta$. Now let $\delta^* = \delta_{G,X}(A)$ and $Q = Q_{G,X}(A)$; we have

$$\tilde{P}_{L,\delta^*}(Q, s) = 1 - \frac{q^{\delta^*-1} |Z_Q^1(L/A, A)|}{q^s}.$$

If $\langle g \rangle \cap \text{soc } G \neq 1$, then $\delta^* < \delta$ and

$$\tilde{P}_{L,\delta^*}(Q, d(G) - 1) = 1 - \frac{|Z_Q^1(L/A, A)|}{q^{\delta+\theta-\delta^*}} \geq 1 - q^{\delta^*-\delta} > 0.$$

If $\langle g \rangle \cap \text{soc } G = 1$, then, since $g \neq 1$, we deduce that Q is a non-trivial subset of $\text{Aut } A$. Hence in particular $\theta = 1$, and moreover $Z_Q^1(L/A, A) = \{\beta_a \mid a \in C_A(Q)\}$ and $C_A(Q) = 1$, so that $|Z_Q^1(L/A, A)| = 1$ and

$$\tilde{P}_{L,\delta^*}(Q, d(G) - 1) = 1 - q^{\delta^*-\delta-1} > 0.$$

This concludes the proof.

The previous result does not hold if we drop the hypothesis that G is supersoluble. For example consider the crown-based power $G = (\text{Alt}(4))_2$. It can easily be seen that $d(G) = 2$; moreover $A \in \Omega_G$ if and only if A is G -isomorphic to a minimal normal subgroup of G , and then we have $R_G(A) = 1$. Therefore $\bigcup_{A \in \Omega_G} R_G(A) = 1$. Now let g be a non-trivial element of a minimal normal subgroup M of G . If there exists x such that $G = \langle g, x \rangle$ then $G = \langle M, x \rangle$, and this implies that $G/M \cong \text{Alt}(4)$ is cyclic.

References

- [1] M. Aschbacher and R. Guralnick. Some applications of the first cohomology group. *J. Algebra* **90** (1984), 446–460.
- [2] N. Boston. A probabilistic generalization of the Riemann zeta functions. In *Analytic number theory*, vol. 1 (Birkhäuser, 1996), pp. 155–162.
- [3] H. Crapo. The Möbius function of a lattice. *J. Combinatorial Theory* **1** (1966), 126–134.
- [4] F. Dalla Volta and A. Lucchini. Finite groups that need more generators than any proper quotient. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **64** (1998), 82–91.

- [5] E. Detomi and A. Lucchini. Crowns and factorization of the probabilistic zeta function of a finite group. *J. Algebra* **265** (2003), 651–668.
- [6] W. Gaschütz. Praefrattinigruppen. *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **13** (1962), 418–426.
- [7] P. Hall. The Eulerian functions of a group. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.* **7** (1936), 134–151.
- [8] P. Jimenez-Seral and J. Lafuente. On complemented nonabelian chief factors of a finite group. *Israel J. Math.* **106** (1998), 177–188.
- [9] A. Mann. Positively finitely generated groups. *Forum Math.* **8** (1996), 429–459.

Received 10 March, 2004

A. Lucchini, Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Brescia, Via Valotti n. 9,
25123 Brescia, Italy
E-mail: lucchini@ing.unibs.it