Università degli Studi di Padova

Padua Research Archive - Institutional Repository

Saccharomyces cerevisiae vineyard strains have different nitrogen requirements that affect their fermentation performances

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available at: 11577/3251232 since: 2017-12-11T10:16:56Z

Publisher:

Blackwell Publishing Ltd

Published version:

DOI: 10.1111/lam.12798

Terms of use:

Open Access

This article is made available under terms and conditions applicable to Open Access Guidelines, as described at <http://www.unipd.it/download/file/fid/55401> (Italian only)

(Article begins on next page)

***Saccharomyces cerevisiae* vineyard strains have different nitrogen requirements that affect their fermentation performances**

Wilson José Fernandes Lemos Junior^{a†*}, Alessia Viel^{b†}, Barbara Bovo^{a,b}, Milena Carlot^b, Alessio Giacomini^{a,b}, Viviana Corich^{a,b}

^a*Department of Agronomy Food Natural resources Animals and Environment (DAFNAE), University of Padova, Legnaro (PD), Italy*

^b*Interdepartmental Centre for Research in Viticulture and Enology (CIRVE), University of Padova, Conegliano (TV), Italy*

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 049 827 2924; fax: +39 049 827 2929.

E-mail address: juniorjflomos@gmail.com (W. J. F. Lemos Junior).

† These authors contributed equally to this work

RUNNING TITLE: *S. cerevisiae* strains nitrogen requirements

ABSTRACT

Aims

In this work fermentation performances of seven vineyard strains, together with the industrial strain EC1118, have been investigated at three differing yeast assimilable nitrogen concentrations (300 mg N l⁻¹, 150 mg N l⁻¹ and 70 mg N l⁻¹) in synthetic musts.

Methods and results

Most of the strains showed a dramatic decrease of the fermentation at 70 mg N l⁻¹ but no significant differences in CO₂ production were found when fermentations at 300 mg N l⁻¹ and 150 mg N l⁻¹ were compared. Only one among the vineyard strains showed a decrease of the fermentation when 150 mg N l⁻¹ were present in the must.

Conclusions

Results indicate that the response to different nitrogen levels is strain dependent. These results contribute to shed light on strain nitrogen requirements and offer new perspectives to manage the fermentation process during winemaking.

Significance and impact of the study

Selected vineyard *S. cerevisiae* strains can improve the quality and the complexity of local wines. Wine quality is also influenced by nitrogen availability that modulates yeast fermentation activity. In this work, yeast nitrogen assimilation was evaluated to clarify the nitrogen requirements of vineyard strains. Most of the strains needed high nitrogen levels to express the best fermentation performances. The results obtained indicate the critical nitrogen levels. When the nitrogen concentration was above the critical level, the fermentation process increased, but if the level of nitrogen was further increased no effect on the fermentation was found.

KEYWORDS: Fermentation biotechnology, Fermentation, Beverages, Biotechnology, Environmental

INTRODUCTION

Wine fermentation is a process involving the sequential development of different yeast species (Fleet 2003). The process can be conducted by leaving the native microbiota present in the grapes to ferment the must or by adding selected commercial strains. In both cases, yeast strains are responsible for the production of several aromatic compounds whose quantities are species dependent (Combina *et al.* 2005). Therefore, they strongly contribute to the specific bouquet of wines. In particular, during spontaneous vinifications the variability of the vineyard species and strains contributes to the "terroir" of the local wine (Fleet 2008). Methods such as genome sequencing have been used to investigate how genetic variations influence gene expression during fermentation and to clarify the evolutionary relationship between vineyard wine isolates and industrial strains (Borneman *et al.* 2011; Treu *et al.* 2014b). In particular vineyard strain genome analysis demonstrated that in those strains gene sequences involved in nitrogen metabolism are more variable than those present in industrial strains, suggesting a different aptitude in nitrogen uptake and management (Treu *et al.* 2014a).

Besides yeast genetic aptitude, grape must composition, in particular nitrogen availability, has been demonstrated to influence yeast fermentation activity and wine quality and stability (Gutiérrez *et al.* 2012). Backhus *et al.* (2003) found that at low nitrogen concentration *S. cerevisiae* strains showed high expression levels of genes involved in oxidative carbon metabolism. On the contrary, high nitrogen concentration in the must leads the enhancement of the expression level of genes involved in biosynthesis of macromolecules. Nitrogen content of grape must, which is very often increased by the addition of ammonium salts at the beginning of the fermentation, influences the kinetics of the fermentation and the production of secondary compounds in particular esters and higher alcohols (Vilanova *et al.* 2007; Carrau *et al.* 2008). Indeed, some amino acids, mainly threonine, valine, isoleucine and leucine, can be precursors of

60 higher alcohols such as 1-propanol, isobutanol, 2-methylbutanol and 3-methylbutanol whose concentrations can be
61 modulated by the presence of non-*Saccharomyces* species (Comitini *et al.* 2011; Benito *et al.* 2016).

62 One of the problems in modern winemaking is the limited nitrogen content of natural must causing sluggish or stuck
63 fermentations with the production of undesirable secondary compounds that negatively affect wine organoleptic
64 characteristics (Carrau *et al.* 2008). One strategy used by winemakers to overcome the problem of nitrogen-limited
65 musts is to select vineyard yeasts that have good fermentation performances in these extreme conditions (Gutiérrez *et*
66 *al.* 2012). Therefore, understanding strain nitrogen requirement is of great interest.

67 In the present work seven strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* isolated from Italian vineyard were chosen to evaluate
68 the effect of different initial nitrogen concentrations on the fermentation performances in synthetic must. Ammonia and
69 primary amino nitrogen consumption was monitored, as well.

70

71

72 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

73 **Strain fermentation performances at standard condition and H₂S production**

74 In previous work a pool of *S. cerevisiae* strains was isolated from the vineyards and significant differences in terms of
75 fermentation and nitrogen utilization were evidenced among the strains (Treu *et al.* 2014b). Seven vineyard yeasts were
76 chosen, from the pool, on the basis of the isolation origins, the technological characteristics and the mtDNA profiles
77 that allowed yeast identification at strain level. In particular, four strains (P293.8, P301.4, P301.9, P304.1) were isolated
78 from a white grape variety, Glera, and three (R8.3, R103.1 and R133.5) from a red grape variety, Raboso Piave. All the
79 strains showed different mtDNA profiles. Moreover the strains showed different fermentation abilities in terms of days
80 of fermentation and sugar residue at standard condition. Days of fermentation are the days needed to consume all the
81 sugars or to reach a plateau in the total CO₂ production. To confirm that those strains were phenotypically different,
82 some important technological traits were tested: fermentation performances at standard conditions and H₂S production
83 by means of plate assay. The production of sulphide is deleterious in winemaking as it is responsible for a specific off-
84 flavour similar to that of rotten eggs (Thouki and Stern 1962; Silva Ferreira *et al.* 2003). The fermentation performances
85 of seven vineyard *S. cerevisiae* strains were determined in standard synthetic must MS300 at 25°C. To assess strain
86 fermentation performances, the fermentation vigor, in terms of total CO₂ production (g 100⁻¹ ml⁻¹) after 48 h of
87 incubation, was considered in order to evaluate the adaptation ability to the must conditions. Total CO₂ production after
88 139 h was considered, as at these fermentation step the widest range of CO₂ production was found between strains. As
89 regards the fermentation vigor, no significant differences were observed, suggesting a similar adaptation ability to an
90 environment rich in sugar such as the grape must (Table 1). On the contrary after 139 hours significant differences were

91 detected probably due to different strain ethanol tolerance or intrinsic growth rate. Indeed with the progress of the
92 fermentation the strains showed different fermentation abilities. Strains P301.4, R133.5, P301.9 and R103.1 showed the
93 highest CO₂ production, but the strain fermentation times were different: the first two completed the fermentation after
94 15 days and the others after 23 days. P293.8 and P304.1 that produced low CO₂ level after 139 h, completed the
95 fermentation after 15 and 19 days, respectively. R8.3 was the slowest fermenting strain, completing the fermentation in
96 29 days. The foam production at the end of fermentation was evaluated and strains were divided into two groups: low
97 producers (P304.1, R8.3, R103.1, R133.5), with the foam thickness ranging from 0 to 8 mm and high producers
98 (P293.8, P301.4, P 301) that produced 10 to 18 mm of foam. Except for P293.8, that showed a very low H₂S
99 production, all the strains produced an intermediate level of H₂S.

100

101 **Fermentation performance at three nitrogen concentrations**

102 In order to understand how the nitrogen content influences the fermentation performances of the seven *S. cerevisiae*
103 vineyard strains, three concentrations of YAN were assayed in synthetic must. Above 140 mg l⁻¹ of YAN is often
104 quoted to be necessary to ferment filtered grape must containing moderate sugar level (20%) (Bell and Henschke,
105 2005). Therefore the following nitrogen concentrations were added to the synthetic must: 300 mg l⁻¹ YAN (MS300
106 with high nitrogen level), 150 mg l⁻¹ YAN (MS150 with intermediate nitrogen level) and 70 mg l⁻¹ (MS70 with low
107 nitrogen level) .The commercial strain EC1118 was tested as control. Results suggest that the nitrogen concentration
108 affected the days of fermentation. Indeed, when 300 mg N l⁻¹ were present in the must (MS300), fermentations were
109 completed between 14 and 21 days, in MS150 between 14 to 24 days and in MS70 between 17 and 31 days. In order to
110 evaluate differences among strains at each nitrogen concentration, the total CO₂ production (g 100⁻¹ ml⁻¹) after 95 hours
111 was reported (Figure 1). At this fermentation time the widest range of variability was found. Strain R8.3 showed no
112 difference in CO₂ production at the three nitrogen levels. In MS300 and MS150 R8.3 produced a significantly lower
113 level of CO₂ than the other strains tested. In MS70 the level of CO₂ produced by strain R8.3 was comparable with that
114 found at 150 and 300 mg N l⁻¹. On the contrary, at 70 mg N l⁻¹, the other strains decreased the CO₂ production level and
115 strain P304.1 was found to be the slowest. In order to evaluate the effect of different nitrogen concentrations on each
116 strain, the total CO₂ production (g 100⁻¹ ml⁻¹) after 48 hours (fermentation vigor) and 139 hours were evaluated (Figure
117 2). All the strains showed no significant differences between MS300 and MS150 in the fermentation vigor (Figure 2).
118 Strain R8.3 and the industrial strain EC1118 showed no significant differences in all the conditions tested. After 139
119 hours, the daily increment of CO₂ production decreased very rapidly indicating that the strains reached the stationary
120 phase. Most of the strains showed a clear reduction in the CO₂ production in MS70, while no significant differences
121 were found in MS300 and MS150. Only R8.3 and R133.5 fermentations were not influenced by the nitrogen

concentration present in the synthetic must as no significant differences were found at the three nitrogen levels. Strain R103.1 was the only yeast that showed a clear reduction of CO₂ production when YAN concentration decreased from 300 to 150 mg N l⁻¹. No significant difference was found in CO₂ production at 150 and 70 mg N l⁻¹. As reported in Table 2A all the strains showed a very limited sugar residues at the end of the fermentation process. The concentration of the remaining sugar generally was higher when 150 and 70 mg N l⁻¹ were present. Considering glycerol production (Table 2B) differences in glycerol concentrations at the three YAN level were very limited and seems not to be related to nitrogen content. In fact, it is well-known that this compound is mainly related to sugar content. Glycerol is synthesized by reduction of dihydroxyacetone phosphate to glycerol 3-phosphate (G3P) by NAD-dependent glycerol 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GPDH) followed by dephosphorylation of G3P to glycerol by means of a specific glycerol 3-phosphatase (G3Pase). During alcoholic fermentation, the roles of glycerol formation are to counteract the osmotic pressure, due to the presence of high sugar in the must, and to maintain the redox balance. The former is related to glycerol as compatible solute. Excess NADH is produced during biomass formation, therefore the surplus of NADH is oxidized by the glycerol biosynthetic pathways (Van Dijken and Scheffers, 1986). Moreover from a sensory point of view glycerol contributes to palate fullness (“body”) of wine (Gawel *et al.*, 2007). The wine commercial strain EC1118 was included as control. This strain was classified as low nitrogen requirement yeast in comparison with other seven wine commercial yeasts (Brice *et al.* 2014). Our results suggested that nitrogen level influenced both the early and the late stage of the fermentation. Only strain R8.3, together with the control strain EC1118, seemed to be poorly affected by the tested nitrogen levels, as no significant differences in CO₂ production were detected at the three nitrogen concentrations. This suggested that among the vineyard strains only R8.3, the worst fermenting strain in terms of days of fermentation, showed low nitrogen requirement, as well as strain EC1118. Due to the fact that the latter possess excellent fermentation performance, our results suggested that yeast nitrogen requirement can modulate fermentation performances, that are determined by strain-specific genetic features. Generally, all the other strains showed no differences in fermentation at 300 and 150 mg N l⁻¹, but a clear delay in the fermentation process when nitrogen concentration dropped from 150 to 70 mg N l⁻¹ was observed. These fermentation difficulties were confirmed by the presence of sugar residue at 70 mg N l⁻¹. Only strain R103.1 evidenced a clear delay when YAN concentration dropped from 300 to 150 mg l⁻¹. No differences were found between 150 and 70 mg N l⁻¹.

149

150 **Pilot-scale fermentation and nitrogen consumption**

151 *S. cerevisiae* P304.1 was chosen to be tested in pilot-scale fermentation. Indeed, P304.1 fermentation performances at
152 different nitrogen level depicted the fermentation trend of most of the tested strains. They showed no differences in CO₂

production at 300 and 150 mg N l⁻¹; when YAN concentration dropped from 150 to 70 mg N l⁻¹ a clear delay in the fermentation process was reported.

During pilot-scale fermentation, the composition of the synthetic musts was the same of the previous trial (MS300, MS150, and MS70). To monitor the fermentation process, the fermentation rate (expressed as CO₂ g l⁻¹ per hour) was measured instead of total CO₂ production (g l⁻¹). Due to the method for CO₂ detection (by means of a mass flowmeter) must agitation was needed. Agitation influenced cell growth, as the cells remain suspended in the medium for longer, increasing their ability to assimilate nutrients. Therefore it speeded up the fermentation process that was, in any condition tested, faster than that without agitation. In these conditions P304.1 was confirmed to be affected by nitrogen concentrations particularly when 70 mg N l⁻¹ were present as the fermentation peak value was considerably lower than those at 300 and 150 mg N l⁻¹ (Figure. 3). Strain R304.1 completed the fermentation after six days when 300 mg N l⁻¹ were present, and after eight days when 150 mg N l⁻¹ were present, whereas at 70 mg N l⁻¹ after eight days of fermentation 1.73 g l⁻¹ of sugar were still present in the must.

The analysis of both ammonium and α -amino nitrogen consumption during the first two days of fermentation at the three nitrogen conditions are reported in Figure. 3. Ammonium was rapidly consumed by the strain in all the conditions tested. In MS300 it was depleted in 26 hours, in MS150 and MS70 in 12 and 8 hours, respectively. As expected, the α -amino acid consumption was slower than ammonium. In MS300 after 26 hours 103 mg l⁻¹ α -amino nitrogen were still present and constituted the residual nitrogen at the end of the fermentation. As MS300 is internationally considered a gold standard, the assimilation trend noted in this study agreed with those from previous works (Beltran *et al.* 2004, Gutiérrez *et al.* 2012). In MS150 and MS70 the α -amino nitrogen was depleted after 14 and 10 hours, respectively.

In conclusion vineyard strains showed different fermentation performances in response to the nitrogen level present in the must. Both 150 and 70 mg l⁻¹ YAN can be considered critical nitrogen levels as strain fermentation performances were different at higher nitrogen concentration (300 and 150 mg l⁻¹ N, respectively).

When the nitrogen concentration was at the strain critical level a delay in the fermentation process was observed. When the nitrogen concentration was above the critical level, the fermentation process, in terms of total CO₂ produced (g 100⁻¹ ml⁻¹) at specific sampling points, increase, but if the level of nitrogen was further increased no effect on the fermentation was found. Regarding the nitrogen critical level, its concentration is strain dependent. In our conditions, most of the tested strains showed a critical nitrogen level at 70 mg l⁻¹ YAN, one strain at 150mg l⁻¹ YAN. As strain R8.3 and the industrial strain EC1118 used as control were not affected by the three nitrogen concentrations, it can be suggested that the critical nitrogen level of these two strains is below 70mg l⁻¹ YAN.

184 MATERIALS AND METHODS

185 Yeast strains

186 Seven *S. cerevisiae* strains were used in this study. Four strains (P293.8, P301.4, P301.9, P304.1) were chosen from a
187 pool of 354 isolates from Glera vineyards located in Conegliano–Valdobbiadene Prosecco superior Appellation of
188 Origin area. Three strains (R8.3, R103.1, R133.5) were chosen from a pool of 78 isolates from Raboso Piave vineyards
189 in the Piave Appellation of origin area. All yeasts were identified at species level by conventional methods, genus
190 specific amplification and PCR-RFLP analysis of the ITS1 region of 18S rDNA (Guillamón, J. M at al. 1998; Nardi *et al.*
191 *al.* 2006; Bovo *et al.* 2009); Characterization at strain level was performed by means of mt-DNA analysis (Treu *et al.*
192 2014b). Strain physiological characterization was performed for all the yeasts to evaluate the presence of technological
193 trait interesting for winemaking (Treu *et al.* 2014b). The strains were chosen by taking into account the origin (isolation
194 area), the differences in the mt-DNA profiles and in the physiological characteristics. Commercial strain EC1118 was
195 used as a control.

196

197 Fermentation trials in standard synthetic must, at different nitrogen concentrations and H₂S production

198 Fermentations were performed in standard synthetic must (MS300), containing glucose (200 g l⁻¹), malic acid (6 g l⁻¹) at
199 pH 3.2, prepared as described by Bely *et al.* (1990). Its amino acid composition simulates the nitrogen content of a
200 standard grape juice, containing 300 mg l⁻¹ (YAN). Two modified synthetic musts with different YAN concentrations
201 were prepared, as well: MS150 (150 mg N l⁻¹) and MS70 (70 mg N l⁻¹). The musts were inoculated with yeast cultures
202 prepared as followed. A loopful of a 3-day-old culture of each strain grown on YPD agar plate (yeast extract 10 g l⁻¹,
203 peptone 10 g l⁻¹, and dextrose 20 g l⁻¹) was used. A suitable volume of each yeast culture, corresponding to a final cell
204 concentration of 1.5×10⁶ cells mL⁻¹, was inoculated in the must. All the fermentation were performed containing 100
205 ml of must and incubated at 25°C as described by Bovo *et al.* (2016). The fermentation process was followed by
206 measuring twice a day the weight loss of each culture. When the weight loss was lower than 0.05 g per day the
207 fermentations were considered concluded and the foam level was measured as described by Lemos Junior *et al.* (2016).
208 All the fermentation trials were performed in triplicate.

209 The production of hydrogen sulphide was evaluated, as well, by streaking each strain on Biggy agar (Oxoid Ltd, UK).

210

211 Fermentation trials in bioreactor and nitrogen determination

212 MS300, MS150 and MS70 were used to perform one-litre fermentations in Multifors fermenter (INFORS-HT
213 Multifors, Switzerland), equipped with 0-20 ml scale Read-y massflowmeter (CO₂ calibrated). CO₂ efflux (ml/min) was

214 measured every 10 minutes. The strain inoculum was performed as described by (Nadai *et al.*, 2016). Kinetic results
215 are reported as the mean of three fermentations.

216 Aminic and ammoniacal nitrogen were measured at the moment of the inoculum and after 4, 8,10,12,16, 26 hours by
217 means of a specific enzymatic kit (Steroglass, Italy).

218

219 **Chemical analysis**

220 At the end of the fermentation process an aliquot of each yeast culture was centrifuged, filtered and 10 µl were analyzed
221 by Waters 1525 HPLC binary pump with a 300×7.8 mm stainless steel column packed with Aminex HPX_87H 300×7.8
222 mm was used. Waters 2414 Refractive Index Detector, set at 600 nm wavelength for the determination of glucose and
223 glycerol was used as described by Nadai *et al.* (2016). For quantitative determination, calibration curves of glucose and
224 glycerol were made in water and peaks area was determined by Breeze (Waters) program.

225

226 **Statistical treatment of data**

227 The statistical data analysis was performed with XLSTAT software, vers.7.5.2 (Addinsoft, Paris, France) using the one-
228 way analysis of variance (ANOVA) at 95 % accuracy level. Tukey's test was used as comparison test when samples
229 were significantly different after ANOVA ($p < 0.05$).

230

231 **Acknowledgements**

232 WJFLJ was financially supported by CAPES - Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior.

233

234 **Conflicts of interest**

235 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

236

237 **References**

238

239 Backhus, L.E., DeRisi, J., Brown, P.O. and Bisson, L.F. (2003) Functional genomic analysis of a commercial wine
240 strain of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* under different nitrogen conditions. *FEMS Yeast Res* **4**, 111–125.

241 Beltran, G., Novo, M., Rozès, N-, Mas, A. and Guillamón, J.M. (2004) Nitrogen catabolite repression in *Saccharomyces*
242 *cerevisiae* during wine fermentations. *FEMS Yeast Res* **4**, 625–632.

243 Bely, M., Sablayrolles, J.M. and Barre, P. (1990) Description of alcoholic fermentation kinetics, Its variability and
244 significance. *Am J Enol Vitic* **41**, 319–324.

245 Benito, Á., Calderón, F. and Benito, S. (2016) Combined use of *S. pombe* and *L. thermotolerans* in winemaking.
 246 Beneficial effects determined through the study of wines' analytical characteristics. *Molecules* **21**, 1774.

247 Borneman, A.R., Desany, B.A., Riches, D., Affourtit, J.P., Forgan, A.H., Pretorius, I.S., Egholm, M. and Chambers P.J.
 248 (2011) Whole-genome comparison reveals novel genetic elements that characterize the genome of industrial strains
 249 of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *PLoS Genet* **7**, e1001287.

250 Bovo, B., Andrichetto, C., Carlot, M., Corich, V., Lombardi, A. and Giacomini, A. (2009) Yeast population dynamics
 251 during pilot-scale storage of grape marcs for the production of Grappa, a traditional Italian alcoholic beverage. *Int J*
 252 *Food Microbiol* **129**, 221–228.

253 Bovo, B., Nadai, C., Vendramini, C., Lemos Junior, W.J.F., Carlot, M., Skelin, A., Giacomini, A. and Corich, V. (2016)
 254 Aptitude of *Saccharomyces* yeasts to ferment unripe grapes harvested during cluster thinning for reducing alcohol
 255 content of wine. *Int J Food Microbiol* **236**, 56–64.

256 Brice, C., Sanchez, I., Tesnière, C. and Blondin, B. (2014) Assessing the mechanisms responsible for differences
 257 between nitrogen requirements of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* wine yeasts in alcoholic fermentation. *Appl Environ*
 258 *Microbiol* **80**, 1330–1339. doi, 10.1128/AEM.03856-13

259 Carrau, F.M., Medina, K., Farina, L., Boido, E., Henschke, P.A. and Dellacassa, E. (2008) Production of fermentation
 260 aroma compounds by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* wine yeasts, Effects of yeast assimilable nitrogen on two model
 261 strains. *FEMS Yeast Res* **8**, 1196–1207. doi, 10.1111/j.1567-1364.2008.00412.x

262 Combina, M., Elía, A., Mercado, L., Catania, C., Ganga, A. and Martinez, C. (2005) Dynamics of indigenous yeast
 263 populations during spontaneous fermentation of wines from Mendoza, Argentina. *Int J Food Microbiol* **99**, 237–
 264 243.

265 Comitini, F., Gobbi, M., Domizio, P., Romani, C., Lencioni, L., Mannazzu, I. and Ciani M (2011) Selected Non-
 266 *Saccharomyces* wine yeasts in controlled multistarter fermentations with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Food*
 267 *Microbiol.* **28**, 873–882.

268 Fleet, G.H. (2003) Yeast interactions and wine flavour. *Int J Food Microbiol* **86**, 11–22.

269 Fleet, G.H. (2008) Wine yeasts for the future. *FEMS Yeast Res* **8**, 979–995.

270 Gawel, R., Van Sluyter, S, Waters, E.J., (2007). The effects of ethanol and glycerol on the body and other sensory
 271 characteristics of Riesling wines. *Aust. J. Grape Wine Res.* **13**, 38.

272 Guillamón, J. M., Sabaté, J., Barrio, E., Cano, J. and Querol, A. (1998). Rapid identification of wine yeast species based
 273 on RFLP analysis of the ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region. *Arch. Microbiol.* **169**, 387-392.

274 Gutiérrez A, Chiva R, Sancho M, Beltran G, Arroyo-López FN, Guillamon JM (2012) Nitrogen requirements of
 275 commercial wine yeast strains during fermentation of a synthetic grape must. *Food Microbiol* **31**, 25–32.

276 Lemos Junior, W.J.F., Bovo, B., Nadai, C., Crosato, G., Carlot, M., Favaron, F., Giacomini, A. and Corich V (2016)
277 Biocontrol ability and action mechanism of *Starmerella bacillaris* (Synonym *Candida zemplinina*) isolated from
278 wine musts against gray mold disease agent *Botrytis cinerea* on grape and their effects on alcoholic fermentation.
279 *Front Microbiol* **7**, 1–12.

280 Nadai, C., Treu, L., Campanaro, S., Giacomini, A. and Corich, V. (2016) Different mechanisms of resistance modulate
281 sulfite tolerance in wine yeasts. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **100**, 797–813.

282 Nardi, T., Carlot, M., De Bortoli, E., Corich, V. and Giacomini, A. (2006). A rapid method for differentiating
283 *Saccharomyces sensu stricto* strains from other yeast species in an enological environment. *FEMS microbiol*
284 *Lett*, **264**, 168-173.

285 Silva Ferreira, A.C., Rodrigues, P., Hogg, T. and De Pinho, P.G. (2003) Influence of some technological parameters on
286 the formation of dimethyl sulfide, 2-mercaptoethanol, methionol, and dimethyl sulfone in port wines. *J Agric Food*
287 *Chem* **51**, 727–732.

288 Thouki, G. and Stern, L.A. (1962) A review and some studies of the effect of sulfur on the formation of off-odors in
289 wine. *Am J Enol Vitic* **13**, 133–140.

290 Treu, L., Campanaro, S., Nadai, C., Toniolo, C., Nardi, T., Giacomini, A., Valle, G., Blondin, B and Corich V (2014a)
291 Oxidative stress response and nitrogen utilization are strongly variable in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* wine strains
292 with different fermentation performances. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **98**, 4119–4135.

293 Treu, L., Toniolo, C., Nadai, C., Sardu, A., Giacomini, A., Corich, V. and Campanaro S (2014b) The impact of genomic
294 variability on gene expression in environmental *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains. *Environ Microbiol* **16**, 1378–
295 1397.

296 Van Dijken, J.P. and Scheffers, WA, (1986.) Redox balances in the metabolism of sugars by yeasts. *FEMS Microbiol.*
297 *Lett.* **32**, 199–224.

298 Vilanova, M., Ugliano, M., Varela, C., Siebert, T., Pretorius, I.S. and Henschke, P.A. (2007) Assimilable nitrogen
299 utilisation and production of volatile and non-volatile compounds in chemically defined medium by *Saccharomyces*
300 *cerevisiae* wine yeasts. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **77**, 145–157.

Table 1. Grape variety, CO₂ production during fermentation, days of fermentation and foam at the end of the fermentation of *S. cerevisiae* strains in MS300 and production of hydrogen sulphide. Data are expressed as the average of three replicates $\pm$ standard deviations.

Grape variety	Strain	CO ₂ production at 48h	CO ₂ production at 139h	Days of fermentation	Foam (mm)	H ₂ S*
Glera	P293.8	2.31 ^a $\pm$ 0.39	6.19 ^{cd} $\pm$ 0.19	15	10	1
Glera	P301.4	2.90 ^a $\pm$ 0.27	6.29 ^{bc} $\pm$ 0.29	15	12	3
Glera	P301.9	3.02 ^a $\pm$ 0.158	7.17 ^{abc} $\pm$ 0.16	23	18	3
Glera	P304.1	2.25 ^a $\pm$ 0.29	7.46 ^{ab} $\pm$ 0.54	19	8	3
Raboso Piave	R8.3	2.68 ^a $\pm$ 0.85	5.8 ^{cd} $\pm$ 0.12	29	4	3
Raboso Piave	R103.1	2.97 ^a $\pm$ 0.70	7.47 ^{ab} $\pm$ 1.34	23	0	2
Raboso Piave	R133.5	3.05 ^a $\pm$ 0.17	7.81 ^a $\pm$ 0.26	15	4	3

* The production of hydrogen sulphide was determined on BIGGY agar (DIFCO), scoring the browning degree of the yeast streak according to the following scale: 0= white, 2 = light brown, 3 = dark-brown, 4= black. Within the column, mean values followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Tukey's test ($p \leq 0.05$).

Table 2. Residual glucose (g l⁻¹) (A) and glycerol (g l⁻¹) (B) concentrations at the end of the fermentation of *S. cerevisiae* strains in MS300, MS150 and MS70. Data are expressed as the average of three replicates $\pm$ standard deviations. Within the column, mean values followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Tukey's test ($p \leq 0.05$). Latin letters represents comparison of all the strains at same nitrogen concentration. Greek letters represents comparison for each strain at different nitrogen concentrations.

A

Strains	P293.8	P301.4	P301.9	P304.1	R103.1	R133.5	R8.3	EC1118
MS300	2.22 ^{aa} $\pm$ 0.53	1.97 ^{aa} $\pm$ 0.13	1.55 ^{aa} $\pm$ 0.05	1.55 ^{aa} $\pm$ 0.03	1.49 ^{aa} $\pm$ 0.08	3.35 ^{ba} $\pm$ 0.68	1.64 ^{aa} $\pm$ 0.18	1.46 ^{aa} $\pm$ 0.12
MS150	2.57 ^{ba} $\pm$ 0.55	1.80 ^{aa} $\pm$ 0.01	1.56 ^{aa} $\pm$ 0.06	1.48 ^{aa} $\pm$ 0.01	1.62 ^{aa} $\pm$ 0.15	5.39 ^{cβ} $\pm$ 0.29	1.73 ^{aaβ} $\pm$ 0.22	1.80 ^{aa} $\pm$ 0.03
MS70	4.17 ^{bβ} $\pm$ 0.30	2.58 ^{aβ} $\pm$ 0.24	1.64 ^{aa} $\pm$ 0.07	1.94 ^{aβ} $\pm$ 0.11	1.56 ^{aa} $\pm$ 0.04	4.09 ^{baβ} $\pm$ 0.83	2.26 ^{aβ} $\pm$ 0.29	2.22 ^{aa} $\pm$ 0.51

B

Strains	P293.8	P301.4	P301.9	P304.1	R103.1	R133.5	R8.3	EC1118
MS300	7.71 ^{bca} $\pm$ 0.14	6.98 ^{ada} $\pm$ 0.01	6.73 ^{dca} $\pm$ 0.22	7.41 ^{abaβ} $\pm$ 0.17	6.34 ^{ca} $\pm$ 0.06	8.02 ^{ca} $\pm$ 0.30	7.24 ^{aa} $\pm$ 0.11	7.37 ^{aba} $\pm$ 0.17
MS150	7.60 ^{abaβ} $\pm$ 0.10	6.57 ^{aa} $\pm$ 0.20	7.44 ^{abβ} $\pm$ 0.02	7.90 ^{aa} $\pm$ 0.09	4.66 ^{cβ} $\pm$ 1.14	7.67 ^{aba} $\pm$ 0.43	7.11 ^{aba} $\pm$ 0.14	7.16 ^{aba} $\pm$ 0.14
MS70	7.36 ^{bcβ} $\pm$ 0.10	6.32 ^{aa} $\pm$ 0.46	7.50 ^{bcβ} $\pm$ 0.18	6.94 ^{aβ} $\pm$ 0.54	6.22 ^{aa} $\pm$ 0.59	8.22 ^{ca} $\pm$ 0.16	7.50 ^{bca} $\pm$ 0.32	6.82 ^{abβ} $\pm$ 0.01