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1  **Highlights:**

2  • Dysphagia can complicate Multiple Sclerosis (MS), causing considerable morbidity, due to respiratory sequaelae.

3  • Anodal tDCS over the pharyngeal motor cortex may improve swallowing in dysphagic MS patients.

4  • Our findings suggest a potential benefit of pharyngeal motor cortex tDCS in MS-associated dysphagia.
Abstract

Objective: we investigated the effect of anodal transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) applied over the pharyngeal motor area in dysphagia associated with multiple sclerosis (MS). Methods: Eighteen MS patients with dysphagia associated with brainstem involvement were randomized to receive either “real” or “sham” tDCS. Primary outcome: The Penetration/Aspiration Scale (PAS). Secondary outcomes: changes in electromyographic (EMG) parameters and pharyngeal cortical motor evoked potentials (MEPs). Patients were evaluated at baseline (T₀), at the end of 5-session cycle of tDCS stimulations (T₁), after two (T₂), and four (T₃) weeks.

Results: the PAS values were significantly lower in the active group than in “sham” group at T₁, and at T₃. Over the post-stimulation periods, PAS significantly improved only in the “real” group. As regards the secondary outcomes, we observed a statistically significant difference between the 2 groups only in the MEPs amplitude at T₁. The comparison between baseline and each of the post-stimulation times showed significant differences only of the “real” group across all the secondary parameters.

Conclusions: Our findings support a beneficial effect of anodal tDCS applied to the pharyngeal motor cortex in MS-associated dysphagia. Significance: Considering its safety and efficacy, tDCS may represent an important resource in MS-associated dysphagia.

Key words: Multiple Sclerosis-associated dysphagia, transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS), cortico-pharyngeal excitability, swallowing motor cortex, cricopharyngeal muscle, pharyngeal MEPs.
Introduction

Swallowing is a stereotyped sequence of movements controlled by a trigger center in the brainstem, called the central pattern generator (CPG). CPG receives afferents from the cerebral cortex, combines them with the peripheral inputs originating in the oral, laryngeal and pharyngeal muscles and mucosal areas, to orchestrate the final, complex sequence of swallowing (Jean A., 2001). The CPG is located in the medulla oblongata (Jean A., 2001) and it allows the ingestion of fluids and food, preventing aspiration. Dysphagia can be defined as the disruption of normal swallowing. This dysfunction can frequently complicate multiple sclerosis (MS), where it may cause considerable morbidity, due to its nutritional and respiratory sequelae. Moreover, aspiration pneumonia, the most severe, and life-threatening complication is the leading cause of death in MS patients (Abraham et al., 1997; Thomas et al., 1999; Prosiegel et al., 2001; Abraham SS, Yun PT., 2002; Calcagno et al., 2002; Bergamaschi et al., 2008; Poorjavad et al., 2010). The prevalence of dysphagia in MS has been reported to be as high as 30-40% (Abraham et al., 1997; Thomas et al., 1999; Prosiegel et al., 2001; Calcagno et al., 2002; Bergamaschi et al., 2008; Poorjavad et al., 2010). The causes of dysphagia in MS patients are multiple, as they reflect the involvement of multifocal areas in the central nervous system (CNS). The evolutive and multifocal nature of MS makes recovery of neurogenic dysphagia in these patients highly unlikely. The situation is even more serious when considering that no specific pharmacological treatment is available for improving dysphagia associated with MS.

We have previously reported that botulinum toxin type A (BoNT/A) improves swallowing in MS patients with dysphagia associated to the hyperactivity of the cricopharyngeal (CP) muscle (Restivo et al., 2011). However, BoNT/A inoculation should be limited to patients with isolated hyperactivity of the upper esophageal sphincter (UES), as such treatment is ineffective in MS patients in which also other pathophysiological mechanisms in oral and pharyngeal phases of swallowing contribute to dysphagia (Alfonsi et al., 2010).

A variety of rehabilitative approaches have been proposed over time for improving the swallowing ability of dysphagic patients, but a protocol fulfilling the need for a safe swallowing has yet to be
established. Hence the importance of identifying novel, effective treatments that can be administered
non-invasively and repeatedly at the patient’s bedside to prevent or at least reduce penetration and
aspiration.

Recently, intraluminal pharyngeal electrical stimulation (PES) proved effective in improving
swallowing physiology in health and after stroke by increasing cortico-bulbar excitability (Fraser et
al., 2002; 2003). The mechanism underlying this effect is likely a stimulus-induced cortical plasticity,
which is thought to accelerate the long-term changes that take place during stroke recovery (Fraser et
al., 2002; 2003). Fraser et al. (2003) have observed that PES-related changes in the cortex correlate
with measurable improvements in swallowing physiology and reduce the occurrence of aspiration
episodes. In a recent study, we demonstrated that PES, delivered at a frequency of 5 Hz with a 75%
maximum tolerated intensity, significantly improves swallowing physiology and reduce aspiration in
MS patients with dysphagia associated to bulbar involvement (Restivo et al., 2013a). The effect of
PES might be ascribed to the capacity of sensory stimulation of pharyngeal afferents to increase motor
excitability (Sweazey, 1988; 1995; Gow et al., 2004; Restivo et al., 2013a).

Transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) is another non-invasive, safe, and well-tolerated brain
stimulation technique that proved able to directly cause changes in the excitability of the brain that
outlast the duration of the stimulation (Nitsche et al., 2001; Gandiga et al., 2006; Jeffrey et al., 2007;
Poreisz et al., 2007). tDCS acts by delivering a small electric continuous current across the cerebral
cortex (Nitsche et al., 2001; Jeffrey et al., 2007). Anodal tDCS is obtained when the anode is placed
over the motor cortex and the cathode over the supraorbital ridge and it is associated with a facilitatory
effect. When the current flow is reversed (cathodal tDCS) the final effect is inhibitory.

The effects of tDCS on cortical swallowing areas have been investigated in healthy subjects by
Jefferson et al. (Jefferson et al., 2009a). The authors showed that anodal stimulation increases the
excitability of the pharyngeal motor cortex in an intensity-and duration-dependent manner, to indicate
that tDCS produces a facilitatory effect quite similar, in terms of magnitude, to the effect elicited by
intraluminal PES (Jefferson et al., 2009a), probably via the modulation of plasticity in the pharyngeal
cortical area. Anodal tDCS also induces a facilitation of the sucking activity of liquid bolus in healthy subjects (Cosentino et al., 2014). Moreover, it has been evidenced that tDCS applied over the swallowing motor cortex of the unaffected hemisphere was capable of ameliorating stroke-related dysphagia when compared to sham stimulation (Kumar et al., 2011; Suntrup-Krueger et al., 2018).

Overall, these results suggest that tDCS may be effective in the treatment of dysphagia. We therefore postulated that anodal tDCS applied to the pharyngeal motor cortex might show a beneficial effect upon swallowing performance in dysphagic MS subjects. In the present study we thus aimed at assessing the effects of anodal tDCS, delivered at an intensity of 2mA for 20 minutes, on swallowing function in a sample of MS subjects with severe dysphagia with aspiration associated to active brainstem lesions.

**Research design and methods**

We enrolled 18 subjects with MS and severe dysphagia: 13 with a relapsing-remitting form, and 5 with a secondary progressive form. The female/male ratio was 11/7; mean age: 38.4 ± 5.6 years; mean score at the Extended Disability Status Scale (EDSS): 5.8 ± 0.7; mean disease duration: 8.9 ± 2.7 years; mean dysphagia duration: 21.7 ± 7.2 months. Four patients were fed by percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG), the remaining 14 patients were on a diet with modified food consistency.

All patients underwent brain MRI studies. In order to rule out any bias or carry over effect, none of the patients had undergone swallowing therapy in the 3 months preceding the enrolment. No swallowing therapy was allowed for the entire study period. All subjects had a history of episodic dysphagia for liquid food that had progressed over time to severe dysphagia for both liquids and solids with aspiration and penetration. Individual clinical and demographical data of the 18 patients are illustrated in Table 1. All patients signed an informed consent prior to study inclusion. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and received the approval of the local Ethics Committee. As swallowing alterations in MS patients could be related to different
pathophysiological mechanisms (i.e. brainstem dysfunction, cerebellar involvement and alterations of either sensory or motor central pathways) (Alfonsi et al., 2013), to avoid possible confounding factors, only MS patients with dysphagia caused by brainstem lesions were included in the present study.

**Inclusion criteria were:** 1) age > 18 years; 2) EDSS score < 7.6; 3) stable phase of disease, i.e. no changes > 1 point at the EDSS in the previous three months; 4) severe dysphagia for liquids, solids or both, present for at least two consecutive months at the time of enrolment; 5) at least one active (new) lesion within the brainstem at the MRI, without any active lesions in other cerebral regions.

**Exclusion criteria were:** 1) any other neurologic disease in addition to MS; 2) age > 60 years, to rule out non-specific swallowing abnormalities associated to presbiophagia; 3) any concurrent illness or disease of upper gastrointestinal tract; 4) inability to sign the informed consent due to cognitive impairment.

**Swallowing assessment:** swallowing function was investigated using a dual modality which consisted in videofluoroscopy (VFS) and electromyography (EMG) of the muscles involved in oropharyngeal swallowing. The Penetration/Aspiration Scale (PAS) was adopted to assess and grade penetration and aspiration in our patients (Rosembek et al., 1996). PAS is an 8-point severity scale from 1 = no material enters the airways to 8 = material enters the airways, passes below the vocal folds in the absence of any effort to eject it. It is largely used for the semi-quantitative assessment of the degree of endoscopically and/or radiologically measured penetration/aspiration. VFS was carried out using a standard radiographic fluoroscopic system with remote monitor and videofluoroscopic capabilities, using the modified barium protocol. Images were acquired at the frequency of 30 frames/sec and recorded on a VHS digital videotape for subsequent frame-by-frame revision. All subjects were instructed to keep the bolus in their mouth until they were asked to swallow. Images were obtained in the lateral view using the following anatomic markers: the lips anteriorly, the cervical spine posteriorly, the naso-pharynx superiorly, and the upper margin of the thoracic esophagus inferiorly. The total exposure time was strictly maintained below 80 sec for each study, with a radiation dose
lower than 0.3 millisieverts (mSv). Patients were asked to swallow 3 different types of consistencies of a standardized volume of bolus: thin liquid (equivalent to milk), semi-solid (equivalent to jelly), and solid (dry toast coated in barium). Two swallows per consistency were performed and the scores were averaged. VFS examinations were analyzed by a speech and language pathologist blind to treatment group and scored according to PAS. Penetration and aspiration were selected as indicators because of their clinical association with a more severe degree of swallowing impairment than other traditional signs of dysphagia and because a reduction in the severity of penetration and aspiration is considered as clinically meaningful in the management of neurogenic dysphagia.

Electromyography (EMG) of Swallowing Muscles: the evaluation was performed simultaneously recording with 3 channels: 1) from the suprahyoid/submental complex, 2) from the cricopharyngeal muscle (CP) and 3) from the skin above the larynx. The suprahyoid/submental muscles group (SHEMG) is a muscle complex consisting of the mylohyoid, the genioglossus, and the ventral belly of the digastic muscle. The EMG activity of this complex was obtained using two surface electrodes applied to the skin over the suprathyroid region and set 30 mm apart. The activity of the SHEMG marks the beginning of the propulsive action of the tongue during the oral phase of swallowing and it persists throughout the pharyngeal phase of swallowing (Alfonsi et al., 2010; 2013; Restivo et al., 2011; Restivo et al., 2013a; 2013b). SHEMG activity therefore reflects the behaviour of the muscular components mainly involved in the oral and pharyngeal phases of swallowing. The EMG activity of the CP muscle was recorded using a concentric needle electrode that was inserted at a distance of 1.5 cm from the lateral border of the cricoid cartilage, following a posteromedial direction (Alfonsi et al., 2010; 2013; Restivo et al., 2011; 2013a; 2013b). The CP is a major contributor to the functional area known as the upper esophageal sphincter (UES) and therefore shows a tonic activity at rest. The tonic activity of the CP disappears completely for a brief time, lasting about 0.4-1 sec, during the pharyngeal (hypo-pharyngeal) phase of swallowing. The period corresponding to the disappearance of the tonic activity of the CP muscle is called inhibitory pause, which is instrumental to passage of the bolus transit into the upper esophageal tract (Alfonsi et al., 2013; Restivo et al., 2013a; 2013b).
The inhibitory pause of the CP muscle is used as a marker of the activity of this muscle and is strictly connected to the pharyngeal phase of swallowing (Alfonsi et al., 2013; Restivo et al., 2013b). Finally, the third channel was used for recording the laryngeal excursion movements of elevation during voluntary swallowing via a piezoelectric transducer placed over the crico-thyroid membrane using adhesive tape wrapped around the neck. The transducer consists of a rectangular strip with a triangular rubber button in the centre and showed a linear force-to-signal ratio ranging from 0.1 to 300 g. All EMG signals were band pass-filtered between 100 Hz and 2 KHz, whereas the transducer signals were band pass-filtered between 0.01 and 30 Hz. The sampling rate of the signal for each channel was set at 1 KHz. The signals derived from the myoelectric activity were preamplified (CED 1902 Preamplifier, Cambridge Electronic Design, Cambridge, England, United Kingdom) and subsequently captured via a control and acquisition software (CED 1401). Data were visualized in real time on a PC screen and subsequently stored for in-depth analysis. Each patient was examined while seating with the head in a neutral position and was invited to swallow 5 ml of water introduced into the mouth with a disposable syringe.

We analyzed the following neurophysiological parameters: 1) duration of the excursion of the laryngeal transductor (LTE-D); 2) duration of the activation of the SHEMG (SHEMG-D); 3) the duration of the inhibitory pause of the CP muscle (CPEMG-PD) during the pharyngeal phase of swallowing; and 4) the interval between the onset of the EMG activity of SHEMG and the onset of the laryngeal elevation (SHEMG-LE interval). The recording of EMG traces was triggered when the activity of the SHEMG reached an amplitude \( \geq 50 \mu V \). We obtained the recordings of 10 subsequent swallowing acts for calculating the average value of each electrophysiological parameter. For each electrophysiological parameter, we considered as normative values the 5th-95th percentiles computed in our laboratory from the data on 30 age-matched healthy controls. More specifically, the normative limits were: LTE-D: 1580-2100ms; SHEMG-D: 975-1274ms; CPEMG-PD: 327-563ms; SHEMG-LE interval: 165-268ms.
Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (TMS): cortical excitability of the area corresponding to pharyngeal muscles was evaluated using TMS. The motor cortex was stimulated using a figure-eight coil connected to the magnetic stimulator (Magstim 200, 2.2 T, Whitland Dyfed, Wiles, UK) placed over the right or left pharyngeal area of the motor cortex, 2 cm anterior and 4-8 cm lateral to the Cz point (Fraser et al., 2003; Restivo et al., 2013a). Recordings were obtained using concentric needle electrodes inserted in the contralateral CP muscle using the above described insertion procedure for the evaluation of CP activity. CP-EMG signal was band pass-filtered between 20 Hz and 5 KHz. Motor threshold (MT) was expressed as a percentage of the stimulator output and it was defined as the lowest intensity of the stimulus capable of producing a 50-μV motor evoked potential (MEP) in at least 5 out of 10 consecutive stimulations (Restivo et al., 2013a). We assessed the active CP MT, because this muscle is spontaneously active during non-swallowing periods, while it is inhibited during the swallowing act. Therefore, the MT was recorded in the tonically activated CP muscle. After achieving the MT, the stimulus intensity was increased step-by-step until the maximal MEP amplitude, measured peak-to-peak, was obtained. For each subject MEP amplitude was expressed as the average of 5 traces. In order to define hemispheric dominance for swallowing, during baseline evaluation we recorded MEPs in each subject from both the right and left CP muscle after stimulating the contralateral pharyngeal motor cortex. The hemisphere that displayed the higher amplitude of evoked motor response was considered the dominant hemisphere (Fraser et al., 2013) and it was chosen for tDCS application and TMS evaluation. In all patients the maximum MEP amplitude was obtained at stimulation intensities lower than 100% of stimulator output.

Transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS): anodal tDCS was delivered with the tDCS device (EMS, Bologna, Italy) through two 25-cm² rectangular surface electrodes, with the active one placed over the “pharyngeal” area of the motor cortex that produced the largest MEPs and the reference one on the contralateral supraorbital ridge. A water-soaked sponge was positioned underneath the electrodes in order to maximize their contact with the scalp. Furthermore, the electrodes were fixed in their position using a set of adjustable rubber straps placed around the head. For the active
intervention (the “real” stimulation group), current intensity was slowly increased up to 2mA. This intensity was maintained for 20 min before the stimulation was slowly turned off over a 10-sec period. For the “sham” intervention, the current was left on only for 30 sec, while the electrodes were left in place for a further 20-min period. According to previous reports, this modality of sham stimulation evokes the same initial tingling sensation as the real stimulation, but it does not generate any significant cortical change (Gandiga et al., 2006).

**Experimental Protocol.** During the experimental sessions, patients were seated in a comfortable chair. Randomization to anodal tDCS (9 patients) or sham tDCS (9 patients) for 5 consecutive days was performed according to a computer-generated list. Randomization was stratified in order to preserve treatment group balance. Patients were separately evaluated by two different physicians: one delivered the stimulation, the other evaluated its effect. The patients and the physician who analyzed the effect of stimulation were blinded to group allocation.

VFS, EMG, PAS scoring and TMS were performed at baseline (T₀), immediately after the last session of tDCS (T₁), and then two (T₂), and four (T₃) weeks after the end of tDCS.

**Primary Outcome Measure:** change in PAS score. **Secondary Outcome Measures:** changes in LTE-D, SHEMG-D; SHEMG-LE interval, CPEMG-PD and cortical MEP amplitudes recorded from the CP muscle of one side following TMS delivered on the contralateral pharyngeal motor area.

**Statistical Analysis.** The data collected during pre- and post-stimulation periods were analyzed using nonparametric tests, since the test of normality (Shapiro-Wilk test) yielded a \( p \) value below the threshold value of 0.05. Differences between the two groups for each time period were assessed applying the Mann-Whitney-test for independent samples. The effect of the tDCS conditions on the swallowing measures over the periods from T₀ to T₃ was assessed for each group using the Friedman test, with the Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test adopted to compare T₀ with each subsequent period (T₁-T₃). Both between- and within-group multiple comparisons were adjusted by Bonferroni correction. The data are expressed as means and standard errors and the results were considered significant when
Results

As for the specific inclusion criterion, all the subjects enrolled in the study had at least one active lesion within the brainstem as detected by MRI (Table 1) and no active supratentorial lesion. More specifically, all the patients had at least one demyelinating lesion localized on the right or left medulla oblongata and the side of stimulation matched that of the lesion in 10 out of 18 patients (5 out 9 in each group). Three patients presented an additional lesion in the right (2 patients) or left (1 patient) mesencephalon and one patient in the left pons. According to the statistical analysis (Mann-Whitney-test adjusted by Bonferroni correction) no significant differences were observed between the group of patients who received the tDCS stimulation on the same side of lesion and those who were stimulated on the contralateral side in either the primary or secondary outcome measures.

Anodal tDCS was well tolerated in all patients and no significant adverse events were observed. Baseline VFS showed a reduction of pharyngeal clearance and incomplete CP opening in all the patients. EMG alterations at different levels were observed in all patients. LTE-D was abnormal in 16 out of 18 patients (89%), SHEMG-LE interval in 4 (22%), SHEMG-D in 12 (67%) and CPEMG-PD in 10 (55.5%). Table 2 summarizes the demographic, PAS and electrophysiological data of the groups of patients at baseline time.
The mean PAS score did not differ between “real” and “sham” group at baseline (Fig. 1, \( p = 0.25 \)). PAS scores were instead significantly lower in the “real” stimulation group than the “sham” group at \( T_1 \) \((\text{mean} = 0.004)\) and at \( T_3 \) \((\text{mean} = 0.048)\), but not at \( T_2 \) \((\text{mean} = 0.123)\).

Over the post-stimulation periods \((T_1-T_3)\) the “sham” stimulation group maintained the mean values of the primary outcome observed at baseline \((T_0)\). Conversely, in the “real” stimulation group we observed significant changes across the times of measurements (Friedman test: \( p < 0.001 \)). The comparison between the baseline and each of the post-stimulation periods showed a significant difference for PAS score recorded at \( T_1 \) (Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test: \( p = 0.019 \)).

**Secondary outcome measures**

The two groups displayed similar electromyographic values at baseline (Fig. 2), while they differed only for MEP amplitudes at \( T_1 \) (Fig. 2E), with the “real” stimulation group exhibiting higher values than the “sham” stimulation group \((p = 0.04)\). The CPEMG-PD showed nearly significant differences between groups at \( T_1 \) \((p = 0.077)\) and \( T_2 \) \((p = 0.061)\).

The comparison between baseline and each of the post-stimulation times showed significant differences only for the “real” stimulation group across all the secondary parameters. The tDCS induced a significant reduction of LTE-D (Fig. 2A; Friedman test: \( p = 0.012 \); Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test: \( T_0-T_1, p = 0.046 \); \( T_0-T_2, p = 0.046 \); \( T_0-T_3, p = 0.038 \)), SHEMA-D (Fig. 2B; Friedman test: \( p < 0.001 \); Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test: \( T_0-T_1, p = 0.023 \); \( T_0-T_2, p = 0.023 \); \( T_0-T_3, p = 0.022 \)), SHEMA-LE interval (Fig. 2C; Friedman test: \( p < 0.001 \); Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test: \( T_0-T_1, p = 0.023 \); \( T_0-T_2, p = 0.023 \); \( T_0-T_3, p = 0.032 \)), and a significant increase in CPEMG-PD (Fig. 2D; Friedman test: \( p < 0.001 \); Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test: \( T_0-T_1, p = 0.023 \); \( T_0-T_2, p = 0.023 \); \( T_0-T_3, p = 0.023 \)), and in the amplitude of MEPs (Fig. 2E; Friedman test: \( p < 0.001 \); Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test: \( T_0-T_1, p = 0.023 \); \( T_0-T_2, p = 0.035 \)). Figure 3A and B shows typical CP MEPs responses in 2 subjects stimulated with the two different tDCS paradigms: *real* anodal (Fig. 3A), and *sham* (Fig. 3B) during all the experimental sessions.
Discussion

In humans, oro-pharyngeal swallowing undergoes a dual control, voluntary and involuntary. During the oral phase of swallowing, the sequential activation of several striated muscular groups, including suprahyoid/submental muscles, pushes the bolus towards the pharyngeal tract. During the pharyngeal phase, the activation of both suprahyoid/submental and the pharyngeal constrictor muscles, together with the reflex relaxation of the CP muscle permits the passage of the bolus through the UES (Alfonsi et al., 2013; Restivo et al., 2013a; 2013b). In patients with MS and associated oro-pharyngeal dysphagia, this coordinated activity can be deranged due to the damage of the cortico-bulbar projections to oral and/or pharyngeal muscles, with the consequent reduction of the central drive to these muscles. This may cause loss of the physiological balance of force and activation timing among the different muscles with consequent dysphagia (Alfonsi et al., 2013; Restivo et al., 2013a).

The main finding of this study was the demonstration that anodal tDCS was safe and effective on both the primary and secondary outcome measures. In particular, in comparison with sham stimulation, anodal tDCS induced a reduction of PAS scores which was statistically significant at the end of treatment and after 4 weeks. In addition, an increase of cortico-pharyngeal excitability was evidenced after 5 days of anodal tDCS and persisted after 2 weeks.

It has been previously demonstrated (Jefferson et al., 2009a) that anodal tDCS delivered over swallowing motor cortex both as a 10-min stimulation using an intensity of 1.5 mA and as a 20-min stimulation using an intensity of 1 mA significantly increased the excitability of the pharyngeal cortico-bulbar projections in healthy subjects as compared to sham stimulation (Jefferson et al., 2009a). The facilitation promoted by anodal tDCS appears immediately after the end of stimulus application, and the effect persists for 60 minutes after stimulation has been interrupted (Jefferson et al., 2009a). In particular, anodal tDCS may act mainly by prolonging the suprahyoid/submental muscle activity during suction with an improvement of the endurance of these muscles. This is likely due to a potentiation of central activation via the increased recruitment of cortical areas belonging to...
the swallowing network (Cosentino et al., 2014). It has been observed that anodal tDCS applied daily
(2 mA, for 30 minutes) for 5 consecutive days over the swallowing motor cortex of the unaffected
hemisphere, in conjunction with standardized swallowing maneuvers, induced a modest, though
significant improvement in swallowing in patients with stroke-related dysphagia (Kumar et al., 2011).
The observed improvement is possible related to the combined effect of sensorimotor stimulation
associated to the swallowing maneuvers and the simultaneous brain stimulation of the unaffected
hemisphere, since it is known that sensory inputs from the pharynx can increase the excitability of
the swallowing sensorimotor cortex through convergent afferent activities (Jefferson et al., 2009b).
However, an evaluation arm including patients treated with anodal tDCS not combined with
swallowing maneuvers was not included in this study. For this reason, it is not clear whether tDCS
alone could be able to increase both swallowing sensorimotor cortex excitability and swallowing
behaviour in these patients. In the present study, anodal tDCS applied over the swallowing motor
cortex for 20 min with an intensity of 2mA was associated to a significant improvement in the
swallowing performances in MS patients with severe oro-pharyngeal dysphagia associated with
active brainstem lesions. In particular, the “real” stimulation group showed a significant improvement
for all of the primary and secondary outcome measures as compared to baseline. When comparing
the 2 groups, we detected significant difference at the post-stimulation periods only for two of the
secondary outcome measures: CPEMG-PD duration and cortical MEP amplitude. This discrepancy
may be explained with a variability of measures due to the small samples of MS patients examined.
What is most noteworthy in the present findings in that anodal tDCS stimulation induced a significant
reduction of the aspiration, as demonstrated by the changes of PAS with videofluoroscopy. This is
even more important when considering that the results of our study clearly underscore the tolerability
and the feasibility of tDCS over the pharyngeal motor cortex in MS-associated dysphagia.
In this study we confirm the electrophysiological abnormalities in MS-associated dysphagia observed
in a previous study (Alfonsi et al., 2013). The main abnormalities were a prolongation of both LTE-
D and SHEMG-D, probably dependent on the incoordination between the propulsive oral phase and
the rising of the larynx during the pharyngeal phase of deglutition, in analogy to the dysfunction observed following brainstem and cerebellar lesions (Abraham and Yun, 2002; Alfonsi et al., 2013). The lack or reduction of the CPEMG-PD, which leads to UES hyperactivity, and the prolongation of the SHEMG-LE interval may represent a consequence of this incoordination occurring in patients with more severe dysphagia. These results are in keeping with the idea that laryngo-pharyngeal dysmotility associated to a prolonged interval between the oral and pharyngeal phases of swallowing represents one of the primary causes of dysphagia in MS (Abraham and Yun, 2002). The apparent discordance between the percent reduction in CPEMG-PD and SHEMG-LE (55.5% and 22%, respectively) observed in our patients and the reduction (30.8% and 57.7%, respectively) reported in our previous study (Alfonsi et al., 2013) may be due to different clinical phenotypes in the population under investigation. In the present study, indeed, patients were more severely affected: they had at least one active brainstem lesion and showed higher EDSS scores. This may explain a more marked impairment of the pharyngeal phase of swallowing control (detected as a more remarkable CPEMG-PD reduction) when compared to a less relevant impairment in the oral phase of swallowing (detected as a less marked reduction in SHEMG-LE reduction).

It has been recently demonstrated that in MS patients 5 sessions of anodal tDCS over the right swallowing motor cortex produced a small but significant reduction of dysphagia as evaluated by clinical scales (DOSS), lasting up to one month after stimulation (Cosentino et al., 2017). In the present study we expand these observations confirming that anodal tDCS is effective in reducing swallowing alterations in a group of MS patients with severe dysphagia caused by brainstem involvement.

In addition, our study seems to indicate that tDCS is able to electrophysiologically interfere with the different phases of swallowing, as demonstrated by the changes also induced by anodal tDCS in all electrophysiological measures related to the oral or pharyngeal phase of swallowing. In particular, the reduction of SHEMG-LE interval and SHEMG-D following anodal tDCS indicates that this stimulation could modulate the timing of oropharyngeal phases of swallowing. Furthermore, the
increase of the CPEMG-P by anodal tDCS suggests that this type of stimulation can modify the activity of UES during the pharyngeal phase of swallowing. The increase in the amplitude of MEPs recorded in the pharyngeal motor cortex following anodal tDCS suggests that an increase of cortico-pharyngeal pathway excitability is involved in swallowing improvement. This is in keeping with the fact that the increase in MEP amplitude occurred immediately after the last session of stimulation, it continued for two weeks after the end of tDCS, but the maximal change occurred immediately after the end of the last stimulation session. The changes in neuronal excitability and the associated reorganization of neuronal connections represent indeed one of the main mechanisms of “cortical plasticity” (Hess and Donoghue, 1996). tDCS interferes with ‘any enduring change in cortical properties, such as strength of internal connections, altered representational patterns, or neuronal territories, either morphological or functional (Hess and Donoghue, 1996). In particular, anodal tDCS might increase the recruitment of cortical neurons of the cortico-pharyngeal motor area inducing either an increase of the residual neural activity of the affected CPG or a facilitation of the contralateral unaffected one. Since CPG is bilaterally innervated with evidence of minimal transcallosal inhibition (Hamdy et al., 1998), it is tempting to hypothesize that stimulation of either hemisphere would produce an increase in oro-pharyngeal excitability.

Overall, these electrophysiological changes indicate that anodal tDCS may restore swallowing functions probably by interfering at different levels with some of the pathophysiological mechanisms involved in neurogenic dysphagia.

We decided to perform 5 stimulation sessions based on previous reports showing an addictive effect of repeated tDCS sessions (Boggio et al., 2007). With regard to the optimal dose for stimulating the pharyngeal motor cortex, this has not yet been established. In healthy subjects, Jefferson et al. (Jefferson et al., 2009), suggested that doses higher than those effective for excitability changes of (hand) primary motor cortex are necessary to produce remarkable responses from the swallowing motor cortex with an increase of cortical excitability in an intensity-and duration-dependent manner. We chose our dose based on previous studies (Iyer et al., 2005; Kumar et al., 2011). It has been
demonstrated that tDCS with an intensity of 2mA in the dorsolateral frontal lobes is effective and well tolerated (Iyer et al., 2005). Moreover, Kumar et al. (Kumar et al., 2011) showed that doses of 2mA for 30 minutes of anodal tDCS were effective and safe in both increasing cortico-bulbar pathway excitability and improving swallowing ability in stroke patients with dysphagia.

In conclusion, anodal tDCS over swallowing motor cortex seems to be able to improve the oropharyngeal components of swallowing in MS patients with dysphagia. tDCS can be safely delivered in the outpatient setting, needing neither hospitalization nor any type of anesthesia. Furthermore, tDCS is relatively passive and it requires a limited compliance from the patient’s side, hence it qualifies for the use also in severely dysphagic patients, who may face difficulty in performing other volitional swallowing rehabilitation techniques. Moreover, this treatment proved safe and devoid of potential risk. Further studies are needed to refine this promising intervention by exploring effects of timing of the intervention, frequency of stimulation, and stimulation parameters in MS patients with dysphagia.
Figure legends

**Figure 1.** Mean values and standard errors for the changes in the penetration/aspiration scale (PAS) observed before the stimulation protocol (T0), immediately after the last session of 5 consecutive days of transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) (T1), after two (T2) and four (T3) weeks from the last stimulation session. “Real”: subjects who received anodal tDCS, 2mA, for 20 min, for 5 consecutive days; “sham”: subjects who experienced the same protocol of “real” group, but with the current left on only for 30 sec, and then switched off, with the electrodes being left in place for a further 20 min. §§ p < 0.01 and § p < 0.05 indicate the statistically significant differences between the groups at each post-stimulation period. * p < 0.05 indicate the statistically significant differences between T0 and each of the post-stimulation periods.

**Figure 2.** Mean values and standard errors for the changes in the secondary outcomes: 2.A) LTE-D – duration of laryngeal transductor excursion; 2.B) SHEMG-D – duration of the EMG activity of suprahroid/submental muscles; 2.C) SHEMG-LE interval – interval between onset of EMG activity of suprahoid/submental muscles and the onset of the laryngeal elevation; 2.D) CPEMG-PD – duration of the inhibition (pause) of the cricopharyngeal muscle; 2.E) Motor evoked potential (MEP) amplitudes of cricopharyngeal muscle. Symbols and labels as in Fig. 1.

**Figure 3.** Typical cricopharyngeal muscle (CP) motor evoked potentials (MEPs) responses recorded in 2 subjects with different transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) paradigms [real anodal (A), and sham (B)]. Each trace derives from average of 5 traces of CP MEPs associated with the stimulation of contralateral pharyngeal motor cortex of the hemisphere displaying swallowing dominance. Note the changes in amplitude at T1 and T2 following real anodal stimulation, which are not observed with sham stimulation.
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