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1. Introduction

Despite all the efforts to address deforestation and forest degradation (Bager et al., 2021), unsustainable forest management practices and illegal logging remain a widespread problem (Masiero et al., 2015), representing a major threat to the world’s forests (Segato, 2007 and 2017; Paluš et al., 2018; WWF, 2019). Fuelwood and industrial wood harvesting and trade have been identified as direct deforestation and forest degradation drivers (FAO, 2010 and 2020; Sotirov et al., 2020), and their impacts may be emphasised by the illegal practices often associated with them (Kouelis, 2015; FAO, 2023).

To ensure the legality and sustainability of timber and timber products placed on the internal market, the European Union (EU) adopted several regulations, such as the EU Forest Law Enforcement, Governance, and Trade (FLEGT) Action Plan in 2003 and the EU Timber Regulation (EUTR) in 2013. Legislation banning illegally logged timber and related products from entering the EU should safeguard the sector from illegal trade and form part of the regulatory framework that promotes sustainable forest management (European Commission, 2010).

However, despite the EU’s efforts to stop the flow of illegally sourced timber and timber products, EU consumption plays a significant role in global deforestation. EU responsibilities go beyond wood and are linked to international trade and agricultural expansion for producing several key commodities, with soya, beef, and palm oil accounting for about 80% of tropical deforestation worldwide (European Commission, 2021). In 2022, within the broader framework of the Green Deal, the EU adopted the EU Deforestation Regulation (EUDR) (EC, 2023). Since the EUDR is a relatively new regulation, the implications of its implementation are not yet fully understood. Recent studies (e.g., Berning and Sotirov, 2023) have expressed concerns and doubts about meeting the requirements of the EUDR.

Implementing the regulation may be perceived as complex by actors in need to demonstrate compliance (Lidskog et al., 2013). Challenges in achieving compliance could be related to ensuring complete transparency in the supply chain (Apeti and N’doua, 2023), managing increased administrative responsibilities (Henn, 2021) and likely hardening of corporate accountability (Berning and Sotirov, 2023).

In light of such challenges associated with EUDR (i.e., policy spill-overs, perverse subsidies, etc.), particular attention should be given to countries where corruption and inadequate forest governance are associated with illegal practices, such as developing countries and countries in transition (Chatham House, 2018). Western Balkan countries targeted by our research – i.e., Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Montenegro, Croatia and Slovenia - are characterised by shared history, similar cultures, political and economic instability, and different EU membership statuses. They are also known as an
area exposed to a high risk of corruption (Forest Europe, UNECE & FAO, 2016; European Commission, 2020; Transparency International, 2021), with illegal activities being recognised as one of the key problems for the forestry sector in the region (Balkan Investigative Reporting Network, 2018). Due to their strategic geographical position, failing in implementing legality and sustainability standards like those set within EUTR and EUDR might transform international timber flows by opening the doors of opportunity to other countries or operators with less stringent legality requirements.

Although Western Balkan countries are regarded as priority areas for improving legality monitoring systems in line with EUTR requirements, research on timber legality in these countries is still patchy and shows several gaps. Researchers mostly looked into forest certification as a means of sustainable forest management (Keary and Strand, 2011; Poje and Mavsar, 2014; Pezdevsek-Malovrh, et al., 2019; Avdibegović et al. 2021) including chain of custody certification (Penikar et al., 2012; Nasto and Poje, 2014) and trade of timber and timber products (Nasto and Poje, 2014; Čomić et al., 2021a and 2021b). A limited number of studies (Nonić et al., 2011 and 2016; Avdibegović et al., 2012; Dobšinská, 2015; Giurca et al., 2016; Matović et al., 2017; EFI, 2018) analysed the adaptation of national forest sectors to the newest European laws on the legality of timber and timber products (e.g., EUTR and EUDR). Our study aims to contribute to the literature on timber legality by exploring policies and actors that influence timber legality in five Western Balkan countries: Croatia, Montenegro, Serbia, Slovenia, and the Republic of Srpska (Bosnia and Herzegovina). Grounding its theoretical framework in actor-centred institutionalism (Scharpf, 1997), this paper investigates key actors’ perceptions with the aim of answering the following research question:

What institutional and actor-oriented factors influence the transposition of European timber legality requirements in selected Western Balkan countries?

In Section 2 we briefly present European timber legality requirements, also reflecting on the Western Balkan region in relation to illegal activities in forestry, while in Section 3, we describe the actor-centred institutionalism (ACI) framework that guided our data collection and analysis. In Section 4, we outline our methodology and methods, while in Section 5 we present results on institutional and actor-oriented factors organised country by country. Section 6 discusses the findings, examining similarities and differences among the analysed countries and the relevant literature. Finally, in Section 7, we draw conclusions and make recommendations for policy and practice.

2. European requirements on the legality of timber and timber products

On May 31, 2023, the European Parliament and the Council of the European Union adopted Regulation (EU) 2023/1115 on the making available on the Union market and the export from the Union of certain commodities and products associated with deforestation and forest degradation (in short, EUDR) and repealing Regulation (EU) No 995/2010 (EUTR). The EUDR (EC, 2023) entered into force on June 29, 2023, although the main prohibitions and obligations will not apply until December 30, 2024. The
EUDR aims to minimise the Union’s contribution to deforestation and forest degradation worldwide and reduce the Union's contribution to greenhouse gas emissions and global biodiversity loss.

The new Regulation will repeal EUTR and prohibit placing or exporting certain products in the EU market unless they meet specific criteria: they must be deforestation-free, adhere to their production country's laws, and require a due diligence statement confirming compliance. The EUDR requires operators and large traders to conduct an extensive due diligence process regarding all relevant products supplied by suppliers and provide geolocation information on all plots of production land. This process includes information gathering, risk assessment, and risk mitigation. Simplified requirements apply to products from low-risk countries, while small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), as defined by Directive (UE) 2013/34, must collect identity information and due diligence references (EC, 2023).

The Regulation establishes an extensive enforcement framework, with competent authorities in member states conducting checks based on risk levels to ensure compliance. Investigative powers are granted to authorities, and minimum check thresholds are set for different product risk categories. Corrective actions and penalties for non-compliance are defined, including fines and temporary exclusion from public procurement. Reporting mechanisms enhance enforcement transparency. Entities subject to the Regulation must assess their due diligence mechanisms by December 30, 2024, considering complexities in supply chains, compliance risks, and relevant laws. Producers should ensure compliance and provide necessary information to customers.

3. Actor-centred institutionalism

Given the perverse nature of the illegal trade of timber and timber products, it is important to understand the institutional setting around timber legality. Drawing on core concepts of the actor-centred institutionalism (ACI) framework (Scharpf, 1997), the following analysis centres on the two key elements of (1) institutions and (2) actors. According to the ACI framework, institutions can be defined as formal and informal rules and/or a system of procedures that structure social interactions and shape the courses of action that actors may choose (Scharpf, 1997). The interaction among actors and their institutional environment is a multi-faceted process, and actors both respond to and modify the institutional environment in which they are embedded over time to maintain competitive advantages (Hollingsworth, 2000).

We considered both the formal and informal institutional and actor-oriented factors at the respective national levels related to ensuring timber legality. With regard to the formal institutions, the focus was on regulatory institutions, such as laws, policies, and strategies (binding and non-binding), as well as the monitoring and fines arising from government regulations, based on a literature review. Formal

---

1 i.e., trading companies that do not qualify as small and medium-sized enterprises according to Directive (UE) 2013/34.
Institutions reflect the official 'rules of the game' that affect actors' behaviour by specifying required, prohibited, or permitted actions.

Informal institutions refer to more implicit, slowly changing, culturally transmitted, and socially constructed rules (Scott, 2005). Informal institutions were analysed by looking at the organisational culture in the five targeted countries based on the governance arrangements and exchange of information.

When examining actors, we followed the ACI framework and concentrated on two main categories – actor’s roles and attitudes (Scharpf, 1997; Baycheva-Merger et al., 2018). Each category was further divided in subcategories, i.e., (i) responsibilities and resources and (ii) awareness and perceptions, respectively. These categories and sub-categories provided the framework for structuring, organising, analysing, and interpreting the empirical data from interviews and two previous studies (Radosavljevic et al., 2021 and 2023) on formal institutional factors in the five selected countries.

4 Methodology and methods

In this section, methodological approaches and methods adopted for the research are presented in two subsections.

4.1 Data collection and analysis

This analysis used an exploratory research approach with combined deductive and inductive reasoning. We used a multiple-embedded case study design (Yin, 2009) to analyse institutional and actors-oriented factors and draw a single set of cross-case conclusions. Within each case, units of analysis included formal and informal institutions that influence legality according to European requirements and as actors' roles, namely responsibilities and perceptions. Data on an analysis of formal institutional and actor-oriented factors was grounded in previous research, which in-depth investigated timber legality in relation to European requirements in accordance with the regulation in force (at the time of the research, the EUTR). As methodological details of policy content analysis as well as results are already detailed in two previous studies (see Radosavljevic et al., 2021 and 2023), we synthesised and reorganised them according to the ACI framework, obtaining results on formal institutional factors as well as on actors' mandated responsibilities and resources based on previous research. To investigate informal institutional and actor-oriented factors, we conducted a total of 36 semi-structured face-to-face interviews with policymakers and other key actors (see Table 1) in two waves. Initial respondents were selected based on a policy analysis – i.e., actors with mandated roles - and previous studies, while others were identified using snowball sampling – i.e., a non-probability sampling technique in which existing subjects provide referrals to the next suitable person to be interviewed (Christopoulos, 2009). The first wave of interviews took place in the spring and summer of 2022 across all five countries; the second wave took place online during the spring of 2023. Questions were related to the respondents' knowledge and perceptions on 1) timber legality and awareness of EU regulations on force; 2) organisational roles...
as well as activities and procedures for ensuring timber legality, due diligence, and traceability; 3) availability and adequacy of resources; 4) challenges related to ensuring timber legality; and 5) future steps, policies, and projects.

Table 1: Stakeholders interview table - number of interviews per type of actor and per country

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country/Institution</th>
<th>Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Water</th>
<th>General Inspectorate, Inspection Unit for Forestry</th>
<th>State Forest Management Organisation</th>
<th>Ministry of Finance</th>
<th>Industry of Chamber, Section for forestry and timber industry</th>
<th>NGO Scientific Institution</th>
<th>Private Company</th>
<th>Total number respondents/Interview</th>
<th>Total number respondents/Survey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia (SLO)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia (CRO)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serbia (SRB)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montenegro (MNE)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of Srpska (BiH)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:

- X interviewed (the number of X represents the number of respondents)
- * not interviewed (no response or decline)
- n/a not applicable (the organisation does not exist in the country)

Ministry responsible for forestry: Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, and Water Management, Directorate for Forests (SRB)
Department for Forestry, Hunting and Wood Processing (RS-BH)
Directorate for Forestry, Timber Industry, and Hunting (MNE, CRO, SLO).

Inspectorate responsible for forestry: General Inspectorate, Inspection Unit for Forestry

Forestry chamber: Industry of Chamber, Section for Forestry and Timber Industry (SLO, SRB, MNE)
Chamber of Forestry and Wood Technology Engineers (CRO)

Ministry responsible for customs: Ministry of Finance (SLO) or Custom Administration (MNE)

State Forest Management Organisations: State Forest Management Organisation (SRB, CRO, RS-BH) or Slovenski drzavni gozdovi doo (SLO) or Slovenian Forest Service (SLO)

Source: Own elaboration

4.2 Case study area: Countries’ backgrounds

This sub-section presents background information on forestry and illegal activities in five selected countries. They differ with respect to forest cover, forest ownership, as well as forest management organisation. While most of the analysed countries are characterised by relatively stable sectoral dynamics, Montenegro is currently in the process of restructuring and policy reformulation (MAFWM, 2022). Additionally, illegal forestry activities are present in all countries, although the number of illegal activities, the amount of illegally harvested timber and related forest area vary from country to country. Basic data on forestry and illegal activities per country are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Basic data on forestry and illegal activities per country
5. Results

Results are presented regarding key actors’ perceptions of timber legality and European requirements in each selected country.

5.1 Croatia

According to all seven respondents, the EUTR requirements have been completely transposed nationally. The Customs Administration provides information on imports to the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, and Water Management, performing risk analyses to identify areas needing attention. However, according to two respondents, enforcement might be enhanced, especially with regard to the

---

2 The data presented in the table is derived from official statistics and national reports for the years 2020 and 2022. It is important to note that the information will undergo further updates before publication.
penalties and oversight procedures. The absence of preventive measures against illicit activities and the increased costs for private forest owners were cited as relevant issues by three respondents. Three respondents point out that the presence of inspections and the police in the field is also questionable and infrequent.

Five respondents said that with some assistance from the Ministry of Internal Affairs and the Customs Administration, the forestry inspection and the state inspectorate are in charge of addressing the problem of illegal logging. Concerning the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) certification approach, two respondents voiced worry that unlawful commodities would mix with certified goods at sawmills or warehouses.

Five respondents emphasise that the competent Ministry of Forestry has one person responsible for matters related to EUTR, but other competent institutions are not clearly defined. They also note that the human resources capacity in the forestry sector is insufficient for successfully implementing the EUTR. They believe that the competent ministry is the main responsible and the most relevant institution in this case, but there is also a responsibility on the customs, police, and other institutions when it comes to the practical implementation of these regulations. Based on their previous experiences, four respondents believe that information about illegal activities should be more accessible and centralised, with multiple data sources.

Three respondents noted the war in Ukraine and its effects on the European wood industry, as they predict that this will result in a lack of raw materials and further strain Europe’s current wood supplies. They also pointed out that businesses in the Western Balkans are ill-equipped to respond to the current situation. To promote greater value-added outputs, they recommended better allocation of wood resources among local companies. One person brought up that, in general, the European consumer culture promotes over-exploitation and deforestation for agricultural purposes. Additionally, respondents noted the pressure from the abundance of readily accessible wood supplies, producer overproduction, yearly logging restrictions, and low-value finalisation. They contend that wages for forestry workers are insufficient, rules are rigid and do not allow for flexibility in response to changing circumstances.

5.2 Montenegro

Five respondents (out of nine) stated that the Forest Administration and the Inspection for Forestry oversee the state of illicit activities within the forestry sector. According to the findings, it was reported by four respondents that the Directorate for Wood Industry, a division operating under the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, and Water Management, is responsible for overseeing matters pertaining to the wood industry as well as the enforcement of laws outlined in the EUTR. The respondents emphasised that sawn wood produced in Montenegro is exported to Kosovo, Albania, and South-Western Serbia.
Four respondents highlighted that improved work activities may be expected when the prerequisites for forest certification and the enforcement of the EUTR are fulfilled. Additionally, the need for technical support and the development of human resources capability were emphasised to carry out these operations effectively.

A total of seven respondents highlighted the constraints associated with the current organisational strategies used within the forest industry. The user highlighted the dearth of forestry and hunting inspections within the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, and Water Management, as well as the absence of a state-owned enterprise tasked with the responsibility of managing state forests. It has been proposed that the Forest Administration assumes a regulatory role in administrative processes pertaining to state forests, with other responsibilities being delegated to management companies.

Consensus was reached among all respondents about the primary obstacle encountered within the forest industry, which pertains to the institutional structure, reform initiatives, and the creation of a governmental entity responsible for forest management. The authors highlighted the need to establish regulatory entities tasked with overseeing and guaranteeing adherence to the EUTR stipulations. Additionally, they pointed out the insufficiency of both human and technological resources in fulfilling this responsibility.

The respondents in the study also emphasised concerns such as inadequate remuneration for forestry specialists, limited access to up-to-date computer equipment, and inadequate training in the use of modern information technology. The forest and wood industry sector in Montenegro has a certain level of familiarity with the EUTR but lack a comprehensive understanding of the Regulation’s requirements and how to implement them.

The respondents emphasised that wood processors in Montenegro do not prioritise the EU market, hence alleviating any pressure for the adoption of the EUTR. Nevertheless, the implementation of the EUTR is a mandatory requirement during the EU admission process. The assurance of institutional capability to assist the implementation remains intact, notwithstanding the hurdles.

5.3 Republic of Srpska (Bosnia and Herzegovina)

Two respondents (out of six) point out that there is no adequate political will to eliminate illegal activities and that institutions such as prosecutors and courts are often inert and susceptible to influences that are not interested in resolving the situation in forestry. Although the development of the Forestry Development Strategy of the Republic of Srpska is in the final stage (2023) and there are indications of planning for a new Forest Law, the existing Forest Law provides already an excellent basis for the prevention and contrasting of illegal activities. Four respondents believe that it is crucial to implement a new Forest Law. This law would serve the vital purpose of establishing clear regulations concerning the import of wood assortments and the management of privately owned forests. They propose reorganising the sector whereby the responsibility for planning and monitoring tasks are shifted from
forest users to owners. Additionally, they suggest adopting distinct laws inspired by the Croatian model and establishing a wood processing department under the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, and Water Management. This department would be responsible for supervising the execution of the EU Trade Terms Regulation.

According to the findings, four out of six respondents point out that wood processing operations can encounter illicit assortments of timber sourced from private forests. The aforementioned circumstance often arises due to unresolved property and legal matters pertaining to the forest owners. Issues might also emerge when importing wood from other nations due to the potential lack of correct stamping or numbering of assortments. To tackle this issue, a regulatory framework has been implemented to provide a standardised system for numbering imported timber assortments.

Although the Forest Law of the Republic of Srpska is well-known among key players in the forest and wood processing industries, their understanding of the precise rules outlined in the EUTR is limited. Many wood processors lack familiarity with the complex protocols for acquiring essential paperwork to put timber and wood products on the European Union market.

More than 50% of the respondents indicate that the state forests in the Republic of Srpska are FSC certified, which signifies their adherence to sustainable forest management practices. Implementing this certification has shown to be crucial in addressing the problem of illicit activities within the forestry sector. The same respondents state that a significant number of timber processing businesses, totalling more than 350, hold a valid FSC Chain of Custody (CoC) certificate. Additionally, one processing organisation holds a valid Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC) CoC certificate.

Nevertheless, the administration of privately owned forests, especially those containing valuable species such as oak and noble hardwoods, presents a significant obstacle due to their lack of certification in accordance with the FSC standards. The proposed remedy under consideration is the implementation of PEFC standards, which was successful in neighbouring countries (e.g. Slovenia).

### 5.4 Serbia

Four respondents noted that the Forest Law in Serbia mandates forest users to implement measures for forest protection to prevent illegal activities. Private forests, which comprise 50% of the total forests, are particularly vulnerable to unauthorised actions due to various circumstances. The distribution of private forests is slow and inefficient due to property relations and demographic changes. Private forest owners often neglect or leave their forests under inadequate supervision, failing to fulfil their obligations. To address these issues, organisational changes are needed, including creating associations of private forest owners.
Four respondents noted that the wood industry is not considered part of the forestry sector at the state administration level. Two respondents suggested future organisational changes, such as creating a new position i.e., an inspector responsible for checking the EUTR at wood processing facilities. Forestry inspectors in Serbia are primarily responsible for enforcing legal obligations and the Forest Law and generating monthly reports, but their number is insufficient. There is a plan to employ inspectors specialised in wood processing instead of forestry engineers, as the latter lack the necessary training for wood gradations and assessments. Inspectors’ safety during the seizing of timber is often compromised due to the value of the goods and the potential fines involved. Seizing timber on forest truck roads also poses challenges, requiring the involvement of traffic police for safety reasons. Confiscation of timber raises issues related to storage and transportation.

Illegal logging in Serbia primarily occurs in the land security zone (Integrated Border/ Boundary Management between Serbia and Kosovo)\(^3\) and involves organised armed illegal loggers, posing a direct threat to the safety of forest guards, inspectors, and others. Restricted movement of representatives of Serbian institutions in this security zone often leads to forest devastation.

All respondents emphasised that forest users are familiar with the process of timber legality, while private forest owners lack sufficient information. Producers are aware of the EUTR but may not fully understand its importance or are unaware of how to implement it. The lack of cooperation between institutions results in the export of high-quality industrial timber, negatively impacting the national industry. Serbia also exports large quantities of sawnwood instead of further processing it, thus affecting the value added to the industry. The disconnection between the forestry and wood-based sectors is further exacerbated by the distribution of timber from state forests and pressure from wood processors. The lack of human resources monitoring production in factories is also a challenge.

Implementing the EUTR will play a central role for the Directorate for Forests and the Customs Administration in Serbia. Existing legislation is likely to undergo changes to align with EU regulations. The need for new digital technologies and engagement of stakeholders, such as police, market inspectors, and public enterprises, is recognised. Implementing the EUTR should be comprehensive and efficient, especially for the wood industry sector, which is oriented towards the EU market. The increasing demand for wood in Balkan countries is expected to grow Serbian exports to the EU. Serbian products are of high quality, and companies with long-standing partnerships with EU customers will adapt to EU regulations to continue their operations smoothly.

5.5 Slovenia

Five out of six respondents did not consider illegal logging to be a significant issue in Slovenia. They believed the Forest Act incorporated robust rules to prevent illegal logging, and individual cases were

\(^3\) [https://dialogue-info.com/integrated-border-boundary-management/]
not seen as alarming. All respondents mentioned that state forests are certified, and there is also a PEFC certification group for private owners managed by the Chamber of Agriculture and Forestry. One respondent mentioned that group certification was affordable for private forest owners due to relatively low prices. Other respondents highlighted that Slovenski Gozdovi, a public enterprise, had implemented a due diligence system and internal codes of conduct to ensure the legality of timber from state forests.

However, one respondent had a different opinion, stating that there were cases of wood theft and illegal logging that were not officially addressed, indicating a certain level of corruption in the forestry sector. They also mentioned that most timber logged on farms for firewood is often not marked, making Slovenia potentially the country with the largest proportion of informal logging in the EU. The respondent criticised the lack of controls and oversight by the State Forest Service and the Ministry responsible for forestry.

All respondents agreed that awareness of the EUTR in the forest sector is high in Slovenia, as it had been implemented more than five years ago. Awareness-raising campaigns were conducted by the Directorate for Forests and the Slovenian State Forest Service. Private forest owners are considered to be quite aware of the documentation needed to prove timber legality. District foresters from the State Forest Service worked with private forest owners in the field and effectively introduced EUTR requirements.

The respondents mentioned limited capacities in terms of human resources, such as the number of district foresters, inspectors, and customs administration officials. They believed that with more employees, there could be a wider outreach in terms of awareness raising and monitoring. The affordability of certification for private forest owners was also mentioned as a challenge, leading to regional private forest certification under the PEFC scheme.

The major challenges faced by the respondents from the public administration were related to tropical timber from high-risk countries and the limited resources for field inspections. They mentioned well-established procedures for monitoring, combining targeted field checks with random sampling. However, they acknowledged that the system was not perfect.

Regarding future developments, the respondents did not expect major changes in policy, legal framework, or the structure of the forest sector. They mentioned that the forthcoming EUDR might impose new requirements, but they felt prepared based on their experience with EUTR. One respondent suggested improving the forest management system and reorganising the State Forest Service to enhance private forests and the forest sector as a whole. One respondent, though, had critical viewpoints on the future EUDR implementation regarding efficiency and desired outcomes.

6. Discussion
In this section, results are discussed by comparing institutional and actor-oriented factors across five selected case studies and then by presenting possible implications of institutional and actor-oriented factors on the future transposition of EUDR requirements in the analysed countries.

6.1. Comparison of institutional and actor-oriented factors across five selected case studies

Looking at all the analysed countries and applying the ACI framework, we were able to derive institutional (6.1.1) and actor-oriented (6.1.2) factors of timber legality in each country and to notice both similarities and differences between countries (Table 3).

6.1.1. Institutional factors

Formal institutions, such as policies and laws, shape the approaches and prescribe measures to address illegal timber activities (Cashore, 2012; Henn, 2021). In each analysed country, legislation and policy frameworks significantly influence the approach to mitigate illicit practices within the forestry sector. Most notably, the integration of EUTR requirements into regulatory texts is driven by international governance regimes (Sotirov et al, 2020), which, in our case, corresponds to the EU status of analysed countries. For EU member states, this is done through the Forest Act in Slovenia and additional Law on EUTR in Croatia. As for the three Western Balkan countries in accession to EU (i.e., Serbia, Montenegro, and Republic of Srpska (BiH)), national forest laws were largely aligned with the EUTR requirements, although not fully aligned yet.

Upon examining institutional factors, it is evident that all countries exhibit robust formal institutions, with the exception of Montenegro, which is undergoing sector restructuring. These institutions are characterised by top-down policies and stringent legislation pertaining to timber legality, particularly forest acts and rulebooks (Bjegović et al, 2021; Radosavljevic et al, 2021 and 2023). Although rules and procedures are formally defined in all analysed countries, compliance with timber legality regulations is contingent upon the efficiency of monitoring and enforcement mechanisms (Bosh, 2021). Slovenia and Croatia have a paucity of inspectors and relatively low fines for violations, and the situation seems even heightened in non-EU countries, where additional difficulties originate from poor coordination of multiple enforcement agencies (Serbia), limited knowledge and training (Montenegro), as well a lack of adequate information technology and field equipment (Republic of Srpska). In all countries, national forestry information systems are centralised, incomplete and under the jurisdiction of forestry and financial public administration (UNEP-WCMC, 2020). Several analysed countries struggle with limited transparency and information accessibility (Croatia and Slovenia), low transparency and quality of data (Serbia and Republic of Srpska), as well as non-existence of the data (Montenegro). This confirms that the lack of consolidated data and data systems makes monitoring of specific instances, such as illegal forest activities, difficult, finally indicating a lack of robust law enforcement (Bjegovic et al., 2021). Thus, to ensure the integrity of timber legality, measures such as data collection and sharing, monitoring, and law enforcement should be improved in all countries.
Informal institutional factors, such as organisational culture, seem to considerably influence the enforcement of timber legality measures in each analysed country. As noted by our respondents and confirmed by previous studies (FEA, 2020; Bjegović et al., 2021) in many of the targeted countries, inter-sectoral, multi-actor cooperation is weak, and the trust among actors is low; moreover, in all targeted countries corruption plays a role in illicit activities to a certain extent. Although the perceived level of illegal activities varies from low in the EU-member Western Balkan countries, to medium (in Serbia and BiH) and high (Montenegro) (FEA 2020), in any case, it undermines the effectiveness of timber legality measures by allowing illegal logging and trade. While Slovenia and Croatia demonstrate a dedication to adherence and compliance with overall EU goals, as a consequence of their EU members’ condition, the political priority of illegal logging is relatively low, as illegal activities are not perceived as worrying activities due to a perceived small-scale, and subsistence nature of illegal logging. Additionally, those countries do not have common action plans or guidelines for combating illegal logging and local organisations rely on inter-organizational protocols, codes or rule books. In contrast, Montenegro and the Republic of Srpska have action plans to counter illicit activities. Still, none of the countries have complete forest information systems, and all face challenges related to evidence of property rights and land use changes (Dobšinská et al., 2011 and 2020; Živojinović et al. 2015; Weiss et al., 2019). This creates unfavourable institutional conditions for free flow and access to information (Baycheva-Merger et al., 2018).

6.1.2. Actor-oriented factors

The allocation of responsibilities among various stakeholders within the forestry sector is crucial for coordinating among actors and efficiently enforcing timber legislation and adequate forest governance (Cashore and Stone, 2012; Angelstam and Pühl, 2018; Dobšinská et al., 2020). In every country, the most significant entities are those that possess legally acknowledged rights and resources (Winkel and Sotirov, 2016). On the one hand, the aforementioned entities are present in all countries as public entities, particularly within ministries that oversee forestry, financial inspectorates, customs offices, and traffic police departments. In addition to these entities, state enterprises or extension services, such as the Slovenian Forest Service, play significant roles, particularly at the ground level. This indicates that public actors are connected with strong and formal ties across different sectors at the national level, while in practice, they stay poorly connected to private forest owners, forest industry or civil society.

Such dominance and power of state actors in ensuring timber legality indicate a siloed approach and “policy elites” (Rogelja and Shannon, 2018), thus being aligned with the findings of previous broader studies on traditional modes of governance (Behagel, 2018), polycentric forest governance (Lazdinis, Angelstam, and Pühl, 2019) or policy integration (Sotirov and Memmler, 2012). For example, the current actors’ arrangements in Serbia resemble that found in Rogelja and Shannon (2017) with regard to the incorporation of anti-corruption measures in forestry, being in line with the findings of Sotirov and Memmer (2012) on enduring policies due to unchanging actors’ constellations.
Successfully implementing timber legality measures depends on the actors’ resources and capacities (Scharpf, 1997). Slovenia places significant emphasis on delineating and assigning roles and responsibilities, while the intricate circumstances in Croatia give rise to apprehensions regarding the concurrent involvement of a state forest enterprise in various roles of timber utilisation, monitoring and trade, which may give rise to conflicts of interest (Turner, 2021). Despite the commitment demonstrated by Slovenia and Croatia, there is a notable lack of resource allocation for the implementation of the EUTR, as none of the analysed countries has a separate budget. Non-EU countries (Serbia, Republic of Srpska and Montenegro) also encounter difficulties due to a limited number of forest inspectors and resource limitations, mirroring comparable concerns observed in Croatia and Slovenia. In Serbia, there is no clearly defined procedure or authority in cases where a wood processing company seeks documentation issuance as defined by the EUTR, which EU buyers require.

Thus, all countries share the challenge of managing a shared responsibility landscape, which is in non-EU countries even further complicated by ongoing reforms and limitations in know-how and technical capacity (FEA, 2020). However, sufficient financial and adequately trained human resources are essential to effectively address and mitigate illegal timber activities, thereby ensuring significant positive outcomes (Sotirov et al., 2020). Adequate funding, labour force and technical expertise are essential to establish distinct responsibilities and efficient collaboration. Additionally, investing in the necessary resources will enable these entities to overcome limitations in their capacity and successfully implement ongoing reforms. Without the necessary resources, achieving comprehensive timber legality outcomes will be difficult, if not impossible. Therefore, it is crucial for all countries to prioritise resource allocation to address the challenges they face in managing their shared responsibility landscape.

The importance of awareness of timber legality and European requirements, as well as transparent, accessible and reliable information, are crucial for the successful execution of policies and the engagement of stakeholders, policymakers, and the wider public (Cashore and Stone, 2012; Sotirov et al., 2020). Awareness raising and training are crucial in cultivating a shared comprehension regarding the significance of timber legality measures and EU timber legality requirements (European Commission, 2020; EC, 2021). In this regard, Slovenia and Croatia exhibit a notable level of awareness among policymakers, which has the potential to facilitate the implementation of regulations. In contrast, Serbia shows a moderate awareness level that is gradually growing, primarily influenced by civil society engagement and protests. Both Montenegro and the Republic of Srpska place significant importance on the necessity of awareness-raising among diverse stakeholders, primarily the forest industry and private forest owners.

PLEASE INSERT TABLE 3 HERE
### TABLE 3 Institutional and actor-oriented factors influencing timber legality in selected countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-category</th>
<th>Croatia</th>
<th>Montenegro</th>
<th>Serbia</th>
<th>Republic of Srpska</th>
<th>Slovenia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Institutional Factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislation and policy</td>
<td>On the force, rigid</td>
<td>Forest Act, Law on EUTR, rulebooks</td>
<td>Action plan for preventing illegal activities</td>
<td>On the force, rigid Forest certification</td>
<td>Action plan for preventing illegal activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring and fines</td>
<td>Defined financial fines</td>
<td>Relatively low fines</td>
<td>Defined financial fines</td>
<td>Defined financial fines</td>
<td>Defined financial fines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organsational culture</td>
<td>Centralised approach</td>
<td>Top-down dominance of the state Relatively low political priority Export mostly within the EU Sectoral reorganisation Relatively high political priority Low exports to less demanding markets High corruption Centralised approach Top-down dominance of the state Relatively low political priority</td>
<td>Formal, centralised systems and registers. Low transparency of information, low collaboration Exchange of information Formal, low transparency of information, low collaboration</td>
<td>Formal, centralised systems and registers Exchange of information Formal, low transparency of information, low collaboration</td>
<td>Formal, centralised systems and registers Exchange of information Formal, low transparency of information, low collaboration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsibilities</td>
<td>Divided, unclear</td>
<td>Shared responsibilities between ministries and inspectorates Divided, unclear</td>
<td>Overlapping responsibilities between ministries and inspectorates Divided, unclear</td>
<td>Shared responsibilities between ministries and inspectorates Divided, unclear</td>
<td>Overlapping responsibilities between ministries and inspectorates Divided, clear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resources</td>
<td>Limited capacities</td>
<td>Lack of data, lack of inspectors, lack of knowledge Limited capacities, knowledge and resources</td>
<td>Lack of data, lack of inspectors, lack of knowledge, low salaries Limited human capacities</td>
<td>Lack of inspectors, lack of equipment, inadequate knowledge Limited capacities, knowledge and resources</td>
<td>Lack of data, lack of inspectors, lack of knowledge, low salaries Limited human capacities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Awareness</th>
<th>F熟悉 with EUTR</th>
<th>Relatiely low in Private Forest Owners, and the wood industry</th>
<th>Low export to the EU; No interest in implementing the EUTR</th>
<th>High familiarity with EUTR</th>
<th>Relatively low in PFOs, and the wood industry</th>
<th>High familiarity with EUTR</th>
<th>PFOs familiarised through the laws; Industry familiarised due to export orientation</th>
<th>Small scale, substantial illegal logging; High familiarity with EUTR</th>
<th>Word of mouth for familiarising PFOs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perceptions</td>
<td>cross-sectoral, multi-actor cooperation, market volatility</td>
<td>Poor information sharing and networking</td>
<td>Poor cross-sectoral cooperation</td>
<td>Lack of certification, Low interest; Corruption</td>
<td>Poor cross-sectoral, multi-actor cooperation, market volatility, inactive PFOs</td>
<td>Poor cross-sectoral, multi-actor cooperation, FSC &amp; PEFC as confirmation of legality</td>
<td>Poor cross-sectoral, multi-actor cooperation, market volatility, inactive PFOs</td>
<td>Difference between de jure and de facto procedures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Own elaboration upon Radosavljevic et al. (2021 and 2023)
6.2. Implications of institutional and actor-oriented factors on the future transposition of EUDR requirements in the analysed countries

Implementing the EUTR and EUDR in the region requires a combination of institutional capacity, collaboration, and enhanced monitoring and enforcement procedures. Slovenia's commitment to forest certification and legality verification aligns with EU standards, while Croatia's experience with EUTR and existing legal frameworks may serve as a basis for transitioning to EUDR. However, the expanded due diligence standards and risk assessment procedure will probably impose significant administrative obligations on forest management and monitoring agencies (Ross and Johnston, 2023; Köthke, Lippe, and Elsasser, 2023).

Serbia's institutional framework for wood legality and sustainable forest management has been strengthened, but ongoing obstacles include developing inter-institutional coordination and enhancing monitoring and enforcement capacity. There is a lack of coordination between the forestry sector and the wood industry, which needs to be improved for better outcomes. Serbia's current attempts to build a new information system for forestry and undertake a new national forest inventory aligned with EUDR data needs are essential for compliance. Further developments in terms of integration with various forestry reporting systems (e.g., FAOSTAT, EEA, EUFIS, EUFORGEN, to mention a few) could be worth investigating in more depth, as synergies would open access to additional resources and capacity building.

Establishing a Competent Authority and improving coordination among public entities will be essential to achieving the enforcement requirements of the law. Awareness and comprehension of EUDR among Serbia forest owners, operators, and traders is crucial for compliance. The prospective inclusion of Serbia within the EUDR list of countries with a higher deforestation and forest degradation risk might entail further measures to assure the legality of exports to the EU market. Strengthening the skills of accountable actors and developing cooperation with other EU member states can facilitate the implementation of the EUDR.

Montenegro's focus on preventing unlawful activities and establishing a state forest management organisation could help meet EUDR requirements. However, due to the lack of certified forest areas – that might help comply with EUTR and EUDR requirements – and limited information accessibility, due diligence is still a challenge. To ensure compliance, Montenegro's forest industry stakeholders must work closely together through awareness campaigns and capacity-building programs. Besides that, forest certification could be perceived as a possible green lane – or at least a huge support - for legality (Dieguez and Sotirov, 2021) and introducing it would be a logical step after finalising the current sectoral reforms.

Bosnia and Herzegovina, with their fragmented government systems, face challenges in uniform application and enforcement of EUTR rules. The Republic of Srpska needs to align its legislative...
structure with EU criteria and establish an organisational culture to enhance human resources for monitoring purposes. The emphasis on forest certification, as seen in the certification of all state forests according to FSC standards, could make it easier to meet EUDR's legality criteria (Avdibegović et al, 2021).

To combat illegal logging in the Western Balkans, country-specific strategies are required. National legal frameworks for forest management are largely in place, but implementation and enforcement are falling behind due to inadequate resources. Monitoring, inspection, and enforcement through the criminal justice system remain one of the most problematic aspects due to highly formal, long official procedures and inefficient corrective measures. To adapt to the EDUR (as well as the requirements of other European Green Deal policies), all analysed countries will need to amend existing laws and policies or adopt new ones to integrate European timber legality and multiple other requirements. While this might pose a challenge in terms of policy integration and coordination, it will likely create the momentum for timber legality to gain importance on policy agendas and attract necessary resources for policy alignment and implementation. In light of such circumstances, increased regional cooperation, capacity building and training, as well as increasing information exchange and forest certification, seem to be the first necessary steps towards improving timber legality in the Western Balkan region.

In our study, ACI proved a useful approach to ensuring the analysis of actors and institutional factors that influence policy transposition. It pointed out that timber legality is shaped by a dynamic interaction between formal regulations, cultural norms, institutional responsibilities, available resources, and levels of awareness, which collectively affect the effectiveness of public actors in preventing illicit logging and the wood trade. Although the ACI framework was useful for the aims of our study, such messages should always be considered with respect to the given context. In that sense, our study also encompasses certain limitations due, for example, to a relatively small and homogeneous sample (all respondents occupied key positions within the national forestry sectors at the time of the research) or national-level research. While future studies should strive to overcome those shortcomings (i.e. extending the sample to other stakeholder groups, such as PFOs and industries), they should also benefit from multiple case study methods.

7. Conclusions

This research has taken initial steps in understanding stakeholder perceptions regarding the potential implementation of the EUTR and EUDR in the targeted Western Balkan countries. Acknowledging the heterogeneity of stakeholders and their varying perspectives on the EUTR has been a crucial aspect of this research. It is evident that non-EU-analysed countries will face the need for clarification and specific interpretation of EU requirements related to timber trade in the future.

The structured interviews conducted with stakeholders have enriched the analysis, providing a deeper understanding of the underlying reasons behind different stakeholder perspectives. In particular,
conducted in-depth interviews was highly valuable in Slovenia and Croatia, where the EUTR has already been fully implemented, and stakeholders have a clearer understanding of how the regulation affects their interests. For EU candidate countries, it remains important to continue enhancing understanding of stakeholder awareness and exploring effective strategies for implementing EU requirements related to timber trade. By understanding stakeholder perspectives and experiences, policymakers and relevant stakeholders can work towards a more successful implementation of the EUTR and EUDR.

In summary, key factors for the successful implementation of EU timber legality requirements include legislation and implementation, forest governance, enforcement mechanisms, stakeholder engagement, and capacity building. These factors must be addressed and improved upon to ensure the effective implementation of European and national legislation and combat illegal logging in the Western Balkan countries. Additionally, collaboration among the Western Balkan countries themselves is crucial to address the issue collectively and in a harmonised, consistent manner and share best practices. Strengthening cooperation and information exchange between governments, law enforcement agencies, and relevant stakeholders will enhance the effectiveness of timber legality requirements. Moreover, investments in technology and surveillance systems can aid in monitoring and detecting illegal logging activities, enabling timely intervention and enforcement measures. Ultimately, by addressing these key factors and implementing comprehensive strategies, the Western Balkan countries can promote sustainable timber trade and protect their valuable forest resources.

Therefore, addressing corruption is crucial for ensuring the successful implementation of timber legality measures and promoting sustainable forestry practices globally. This requires not only strengthening legal frameworks and accountability mechanisms but also fostering a culture of integrity and transparency within the industry.

In synthesising these elements within the ACI framework, it becomes evident that each country’s approach to ensuring timber legality is shaped by a dynamic interplay of formal regulations, cultural norms, institutional responsibilities, available resources, and levels of awareness. These components collectively influence the effectiveness of their efforts to combat illegal logging and the timber trade, highlighting the need for comprehensive and coordinated strategies to address this multifaceted challenge.
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