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#### Abstract

In this paper we study Strichartz estimates for the half wave, the half Klein-Gordon and the Dirac Equations on compact manifolds without boundary, proving in particular for each of these flows local in time estimates both for the wave and Schrödinger admissible couples (in this latter case with an additional loss of regularity). The strategy for the proof is based on a refined version of the WKB approximation.
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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Main results

The study of dispersive equations in a non-flat setting is a topic that has attracted significant interest in the last years, and many powerful tools and techniques have been developed. In the case of compact manifolds without boundary, we should mention the seminal works [9] for the wave and [3] for the Schrödinger equation respectively. In the former, the author shows that due to the finite speed of propagation, Strichartz estimates are the same as the estimates on flat Euclidean manifolds, while in the latter the authors prove Strichartz estimates with some additional loss of derivatives

[^0]for the Schrödinger equation. In both cases, the estimates are only local in time, as indeed the compactness of the manifold prevents from having global dispersion. More recently, in [7] the author extended these results to deal with the fractional Schrödinger propagator $e^{i t\left(-\Delta_{g}\right)^{\sigma / 2}}$ for $\sigma \in[0,+\infty) \backslash\{1\}$. All of these results are essentially based on the so-called WKB approximation, that will be the key tool in our strategy as well.

The aim of the present paper is two-folded: as a first result, we investigate the dispersive properties of the "half" wave/Klein-Gordon equation on a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ of dimension $d \geqslant 2$, that is for system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i \partial_{t} u(t, x)+P_{m}^{1 / 2} u(t, x)=0 \quad u(t, x): \mathbb{R}_{t} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}  \tag{1}\\
u(0, x)=u_{0}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $P_{m}=-\Delta_{g}+m^{2}, m \geqslant 0$ and $\Delta_{g}$ denotes the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $(\mathcal{M}, g)$. Notice that the solution $u=e^{i t P_{m}^{1 / 2}} u_{0}$ to system (1) is classically connected to the standard wave/Klein-Gordon equations: the function

$$
u(t, x)=\cos \left(t P_{m}^{1 / 2}\right) u_{0}(x)+\frac{\sin \left(t P_{m}^{1 / 2}\right)}{P_{m}^{1 / 2}} u_{1}(x)=\mathcal{R}\left(e^{i t P_{m}^{1 / 2}}\right) u_{0}(x)+\frac{\mathcal{I}\left(e^{i t P_{m}^{1 / 2}}\right)}{P_{m}^{1 / 2}} u_{1}(x)
$$

indeed solves the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t}^{2} u(t, x)+P_{m} u(t, x)=0,  \tag{2}\\
u(0, x)=u_{0}(x) \\
\partial_{t} u(0, x)=u_{1}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

In particular, we shall prove that solutions to (1) satisfy local in time Strichartz estimates both for wave and Schrödinger admissible pairs: these estimates, whose proof as we shall see requires a refined version of the WKB approximation, improve on the existing results provided by [9]. As a second result, we will prove Strichartz estimates for the Dirac equation on compact manifolds, that is for system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i \partial_{t} u-\mathcal{D}_{m} u=0, \quad u: \mathbb{R}_{t} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{N}  \tag{3}\\
u(0, x)=u_{0}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where again $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ is a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary of dimension $d \geqslant 2$ equipped with a spin structure, $\mathcal{D}_{m}$ represents the Dirac operator and the dimension of the target space $N=N(d)=2^{\left\lfloor\frac{d}{2}\right\rfloor}$ depends on the parity of $d$ (see Sect. 3.1). The estimates, in this case, can be somehow deduced, as we shall see, from the ones for (1), after "squaring" system (3). We should mention that the construction of the Dirac operator on curved spaces is a delicate but fairly classical task (see, e.g., [4-12]); we include a short overview of this topic in Sect. 3.

Before stating our main Theorems, let us recall the definitions of admissible pairs:

Definition 1 (Wave admissible pair) We say a pair $(p, q)$ is wave admissible if

$$
p \in[2, \infty], \quad q \in[2, \infty), \quad(p, q, d) \neq(2, \infty, 3), \quad \frac{2}{p}+\frac{d-1}{q} \leqslant \frac{d-1}{2} .
$$

Definition 2 (Schrödinger admissible pair) We say a pair ( $p, q$ ) is Schrödinger admissible if

$$
p \in[2, \infty], \quad q \in[2, \infty), \quad(p, q, d) \neq(2, \infty, 2), \quad \frac{2}{p}+\frac{d}{q} \leqslant \frac{d}{2}
$$

We also denote

$$
\gamma_{p, q}^{\mathrm{KG}}:=(1+d)\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}\right)-\frac{1}{p}, \quad \gamma_{p, q}^{\mathrm{W}}:=d\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}\right)-\frac{1}{p} .
$$

In what follows, we shall use standard notation for the Sobolev spaces, that is

$$
\|u\|_{H^{s}(\mathcal{M})}:=\left\|\left(1-\Delta_{g}\right)^{s / 2} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{M})} .
$$

Also, we shall use the classical Strichartz spaces $X(I, Y(\mathcal{M}))$ where the $X$ norm is taken in the time variable and the $Y$ norm in the space variable

We are now in a position to state our main results.
Theorem 1 (Strichartz estimates for wave and Klein-Gordon) Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a Riemannian compact manifold without boundary of dimension $d \geqslant 2$. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded interval. Then, for any $m \geqslant 0$ the following estimates hold:
(1) for any wave admissible pair $(p, q)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{i t P_{m}^{1 / 2}} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(I, L^{q}(\mathcal{M})\right)} \leqslant C(I)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma_{p, q}(\mathcal{M})}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) for any Schrödinger admissible pair $(p, q)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{i t P_{m}^{1 / 2}} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(I, L^{q}(\mathcal{M})\right)} \leqslant C(I)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma_{p, q}^{\mathrm{KG}}+\frac{1}{2 p}}(\mathcal{M})} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1 Let us compare this result with the one in [9]. In fact, it is possible to deduce Strichartz from Theorem 2 of [9] one can deduce Strichartz estimates for a solution $u$ to the half wave/Klein-Gordon equation (1) with $m \geq 0, d \geq 2$. We observe that the principal symbol of $h P_{m}^{1 / 2}$ is $q_{0}(x, \xi)=\sqrt{g^{i, j}(x) \xi_{i} \xi_{j}}$ and rank $\partial_{\xi}^{2} q_{0}(x, \xi)=d-1$; then, Theorem 2 states that

$$
\left.\|u\|_{L^{p}\left(I ; B_{q, q_{1}}^{r}\right.}(\mathcal{M})\right) \leq C(I)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}}
$$

for some $s, r, p, q$ and $q_{1}$ (here $B_{p, q}^{s}$ denote the standard Besov spaces). In particular, from the embedding $B_{q, 2}^{0}(\mathcal{M}) \hookrightarrow L^{q}(\mathcal{M})$ that holds for every $q \in[2,+\infty]$, we get

$$
\left\|e^{i t P_{m}^{1 / 2}} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(I ; L^{q}(\mathcal{M})\right)} \leq C(I)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma_{p, q}}(\mathcal{M})}
$$

provided that $p \in[2,+\infty], q \in(2,+\infty]$ and

$$
\begin{cases}p>2 & \text { if }(d-1)\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}\right) \geq 1 \\ \frac{2}{p}+\frac{d-1}{q} \leq \frac{d-1}{2} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

This recovers estimate (4).
On the other hand, in order to prove estimate (5), we have to consider an " $h$ dependent principal symbol" of $h P_{m}^{1 / 2}$ which is $q_{\tilde{m}, h}(x, \xi)=\sqrt{g^{i, j}(x) \xi_{i} \xi_{j}+h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}}$ with $\tilde{m}=m$ if $m>0$ and $\tilde{m}=1$ if $m=0$ (as in definition (10)). Then, $\operatorname{rank} \partial_{\xi}^{2} q_{\tilde{m}, h}(x, \xi)=d$ for any $h>0$ rather than rank $\partial_{\xi}^{2} q_{0}(x, \xi)=d-1$ as mentioned above. This will give us the Schrödinger admissible pairs as on the flat manifolds. The $\frac{1}{2 p}$ loss of regularity is a consequence of the delicate analysis of the term $q_{\tilde{m}, h}$ with respect to $h \in(0,1]$. For the details, see the end of this section and Remark 4.

Theorem 2 (Strichartz estimates for Dirac) Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a Riemannian compact manifold without boundary of dimension $d \geqslant 2$ equipped with a spin structure. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded interval. Then, for any $m \geqslant 0$ the following estimates hold:
(1) for any wave admissible pair $(p, q)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{i t \mathcal{D}_{m}} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(I, L^{q}(\mathcal{M})\right)} \leqslant C(I)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma_{p, q}(\mathcal{M})}}^{\mathrm{W}} ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) for any Schrödinger admissible pair $(p, q)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{i t \mathcal{D}_{m}} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(I, L^{q}(\mathcal{M})\right)} \leqslant C(I)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma_{p, q}}{ }^{K G}+\frac{1}{2 p}(\mathcal{M})} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2 Notice that our argument could be adapted with minor modifications to prove the same Strichartz estimates for equations posed on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with metrics $g$ satisfying the following assumptions:
(1) There exists $C>0$ such that for all $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{-1}|\xi|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{j, k=1}^{d} g^{j k}(x) \xi_{j} \xi_{k} \leqslant C|\xi|^{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) For all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$, there exists $C_{\alpha}>0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial^{\alpha} g^{j k}(x)\right| \leqslant C_{\alpha}, \quad j, k \in\{1, \ldots, d\} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We should stress the fact that assumptions (8)-(9) are much weaker than the classical "asymptotically flatness" assumptions, for which global in time Strichartz estimates have been proved for several dispersive flows, (see in particular [5] for the Dirac equation). On the other hand, in our weaker assumptions above we are only able to prove local-in-time Strichartz estimates.

Remark 3 We stress the fact that, to the very best of our knowledge, Theorem 2 is the first result concerning the dispersive dynamics of the Dirac equation on compact manifolds. We should point out the fact that it is not a trivial consequence of Theorem 1, as it would be in the Euclidean setting: while indeed in the flat case the relation $\mathcal{D}_{m}^{2}=-\Delta+m^{2}$ directly connects the solutions to the Dirac equation to a system of decoupled Klein-Gordon equations, in a non-flat setting, as the definition of the Dirac operator requires to rely on a different connection, the so-called spin connection, this identity becomes $\mathcal{D}_{m}^{2}=-\Delta_{S}+\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{R}+m^{2}$ where $\Delta_{S}$ is the spinorial (not the scalar) Laplace operator and $\mathcal{R}$ is the scalar curvature of the manifold (this is the so-called the Lichnerowicz formula). For the details, see Sect. 3.2.

As a final result, we will show that the estimates (6) are sharp in the case of the spheres in dimension $d \geqslant 4$ : this requires writing explicitly the eigenfunctions of the Dirac operator on the sphere and to prove some asymptotic estimates for them; as we will see, these will be a consequence of some well known asymptotic estimates for Jacobi polynomials.

### 1.2 Overview of the strategy

The strategy for proving Theorem 1 follows a well-established path based on WKB approximation: in fact, our proof is strongly inspired by the one of Theorem 1 in [3] and the one of Theorem 1.2 in [7]. As a consequence, we shall omit some of the proofs that can be found in those papers. On the other hand, in order to obtain our Strichartz estimates we will need some "refined" version of the WKB approximation: let us briefly try to review the main ideas.

Recall that $P_{m}=-\Delta_{g}+m^{2}$ and $P_{0}=-\Delta_{g}$. The first ingredient that we need is the following standard Littlewood-Paley decomposition:

Proposition 1 Let $\widetilde{\varphi} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\})$ such that

$$
\widetilde{\varphi}(\lambda)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi\left(2^{-2 k} \lambda\right)=1, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Then for all $q \in[2, \infty)$, we have

$$
\|f\|_{L^{q}(\mathcal{M})} \leqslant C_{q}\left(\left\|\widetilde{\varphi}\left(P_{0}\right) f\right\|_{L^{q}(\mathcal{M})}+\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|\varphi\left(2^{-2 k} P_{0}\right) f\right\|_{L^{q}(\mathcal{M})}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

Proof See, e.g., Corollary 2.3 in [3].

The second ingredient is the following $T T^{*}$ criterion:
Proposition 2 Let $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be a $\sigma$-finite measured space, and $U: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{B}\left(L^{2}(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu)\right)$ be a weakly measurable map satisfying, for some constants $C, \gamma, \delta>$ 0 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|U(t)\|_{L^{2}(X) \rightarrow L^{2}(X)} & \leqslant C, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \\
\left\|U(t) U(s)^{*}\right\|_{L^{1}(X) \rightarrow L^{\infty}(X)} & \leqslant C h^{-\delta}\left(1+|t-s| h^{-1}\right)^{-\tau}, \quad t, s \in \mathbb{R} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then for all pair $(p, q)$ satisfying

$$
p \in[2, \infty], \quad q \in[1, \infty], \quad(p, q, \tau) \neq(2, \infty, 1), \quad \frac{1}{p} \leqslant \tau\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}\right),
$$

we have

$$
\|U(t) u\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}, L^{q}(X)\right)} \leqslant C h^{-\kappa}\|u\|_{L^{2}(X)}
$$

where $\kappa=\delta\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}\right)-\frac{1}{p}$.
Proof See [10] or Proposition 4.1 in [20] for a semiclassical version.
Then, the third main ingredient we need is given by the following proposition. Here and in what follows, we shall denote with

$$
\tilde{m}= \begin{cases}m & \text { if } m>0  \tag{10}\\ 1 & \text { if } m=0\end{cases}
$$

Proposition 3 (Dispersive estimates) Let $m \geqslant 0$, and $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \backslash[-\tilde{m}, \tilde{m}])$ with $\tilde{m}$ given by (10). Then, for any $t \in\left[-t_{0}, t_{0}\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{i t P_{m}^{1 / 2}} \varphi\left(-h^{2} \Delta_{g}\right) u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})} \leqslant C h^{-d}\left(1+|t| h^{-1}\right)^{-(d-1) / 2}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(\mathcal{M})} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t \in h^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[-t_{0}, t_{0}\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{i t P_{m}^{1 / 2}} \varphi\left(-h^{2} \Delta_{g}\right) u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})} \leqslant C h^{-d-1}\left(1+|t| h^{-1}\right)^{-d / 2}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(\mathcal{M})} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us quickly show how Theorem 1 can be derived from these three Propositions.
Proof of Theorem 1 We first consider the Strichartz estimates for wave admissible pair by using (11). From Proposition 2 and (11), we infer that

$$
\left\|e^{i t P_{m}^{1 / 2}} \varphi\left(-h^{2} \Delta_{g}\right) u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\left[-t_{0}, t_{0}\right], L^{q}(\mathcal{M})\right)} \leqslant C h^{-\gamma_{p, q}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{M})} .
$$

By writing $I$ as a union of $N$ intervals $I_{c}=\left[c-t_{0}, c+t_{0}\right]$ of length $2 t_{0}$ with $N \leqslant C$, we have

$$
\left\|e^{i t P_{m}^{1 / 2}} \varphi\left(-h^{2} \Delta_{g}\right) u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(I, L^{q}(\mathcal{M})\right)} \leqslant C h^{-\gamma_{p, q}^{\mathrm{W}}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{M})}
$$

Taking $h=2^{-k}$, Proposition 1 and the Minkowski inequality give

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|e^{i t P_{m}^{1 / 2}} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(I, L^{q}(\mathcal{M})\right)} \\
& \quad \leqslant C\left\|e^{i t P_{m}^{1 / 2}} \widetilde{\varphi}\left(P_{0}\right) u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(I, L^{q}(\mathcal{M})\right)}+C\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|e^{i t P_{m}^{1 / 2}} \varphi\left(2^{-2 k} P_{0}\right) u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(I, L^{q}(\mathcal{M})\right)}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad \leqslant C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{M})}+C\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-2 k \gamma_{p, q}^{\mathrm{W}}}\left\|\varphi\left(2^{-2 k} P_{0}\right) u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{M})}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma_{p, q}}(\mathcal{M})}^{\mathrm{W}}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\left[P_{m}, P_{0}\right]=0$, and where we have used that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|e^{i t P_{m}^{1 / 2}} \widetilde{\varphi}\left(P_{0}\right) u_{0}\right\|_{L^{q}(\mathcal{M})} & \lesssim\left\|e^{i t P_{m}^{1 / 2}} \widetilde{\varphi}\left(P_{0}\right) u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}(\mathcal{M})}=\left\|\left(1-\Delta_{g}\right)^{s / 2} \widetilde{\varphi}\left(P_{0}\right) u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{M})} \\
& \lesssim C\left\|\widetilde{\varphi}\left(P_{0}\right) u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{M})}
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\widetilde{\varphi}(\lambda) \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.
We now turn to the proof for Schrödinger admissible pairs; here we make use of (12). We write $I$ as a union of $N=N_{h}$ intervals $I_{c_{n}}=\left[c_{n}-h^{1 / 2} t_{0}, c_{n}+h^{1 / 2} t_{0}\right]$, $c_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$, of length $2 h^{\frac{1}{2}} t_{0}$ with $N \leqslant C h^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Using Proposition 2 , we infer that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|e^{i t P_{m}^{1 / 2}} \varphi\left(-h^{2} \Delta_{g}\right) u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(I, L^{q}(\mathcal{M})\right)} \leqslant\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{I_{c_{n}}}\left\|e^{i t P_{m}^{1 / 2}} \varphi\left(-h^{2} P_{0}\right) u_{0}\right\|_{L^{q}(\mathcal{M})}^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \quad \leqslant C N^{1 / p} h^{-\gamma_{p, q}^{\mathrm{KG}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{M})} \leqslant C h^{-\gamma_{p, q}^{\mathrm{KG}}-\frac{1}{2 p}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{M})} .} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Arguing as for the wave admissible pairs case, we conclude that

$$
\left\|e^{i t P_{m}^{1 / 2}} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(I, L^{q}(\mathcal{M})\right)} \leqslant C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\gamma_{p, q}+\frac{1}{2 p}}(\mathcal{M})}
$$

Therefore, the only thing we need to prove is Proposition 3: Sect. 2 will be devoted to this. As the proof is quite technical and involved, before entering the details let us try to explain the main ideas and the main improvements with respect to the existing results.

We are going to prove the dispersive estimates (11) and (12) by making use of the WKB approximation and stationary phase theorem (see [13] for generalities). For (11), one can obtain the estimate by using the "standard" WKB approximation, as done in $[3,7]$ after a slight refinement of the stationary phase method. However, for
(12), a more structural modification is needed: roughly speaking, the standard WKB approximation says that any $h$-dependent symbol $A_{h}$ can be written asymptotically as follows

$$
A_{h} \sim \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} h^{j} a_{j}+\mathcal{O}\left(h^{N}\right)
$$

where here the terms $\left(a_{j}\right)_{j}$ are independent of $h$. In order to obtain the dispersive estimate (12), we consider instead an $h$-dependent WKB approximation, that is,

$$
A_{h} \sim \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} h^{j} a_{j, h}+\mathcal{O}\left(h^{N}\right) .
$$

The difference is that after the asymptotic expansion $a_{j, h}$ will still be $h$-dependent, but their values and all the derivatives will be uniformly bounded w.r.t. $h \in(0,1]$.

To explain it better, let us consider the following semiclassical half Klein-Gordon equation (i.e., $m>0$ ) on the flat manifold $\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \delta_{j k}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
i h \partial_{t} \tilde{u}+h \sqrt{m^{2}-\Delta} \widetilde{u}=0, \quad \widetilde{u}(0, x)=\varphi\left(-h^{2} \Delta\right) u_{0}(x) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We seek $\widetilde{u}$ as the following oscillatory integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{u}(s, x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{\frac{i}{h} S_{h}(s, x, \xi)} a(s, x, \xi, h) \widehat{u}_{0}\left(\frac{\xi}{h}\right) \frac{d \xi}{(2 \pi h)^{d}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a(s, x, \xi, h)=\sum_{j=0}^{N} h^{j} a_{j, h}(s, x, \xi), \quad a_{0, h}(0, x, \xi)=\varphi(\xi), \\
& a_{j, h}(0, x, \xi)=0 \text { for } j \geqslant 1
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
S_{h}(0, x, \xi)=x \cdot \xi
$$

We first consider the standard $h$-independent WKB approximation. Proceeding as in [7] using the fact that the principal symbol of $h^{2} P_{m}$ is $p_{0,0}(x, \xi)=|\xi|^{2}$, we know that $S_{h}$ satisfies $S_{h}(t, x, \xi)=x \cdot \xi+t|\xi|$ which solves the following Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$
\partial_{t} S_{h}-\sqrt{\left|\nabla_{x} S\right|^{2}}=0, \quad S_{h}(0, x, \xi)=x \cdot \xi
$$

and $\left(a_{j, h}(t, x, \xi)\right)_{j}$ independent of $h$ exist for $t$ small enough. Then the problem that $\tilde{u}$ solves is indeed a wave equation which is essentially equivalent to the following one:

$$
\partial_{t}^{2} \widetilde{u}-\Delta \widetilde{u}=f(\widetilde{u})
$$

where $f(\widetilde{u}):=-m^{2} \widetilde{u}$ plays the role of an inhomogeneous term. Obviously, the Strichartz estimates obtained by this $h$-independent WKB approximation are far from optimal for the massive case and can not be global-in-time.

Now we turn to the $h$-dependent WKB approximation that we shall use in Sect. 2.2. Taking $p_{m, h}=|\xi|^{2}+h^{2} m^{2}$ as the principal symbol, as we will see in Eq. (30), the phase $S_{h}$ now takes the form $S_{h}=x \cdot \xi+t \sqrt{h^{2} m^{2}+|\xi|^{2}}$ for $m>0$. Then we will get that

$$
\partial_{t} a_{0, h}-\nabla_{\xi} \sqrt{h^{2} m^{2}+h^{2}|\xi|^{2}} \cdot \nabla_{x} a_{0, h}=0
$$

which yields that $a_{0, h}(t, x, \xi)=\varphi(\xi)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Analogously, we have $a_{k, h}(t, x, \xi)=0$ for $k=1, \ldots, N$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. As a result, we deduce the following oscillatory integral representation for $\tilde{u}$ :

$$
\tilde{u}(t, x)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi h)^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{i h^{-1}\left[(x-y) \cdot \xi+t \sqrt{\left.h^{2} m^{2}+|\xi|^{2}\right]}\right.} \varphi\left(|\xi|^{2}\right) u_{0}(y) d \xi d y .
$$

This formula holds for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus the Strichartz estimates that this WKB approximation produces are really the "standard" ones for Klein-Gordon equation in the flat Euclidean case.

We can conclude: compared to the standard WKB approximation, this $h$-dependent version gives the exact integral formula for the half Klein-Gordon equation on $\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \delta_{j k}\right)$. Then the Strichartz estimates that we deduce directly is exactly the one for the Klein-Gordon equation rather than the one for the wave equation. Furthermore, on the flat Euclidean manifold, we can get the global-in-time Strichartz estimates by using this $h$-dependent WKB approximation (see, e.g., [11, Chp. 2.5]) while only local-in-time Strichartz estimates will be obtained by using the $h$-independent WKB approximation.

Notice that we may take $p_{\tilde{m}, h}=|\xi|^{2}+h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}$ for any $\tilde{m} \geqslant 0$ as a principal symbol instead of $p_{m, h}$; in this case, the corresponding WKB approximation still allows to prove local-in-time Strichartz estimates, but not the global ones.

We conclude the introduction with the following remark, that is technical:
Remark 4 Compared with the Klein-Gordon Strichartz estimates on flat manifold ( $\mathbb{R}^{d}, \delta_{j k}$ ), we will lose some regularity on the initial datum (see (7)) on compact manifolds $(\mathcal{M}, g)$. As we will see later (formula (31)), on the compact manifold, the phase term $S_{h}$ satisfies

$$
\partial_{t} S_{h}(t, x, \xi)-\nabla_{\xi} \sqrt{h^{2} m^{2}+h^{2} g^{j k}(x) \partial_{j} S_{h} \partial_{k} S_{h}}=0
$$

and takes the form

$$
S_{h}(t, x, \xi)=x \cdot \xi+t \sqrt{g^{i j} \xi_{i} \xi_{j}+h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{2}\right)
$$

Compared with the phase term on the flat manifold, we have an error term $\mathcal{O}\left(t^{2}\right)$ which will complicate our argument when considering the stationary phase theory, and this will eventually produce the additional loss of regularity.

The paper is organized as follows: Sect. 2 is devoted to the proof of Proposition 3, while Sect. 3 contains the proof of Theorem 2 as well as a discussion on the sharpness of these latter estimates on the sphere.

## 2 Dispersive estimates: proof of Proposition 3

This section is devoted to the proof of Proposition 3. Let us start by recalling some basic results about coordinate charts and semiclassical calculus.

### 2.1 Preliminaries: coordinate charts, Laplace-Beltrami operator and semiclassical functional calculus

A coordinate chart $\left(U_{\kappa}, V_{\kappa}, \kappa\right)$ on $\mathcal{M}$ comprises an homeomorphism $\kappa$ between an open subset $U_{\kappa}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ and an open subset $V_{\kappa}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Given $\chi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(U_{\kappa}\right)$ (resp. $\zeta \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(V_{\kappa}\right)$ ), we define the pushforward of $\chi$ (resp. pullback of $\zeta$ ) by $\kappa_{*} \chi=\chi \circ \kappa^{-1}$ (resp. $\kappa^{*} \zeta=\zeta \circ \kappa$ ). For a given finite cover of $\mathcal{M}$, namely $M=\cup_{\kappa \in \mathcal{F}} U_{\kappa}$ with $\# \mathcal{F}<\infty$, there exist $\chi_{\kappa} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(U_{\kappa}\right), \kappa \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $1=\sum_{\kappa} \chi_{\kappa}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

For all coordinate chart $\left(U_{\kappa}, V_{\kappa}, \kappa\right)$, there exists a symmetric positive definite matrix $g_{\kappa}(x):=\left(g_{j \ell}^{\kappa}\right)_{1 \leqslant j, \ell \leqslant d}$ with smooth and real valued coefficients on $V_{\kappa}$ such that the Laplace-Beltrami operator $P_{0}=-\Delta_{g}$ reads in $\left(U_{\kappa}, V_{\kappa}, \kappa\right)$ as

$$
P_{0}^{\kappa}:=-\kappa_{*} \Delta_{g} \kappa^{*}=-\sum_{j, \ell=1}^{d}\left|g_{\kappa}(x)\right|^{-1} \partial_{j}\left(\left|g_{\kappa}(x)\right| g_{\kappa}^{j \ell}(x) \partial_{\ell}\right),
$$

where $\left|g_{\kappa}(x)\right|=\sqrt{\operatorname{det}\left(g_{\kappa}(x)\right)}$ and $\left(g_{\kappa}^{j \ell}(x)\right)_{1 \leqslant j, \ell \leqslant d}:=\left(g_{\kappa}(x)\right)^{-1}$. Thus in the chart $\left(U_{\kappa}, V_{\kappa}, \kappa\right)$, the Klein-Gordon operator reads as $P_{m}^{\kappa}=\kappa_{*} P_{m} \kappa^{*}$.

We now recall some results from the semiclassical functional calculus that will be used throughout the paper. For any $v \in \mathbb{R}$, we consider the symbol class $\mathcal{S}(v)$ the space of smooth functions $a_{h}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2 d}$ (may depend on $h$ ) satisfying

$$
\sup _{h \in(0,1]}\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} a_{h}(x, \xi)\right| \leqslant C_{\alpha \beta}\langle\xi\rangle^{\nu-|\beta|},
$$

for any $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\langle\xi\rangle=\sqrt{1+|\xi|^{2}}$. We also need $\mathcal{S}(-\infty):=\cap_{\nu \in \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{S}(\nu)$. We define the semiclassical pseudodifferential operator on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with a symbol $a_{h} \in S(v)$
by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Op}_{h}\left(a_{h}\right) u(x):=\frac{1}{(2 \pi h)^{d}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2 d}} e^{i h^{-1}(x-y) \cdot \xi} a_{h}(x, \xi) u(y) d y d \xi \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ the Schwartz space.
On a manifold $\mathcal{M}$, for a given $a_{h} \in \mathcal{S}(v)$ the semiclassical pseudo-differential operator is defined as follows

$$
\mathrm{Op}_{h}^{\kappa}\left(a_{h}\right):=\kappa^{*} O p_{h}\left(a_{h}\right) \kappa_{*} .
$$

If nothing is specified, the operator $\mathrm{Op}_{h}^{\kappa}\left(a_{h}\right)$ maps $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(U_{\kappa}\right)$ to $C^{\infty}\left(U_{\kappa}\right)$. In the case $\operatorname{Supp}\left(a_{h}\right) \subset V_{\kappa} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$, we have $\mathrm{Op}_{h}^{\kappa}\left(a_{h}\right)$ maps $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(U_{\kappa}\right)$ to $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(U_{\kappa}\right)$ hence to $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$.

We are going to construct an $h$-dependent WKB approximation in order to obtain an $h$-dependent phase term $S_{h}$. To do so, we first introduce the following $h$-dependent symbol $p_{\tilde{m}, h}^{K}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\tilde{m}, h}^{\kappa}(x, \xi):=g_{\kappa}^{j \ell}(x) \xi_{j} \xi_{\ell}+h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the choice of $\tilde{m}$ given by (10). In order to obtain the dispersive estimate (12), we will have to slightly modify the principal symbol $p_{0,0}^{\kappa}$ of the operator $h^{2} P_{m}^{\kappa}$ into an " $h$-dependent principal symbol" $p_{\tilde{m}, h}^{\kappa}$.

Let us now describe the relationship between the general operator $f\left(h^{2} P_{m}\right)$ and the $h$-dependent symbol $f\left(p_{\tilde{m}, h}^{K}\right)$. In what follows, several cut-off functions will appear; we will denote them by $\chi^{(j)}$ for $j=1,2,3, \ldots$ with the spirit that, as we shall see, $\chi_{\kappa}^{(n)}=1$ near $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\chi_{\kappa}^{(n-1)}\right)$.

Lemma 1 Let $\chi_{\kappa}^{(1)} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(U_{\kappa}\right)$ be an element of a partition of unity on $\mathcal{M}$ and $\tilde{\chi}_{\kappa}^{(2)} \in$ $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(U_{\kappa}\right)$ be such that $\chi_{\kappa}^{(2)}=1$ near $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\chi_{\kappa}^{(1)}\right)$. Then for $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), m, m^{\prime} \geqslant 0$ and any $N \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(h^{2} P_{m}\right) \chi_{\kappa}^{(1)}=\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} h^{j} \chi_{\kappa}^{(2)} \operatorname{Op}_{h}^{\kappa}\left(q_{j, h}^{\kappa}\right) \chi_{\kappa}^{(1)}+h^{N} R_{\kappa, N}(h), \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{j, h}^{K} \in \mathcal{S}(-\infty)$ with $\operatorname{Supp}\left(q_{j, h}^{k}\right) \subset \operatorname{Supp}\left(f \circ p_{m^{\prime}, h}^{k}\right)$ for $j=0, \ldots, N-1$. Moreover, $q_{0, h}^{\kappa}=f \circ p_{m^{\prime}, h}^{\kappa}$ and, for any integer $0 \leqslant n \leqslant \frac{N}{2}$, there exists $C>0$ such that for all $h \in(0,1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{\kappa, N}(h)\right\|_{H^{-n}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow H^{n}(\mathcal{M})} \leqslant C h^{-2 n} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof The proof closely follows the one of [3, Proposition 2.1] or [7, Proposition 3.2], and we only need to change the principal symbol of $h^{2} P_{m}$ in [3, Proposition 2.1] with our symbol $p_{m^{\prime}, h}^{\kappa}$ as defined in (16). We omit the details.

Before going further, let us introduce the following auxiliary functions: for a given $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} \backslash\left[-2 \tilde{m}^{2}, 2 \tilde{m}^{2}\right]\right)$ we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\psi} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}): \forall h \in(0,1] \text { and } \lambda \in \operatorname{Supp}(\varphi), \widetilde{\psi}\left(\lambda+h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}\right)=1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\lambda)=\widetilde{\psi}(\lambda) \lambda^{1 / 2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. The idea is that the function $\psi$ helps regularize the square root of the operator $P_{m}$, in view of applying Lemma 1 . We have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i h^{-1} t \psi\left(h^{2} P_{m}\right)} \varphi\left(-h^{2} \Delta_{g}\right)=e^{i t P_{m}^{1 / 2}} \varphi\left(-h^{2} \Delta_{g}\right) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the partition of unity and (21), it suffices to consider the operator $e^{i t P_{m}^{1 / 2}} \varphi\left(-h^{2} \Delta_{g}\right)$ on a chart, i.e.,

$$
e^{i t P_{m}^{1 / 2}} \varphi\left(-h^{2} \Delta_{g}\right) \chi_{\kappa}^{(1)}=e^{i h^{-1} t \psi\left(h^{2} P_{m}\right)} \varphi\left(-h^{2} \Delta_{g}\right) \chi_{\kappa}^{(1)}, \quad \kappa \in \mathcal{F}
$$

where $\chi_{\kappa}^{(1)} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(U_{\kappa}\right)$ is an element of a partition of unity on $\mathcal{M}$. Using Lemma 1 , we infer that there is a symbol $a_{\kappa} \in \mathcal{S}(-\infty)$ satisfying $\operatorname{Supp}\left(a_{\kappa}\right) \subset \operatorname{Supp}\left(\varphi \circ p_{0,0}^{\kappa}\right)$ and an operator $R_{1, \kappa, N}$ satisfying (18) such that

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{i h^{-1} t \psi\left(h^{2} P_{m}\right)} \varphi\left(-h^{2} \Delta_{g}\right) \chi_{\kappa}^{(1)}= & e^{i h^{-1} t \psi\left(h^{2} P_{m}\right)} \chi_{\kappa}^{(2)} \mathrm{Op}_{h}^{\kappa}\left(a_{\kappa}\right) \chi_{\kappa}^{(1)} \\
& +h^{N} e^{i h^{-1} t \psi\left(h^{2} P_{m}\right)} R_{1, \kappa, N}(h) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\chi_{\kappa}^{(2)}$ given in Lemma 1. Let

$$
u(t)=e^{i h^{-1} t \psi\left(h^{2} P_{m}\right)} \chi_{\kappa}^{(2)} \mathrm{Op}_{h}^{\kappa}\left(a_{\kappa}\right) \chi_{\kappa}^{(1)} ;
$$

then $u$ solves the following semi-classical evolution equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(i h \partial_{t}+\psi\left(h^{2} P_{m}\right)\right) u(t)=0,  \tag{23}\\
\left.u\right|_{t=0}=\chi_{\kappa}^{(2)} \operatorname{Op}_{h}^{\kappa}\left(a_{\kappa}\right) \chi_{\kappa}^{(1)} u_{0} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We can now decompose the operator $\psi\left(h^{2} P_{m}\right)$ on manifold $\mathcal{M}$ : letting $\chi_{\kappa}^{(3)}, \chi_{\kappa}^{(4)} \in$ $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(U_{\kappa}\right)$ such that $\chi_{\kappa}^{(3)}=1$ near $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\chi_{\kappa}^{(2)}\right)$ and $\chi_{\kappa}^{(4)}=1$ near $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\chi_{\kappa}^{(3)}\right)$, and letting $\tilde{m}$ be given by (10), Lemma 1 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(h^{2} P_{m}\right) \chi_{\kappa}^{(3)}=\chi_{\kappa}^{(4)} \mathrm{Op}_{h}^{\kappa}\left(q^{\kappa}(h)\right) \chi_{\kappa}^{(3)}+h^{N} R_{2, \kappa, N}(h), \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{\kappa}(h)=\psi\left(p_{\tilde{m}, h}^{\kappa}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} h^{j} q_{j, h}^{\kappa} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $q_{j, h}^{k} \in \mathcal{S}(-\infty)$ and $R_{2, \kappa, N}(h)$ satisfies (18).

### 2.2 The WKB approximation and semiclassical dispersive estimates

Inserting (24) into (23), the main operator we are going to study is

$$
i h \partial_{t}+\mathrm{Op}_{h}^{\kappa}\left(q^{\kappa}(h)\right)
$$

on $\mathcal{M}$ which is equivalent to

$$
i h \partial_{t}+\mathrm{Op}_{h}\left(q^{\kappa}(h)\right)
$$

on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Then the following result represents the key ingredient in the proof of Proposition 3.

Lemma 2 Let $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\})$, $K$ be a small neighborhood of $\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi)$ not containing the origin, $a \in \mathcal{S}(-\infty)$ with $\operatorname{Supp}(a) \subset\left(p_{0,0}^{\kappa}\right)^{-1}(\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi))$ and let $v_{0} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Then there exist $t_{0}>0$ small enough, $S_{h} \in C^{\infty}\left(\left[-t_{0}, t_{0}\right] \times \mathbb{R}^{2 d}\right)$ and a sequence of functions $a_{j, h}(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ satisfying $\operatorname{Supp}\left(a_{j, h}(t, \cdot, \cdot)\right) \subset\left(p_{0,0}^{\kappa}\right)^{-1}(K)$ uniformly w.r.t. $t \in\left[-t_{0}, t_{0}\right]$ and w.r.t. $h \in(0,1]$ such that for all $N \geqslant 1$,

$$
\left(i h \partial_{t}+\mathrm{Op}_{h}\left(q^{\kappa}(h)\right)\right) J_{N}(t)=R_{N}(t)
$$

where $q^{\kappa}$ is given by (25),

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{N}(t) v_{0}(x) & =\sum_{j=0}^{N} h^{j} J_{h}\left(S_{h}(t), a_{j, h}(t)\right) v_{0}(x) \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{N} h^{j}\left[(2 \pi h)^{-d} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2 d}} e^{i h^{-1}\left(S_{h}(t, x, \xi)-y \cdot \xi\right)} a_{j, h}(t, x, \xi) v_{0}(y) d y d \xi\right], \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

$J_{N}(0)=\mathrm{Op}_{h}(a)$ and the remainder $R_{N}(t)$ satisfies that for any $t \in\left[-t_{0}, t_{0}\right], h \in$ $(0,1]$ and $n \leqslant \frac{N}{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{N}(t)\right\|_{H^{-n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \rightarrow H^{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leqslant C h^{N-2 n} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, there exists a constant $C>0$ such that
(1) for all $t \in\left[-t_{0}, t_{0}\right]$ and all $h \in(0,1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|J_{N}(t)\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \rightarrow L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leqslant C h^{-d}\left(1+|t| h^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} ; \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) for all $t \in h^{1 / 2}\left[-t_{0}, t_{0}\right]$ and all $h \in(0,1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|J_{N}(t)\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \rightarrow L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leqslant C h^{-d-1}\left(1+|t| h^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 5 Compared with the existing results on the dispersive estimates for $J_{N^{-}}$ type oscillatory integrals (see, e.g., [3, 7, 9]), (29) is much more complicated even if eventually all the results are based on the stationary phase theorem. In fact, estimate (29) involves a much deeper insight into the behaviour of the eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix $\nabla_{\eta}^{2} \widetilde{\Phi}_{h}$ where, as we shall see,

$$
\widetilde{\Phi}_{h}(t, x, y, \eta)=t^{-1} \sqrt{g(x)}(x-y) \cdot \eta+\sqrt{|\eta|^{2}+h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}}
$$

More precisely, $\nabla_{\eta}^{2} \widetilde{\Phi}_{h}$ has $d-1$ eigenvalues away from 0 uniformly w.r.t. $h$ and it has a unique eigenvalue of the size $\mathcal{O}\left(h^{2}\right)$. In order to apply the stationary phase theorem for (29), we will first need to use the stationary phase theorem to deal with a submatrix of $\nabla_{\eta}^{2} \widetilde{\Phi}_{h}$ associated with the $d-1$ eigenvalues which are away from 0 uniformly w.r.t $h$, and then use the Van der Corput lemma in order to deal with the remaining terms associated with the eigenvalue of size $\mathcal{O}\left(h^{2}\right)$. This strategy has been used to deal with the Klein-Gordon equations [11, 21].

Proof We split the proof into three steps: the construction of the WKB approximation, the estimates for the remainder $R_{N}$ for (27) and the semiclassical dispersive estimates (28) and (29). For the reader's convenience, we will omit the index $\kappa$ since the chart has been fixed and we will borrow the notations and the results from [7, Step 1 and Step 2, Proof of Theorem 2.7] directly. The arguments of Step 1. and Step 2. below are essentially the same as in [7, Step 1 and Step 2, Proof of Theorem 2.7] (except taking the supremum over $h \in(0,1])$, thus we only give the sketch of the proof of these two steps.

## Step 1: the WKB approximation.

We are going to seek for $J_{N}(t)$ satisfying (26). Before going further, we look for $S_{h}$ satisfying the following Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} S_{h}(t)-\psi\left(p_{\tilde{m}, h}\right)\left(x, \nabla_{x} S_{h}(t)\right)=0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $S_{h}(0)=x \cdot \xi$.
Proposition 4 Let $\psi$ be given by (19)-(20). There exists $t_{0}>0$ small enough and $a$ unique solution $S_{h} \in C^{\infty}\left(\left[-t_{0}, t_{0}\right] \times \mathbb{R}^{2 d}\right)$ to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} S_{h}(t, x, \xi)-\psi\left(p_{\tilde{m}, h}\right)\left(x, \nabla_{x} S_{h}\right)=0  \tag{31}\\
S_{h}(0, x, \xi)=x \cdot \xi
\end{array}\right.
$$

Moreover, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$, there exists $C_{\alpha \beta}>0$ independent of $h$ (with $h \in(0,1]$ ) such that for all $t \in\left[-t_{0}, t_{0}\right]$ and all $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{h \in(0,1]}\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta}\left(S_{h}(t, x, \xi)-x \cdot \xi\right)\right| \leqslant C_{\alpha \beta}, \quad|\alpha+\beta| \geqslant 1  \tag{32}\\
& \sup _{h \in(0,1]}\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta}\left(S_{h}(t, x, \xi)-x \cdot \xi-t \psi\left(p_{\tilde{m}, h}\right)(x, \xi)\right)\right| \leqslant C_{\alpha, \beta}|t|^{2} . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof This proposition holds since $\psi\left(p_{\tilde{m}, h}\right)$ satisfies the following condition: for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ there exists $C_{\alpha \beta}>0$ such that for all $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$,

$$
\sup _{h \in(0,1]}\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} q_{0, h}\right| \leqslant C_{\alpha, \beta} .
$$

Indeed, it satisfies the condition (A.2) in [7, Appendix A] uniformly w.r.t. $h \in(0,1]$. Then following the argument in [7, Appendix A], we get a unique solution $S_{h}$ to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (31) and $S_{h}$ satisfies [7, Eqns. (2.19) and (2.20)] uniformly w.r.t. $h \in(0,1]$. Hence (32) and (33).

In the next proposition, we describe the action of a pseudodifferential operator on a Fourier integral operator.

Proposition 5 Let $b_{h} \in \mathcal{S}(-\infty)$ and $c_{h} \in \mathcal{S}(-\infty)$ and $S_{h} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 d}\right)$ such that for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d},|\alpha+\beta| \geqslant 1$, there exists $C_{\alpha \beta}>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{h \in(0,1]}\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta}\left(S_{h}(x, \xi)-x \cdot \xi\right)\right| \leqslant C_{\alpha \beta}, \quad \text { for all } x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
O p_{h}\left(b_{h}\right) \circ J_{h}\left(S_{h}, c_{h}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} h^{j} J_{h}\left(S_{h},\left(b_{h} \triangleleft c_{h}\right)_{j}\right)+h^{N} J_{h}\left(S_{h}, r_{N}(h)\right),
$$

where $\left(b_{h} \triangleleft c_{h}\right)_{j}$ is an universal linear combination of

$$
\partial_{\eta}^{\beta} b_{h}\left(z, \nabla_{x} S_{h}(x, \xi)\right) \partial_{x}^{\beta-\alpha} c_{h}(x, \xi) \partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}} S_{h}(x, \xi) \cdots \partial_{x}^{\alpha_{k}} S_{h}(x, \xi),
$$

with $\alpha \leqslant \beta, \alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{k}=\alpha$ and $\left|\alpha_{l}\right| \geqslant 2$ for all $l=1, \ldots, k$ and $|\beta|=j$. The map $\left(b_{h}, c_{h}\right) \mapsto\left(b_{h} \triangleleft c_{h}\right)$ and $\left(b_{h}, c_{h}\right) \mapsto r_{N}(h)$ are continuous from $\mathcal{S}(-\infty) \times \mathcal{S}(-\infty)$ to $\mathcal{S}(-\infty)$ and $\mathcal{S}(-\infty)$ respectively. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(b_{h} \triangleleft c_{h}\right)_{0}(x, \xi)= & b_{h}\left(x, \nabla_{x} S_{h}(x, \xi)\right) c_{h}(x, \xi) \\
i\left(b_{h} \triangleleft c_{h}\right)_{1}(x, \xi)= & \nabla_{\eta} b_{h}\left(x, \nabla_{x} S_{h}(x, \xi)\right) \cdot \nabla_{x} c_{h}(x, \xi) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\nabla_{\eta}^{2} b_{h}\left(x, \partial_{s} S_{h}(x, \xi)\right) \cdot \nabla_{x}^{2} S_{h}(x, \xi)\right) \cdot c(x, \xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof This is a variant of [7, Proposition 2.9] (see also in [13, Théorème IV.19], [14, Lemma 2.5]) and [2, Appendix]. From [7, Proposition 2.9], we know that this proposition holds if $b_{h}, c_{h}$ and $S_{h}$ are $h$-independent. Then for any $\widetilde{h} \in(0,1]$, this proposition holds for $b_{\tilde{h}}, c_{\tilde{h}}$ and $S_{\tilde{h}}$. Finally, this proposition holds for $h$-dependent symbols by taking $\widetilde{h}=h$.

We are now in a position to explicitly write down the WKB approximation. From (30), Propositions 2 and 5, we infer that
$\left(i h \partial_{t}+\mathrm{Op}_{h}(q(h))\right) J_{N}=-\sum_{r=0}^{N} h^{r} J_{h}\left(S_{h}(t), c_{r, h}(t)\right)+h^{N+1} J_{h}\left(S_{h}(t), r_{N+1}(h, t)\right)$,
(we recall that the symbol $q(h)$ is defined by (25)), where $r_{N+1} \in \mathcal{S}(-\infty)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{0, h}(t)= & \partial_{t} S_{h}(t) a_{0, h}(t)-\psi\left(p_{\tilde{m}, h}\right)\left(x, \nabla_{x} S_{h}(t)\right) a_{0, h}(t)=0, \\
-c_{r, h}(t)= & i \partial_{t} a_{r-1, h}(t)+\left(\psi\left(p_{\tilde{m}, h}\right) \triangleleft a_{r-1, h}\right)_{1}+\left(q_{1, h} \triangleleft a_{r-1, h}\right)_{0} \\
& +\sum_{k+j+l=r, j \leqslant r-2}\left(q_{k, h} \triangleleft a_{j, h}(t)\right)_{l}, \quad r=1, \ldots, N-1, \\
-c_{N, h}(t)= & i \partial_{t} a_{N-1, h}+\left(\psi\left(p_{\tilde{m}, h}\right) \triangleleft a_{N-1, h}\right)_{1}+\left(q_{1, h} \triangleleft a_{N-1, h}\right)_{0} \\
& +\sum_{\substack{k+j+l=N, j \leqslant N-2}}\left(q_{k, h} \triangleleft a_{j, h}\right)_{l} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This leads to the following transport equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& i \partial_{t} a_{0, h}(t)+\left(\psi\left(p_{\tilde{m}, h}\right) \triangleleft a_{0, h}\right)_{1}+\left(q_{1, h} \triangleleft a_{0, h}\right)_{0}=0,  \tag{35}\\
& i \partial_{t} a_{r, h}(t)+\left(\psi\left(p_{\tilde{m}, h}\right) \triangleleft a_{r, h}\right)_{1}+\left(q_{1, h} \triangleleft a_{r, h}\right)_{0} \\
& \quad=-\sum_{k+j+l=r+1, j \leqslant r-1}\left(q_{k, h} \triangleleft a_{j, h}\right)_{l} \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{N}(t):=h^{N+1} J_{h}\left(S_{h}(t), r_{N+1}(h, t)\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0, h}(0, x, \xi)=a(x, \xi), \quad a_{r, h}(0, x, \xi)=0 \quad \text { for } r=1, \ldots, N \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

We rewrite the equations on $a_{r, h}$ as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{t} a_{0, h}-V_{h}(t, x, \xi, h) \cdot \nabla_{x} a_{0, h}-f_{h} a_{0, h}=0, \\
& \partial_{t} a_{r, h}-V_{h}(t, x, \xi, h) \cdot \nabla_{x} a_{r, h}-f_{h} a_{r, h}=g_{r, h}(h) \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{h}(t, x, \xi)=\left(\partial_{\xi} \psi\left(p_{\tilde{m}, h}\right)\right)\left(x, \nabla_{x} S_{h}(t, x)\right), \\
& f_{h}(t, x, \xi)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left[\nabla_{\xi}^{2} \psi\left(p_{\tilde{m}, h}\right)\left(x, \nabla_{x} S_{h}\right) \cdot \nabla_{x}^{2} S_{h}\right]+i q_{1, h}\left(x, \nabla_{x} S_{h}\right), \\
& g_{r, h}(t, x, \xi)=i \sum_{k+j+l=r+1, j \leqslant r-1}\left(q_{k, h} \triangleleft a_{j, h}\right)_{l} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now construct $a_{r, h}$ by the method of characteristics and by induction as follows. Let $Z_{h}(t, s, x, \xi)$ be the flow associated with $V_{h}$, i.e.,

$$
\partial_{t} Z_{h}=-V_{h}\left(t, Z_{h}\right), \quad Z_{h}(s, s, x, \xi)=x
$$

As $\psi\left(p_{\tilde{m}, h}\right) \in \mathcal{S}(-\infty)$ and using the same trick as in [7, Lemma A.1], from (32) we infer

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{h \in(0,1]}\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta}\left(Z_{h}(t, s, x, \xi)-x\right)\right| \leqslant C_{\alpha \beta}|t-s| \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $|t|,|s| \leqslant t_{0}$. Then by iteration, the solutions to (35) and (36) with initial data (38) are
$a_{0, h}(t, x, \xi)=a\left(Z_{h}(0, t, x, \xi), \xi\right) \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} f_{h}\left(s, Z_{h}(s, t, x, \xi), \xi\right) d s\right)$,
$a_{r, h}(t, x, \xi)=\int_{0}^{t} g_{r, h}\left(s, Z_{h}(s, t, x, \xi), \xi\right) \exp \left(\int_{s}^{t} f_{h}\left(\tau, Z_{h}(\tau, t, x, \xi), \xi\right) d \tau\right) d s$,
for $r=1, \ldots, N-1$.
Using the fact that $a, q_{k, h}, f_{h} \in \mathcal{S}(-\infty)$, it is easy to see that $a_{0, h} \in \mathcal{S}(-\infty)$. Then $g_{1, h} \in \mathcal{S}(-\infty)$ and $a_{1, h} \in \mathcal{S}(-\infty)$. By iteration, we infer that $a_{r, h} \in \mathcal{S}(-\infty)$ for any $r=1, \ldots, N-1$. On the other hand, $\operatorname{Supp}(a) \subset p_{0,0}^{-1}(\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi))$. According to (40), this implies that, for $t_{0}>0$ small enough and for any $(x, \xi) \in p_{0,0}^{-1}(\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi))$, we have $(Z(t, s, x, \xi), \xi) \in p_{0,0}^{-1}(K)$ for all $|t|,|s| \leqslant t_{0}$. Thus, $a_{0, h}(t, x, \xi)=0$ for $(x, \xi) \notin p_{0,0}^{-1}(\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi))$ since $\operatorname{Supp}\left(g_{r, h}(t, \cdot, \cdot)\right) \subset \cup_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant r-1} \operatorname{Supp}\left(a_{j, h}\right)$. This shows that $\operatorname{Supp}\left(a_{r, h}(t, \cdot, \cdot)\right) \subset p_{0,0}^{-1}(K)$ uniformly w.r.t. $t \in\left[-t_{0}, t_{0}\right]$.

Step 2: $L^{2}$-boundedness of the remainder. The proof of the boundedness of the remainder is the same as in [7, Step 2, Page 8819-8820]. We use the notations therein and only need to point out that there exists $t_{0}>0$ small enough such that for all $t \in\left[t_{0}, t_{0}\right]$,

$$
\sup _{h \in\left(0, h_{0}\right]}\left\|\nabla_{x} \nabla_{\xi} S_{h}(t, x, \xi)-\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\right\| \ll 1, \quad \text { for all } x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d}
$$

As a result, for any $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$, there exists $C_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime} \beta}>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{h \in\left(0, h_{0}\right]}\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{y}^{\alpha^{\prime}} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta}\left(\Lambda^{-1}(t, x, y, \xi)-\xi\right)\right| \leqslant C_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime} \beta}|t|
$$

for any $t \in\left[-t_{0}, t_{0}\right]$. Here $\Lambda$ is given by

$$
\Lambda(t, x, y, \xi)=\int_{0}^{1} \nabla_{x} S(t, y+s(x-y), \xi) d s
$$

Then by changing variable $\xi \mapsto \Lambda^{-1}(t, x, y, \xi)$, the action $J_{h}\left(S(t), r_{N+1}\right) \circ$ $J_{h}\left(S(t), r_{N+1}\right)^{*}$ becomes a semiclassical pseudodifferential operator. Then the proof in [7] gives the boundedness from $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ to $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

Concerning the boundedness from $H^{-n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \rightarrow H^{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, according to (37), we only need to point out that, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ and $|\alpha|,|\beta| \leqslant n$, there exists a symbol $r_{N+1, \alpha, \beta} \in \mathcal{S}(-\infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{x}^{\alpha} R_{N}(t) \circ\left(\partial_{x}^{\beta} v_{0}\right) \\
& \quad=i h^{N+1}(2 \pi h)^{-d} \partial_{x}\left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2 d}} e^{i h^{-1}\left(S_{h}(t, x, \xi)-y \cdot \xi\right)} r_{N+1, \alpha, \beta}(t, x, \xi) \partial_{y}^{\beta} v_{0}(y) d y d \xi\right) \\
& \quad=i h^{N+1-|\alpha|-|\beta|}(2 \pi h)^{-d} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2 d}} e^{i h^{-1}\left(S_{h}(t, x, \xi)-y \cdot \xi\right)} r_{N+1, \alpha, \beta}(t, x, \xi) v_{0}(y) d y d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

thanks to the fact that $r_{N+1} \in \mathcal{S}(-\infty)$ and Proposition 4. Then, repeating the proof above by replacing $r_{N+1}$ by $r_{N+1, \alpha, \beta}$, we get (27).

## Step 3: semiclassical dispersive estimates.

The kernel of $J_{h}\left(S_{h}(t), a_{h}(t)\right)$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{h}(t, x, y)=(2 \pi h)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{i h^{-1}\left(S_{h}(t, x, \xi)-y \cdot \xi\right)} a_{h}(t, x, \xi) d \xi \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{h}(t)=\sum_{r=0}^{N-1} h^{r} a_{r, h}(t)$ and $\left(a_{h}(t)\right)_{t \in\left[-t_{0}, t_{0}\right]}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{S}(-\infty)$ satisfying $\operatorname{Supp}\left(a_{h}(t, \cdot, \cdot)\right) \in p_{0,0}^{-1}(K)$ for some small neighborhood $K$ of $\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi)$ not containing the origin uniformly w.r.t. $t \in\left[-t_{0}, t_{0}\right]$.

It suffices to consider the case $t \geqslant 0$, as the case $t \leqslant 0$ can be dealt with in a similar way. If $0 \leqslant t \leqslant h$ or $1+t h^{-1} \leqslant 2$, as $S_{h}$ is compactly supported in $\xi$ and $a_{h}$ are uniformly bounded in $t, x, y$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|L_{h}(t, x, y)\right| \leqslant C h^{-d}\left(1+t h^{-1}\right)^{-(d-1) / 2} . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us consider the case $h \leqslant t \leqslant t_{0}$. Set $\lambda:=t h^{-1} \geqslant 1$. Then

$$
S_{h}(t, x, \xi)=x \cdot \xi+t \sqrt{g^{i j} \xi_{i} \xi_{j}+h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}}+t^{2} \int_{0}^{1}(1-\theta) \partial_{t}^{2} S_{h}(\theta t, x, \xi) d \theta
$$

since $\psi\left(p_{\tilde{m}, h}\right)(x, \xi)=\sqrt{g^{j \ell} \xi_{j} \xi_{\ell}+h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}}$ on $p_{0,0}^{-1}(K)$.
Setting $p(x, \xi)=\xi^{t} G(x) \xi=|\eta|^{2}$ with $\eta=\sqrt{G(x)} \xi$ or $\xi=\sqrt{g(x)} \eta$, where $g(x)=\left(g_{j \ell}(x)\right)_{j \ell}$ and $G(x)=(g(x))^{-1}=\left(g^{j \ell}(x)\right)_{j \ell}$, the kernel $L_{h}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{h}(t, x, y)=(2 \pi h)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{i t h^{-1} \Phi_{h}(t, x, y, \eta)} a_{h}(t, x, \sqrt{g(x)} \eta) \sqrt{g(x)} d \eta \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sqrt{g(x)}=\sqrt{\operatorname{det} g(x)}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{h}(t, x, y, \eta)= & \frac{\sqrt{g(x)}(x-y) \cdot \eta}{t}+\sqrt{|\eta|^{2}+h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}} \\
& +t \int_{0}^{1}(1-\theta) \partial_{t}^{2} S_{h}(\theta t, x, \sqrt{g(x)} \eta) d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, let us deal with the wave and Klein-Gordon-type dispersive estimates separately.

Wave type dispersive estimates: proof of (28). Let us start with the case $\tilde{m}>0$. The gradient of the phase $\Phi_{h}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\eta} \Phi_{h}(t, x, y, \eta)= & \frac{\sqrt{g(x)}(x-y)}{t}+\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{|\eta|^{2}+h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}}} \\
& +t \sqrt{g(x)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-\theta)\left(\nabla_{\eta} \partial_{t}^{2} S_{h}\right)(\theta t, x, \sqrt{g(x)} \eta) d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

If $|\sqrt{g(x)}(x-y) / t| \geqslant C$ for some constant $C$ large enough, we use the non-stationary phase method which gives for any $N>\frac{d-1}{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|L_{h}(t, x, y)\right| \leqslant C h^{-d} \lambda^{-N} \leqslant C h^{-(d+1) / 2} t^{-(d-1) / 2} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we recall that $\lambda=t h^{-1}$.
We now deal with the case $|\sqrt{g(x)}(x-y) / t|<C$ by using the stationary phase method. For any $\left|\eta_{j}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon$ with some $\varepsilon$ small but independent of $t$, we have

$$
\nabla_{\eta^{(j)}}^{2} \Phi_{h}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\eta|^{2}+h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}}}\left[\mathbb{1}_{(d-1) \times(d-1)}-\frac{\eta^{(j)} \otimes \eta^{(j)}}{|\eta|^{2}+h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}}\right]+\mathcal{O}(t)
$$

where $\eta^{(j)}=\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{j-1}, \eta_{j+1}, \ldots, \eta_{d-1}\right)$. Then for any $j=1, \ldots, N$ and $t_{0}$ small enough, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{det} \nabla_{\eta^{(j)}}^{2} \Phi_{h}\right|=\left(\left|\eta_{j}\right|^{2}+h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}\right)\left(|\eta|^{2}+h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}\right)^{(-d+1) / 2}+\mathcal{O}(t) \geqslant C \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

independently of $h$. Let us now take a cover $\chi_{j}(x, \xi) \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{d} \chi_{j}(x, \eta)=1 \quad \text { on } p_{0,0}^{-1}(K) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that for any $\eta \in \operatorname{Supp}\left(\chi_{j}\right)$ we have $\left|\eta_{j}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{j, h}\left(t, x, y, \eta_{j}\right) \\
& \quad=(2 \pi h)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} e^{i t h^{-1} \Phi_{h}(t, x, y, \eta)} \chi_{j}(x, \eta) a(t, x, \sqrt{g(x)} \eta) \sqrt{g(x)} d \eta^{(j)}
\end{aligned}
$$

We need the following parameter-dependent stationary phase theorem as in [9].
Theorem 3 Let $\Phi(x, y)$ be a real valued $C^{\infty}$ function in a neighborhood of $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$. Assume that $\nabla_{x} \Phi\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=0$ and that $\nabla_{x}^{2} \Phi\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ is non-singular, with signature $\sigma$. Denote by $x(y)$ the solution to the equation $\nabla_{x} \Phi(x, y)=0$ with $x\left(y_{0}\right)=$ $x_{0}$ given by the implicit function theorem. Then when a $\in C_{0}^{\infty}(K), K$ close to $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left|\int e^{i \lambda \Phi(x, y)} a(x, y) d x-\lambda^{-n / 2} e^{i \lambda \Phi(x(y), y)}\right| \operatorname{det}\left(\nabla_{x}^{2} \Phi(x(y), y)\right)\right|^{-1 / 2} \\
& \quad \times e^{\pi i \sigma / 4} a(x(y), y) \mid \\
& \quad \leqslant C \lambda^{-1-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leqslant 3+n} \sup _{x}\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} a(x, y)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof See Theorem 7.7.6 in [8].
Applying this stationary phase theorem and choosing $x=\eta^{(j)}, y=\eta_{j}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|L_{h}(t, x, y)\right| & \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{d}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} L_{j, h}\left(t, x, y, \eta_{j}\right) d \eta_{j}\right| \leqslant C \sum_{j=1}^{d}\left\|L_{j, h}(t, x, y, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \\
& \leqslant C h^{-d} \lambda^{-(d-1) / 2}=C h^{-(d+1) / 2} t^{-(d-1) / 2} \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that $\lambda=t h^{-1}$ for $h \leqslant t \leqslant t_{0}$. Combining (42), (44) and (47), we conclude that

$$
\left|L_{h}(t, x, y)\right| \leqslant h^{-d}\left(1+t h^{-1}\right)^{-(d-1) / 2} .
$$

If we take $\tilde{m}=0$, as estimate (45) still holds, the proof works in the same way.
Klein-Gordon type dispersive estimates: proof of (29) Arguing as above for the wave one, we only need to consider the case $\left|t^{-1} \sqrt{g(x)}(x-y)\right| \leqslant C$. Unfortunately, in this case

$$
\nabla_{\eta}^{2} \Phi_{h}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\eta|^{2}+h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}}}\left[\mathbb{1}_{d \times d}-\frac{\eta \otimes \eta}{|\eta|^{2}+h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}}\right]+\mathcal{O}(t)
$$

from which we infer that

$$
\left|\operatorname{det} \nabla_{\eta}^{2} \Phi_{h}\right|=h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}\left(|\eta|^{2}+h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{d}{2}}+\mathcal{O}(t) \geqslant C h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}+\mathcal{O}(t) .
$$

Notice now that, differently from (45), we may not be able to control the above term from below for $t \in\left[h, t_{0}\right]$ when $h$ is small enough. To overcome this problem, we split the phase term $\Phi_{h}$ into two parts:

$$
\Phi_{h}=\widetilde{\Phi}_{h}(t, x, y, \eta)+t \int_{0}^{1}(1-\theta) \partial_{t}^{2} S_{h}(\theta t, x, \sqrt{g(x)} \eta) d \theta
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\Phi}_{h}(t, x, y, \eta)=t^{-1} \sqrt{g(x)}(x-y) \cdot \eta+\sqrt{|\eta|^{2}+h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\tilde{a}_{h}(t, x, \sqrt{g(x)} \eta)=e^{i h^{-1} t^{2} \int_{0}^{1}(1-\theta) \partial_{t}^{2} S_{h}(\theta t, x, \sqrt{g(x)} \eta) d \theta} a_{h}(t, x, \sqrt{g(x)} \eta)
$$

then we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{h}(t, x, y)=(2 \pi h)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{i \lambda \widetilde{\Phi}_{h}(t, x, y, \eta)} \widetilde{a}_{h}(t, x, \sqrt{g(x)} \eta) \sqrt{g(x)} d \eta \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we turn to study this new oscillatory integral problem for any $t \in\left[0, h^{1 / 2} t_{0}\right]$. The advantage is that for $t \in\left[0, h^{1 / 2} t_{0}\right]$,

$$
\left|\partial_{\eta}^{\alpha} \widetilde{a}_{h}\right| \leqslant C_{\alpha}\left(h^{-1} t^{2}\right)^{|\alpha|} \leqslant C_{\alpha}^{\prime}
$$

independently of $h$. So we only consider the interval $t \in\left[h, h^{1 / 2} t_{0}\right]$.
We can also write $L_{j, h}$ as

$$
L_{j, h}\left(t, x, y, \eta_{j}\right)=(2 \pi h)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} e^{i \lambda \widetilde{\Phi}_{h}(t, x, y, \eta)} \chi_{j}(x, \eta) \widetilde{a}_{h}(t, x, \sqrt{g(x)} \eta) \sqrt{g(x)} d \eta^{(j)} .
$$

As explained in Remark 5, applying Theorem 3 as for the wave dispersive case we infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} L_{j, h}\left(t, x, y, \eta_{j}\right) d \eta_{j}=h^{-d} \lambda^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i \lambda F_{h}\left(\eta_{j}\right)} A_{h}\left(t, x, \eta_{j}\right) d \eta_{j}+\mathcal{O}\left(h^{-d} \lambda^{-\frac{d+1}{2}}\right), \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
F_{h}\left(\eta_{j}\right)=\widetilde{\Phi}_{h}\left(\zeta\left(\eta_{j}\right), \eta_{j}\right), \quad A_{h}\left(t, x, \eta_{j}\right):=\frac{e^{\pi i \sigma / 4} \chi_{j}\left(\zeta\left(\eta_{j}\right), \eta_{j}\right) \widetilde{a}_{h}\left(\zeta\left(\eta_{j}\right), \eta_{j}\right)}{\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\nabla_{x}^{2} \widetilde{\Phi}_{h}\left(\zeta\left(\eta_{j}\right), \eta_{j}\right)\right)\right|^{1 / 2}}
$$

and, given by implicit function theorem, $\zeta\left(\eta_{j}\right)$ is the solution to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\eta^{(j)}} \widetilde{\Phi}_{h}\left(\zeta, \eta_{j}\right)=0 \quad \text { with } \zeta\left(\eta_{j, 0}\right)=\eta_{0}^{(j)} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the point $\left(\eta_{0}^{(j)}, \eta_{j, 0}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\nabla_{\eta^{(j)}} \widetilde{\Phi}_{h}\left(\eta_{0}^{(j)}, \eta_{j, 0}\right)=0$. Furthermore, by implicit function theorem, we know that $\zeta$ is smooth and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta^{\prime}\left(\eta_{j}\right)=-\left[\nabla_{\eta^{(j)}}^{2} \widetilde{\Phi}_{h}\left(\eta^{(j)}, \eta_{j}\right)\right]^{-1}\left[\partial_{\eta_{j}} \nabla_{\eta^{(j)}} \widetilde{\Phi}_{h}\left(\eta^{(j)}, \eta_{j}\right)\right] . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we are going to study (50) by using the following Van der Corput lemma, see [16].

Lemma 3 (Van der Corput) Let $\varphi$ be a real-valued smooth function in $(a, b)$ such that $\left|\varphi^{(k)}(x)\right| \geqslant c_{k}$ for some integer $k \geqslant 1$ and all $x \in(a, b)$. Then

$$
\left|\int_{a}^{b} e^{i \lambda \varphi} \psi(x) d x\right| \leqslant C\left(c_{k} \lambda\right)^{-1 / k}\left(|\psi(b)|+\int_{a}^{b}\left|\psi^{\prime}\right| d x\right)
$$

holds when (i) $k \geq 2$ or (ii) $k=1$ and $\varphi^{\prime}(x)$ is monotone.
To apply this lemma to (50), we are going to verify that $\left|F^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{j}\right)\right| \geqslant C$ on $\left(\zeta\left(\eta_{j}\right), \eta_{j}\right) \in \operatorname{Supp}\left(\chi_{j}\right)$. Using (51) and (52), we know that

$$
\begin{align*}
F^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{j}\right)= & \nabla_{\eta^{(j)}}^{2} \widetilde{\Phi}_{h}\left(\zeta\left(\eta_{j}\right), \eta_{j}\right) \zeta^{\prime}\left(\eta_{j}\right) \cdot \zeta^{\prime}\left(\eta_{j}\right)+2 \nabla_{\eta^{(j)}} \partial_{\eta_{j}} \widetilde{\Phi}_{h}\left(\zeta\left(\eta_{j}\right), \eta_{j}\right) \zeta^{\prime}\left(\eta_{j}\right) \\
& +\partial_{\eta_{j}}^{2} \widetilde{\Phi}_{h}\left(\zeta\left(\eta_{j}\right), \eta_{j}\right) \\
= & -\nabla_{\eta^{(j)}} \partial_{\eta_{j}} \widetilde{\Phi}_{h}\left(\zeta\left(\eta_{j}\right), \eta_{j}\right)\left[\nabla_{\eta^{(j)}}^{2} \widetilde{\Phi}_{h}\left(\eta^{(j)}, \eta_{j}\right)\right]^{-1} \partial_{\eta_{j}} \nabla_{\eta^{(j)}} \widetilde{\Phi}_{h}\left(\eta^{(j)}, \eta_{j}\right) \\
& +\partial_{\eta_{j}}^{2} \widetilde{\Phi}_{h}\left(\zeta\left(\eta_{j}\right), \eta_{j}\right) \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that

$$
\nabla_{\eta^{(j)}} \partial_{\eta_{j}} \widetilde{\Phi}_{h}=-\frac{\eta_{j}}{\left(|\eta|^{2}+h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \eta^{(j)}
$$

and $\nabla_{\eta^{(j)}} \partial_{\eta_{j}} \widetilde{\Phi}_{h}$ is an eigenvector of $\nabla_{\eta^{(j)}}^{2} \widetilde{\Phi}_{h}\left(\eta^{(j)}, \eta_{j}\right)$. More precisely,

$$
\nabla_{\eta^{(j)}}^{2} \widetilde{\Phi}_{h}\left(\eta^{(j)}, \eta_{j}\right) \nabla_{\eta^{(j)}} \partial_{\eta_{j}} \widetilde{\Phi}_{h}=\frac{h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}+\left|\eta_{j}\right|^{2}}{\left(|\eta|^{2}+h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \nabla_{\eta^{(j)}} \partial_{\eta_{j}} \widetilde{\Phi}_{h} .
$$

Thus,

$$
F^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{\left(|\zeta|^{2}+\left|\eta_{j}\right|^{2}+h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}\left(|\zeta|^{2}+h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}-\frac{\left|\eta_{j}\right|^{2}|\zeta|^{2}}{\left|\eta_{j}\right|^{2}+h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}}\right) \geqslant C h^{2} \tilde{m}^{2}
$$

for $\left(\zeta\left(\eta_{j}\right), \eta_{j}\right) \in \operatorname{Supp}\left(\chi_{j}\right)$. Using now Lemma 3 with $k=2$ into (50) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|L_{h}(t, x, y)\right| & \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{d}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} L_{j, h}\left(t, x, y, \eta_{l}\right) d \eta_{j}\right| \\
& \leqslant C h^{-d} \lambda^{-(d-1) / 2}\left(h^{2} \lambda\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}+C h^{-d} \lambda^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} \leqslant C h^{-d / 2-1} t^{-d / 2} \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $t \in\left[h, h^{1 / 2} t_{0}\right]$ and $(x, \eta) \in p_{0,0}^{-1}(K)$. Gathering together (42) and (54), we conclude that for any $t \in h^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[-t_{0}, t_{0}\right]$ with $t_{0}$ small enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|L_{h}\right| \leqslant C h^{-d-1}\left(1+t h^{-1}\right)^{-d / 2} . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

This concludes the proof.

### 2.3 Conclusion of the proof of Proposition 3

We are finally in position to prove Proposition 3. We need this additional result:
Lemma 4 Let $\chi^{(1)}, \chi^{(2)} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ such that $\chi^{(2)}=1$ near $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\chi^{(1)}\right)$. Let $K$, $a_{j, h}(t, \cdot, \cdot) \in \mathcal{S}(-\infty), S_{h} \in C^{\infty}\left(\left[-t_{0}, t_{0}\right] \times \mathbb{R}^{2 d}\right)$ and $J_{h}$ be given as in Lemma 2. Then for $t_{0}>0$ small enough,

$$
J_{h}\left(S_{h}(t), a_{h}(t, x)\right) \chi^{(1)}=\chi^{(2)} J_{h}\left(S_{h}(t), a_{h}(t)\right) \chi^{(1)}+\tilde{R}(t)
$$

where $\tilde{R}(t)=\mathcal{O}_{\left.H^{-n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \rightarrow H^{n} \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}\left(h^{\infty}\right)$.
Proof The proof follows the one of [7, Lemma 3.6]; we omit the details.
We now turn to the
Proof of Proposition 3 Let $J_{N}^{\kappa}(t)=\kappa^{*} J_{N}(t) \kappa_{*}, R_{3, \kappa, N}=\kappa^{*} R_{N} \kappa_{*}$ with $J_{N}$ and $R_{N}$ being given by Lemma 2.

Notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d s}\left(e^{-i s h^{-1} \psi\left(h^{2} P_{m}\right)} \chi_{\kappa}^{(2)} J_{N}^{\kappa}(s) \chi_{\kappa}^{(1)}\right) \\
& \quad=-i h^{-1} e^{-i s h^{-1} \psi\left(h^{2} P_{m}\right)}\left(i h \partial_{s}+\psi\left(h^{2} P_{m}\right)\right) \chi_{\kappa}^{(2)} J_{N}^{\kappa}(s) \chi_{\kappa}^{(1)},
\end{aligned}
$$

and $J_{N}^{\kappa}(0)=\mathrm{Op}_{h}^{\kappa}\left(a_{\kappa}\right)$. Integrating the above equation over $[0, t]$, we infer

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{i t h^{-1} \psi\left(h^{2} P_{m}\right)} \chi_{\kappa}^{(2)} \mathrm{Op}_{h}^{\kappa}\left(a_{\kappa}\right) \chi_{\kappa}^{(1)} u_{0}=\chi_{\kappa}^{(2)} J_{N}^{\kappa}(t) \chi_{\kappa}^{(1)} u_{0} \\
& \quad+i h^{-1} \int_{0}^{t} e^{i(t-s) h^{-1} \psi\left(h^{2} P_{m}\right)}\left(i h \partial_{s}+\psi\left(h^{2} P_{m}\right)\right) \chi_{\kappa}^{(2)} J_{N}^{\kappa}(s) \chi_{\kappa}^{(1)} u_{0} d s \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

We now consider the terms inside the integral for the above formula. From (24), we infer

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(i h \partial_{s}+\psi\left(h^{2} P_{m}\right)\right) \chi_{\kappa}^{(2)} J_{N}^{\kappa}(s) \chi_{\kappa}^{(1)} \\
& \quad=i h \chi_{\kappa}^{(2)} \partial_{s} J_{N}^{\kappa}(s) \chi_{\kappa}^{(1)}+\chi_{\kappa}^{(3)} \mathrm{Op}_{h}^{\kappa}\left(q^{\kappa}(h)\right) \chi_{\kappa}^{(2)} J_{N}^{\kappa}(s) \chi_{\kappa}^{(1)}+h^{N} R_{2, \kappa, N}(t) \chi_{\kappa}^{(2)} J_{N}^{\kappa}(s) \chi_{\kappa}^{(1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then using Lemmas 2 and 4,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(i h \partial_{s}+\psi\left(h^{2} P_{m}\right)\right) \chi_{\kappa}^{(2)} J_{N}^{\kappa}(s) \chi_{\kappa}^{(1)} \\
& \quad=\chi_{\kappa}^{(3)} \kappa^{*}\left(i h \partial_{s}+\mathrm{Op}_{h}\left(q^{\kappa}(h)\right)\right) J_{N}(s) \kappa_{*} \chi_{\kappa}^{(1)}+R_{4, \kappa, N}(s)+h^{N} R_{2, \kappa, N}(t) \chi_{\kappa}^{(2)} J_{N}^{\kappa}(s) \chi_{\kappa}^{(1)} \\
& \quad=-\chi_{\kappa}^{(3)} R_{3, \kappa, N}(s) \chi_{\kappa}^{(1)}+R_{4, \kappa, N}(s)+h^{N} R_{2, \kappa, N}(t) \chi_{\kappa}^{(2)} J_{N}^{\kappa}(s) \chi_{\kappa}^{(1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{4, \kappa, N}(s)=\mathcal{O}_{H^{-n}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow H^{n}(\mathcal{M})}\left(h^{\infty}\right)$. Thus (22), (56) and this give

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i h^{-1} t \psi\left(h^{2} P_{m}\right)} \varphi\left(-h^{2} \Delta_{g}\right) \chi_{\kappa} u_{0}=\tilde{\chi}_{\kappa} J_{N}^{\kappa}(t) \chi_{\kappa} u_{0}+R_{\kappa, N} u_{0} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{\kappa, N}: & h^{N} e^{i h^{-1} t \psi\left(h^{2} P_{m}\right)} R_{1, \kappa, N}(h) \\
& -i h^{-1} \int_{0}^{t} e^{i(t-s) h^{-1} \psi\left(h^{2} P_{m}\right)}\left(\chi_{\kappa}^{(3)} R_{3, \kappa, N}(s) \chi_{\kappa}^{(1)}-R_{4, \kappa, N}(s)\right. \\
& \left.-h^{N} R_{2, \kappa, N}(t) \chi_{\kappa}^{(2)} J_{N}^{\kappa}(s) \chi_{\kappa}^{(1)}\right) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the Sobolev inequality and the fact $R_{j, \kappa, N}=\mathcal{O}_{H^{-n}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow H^{n}(\mathcal{M})}\left(h^{N-2 n}\right)$ for any $n \leqslant \frac{N}{2}$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|R_{\kappa, N} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})} \leqslant C\left\|R_{\kappa, N} u_{0}\right\|_{H^{d}(\mathcal{M})} \leqslant C h^{N-2 d-1}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{-d}(\mathcal{M})} \\
& \quad \leqslant C h^{N-2 d-1}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(\mathcal{M})} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $N$ large enough, we infer that for any $t \in\left[-t_{0}, t_{0}\right]$,

$$
\left\|R_{\kappa, N}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})} \leqslant C h^{-d}\left(1+|t| h^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{d}{2}} .
$$

From (57), Lemma 2 and this, we obtain (11) and (12). This completes the proof.

## 3 Dirac equation

In this section, we show how to deduce Strichartz estimates for the Dirac flow from estimates of Theorem 1.

### 3.1 The Dirac equation on curved spaces

We begin with a brief overview of the construction of the Dirac equation in a non-flat (or non-Lorentzian) setting; we shall refer to [6] for further details (see also Section 5.6 in [12] and Sect. 2 in [4]). For any $d \geqslant 2$ let us consider a $(d+1)$-dimensional manifold in the form $\mathbb{R}_{t} \times \mathcal{M}$ with $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $d$ endowed with a spin structure; then, the Dirac operator on $\mathcal{M}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{m}=-i \gamma^{a} e^{i}{ }_{a} D_{i}-m \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $m \geqslant 0$ is the mass and $\gamma^{j}, j=1, \ldots, d$ is a set of matrices that satisfy the condition

$$
\gamma^{i} \gamma^{j}+\gamma^{j} \gamma^{i}=2 \delta^{i j}, \quad i, j=1, \ldots d .
$$

There are few different possible choices for the $\gamma$ matrices; notice anyway that the explicit choice of the basis will play no role in our argument. Following [6], let us define these matrices recursively as follows (in computations below, the index $d$ will be added to the $\gamma$ matrices in order to keep track of the dimensions):

- Case $d=2$. We set

$$
\gamma_{2}^{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & i \\
-i & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \gamma_{2}^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Case $d=3$. We set

$$
\gamma_{3}^{1}=\gamma_{2}^{1}, \quad \gamma_{3}^{2}=\gamma_{2}^{2}, \quad \gamma_{3}^{3}=(-i) \gamma_{2}^{1} \gamma_{2}^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

- Case $d>3$ even. We set

$$
\gamma_{d}^{j}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & i \gamma_{d-1}^{j} \\
-i \gamma_{d-1}^{j} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, d-1, \quad \gamma_{d}^{d}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I_{2} \frac{d-2}{2} \\
I_{2^{\frac{d-2}{2}}} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Case $d>3$ odd. We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{d}^{j}=\gamma_{d-1}^{j}, \quad j=1, \ldots, d-1, \quad \gamma_{d}^{d} \\
& \quad=i^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \gamma_{d-1}^{1} \cdots \cdots \gamma_{d-1}^{d-1}=i^{i \frac{d-1}{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{2} \frac{d-3}{2} & 0 \\
0 & -I_{2^{\frac{d-3}{2}}}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The matrix bundle $e^{i}{ }_{a}$ is called $n$-bein and it is defined as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{i j}=e_{a}^{i} \delta^{a b} e_{b}^{j} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta$ is the Kronecker symbol, and in fact it connects the "spatial" metrics to the Euclidean one. Finally, the covariant derivative for spinors $D_{i}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}=\partial_{0}, \quad D_{j}=\partial_{j}+B_{j}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, d \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{j}$ writes

$$
B_{j}=\frac{1}{8}\left[\gamma^{a}, \gamma^{b}\right] \omega_{j}^{a b}
$$

and $\omega_{j}^{a b}$, called the spin connection, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{j}^{a b}=e_{a}^{i} \partial_{j} e^{i b}+e_{i}^{a} \Gamma_{j k}^{i} e^{k b} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the Christoffel symbol (or affine connection) $\Gamma^{i}{ }_{j k}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{j k}^{i}:=\frac{1}{2} g^{i l}\left(\partial_{j} g_{l k}+\partial_{k} g_{j l}-\partial_{l} g_{j k}\right) . \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

We stress the fact that in the rest of this section we shall abuse notation by calling functions what should be more precisely called spinors.

### 3.2 Strichartz estimates for the Dirac equation: proof of Theorem 2

We are now in a position to prove Strichartz estimates for the solutions to the Dirac equation (3), deducing them from the ones for the Klein-Gordon that we have proved in Sect. 1. The starting point is the following explicit formula, that has been proved in [4]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}^{2}:=m^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{R}_{g}-\Delta^{\mathcal{S}}=-\Delta_{g}+B^{i} \partial_{i}+\widetilde{D}^{i} B_{i}+B^{i} B_{i}+\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{R}_{g}+m^{2} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the spinorial Laplacian $\Delta^{\mathcal{S}}=D^{j} D_{j}, \widetilde{D}^{i} \Psi_{k}=\partial^{i} \Psi_{k}-\Gamma_{k}^{l i} \Psi_{l}, B^{i}=h^{i j} B_{j}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{g}$ denotes the scalar curvature on $(\mathcal{M}, g)$. As a consequence, the solution $u$ to the Dirac equation can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t, x):= & e^{i t \mathcal{D}_{m}} u_{0}=\dot{W}_{m}(t) u_{0}+i W_{m}(t) \mathcal{D}_{m} u_{0} \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} W_{m}(t-s)\left(\Omega_{1}(u)(s)+\Omega_{2} u(s)\right) d s \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

where
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{1}(u):=2 B^{i} \partial_{i} u, \quad \Omega_{2}:=-\partial^{i} B_{i}+B^{i} B_{i}-\Gamma_{i}^{j i} B_{j}-\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{R}_{g} . \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that as the manifold $\mathcal{M}$ is assumed to be smooth, the terms $B_{i}, \Gamma_{i}^{j i}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{g}$ are smooth.

We first consider the case $m>0$. We set $\widetilde{\gamma}_{p q}:=\gamma_{p q}^{\mathrm{W}}$ for wave admissible pair $(p, q)$, and $\widetilde{\gamma}_{p q}:=\gamma_{p q}^{\mathrm{KG}}$ for Schrödinger admissible pair $(p, q)$. Using Theorem 1 for wave admissible pair or Schrödinger admissible pair $(p, q)$, we infer

$$
\left\|e^{i t \sqrt{m^{2}-\Delta_{g}}} v_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(I, L^{q}(\mathcal{M})\right)} \leqslant C\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{H^{\tilde{\gamma} p q}(\mathcal{M})} .
$$

Thus for $m>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|e^{i t \mathcal{D}_{m}} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(I, L^{q}(\mathcal{M})\right)} \leqslant C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\tilde{\gamma} p q}(\mathcal{M})}+t_{0} C \sup _{s}\left\|B^{i} \partial_{i} u(s)\right\|_{H^{\tilde{\gamma}_{p q-1}}(\mathcal{M})} \\
& +t_{0} C \sup _{s}\left\|\Omega_{2} u(s)\right\|_{H^{\tilde{\gamma} p q-1}(\mathcal{M})} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to study the terms $\left\|B^{i} \partial_{i} u(s)\right\|_{H^{\tilde{\gamma} p q-1}(\mathcal{M})}$ and $\left\|\Omega_{2} u(s)\right\|_{H^{\tilde{\gamma} p q-1}(\mathcal{M})}$. We first show that

$$
\left\|B^{i} \partial_{i} u(s)\right\|_{H^{\tilde{p} p q-1}(\mathcal{M})} \lesssim\|u(s)\|_{H^{\tilde{\gamma} p q}(\mathcal{M})}, \quad\left\|\Omega_{2} u(s)\right\|_{H^{\widetilde{\gamma} p q-1}(\mathcal{M})} \lesssim\|u(s)\|_{H^{\widetilde{p} p q}(\mathcal{M})}
$$

Using standard interpolation theory (see, e.g., [18, Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, Chp.4]), it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|B^{i} \partial_{i} f\right\|_{H^{-1}(\mathcal{M})} \lesssim\|f\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{M})}, \quad\left\|B^{i} \partial_{i} f\right\|_{H^{n-1}(\mathcal{M})} \lesssim\|f\|_{H^{n}(\mathcal{M})} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n>\widetilde{\gamma}_{p q}$ is an integer. As $B_{1} \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$, we infer that

$$
\left\|B^{i} \partial_{i} f\right\|_{H^{n-1}(\mathcal{M})} \lesssim\|f\|_{H^{n}(\mathcal{M})}
$$

On the other hand, as $B^{i} \partial_{i} u=\partial_{i}\left(B^{i} u\right)-\left(\partial_{i} B^{i}\right) u$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|B^{i} \partial_{i} f\right\|_{H^{-1}(\mathcal{M})} & \leqslant\left\|\left(1-\Delta_{g}\right)^{-1 / 2} B^{i} \partial_{i}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^{2}(\mathcal{M})}\|f\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{M})} \\
& \leqslant\left\|\left(1-\Delta_{g}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left[\partial_{i} B^{i}-\left(\partial_{i} B^{i}\right)\right]\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^{2}(\mathcal{M})}\|f\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{M})} \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{M})} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The above two estimates and the interpolation theory show that

$$
\left\|B^{i} \partial_{i} f\right\|_{H^{\widetilde{\gamma} p q-1}(\mathcal{M})} \lesssim\|f\|_{H^{\tilde{\nu} p q}(\mathcal{M})} .
$$

Analogously, as $\Omega_{2} \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$, we also have that

$$
\left\|\Omega_{2} f\right\|_{H^{\tilde{\gamma}_{p q}-1}(\mathcal{M})} \leqslant\left\|\Omega_{2} f\right\|_{H^{\tilde{\gamma} p q}(\mathcal{M})} \leqslant C\|f\|_{H^{\tilde{\gamma} p q}(\mathcal{M})} .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|e^{i t \mathcal{D}_{m}} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(I, L^{q}(\mathcal{M})\right)} & \leqslant C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\tilde{\gamma}_{p q}(\mathcal{M})}}+C t_{0} \sup _{s}\|u(s)\|_{H^{\tilde{\gamma} p q}(\mathcal{M})} \\
& \leqslant\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\tilde{\gamma} p q}(\mathcal{M})}+C t_{0} \sup _{s}\left\|\left|\mathcal{D}_{m}\right|^{\tilde{\gamma}_{p q}} u(s)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{M})}
\end{aligned}
$$

The operator $\left|\mathcal{D}_{m}\right|$ is defined as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{D}_{m}\right|=\mathcal{D}_{m}\left[\mathbb{1}_{[0,+\infty)}\left(\mathcal{D}_{m}\right)-\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 0)}\left(\mathcal{D}_{m}\right)\right] \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

and here we use the fact that for any $s \geqslant 0$,

$$
C_{1}\left\|\left(1-\Delta_{g}\right)^{s} f\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{M})} \leqslant\left\|\left|\mathcal{D}_{m}\right|^{2 s} f\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{M})} \leqslant C_{2}\left\|\left(1-\Delta_{g}\right)^{s} f\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{M})}
$$

which is obtained by using the interpolation theory again and the fact that there are constants $C_{1}^{\prime}, C_{2}^{\prime}>0$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
C_{1}^{\prime}\left\|\left(1-\Delta_{g}\right)^{n} f\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{M})} \leqslant\left\|\left|\mathcal{D}_{m}\right|^{2 n} f\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{M})} \leqslant C_{2}^{\prime}\left\|\left(1-\Delta_{g}\right)^{n} f\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{M})}
$$

According to (67), $\left[\left|\mathcal{D}_{m}\right|, \mathcal{D}_{m}\right]=0$. As a result,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|e^{i t \mathcal{D}_{m}} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(I, L^{q}(\mathcal{M})\right)} & \leqslant C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\tilde{\gamma} p q}(\mathcal{M})}+C t_{0} \sup _{s}\left\|\left|\mathcal{D}_{m}\right|^{\widetilde{\gamma}_{p q}} e^{i t \mathcal{D}_{m}} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{M})} \\
& \leqslant C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\tilde{\gamma} p q}(\mathcal{M})}+C\left\|\mid \mathcal{D}_{m} \widetilde{\gamma}_{p q} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{M})} \leqslant C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\tilde{\gamma}_{p q}}(\mathcal{M})} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives (6) and (7) for $m>0$.
It remains to show the case $m=0$. By the Duhamel formula, for $\tilde{m}$ given by (10), we have

$$
e^{i t \mathcal{D}_{0}} u_{0}=e^{i t \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{m}}} u_{0}-\tilde{m} \int_{0}^{t} e^{i(t-s) \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{m}}} u(s) d s
$$

Repeating the above proof for the case $m>0$, we infer

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|e^{i t \mathcal{D}_{0}} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(I, L^{q}(\mathcal{M})\right)} \leqslant C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\tilde{\gamma} p q}(\mathcal{M})}+C t_{0} \sup _{s}\left\|\left|\mathcal{D}_{m}\right|^{\tilde{\gamma}_{p q}} e^{i t \mathcal{D}_{0}} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{M})} \\
& \quad \leqslant C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{\tilde{\gamma} p q}(\mathcal{M})} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This concludes the proof.

Remark 6 As it is seen, by making use of formulas (63)-(64), we have been able to deduce the Strichartz estimates for the Dirac flow from the ones for the half KleinGordon equation with a rather simple argument. In fact, it would have been much more complicated to tackle directly the study of the Dirac flow: if we studied the half-spinor-Klein-Gordon equation, then the proof of the existence of solutions for $a_{r}$ in Eq. (39) would have been significantly more involved. Indeed, in the spinorial case, the equations on $a_{r}$ turn out to be first-order ODE systems in the form $\partial_{t} a_{r}-\mathcal{A} a_{r}=\mathcal{F}_{r}$, with $a_{r}, \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{r}$ matrices, rather than simple transport equations. On the one hand, the matrix $\mathcal{A}$ may not be self-adjoint, so the solution $a_{r}(t)$ may not have a bounded compact support; on the other hand, for a general $t$-dependent matrix $\mathcal{F}(t)$, we do not even know the formula for $\frac{d}{d t} e^{\mathcal{F}(t)}$, so we do not know the formula for $a_{0, h}$ and $a_{r, h}$. Finally, let us mention that it might be possible to rely on an explicit WKB approximation directly on the Dirac equation (see, e.g., [1] for its construction in the flat case), but this seems to require a significant amount of technical work, and therefore we preferred to rely on the strategy above.

### 3.3 The case of the sphere

As a final result, as done in [3] for the Schrödinger equation, we would like to test the sharpness of the Strichartz estimates proved in Theorem 2 in the case of the Riemannian sphere. In this case, the spectrum and the eigenfunctions of the Dirac operator are indeed explicit and well known (see, e.g., $[6,19]$ ); we include here a short review of the topic, as indeed an explicit representation of these eigenfunctions will be needed for our scope. Notice that in this section we will be considering the massless Dirac operator, that is the case $m=0$, and the subscript on the Dirac operator will be used to keep track of the dimension.

As seen in Sect. 3.1, the definition of the Dirac matrices (and thus of the Dirac operator) is slightly different depending on whether the dimension $d$ of the sphere is even or odd: it is thus convenient to discuss the two cases separately.

- Case d even. In this case, the Dirac operator can be recursively defined as

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{S}^{d}}=\left(\partial_{\theta}+\frac{d-1}{2} \cot \theta\right) \gamma_{d}^{d}+\frac{1}{\sin \theta}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \\
-\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the matrix $\gamma_{d}^{d}$, as we have seen, is given in this case by $\gamma_{d}^{d}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & I_{2} \frac{d-2}{2} \\ I_{2^{\frac{d-2}{2}}} & 0\end{array}\right)$. Now, let $\chi_{\ell m}^{ \pm}$be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \chi_{\ell m}^{ \pm}= \pm\left(\ell+\frac{1}{2}(d-1)\right) \chi_{\ell m}^{ \pm}, \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell=0,1,2 \ldots$ and $m$ run from 1 to the degeneracy $d_{\ell}$ of the eigenfunction (notice that this parameter will play no role in our forthcoming argument). Then, we
set

$$
\Psi=\binom{\Psi^{+}}{\Psi^{-}} .
$$

One can separate variables as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{n \ell m}^{+,-}(\theta, \Omega)=\varphi_{n \ell}(\theta) \chi_{\ell m}^{-}(\Omega), \quad \Psi_{n \ell m}^{+,+}(\theta, \Omega)=\psi_{n \ell}(\theta) \chi_{\ell m}^{+}(\Omega) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

(notice that the first apex + in the above labels the first and second component of $\Psi$, while the second one distinguishes on the choice of the sign $\pm$ performed in (68)). Clearly, an analogous decomposition holds for the component $\Psi^{-}$. Then, plugging (69) into the squared equation $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{S} d}^{2} \Psi=-\lambda_{n, d}^{2} \Psi$ yields the following

$$
\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}+\frac{d-1}{2} \cot \theta\right)^{2}-\frac{\left(\ell+\frac{d-1}{2}\right)^{2}}{\sin ^{2} \theta}+\left(\ell+\frac{d-1}{2}\right) \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta}\right] \varphi_{n \ell}=-\lambda_{n, \ell}^{2} \varphi_{n \ell}
$$

which has a unique (up to a constant) regular solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n \ell}(\theta)=\left(\cos \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{\ell+1}\left(\sin \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{\ell} P_{n-\ell}^{\frac{d}{2}+\ell-1, \frac{d}{2}+\ell}(\cos \theta) \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$ is a Jacobi polynomial with $n-\ell \geqslant 0$ (this condition is required in order to have regular eigenfunctions) and with eigenvalue $\lambda_{n, d}^{2}=\left(n+\frac{d}{2}\right)^{2}$. Similarly, one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{n \ell}(\theta)=\left(\cos \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{\ell}\left(\sin \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{\ell+1} P_{n-\ell}^{\frac{d}{2}+\ell, \frac{d}{2}+\ell-1}(\cos \theta) . \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{ \pm n \ell m}^{1}(\theta, \Omega):=\frac{C_{d}(n \ell)}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{\varphi_{n \ell}(\theta) \chi_{\ell m}^{-}(\Omega)}{ \pm i \psi_{n \ell}(\theta) \chi_{\ell m}^{-}(\Omega)} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{ \pm n \ell m}^{2}(\theta, \Omega):=\frac{C_{d}(n \ell)}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{i \psi_{n \ell}(\theta) \chi_{\ell m}^{+}(\Omega)}{ \pm \varphi_{n \ell}(\theta) \chi_{\ell m}^{+}(\Omega)} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

both satisfy equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{S}^{d}} \Psi_{ \pm n \ell m}^{j}(\theta, \Omega)= \pm\left(n+\frac{d}{2}\right) \Psi_{ \pm n \ell m}^{j}(\theta, \Omega), \quad j=1,2 \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

The standard normalization condition

$$
\left\langle\Psi_{ \pm n \ell m}^{j}, \Psi_{ \pm n^{\prime} \ell^{\prime} m^{\prime}}^{j^{\prime}}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}=\delta_{n n^{\prime}} \delta_{\ell \ell^{\prime}} \delta_{m m^{\prime}} \delta_{j j^{\prime}}
$$

fixes the value of the constant $C_{d}(n \ell)$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{d}(n \ell)=\frac{\sqrt{(n-\ell)!(n+\ell+1)!}}{2^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \Gamma(n+1)} . \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Case d odd. In this case, we can write the Dirac equation as

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{S}^{d}}=\left(\partial_{\theta}+\frac{d-1}{2} \cot \theta\right) \gamma_{d}^{d}+\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}
$$

with $\gamma_{d}^{d}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}I_{2^{(d-3) / 2}} & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{2^{(d-3) / 2}}\end{array}\right)$. As done for the even case, taking $\chi_{\ell m}^{ \pm}$to be the eigenfunctions of the Dirac operator on the $(d-1)$-dimensional sphere, i.e. satisfying (68), the normalized eigenfunctions to the Dirac operator are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{ \pm n \ell m}(\theta, \Omega)=\frac{C_{d}(n \ell)}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\varphi_{n \ell}(\theta) \tilde{\chi}_{\ell m}^{-}(\Omega) \pm i \psi_{n \ell}(\theta) \tilde{\chi}_{\ell m}^{+}(\Omega)\right) \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\varphi_{n \ell}, \psi_{n \ell}$ given by (70)-(71), with $\tilde{\chi}^{ \pm}$defined as

$$
\tilde{\chi}_{\ell m}^{-}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(1+\Gamma^{d}\right) \chi_{\ell m}^{-}, \quad \tilde{\chi}_{\ell m}^{+}=\Gamma^{d} \chi_{\ell m}^{-},
$$

and where the normalization constant is given by (75). The functions given in (76) satisfy Eq. (74).

We are now in a position to show that our Strichartz estimates (6) are sharp in dimension $d \geqslant 4$. Let us consider system (3) on $\mathcal{M}=\mathbb{S}^{d}$ with $m=0$, and let us take as initial condition $u_{0}$ an eigenfunction of the Dirac operator for a fixed eigenvalue $\lambda= \pm\left(n+\frac{d}{2}\right)$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, the solution $u$ can be written as $u=e^{i t \mathcal{D}_{0}} u_{0}=e^{i t \lambda} u_{0}$. By taking any admissible Strichartz pair we can write, given that the time interval is bounded,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{i t \lambda} u_{0}\right\|_{L_{I}^{p} L^{q}\left(\mathbb{S}^{d}\right)} \sim\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{S}^{d}\right)} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we need the following spinorial adaptation of a classical result due to Sogge (see [15]).

Lemma 5 Let $d \geqslant 2$. For any $\lambda= \pm\left(n+\frac{d}{2}\right)$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\lambda|$ is sufficiently large, there exists an eigenfunction $\Psi_{\lambda}$ of the Dirac equation on $\mathbb{S}^{d}$ such that the following estimate holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Psi_{\lambda}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{S}^{d}\right)} \leqslant C|\lambda|^{s(q)}\left\|\Psi_{\lambda}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{d}\right)} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $s(q)=\frac{d-1}{2}-\frac{d}{q}$, provided $\frac{2(d+1)}{d-1} \leqslant q \leqslant \infty$.

Proof Let us deal with the case $d$ even; the case $d$ odd can be dealt with similarly. Let us take for any eigenvalue $\lambda= \pm\left(n+\frac{d}{2}\right)$ an eigenfunction $\Psi$ in the form (72)(73) corresponding to the choice $\ell=0$, which is always admissible. Notice that the functions $\chi$ do not depend on $n$. Then, taking advantage of the classical asymptotic estimates on Jacobi polynomials

$$
\int_{0}^{1}(1-x)^{r}\left|P_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|^{p} d x \sim n^{\alpha p-2 r-2}
$$

provided $2 r<\alpha p-2+p / 2$ (see, e.g., [17, page 391]), we easily get that

$$
\left\|\Psi_{\lambda}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{S}^{d}\right)} \sim|\lambda|^{\frac{d-1}{2}-\frac{d}{q}}
$$

for $|\lambda| \gg 1$ and $q \geqslant \frac{2(d+1)}{d-1}$.
By making use of this Lemma we can thus estimate further (77) as follows

$$
\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2}\right)} \sim|\lambda|^{s(q)}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2}\right)}
$$

Then, taking $d \geqslant 4$ and $p=2$ in Strichartz estimates (6) yields $q=\frac{2(d-1)}{d-3}$, so that $s(q)=\frac{d+1}{2(d-1)}$ which is exactly $\gamma_{2, \frac{2(d-1)}{d-3}}^{\mathrm{W}}$ and thus estimates (6) are sharp provided $d \geqslant 4$.

Remark 7 Lemma 5 is the analog of Theorem 4.2 in [15], where the author proves the same bound for homogeneous harmonic polynomials. Anyway, as the eigenfunctions of the Dirac operator are not "pure" spherical harmonics, we cannot simply evoke this result.

Remark 8 Notice that the argument above relies on the "endpoint" $p=2$, and this is the reason why we are only able to prove the sharpness in the case $d \geqslant 4$. Indeed, the same computations provide

- for $d=2$, by taking $p$ smallest possible, that is $p=4$ and thus $q=\infty$ :

$$
\gamma_{4, \infty}^{\mathrm{W}}=\frac{3}{4} \quad \text { and } \quad s(\infty)=\frac{1}{2}
$$

- for $d=3$, as the endpoint $(p, q)=(2, \infty)$ has to be excluded, by taking $p=2+\varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon>0$ small:

$$
\gamma_{2+\varepsilon, \frac{2(2+\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}}^{\mathrm{W}}=\frac{2}{2+\varepsilon} \quad \text { and } s\left(\frac{2(2+\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\right)=\frac{2}{2+\varepsilon}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2(2+\varepsilon)}
$$

which shows that the estimates are sharp in the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
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