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#### Abstract

Herein, we report our study on the design and development of a novel photocarboxylation method. We have used an organic photoredox catalyst (PC, 4CzIPN) and differently substituted dihydropyridines ( DHPs ) in combination with an organic base (1,5,7-triazabicyclodec-5-ene, TBD) to access a proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) based manifold. In depth mechanistic investigations merging experimental analysis (NMR, IR, cyclic voltammetry) and density-functional theory (DFT) calculations reveal the key activity of a H-bonding complex  between the DHP and the base. The thermodynamic and kinetic benefits of the PCET mechanism allowed the implementation of a redox-neutral fixation process leading to synthetically relevant carboxylic acids (18 examples with isolated yields up to 75\%) under very mild reaction conditions. Finally, diverse product manipulations were performed to demonstrate the synthetic versatility of the obtained products.


## - INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the tremendous development of synthetic photocatalysis has tackled the deeper comprehension of reaction mechanisms. ${ }^{1-5}$ Recent progresses in this area were guided by the identification of new ways of generating reactive radical species in milder and more controllable conditions. Three main strategies can be distinguished in this context: (i) energy transfer (EnT), (ii) single electron transfer (SET), and (iii) hydrogen atom transfer (HAT). Furthermore, protoncoupled electron transfer (noted as MS-PCET when a proton and an electron move to-or from-different reagents) has been also explored as a convenient approach in the design of new radical-based mechanistic pathways. ${ }^{6-8}$ For example, MSPCET have been largely investigated in artificial photosynthesis, in particular for the oxygen/hydrogen evolution reactions, and for carbon dioxide reduction. ${ }^{8-11}$ More recently, its importance has been demonstrated also in photosynthetic schemes for the assembly and transformation of organic commodities. In fact, this strategy has been used for the activation of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{O})$ bonds with the generation of $\mathrm{C}^{\bullet}$ or $\mathrm{X}^{\bullet}$ radicals. ${ }^{6,7,12-15}$ The oxidative photoinduced MS-PCET (Scheme 1a) is formally a hydrogen equivalent of an hydrogen atom abstraction, while involving the transfer of an electron and a proton to different chemical entities, such as photogenerated oxidant ( $\mathrm{PC}^{*}$ ) and a Brønsted base. A MS-PCET manifold has several benefits: (i) it displays a wider thermodynamic range of action with respect to HAT; (ii) in a MS-PCET, the potential of the oxidant and the strength of the base can be tuned independently, both
contributing to an effective bond dissociation free energy (BDFE) of the oxidant/base couple (vide infra); ${ }^{7,8}$ (iii) when the transfer of the electron and of the proton from $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{H}$ is concerted, the formation of high-energy charged intermediates is avoided, thus lowering the activation barrier of the process. ${ }^{9}$ Given the lower mobility of the proton with respect to the electron, a prerequisite for a concerted process is the preassociation of the $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{H}$ group with the base, within a hydrogen bonding network. ${ }^{7-9}$ Examples of photochemical generation of a radical $\mathrm{X}^{\bullet}$ upon activation of a $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{H}$ bond through an oxidative MS-PCET have been successfully reported for $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ (Scheme 1b), ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ (alcohols and phenols), ${ }^{9,17}$ and $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{H}$ (thiols). Also $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds have been activated for the formation of $\mathrm{C}^{\bullet}$ with this strategy, although this approach has never been used for the generation of nucleophilic intermediates. ${ }^{7,12}$ A potential obstacle in promoting oxidative MS-PCET of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds is their relative reluctance in being preorganized in hydrogen bonding with the base; in previous examples, this condition was achieved by exploiting an intramolecular design properly orienting the C H and base partners. ${ }^{12}$

[^0]Scheme $1^{a}$
a) general mechanism of an oxidative MS-PCET


H-bonding complex
b) selected previous report on oxidative PCET with NH bonds

c) this work: oxidative MS-PCET - SET approach to the fixation of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ under redox neutral conditions

d) redox neutral SET - SET strategy (UV light)
e) redox neutral HAT - SET strategy (visible light)


${ }^{a}$ (a) General mechanism for oxidative MS-PCET and (b) selected example of its application to the activation of N-H bonds. (c) Aim of this work: oxidative MS-PCET-SET approach to the fixation of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ under redox neutral conditions. (d) Previously reported redox neutral SET-SET and (e) HAT-SET strategies for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ fixation.

We thus sought to use the thermodynamic benefits of a MSPCET to the generation of charged nucleophilic intermediates such as carbanions, with the final aim of developing a redoxneutral photochemical carboxylation process (Scheme 1c). ${ }^{18}$ Because of its synthetic relevance and the high interest of the whole scientific community toward the fixation of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, we tested our hypothesis in the reaction between benzylic carbanions and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{18-21}$ In particular, we envisioned an oxidative PCET with dihydropyridines (DHPs 4, in Scheme 1c) and an organic base (TBD 5) as the source of radicals, ${ }^{22}$ followed by a reductive step of the radical 6 , resulting in the generation of the carbanion 7 , able to intercept $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The oxidative and reductive steps are promoted in the photochemical cycle by the excited state and the reduced form of an organic PC, ${ }^{23,24}$ respectively. The preorganization of the DHP substrate 4 in a hydrogen bonding complex with the base 5 is pivotal to drive the oxidative PCET step.

The previously successful approaches in this area have involved a UV-light mediated SET-SET processes (Scheme 1d), ${ }^{25}$ a HAT-SET approach (Scheme 1e), ${ }^{26}$ or redoxunbalanced SET-SET mechanisms (not shown). ${ }^{27}$ To the best of our knowledge, a redox-neutral and PCET-based strategy has never been reported, despite the high generality that a PCET manifold can offer.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We initiated our study by selecting $4 \mathrm{BnDHP} \mathbf{4 a}$ as the redoxactive radical source that embodies a NH moiety. We tested by cyclic voltammetry the effect of organic bases on the oxidation of $4 \mathbf{a}$ in dimethylformamide (DMF) as the solvent (Figure S7). Under anodic scan, $4 \mathrm{a}\left(10^{-3} \mathrm{M}\right.$ in DMF) shows an irreversible wave peaking at $E_{\mathrm{pa}}=+0.59 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{vs} \mathrm{Fc}^{+} / \mathrm{Fc}\left(E_{\mathrm{pa}}=\right.$ anodic peak potential, $\mathrm{Fc}=$ ferrocene), and ascribable to one electron oxidation of $\mathbf{4 a}$ and subsequent $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ homolytic cleavage. ${ }^{28,29}$ In the presence of a base ( 1.5 equiv), the anodic process shifts to lower potentials, with the higher shift observed for TBD and 1,1,3,3-tetramethylguanidine (TMG) bases $\left(E_{\mathrm{pa}}=+0.37\right.$ and $+0.35 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{vs} \mathrm{Fc}^{+} / \mathrm{Fc}$ for TBD and TMG, respectively), suggesting a more favorable oxidation of $\mathbf{4 a}$ in the presence of a base.
The effect is observed also in acetonitrile ( MeCN ) as the solvent, with a representative case with TBD reported in Figure 1a. In $\mathrm{MeCN}, 4 \mathrm{a}$ shows an $E_{\mathrm{pa}}=+0.51 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{vs}} \mathrm{Fc}^{+} / \mathrm{Fc}$, while in the presence of TBD the wave is decreased in intensity and a new process appears at $E_{\mathrm{pa}}=+0.31 \mathrm{~V}$ vs $\mathrm{Fc}^{+} / \mathrm{Fc}$ (in the same potential range, the TBD alone gives two anodic processes peaking at $E_{\mathrm{pa}}=+0.49$ and +0.92 V vs $\left.\mathrm{Fc}^{+} / \mathrm{Fc}\right)$. Interestingly, the addition of the Schreiner's thiourea (a well-established Hbond donor) restores the initial wave typical of 4a.

These results indicate that the role of TBD is to induce a MS-PCET within a $4 \mathrm{BnDHP} / \mathrm{TBD}$ hydrogen-bonded adduct. With the aim of further confirming these findings, we
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Figure 1. (a) Cyclic voltammograms and (b) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of 4 a (red), $4 \mathrm{a}+$ TBD 5 (green), $4 \mathrm{a}+$ TBD $5+$ Schreiner's thiourea 9 (blue). (c) Optimized geometries of $4 \mathrm{BnDHP} / \mathrm{TBD}$ at the ground (left) and [4BnDHP/TBD] ${ }^{\bullet+}$ oxidized (right) states, at the B3LYP/6$311+\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ level of theory, including a polarizable continuum model of acetonitrile solvent. The spin density in $[4 \mathrm{BnDHP} / \mathrm{TBD}]^{\bullet+}$ is also represented and is entirely localized in the DHP moiety (right).
conducted additional analysis by using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, FT-IR, UVvis, and DFT calculations.
By ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis, the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ signal of 4 a shows a shift from 6.7 to 5.0 ppm after the addition of TBD, accompanied by a broadening of the peak (from 8 to 32 Hz ; blue and green traces in Figure 1b). Conversely, the other signals of 4 a undergo negligible changes, thus ruling out a deprotonation of 4a by TBD. ${ }^{30}$ As expected, the addition of a competitive H -
bond donor such as Schreiner's thiourea restores the original signal at 6.5 ppm of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ (red trace in the NMR in Figure 1b).
The absence of major deprotonation of 4BnDHP by TBD was indeed supported by FT-IR in MeCN solution, where the stretching of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bond of $4 \mathbf{a}$ at $3360 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ persists also in the presence of 1.5 equiv of TBD (Figure S8).

Consistently, the UV-vis analysis of $4 \mathrm{a}\left(10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right.$ in MeCN ) in the presence of a large excess of TBD (up to $5 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ ) allowed us to estimate a $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ value of $30.5 \pm 0.3$ for the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ group in 4a (Figure S9 and see details in Supporting Information), 4.5 times higher than the one associated with the $\mathrm{TBDH}^{+} / \mathrm{TBD}$ couple, $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=26 .{ }^{31}$
To get insights into the impact of the H -bonding network in the one electron oxidation of the $4 \mathrm{BnDHP} / \mathrm{TBD}$ adduct, we performed DFT calculations. The one-electron oxidation of the $\mathbf{4 a}$ within the $4 \mathrm{BnDHP} / \mathrm{TBD}$ was modeled at the B3LYP/ $6-311+\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ level of theory, with a polarizable continuum model of MeCN solvent. ${ }^{32,33}$ Figure 1c reports the optimized geometry of the $4 \mathrm{BnDHP} / \mathrm{TBD}$ adduct (optimized as a neutral, singlet species), revealing the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bond with $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ distances of 1.04 and $1.87 \AA$, respectively, and a $\mathrm{NH} N$ angle of $178.8^{\circ} .{ }^{34,35}$ A second geometry with different relative orientations of $\mathbf{4 a}$ and TBD was considered, showing a similar energy and similar distances and angles within the H-bonding network, see Figure S14 in the Supporting Information. In agreement with MS-PCET manifold, when optimizing the $4 \mathrm{BnDHP} / \mathrm{TBD}$ adduct upon one electron oxidation (positively charged species with doublet multiplicity), the proton from the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ group in 4 a migrates to the nitrogen of TBD. In the optimized geometry of the resulting state $\left[4 \mathrm{BnDHP}\left(\mathrm{N}^{\bullet}\right) / \mathrm{TBDH}\right]^{\bullet+}$, an H bonding is still present and involves the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ moieties of $\mathrm{TBDH}^{+}$and the N atom of the dihydropyridine, with distances of 2.14 and $2.31 \AA$. The spin density is entirely localized on the DHP scaffold (Figure 1c). The DFT analysis thus confirms a MS-PCET process in the oxidation of the $4 \mathrm{BnDHP} / \mathrm{TBD}$ adduct to $\left[4 \mathrm{BnDHP}\left(\mathrm{N}^{\bullet}\right) / \mathrm{TBDH}\right]^{\bullet+}$, where the electron is removed from the 4 a with the concomitant proton transfer to the TBD.

With this information in hand, we next evaluated the feasibility of a PCET-based photocarboxylation process. Based on previous reports on light-driven carboxylation methods, we initially evaluated the reaction with the 4CzIPN 11 in DMF under a $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ atmosphere ( 1 atm ) and 435 nm irradiation (Table 1, entry 1). In the absence of a base, no carboxylation product was detected.

As for the CV experiments, we performed a screening of bases (Table 1, entries 2-5). The best performance was obtained with TBD, with $71 \%$ yield (Table 1, entry 5). Solvent screening, concentration, and the catalyst loading were later evaluated (Table 1, entries 6-8) to identify the best reaction conditions (Table 1, entry 8, 76\% yield). As expected the reactivity was completely suppressed in the absence of the PC or in the dark (Table 1, entries 9-10).

Recently, König et al. reported the evolution of 11 in the presence of benzylic radical precursors into a benzylated derivative 4 CzBnBN (12), which was shown to be the real active photocatalyst. ${ }^{36}$ Indeed, $\mathbf{1 2}$ was verified to form also in our conditions, and consistently the reaction starting from the isolated $\mathbf{1 2}$ provides a similar $73 \%$ carboxylation yield (Table 1, entry 11). A good yield was obtained also with a less oxidizing photocatalyst 3DPA2FBN 13 (Table 1, entry 12, $71 \%)$. Indeed, the excited state of the photocatalyst drives the

Table 1. Reaction Scheme and Photocatalysts Employed ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a} E^{*}{ }_{\text {ox }}$ and $E^{*}{ }_{\text {red }}$ refer to the potentials of the $\mathrm{PC}^{\bullet+} / \mathrm{PC}^{*}$ and $\mathrm{PC}^{*} /$ $\mathrm{PC}^{\bullet-}$ couples, respectively; ${ }^{24}$ potentials are reported $\mathrm{vs}_{\mathrm{Fc}}{ }^{+} / \mathrm{Fc}$ (for comparison with literature data: $E$ vs $\mathrm{Fc}^{+} / \mathrm{Fc}=E$ vs $\mathrm{SCE}-0.37 \mathrm{~V}$ ). The BDFE values are calculated according to eq 1 (vide infra). Optimization of reaction parameters: General conditions are 0.1 mmol of $4 \mathrm{a}, 1 \mathrm{~atm}$. of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ of solvent. ${ }^{b}$ Yields are given by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis with dibromomethane as internal standard. ${ }^{c} 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of 11 was used. ${ }^{d}$ No light irradiation.
oxidative MS-PCET within the $4 \mathrm{BnDHP} / \mathrm{TBD}$ adduct. The energetics of the oxidative MS-PCET process can be discussed on the basis of the effective BDFE formalism (vide supra), ${ }^{7,8}$ by combining the potential of the oxidant (in this case the excited photocatalyst) and the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the acid/base couple (in this case the $\mathrm{TBDH}^{+} / \mathrm{TBD}, \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=26$ in acetonitrile):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{BDFE}_{\mathrm{eff}}\left(\mathrm{PC}^{*} / \mathrm{TBD}\right) \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \\
&= 23.06 \times E\left(\mathrm{PC}^{*} / \mathrm{PC}^{\bullet-}\right)+1.37 \\
& \times \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{TBDH}^{+} / \mathrm{TBD}\right)+55 \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, the $\mathrm{BDFE}_{\text {eff }}$ is $114.1,108.6$, and $102.4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for photocatalysts 11, 12, and 13, respectively, thus being suitable to promote formal hydrogen abstraction from the $\mathbf{4 a}$ substrate (BDFE of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bond ca. $90 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ). ${ }^{37}$ As a selected case, the reactivity of $12^{*}$ toward the $4 \mathrm{BnDHP} / \mathrm{TBD}$ adduct was confirmed through emission experiments. Under deaerated or $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ saturated acetonitrile, the $12^{*}$ is characterized by a lifetime of 29 ns and of $4.8 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ for the singlet and triplet excited states, respectively (Figure S11; under similar conditions the parent $11^{*}$ shows a lifetime of 20
ns for the singlet and of $5.1 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ for the triplet, ${ }^{24,38,39}$ Figure S12). Upon addition of $4 \mathrm{BnDHP} / \mathrm{TBD}$, a progressive decay of both the singlet and the triplet lifetimes is observed, indicative of a dynamic quenching; a Stern-Volmer plot of the triplet lifetime versus the concentration of $4 \mathrm{BnDHP} / \mathrm{TBD}$ provides a second order rate constant for the quenching of $1.5 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$ $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$, approaching the diffusion limit (Figure S13).

A further investigation on the nature and on the time evolution of the formed species by transient absorption spectroscopy was hampered by the available instrumental setup, which allows for laser excitation at 355 nm , where the substrate 4a gives competitive absorption.

Upon oxidative MS-PCET and fragmentation, the pathway most likely involves a subsequent reduction step of the benzyl radical 6a by the reduced photocatalyst $\mathbf{1 2}^{\circ-}(E=-2.17 \mathrm{~V}$ vs $\mathrm{Fc}^{+} / \mathrm{Fc}$ for the $\mathbf{1 2 / 1 2}{ }^{\boldsymbol{-}-}$ couple) resulting in the generation of a benzylic carbanion 7 a (estimated $E \mathrm{ca} .-2.4 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{vs} \mathrm{Fc}^{+} / \mathrm{Fc}$ for the $\mathbf{6 a} / 7 \mathrm{a}$ couple), ${ }^{40}$ through a radical-polar crossover manifold. This mechanism has been recently established as a general and reliable strategy for the generation of reactive nucleophilic intermediates. ${ }^{26,41}$ In this case the presence of an aryl group is key to the stabilization of the carbanion. The benzyl carbanion $7 \mathrm{a}^{33}$ is finally capable of reacting with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (Scheme 2). ${ }^{42}$

Scheme 2. Proposed Reaction Mechanism for the Redox Neutral Photocarboxylation Method Herein Developed


After having investigated the mechanism of the reaction, we explored the generality of the carboxylation process by testing different dihydropyridines (Figure 2). Primary aryl radicals were generated efficiently both from electron-rich and electron-deficient substrates, resulting in the corresponding carboxylated products $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{- 1 7}$ with isolated yields spanning from $52 \%$ to $63 \%$. Remarkably, when scaling up the model reaction to a 1 mmol scale, we obtained the desired phenylacetic acid 10 with an increased $75 \%$ isolated yield, 103 mg . Secondary and tertiary precursors were also competent substrates furnishing the carboxylated products 18-22 from $36 \%$ to $58 \%$ yield. We also investigated heteroaromatic DHPs 23-26, obtaining good isolated yields up to $57 \%$.

In order to confirm the radical nature of process, a new cyclopropyl DHP $\mathbf{4 b}$ was synthesized and subjected to the optimized reaction conditions. The carboxylation in this case took place at the more stabilized benzylic position, resulting in the synthesis of a valuable 2 -allyl benzoic acid 27 . It is worth mentioning that all the products were isolated without the need of column chromatography.

Other radical precursors were also investigated (Scheme 3a). Different benzylic $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{~K}$ or $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{NBu}_{4}$ salts afforded the


Figure 2. Scope of photochemical carboxylation with DHPs. Yields are given by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis with dibromomethane as internal standard. Isolated yields in parentheses.
respective products up to $70 \%$ isolated yield. In this case, the only operative mechanism is the classical SET, and in agreement with this the less oxidizing PC 13 was not able to promote the carboxylation process (see SI), further confirming our mechanistic findings.
We next demonstrated the synthetic usefulness of the obtained carboxylated products (Scheme 3b). As shown in Scheme 3, 10 was transformed trough a Curtius rearrangement procedure into the carbamate derivative 31 in $78 \%$ overall yield. Using L-menthol, the ester 32 was obtained in $97 \%$ yield starting from 10. Finally, product 18 was converted into the corresponding Weinreb amide, and subsequently treated with phenylmagnesium bromide to yield the aryl ketone 33 in 66\% yield over two steps.

## - CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, a novel photocarboxylation method using $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was developed. The process works through an innovative redox-neutral PCET-SET manifold that was investigated by experimental analysis (NMR, CV, IR and UV-vis) and supported by DFT calculations. The developed method allows access to a series of diverse benzylic radical intermediates, which were readily converted into the corresponding carbanions capable of engaging in the nucleophilic addition to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (isolated yields up to $75 \%$ ). Finally, we have demonstrated the versatility of the carboxylated products with a series of simple transformations.

## ■ EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information. Chromatographic purification of products was accomplished using flash chromatography on silica gel $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, $0.04-0.063 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) purchased from Machery-Nagel, with the indicated solvent system according to the standard techniques. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) analysis was performed on precoated Merck TLC plates (silica gel $60 \mathrm{GF} 254,0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Visualization of the developed chromatography was performed by checking UV
absorbance ( 254 and 365 nm ) as well as with phosphomolybdic acid and potassium permanganate solutions. Organic solutions were concentrated under reduced pressure on a Büchi rotary evaporator. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 300 spectrometer equipped with a BBO-z grad probehead, a Bruker 400 AVANCE III HD equipped with a BBI-z grad probehead, and a Bruker AVANCE Neo 600 equipped with a TCI Prodigy cryoprobe. The chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ are given in ppm relative to residual signals of the solvents ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} @ 7.26 \mathrm{ppm}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $77.2 \mathrm{ppm}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR; acetone @ $2.05 \mathrm{ppm}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $29.84 \mathrm{ppm}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR). Coupling constants are given in Hz . The following abbreviations are used to indicate the multiplicity: s, singlet; d, doublet; t , triplet; q , quartet; m , multiplet; qd, quartet of doublets; brs, broad singlet; brd, broad doublet; brt, broad triplet. NMR yields were calculated by using dibromomethane ( $4.95 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ) as internal standard. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained using Waters GCT gas chromatograph coupled with a time-of-flight mass spectrometer (GC/MS-TOF) with electron ionization (EI). Steady-state absorption spectroscopy studies have been performed at room temperature on a Varian Cary 50 UVvis double beam spectrophotometer; 10 mm path length Hellma Analytics 100 QS quartz cuvettes have been used. Nanosecond transient absorption measurements were performed with an Applied Photophysics laser flash photolysis apparatus, using a frequencydoubled ( $532 \mathrm{~nm}, 330 \mathrm{~mJ}$ ) or tripled ( $355 \mathrm{~nm}, 160 \mathrm{~mJ}$ ) Surelite Continuum II Nd/YAG laser (half-width $6-8 \mathrm{~ns}$ ) as excitation source. Transient detection was obtained using a photomultiplieroscilloscope combination (Hamamatsu R928, LeCroy 9360). IR measurements were carried out at room temperature on a JASCO FT/IR-4100 spectrophotometer; 1 mm path length Hellma Analytics 100 QX quartz cuvettes have been used. The electrochemical characterizations were carried out at room temperature, on a BASi EC Epsilon potentiostat-galvanostat. A typical three-electrode cell was employed, which was composed of glassy carbon (GC) working electrode ( 3 mm diameter), a platinum wire as counter electrode, and a silver/silver chloride electrode $(\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{NaCl} 3 \mathrm{M}))$ as reference electrode. The reference electrode is a silver wire that is coated with a thin layer of silver chloride; the electrode body contains sodium chloride ( NaCl 3 M ). The GC electrode was polished before any

Scheme $3^{a}$
a)

b)

${ }^{a}$ (a) Reaction performed with $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{~K}$ and $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{NBu}_{4}$ salts. (b) Products manipulations. DPPA: diphenylphosphoryl azide; DCC: $N, N^{\prime}$ dicyclohexylcarbodiimide; DMAP: 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine; EDC: N -(3-dimethylaminopropyl)- $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride; DIPEA: $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine.
measurement with diamond paste and ultrasonically rinsed with deionized water for 15 min .
General Procedures A and B for the Synthesis of 4BnDHP. A: 4-Substituted-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydropyridine-3,5-dicarboxylates $\mathbf{4 a - i}$ and $41-\mathbf{o}$ were prepared according to the literature. ${ }^{43}$

B: 4-Substituted-1,4-dihydropyridine-3,5-dicarbonitriles $\mathbf{4 j}$ and $\mathbf{4 k}$ were prepared according to the literature. ${ }^{44}$
Diethyl 4-benzyl-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydropyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate (4a). Synthesized following general procedure A using 1.16 mL ( $10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of phenylacetaldehyde in 6 h . Pure 4a was obtained using flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate $8: 2$ to $7: 3$ ) in $34 \%$ yield ( $1.17 \mathrm{~g}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a pale-yellow powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.21-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-$ $6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-3.97(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$ $\mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.9,145.4,139.4,130.2$, 127.4, 125.7, 102.0, 59.7, 42.4, 35.6, 19.3, 14.5 ppm . These data matched with those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{45}$

Diethyl 2,6-dimethyl-4-((2-phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)-1,4-dihy-dropyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate (4b). Synthesized following general procedure A using 420 mg ( $2.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of 2-(2phenylcyclopropyl)acetaldehyde (S12) in 4 h . Pure $\mathbf{4 b}$ was obtained using flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate 9:1) in $33 \%$ yield ( $329 \mathrm{mg}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a pale-yellow powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.23$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.9,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.9$, $4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.10-0.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.78-0.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 168.1,168.0,145.2,145.0,144.6,128.2,125.4,125.1$, 103.2, 102.8, 59.7, 40.9, 33.6, 23.9, 20.7, 19.64, 19.60, 16.5, 14.6 ppm. HRMS (ESI-MS) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{4}{ }^{-}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$382.2024, found 382.2046.

Diethyl 4-(4-bromobenzyl)-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydropyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate (4c). Synthesized following general procedure A using 468 mg ( $2.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of 2 -(4-bromophenyl)acetaldehyde (S1) in 6 h . Pure 4c was obtained using flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate $9: 1$ to $8: 2$ ) in $27 \%$ yield ( $277 \mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a pale-yellow powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.53$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.8,145.8,138.4,131.9$, 130.3, 119.7, 101.5, 59.8, 41.7, 35.4, 19.3, 14.5 ppm . These data matched with those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{43}$

Diethyl 4-(3-chlorobenzyl)-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydropyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate (4d). Synthesized general procedure A using 375 mg ( $2.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of 2-(3-chlorophenyl)acetaldehyde ( $\mathbf{S 2}$ ) in 6 h . Pure 4 d was obtained using flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate $9: 1$ to $8: 2$ ) in $37 \%$ yield ( $337 \mathrm{mg}, 0.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a pale-yellow powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.15-7.01$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 167.8, 145.8, 141.6, 133.2, 130.3, 128.6, 128.5, 125.9, 101.7, 59.9, 42.1, 35.6, 19.4, 14.5 ppm . HRMS (ESI-MS) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{ClNO}_{4}^{-}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$376,1321, found 372.1322.

Diethyl 2,6-dimethyl-4-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzyl)-1,4-dihy-dropyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate (4e). Synthesized following general procedure A using 204 mg ( $2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of 2 -(4(trifluoromethoxy) phenyl)acetaldehyde (S3) in 6 h . Pure 4 e was obtained using flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate $9: 1$ to $85: 15$ ) in $39 \%$ yield ( $335 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a paleyellow powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.03(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 151 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.6,147.6(\mathrm{q}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 145.4,138.3$, 131.2, $120.5(\mathrm{q}, J=254.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 119.9,101.8,59.7,41.6,35.5,19.3,14.3 \mathrm{ppm}$. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $188 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-58.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{~F}) \mathrm{ppm}$. HRMS (ESIMS) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{5}{ }^{-}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$426.1534, found 426.1563.

Diethyl 4-(2,4-dimethylbenzyl)-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydropyri-dine-3,5-dicarboxylate (4f). Synthesized following general procedure A using $1 \mathrm{~g}(6.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of 2-(2,4-dimethylphenyl)acetaldehyde in 5 h . Pure 4 f was obtained using flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate 8:2) in $34 \%$ yield ( $842 \mathrm{mg}, 2.3$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ as a pale-yellow powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.89$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.03-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 168.0, 145.2, 137.1, 135.3, 134.1, 131.2, 130.6, 125.8, 103.0, 59.7, 39.3, 33.7, 21.0, 19.5, 19.4, 14.3 ppm . HRMS (ESI-MS) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{4}^{-}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-} 370.2024$, found 370.2011.

Diethyl 2,6-dimethyl-4-(1-phenylethyl)-1,4-dihydropyridine-3,5dicarboxylate (4g). Synthesized following general procedure A using 1.33 mL ( $10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of 2-phenylpropanal in 4 h . Pure $\mathbf{4 g}$ was obtained using flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate 9:1 to 7:3) in $35 \%$ yield ( $1.25 \mathrm{~g}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a pale-yellow powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \delta 7.19-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.28$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.66 (qd, $J=7.2,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 1.13-1.02 (m, 6H) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 168.74, 168.71, 145.5, 145.2, 144.5, 128.8, 127.5, 126.1, 101.4, 101.3,
59.92, 59.89, 46.3, 19.6, 19.5, 15.8, 14.7, 14.6 ppm . These data matched with those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{45}$

Diethyl 4-(1-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethyl)-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydro-pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate (4h). Synthesized following general procedure $A$ using $1.4 \mathrm{~g}(8.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of 2-(4methoxyphenyl)propanal (S8) in 4 h . Pure 4 h was obtained using flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate 8:2) in 35\% yield ( $1.16 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a pale-yellow powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{qd}, J=7.2,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 168.6,168.5,158.0,145.3$, $145.0,136.4,129.5,112.7,101.2,101.0,59.73,59.68,55.4,45.3,40.3$, 19.4, 19.2, 15.9, 14.5, 14.4 ppm . HRMS (ESI-MS) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{5}^{-}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$386.1973, found 386.1976.

Diethyl 2,6-dimethyl-4-(1-phenylpropyl)-1,4-dihydropyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate (4i). Synthesized following general procedure A using 352 mg ( $2.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of 2 -phenylbutanal ( S 4 ) in 6 h . Pure $4 \mathbf{i}$ was obtained using flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate $9: 1$ ) in $52 \%$ yield ( $462 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a paleyellow powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.19-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.03-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.00$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.1,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.75-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{td}, J=7.1,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.76(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 169.0, 168.5, 145.6, $145.5,142.5,129.6,127.2,126.1,101.6,100.9,59.92,59.88,55.0$, 39.0, 23.3, 19.5, 14.69, 14.66, 12.9 ppm . These data matched with those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{43}$

2,6-Dimethyl-4-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-1-yl)-1,4-dihydro-pyridine-3,5-dicarbonitrile (4j). Synthesized following general procedure B using $625 \mathrm{mg}(3.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-1-carbaldehyde (S9) in 4 h . Pure 4 j was obtained using flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate $6: 4$ to $4: 6$ ) in $12 \%$ yield ( $137 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a pale-yellow powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.25-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-$ $7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 147.5,146.9,139.0,135.1,129.3,128.8$, 126.5, 125.9, 118.7, 117.8, 84.4, 82.7, 43.5, 42.1, 30.2, 24.9, 21.4, 18.72, 18.66 ppm. HRMS (ESI-MS) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{3}^{-}$[M-$\mathrm{H}]^{-}$288.1506, found 288.1513 .

2,6-Dimethyl-4-(2-phenylpropan-2-yl)-1,4-dihydropyridine-3,5dicarbonitrile (4k). Synthesized following general procedure B using 1.08 g ( $7.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of 2-methyl-2-phenylpropanal (S10) in 4 h. Pure $4 \mathbf{k}$ was obtained using flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate $6: 4$ to $4: 6$ ) in $45 \%$ yield ( $910 \mathrm{mg}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a pale-yellow powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.26-7.17$ (m, $5 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 148.6,144.2,127.8,127.0$, 126.7, 119.7, 81.0, 47.6, 46.6, 24.6, 18.3 ppm . These data matched with those previously reported in the literature.

Diethyl 2,6-dimethyl-4-(naphthalen-2-ylmethyl)-1,4-dihydropyr-idine-3,5-dicarboxylate (4I). Synthesized following general procedure A using 391 mg ( $2.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of 2-(naphthalen-2yl )acetaldehyde ( $\mathbf{S 5}$ ) in 5 h . Pure 41 was obtained using flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate 75:25) in 34\% yield ( $307 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a pale-yellow powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.80-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-$ $7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~s}$, 6 H ), $1.19(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 168.0, 145.6, 137.1, 133.4, 132.1, 129.3, 128.4, 127.62, 127.60, 126.5, 125.7, 125.1, 101.9, 59.7, 42.6, 35.8, 19.3, 14.5 ppm. HRMS (ESI-MS) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{4}{ }^{-}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$392.1867, found 392.1870 .

Diethyl 2,6-dimethyl-4-(naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)-1,4-dihydropyr-idine-3,5-dicarboxylate ( 4 m ). Synthesized following general procedure $\mathbf{A}$ using 306 mg ( $1.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of 2-(naphthalen-1-
yl)acetaldehyde (S6) in 5 h . Pure $\mathbf{4 m}$ was obtained using flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate 75:25) in 29\% yield ( $205 \mathrm{mg}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a pale-yellow powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.38(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.65(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.51-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.04(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.93-$ $3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.9,145.4,135.2,133.7,133.2,128.3,128.1,126.6,125.4$, 125.3, 125.1, 124.9, 102.8, 59.6, 39.8, 34.2, 19.4, 13.8 ppm . These data matched with those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{46}$

Diethyl 2,6-dimethyl-4-(thiophen-3-ylmethyl)-1,4-dihydropyri-dine-3,5-dicarboxylate (4n). Synthesized following general procedure A using 306 mg ( $2.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of 2-(thiophen-3$\mathrm{yl})$ acetaldehyde $(\mathbf{S} 7)$ in 6 h . Pure 4 n was obtained using flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate $75: 25$ ) in $34 \%$ yield ( $289 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a pale-yellow powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.9,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.9,1.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.26$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 168.0$, 145.4, 139.7, 130.1, 123.7, 122.0, 102.1, 59.8, 36.6, 35.2, 19.5, 14.6 ppm. HRMS (ESI-MS) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}^{-}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$ 348.1275, found 348.1310.

Diethyl 2,6-dimethyl-4-(1-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethyl)-1,4-dihydro-pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate (40). Synthesized following general procedure A using 563 mg ( $3.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of 2-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanal (S11) in 5 h . Pure 40 was obtained using flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate 75:25) in 37\% yield ( $465 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a pale-yellow powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48$ (as, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.8$, $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.8,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 168.7, 168.6, 145.7, 145.3, 142.0, 133.4, 132.5, 128.0, 127.9, 127.7, 126.9, 126.6, 125.8, 125.3, 101.3, 101.1, 59.9, 59.9, 46.5, 40.5, 19.6, 19.4, 15.8, 14.6, 14.4 ppm. These data matched with those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{43}$

General Procedure C for the Synthesis of $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{~K}$ and $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{NBu}_{4}$ Salts. $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{~K}$ salts were synthesized following a reported procedure. ${ }^{47}$ $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{NBu}_{4}$ salts were prepared from the corresponding potassium salts by ion-exchange according to the literature procedures. ${ }^{48}$ The yield of this step was quantitative.

Tetrabutyl ammonium benzyltrifluoroborate (28a). Synthesized following general procedure $\mathbf{C}$ using $357 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ( $3.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of benzyl bromide. Pure 28a was obtained in $72 \%$ yield ( $883 \mathrm{mg}, 2.2$ mmol) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.12-$ $7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 148.0, 129.7, $127.8,122.9,30.4,30.0 \mathrm{ppm}$. These data matched with those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{49}$

Tetrabutyl ammonium 4-methylbenzyltrifluoroborate (28b). Synthesized following general procedure $\mathbf{C}$ using 555 mg (3.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) 4-methylbenzyl bromide. Pure 28b was obtained in $70 \%$ yield ( $872 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Acetone $\left.-d_{6}\right) \delta 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.19$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, Acetone- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta$ 144.7, 131.5, 129.7, 128.6, 21.0 ppm . These data matched with those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{30}$

Potassium 2-methoxybenzyltrifluoroborate (28c). Synthesized following general procedure $\mathbf{C}$ using $420 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ( $3.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of 3-methoxylbenzyl bromide. Pure 28c was obtained in $70 \%$ yield (479 $\mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $6.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70-6.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Acetone $-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 160.0,149.7,128.5,122.4,115.4,108.5,55.0 \mathrm{ppm}$. These data matched with those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{50}$

General Procedure D for Photochemical Carboxylation Reaction. In a 4 mL vial, DHPs $\mathbf{4 a}-\mathbf{4 o}$ or trifluoroborate salt $\mathbf{2 8 a} \mathbf{- c}$
( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), photocatalyst ( $0.002 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and TBD ( $0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) were added, and then the vial was closed with a PTFE/silicone septum cap and degassed with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The reagents were dissolved in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$-degassed acetonitrile ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.05 \mathrm{M}$ ) and the reaction mixture was bubbled with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ for 30 s . Then, the vial was placed in the photochemical reactor shown in section A. 2 of the Supporting Information and irradiated for 15 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. NMR yield was measured using $14 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$ and $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of acetic acid. The solvent was removed, the product was moved in a separating funnel using 10 mL of hexane:DCM 9:1, and 10 mL of a NaOH 1 M aqueous solution were added. The two phases were separated, and then the aqueous phase was washed 2 more times with hexane:DCM 9:1. The reunited aqueous phase was acidified adding a HCl 2 M aqueous solution dropwise until pH 2 is reached. The acidified aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate $(5 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phases were collected, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure, yielding the pure product.

2-Phenylacetic acid (10). Synthesized following general procedure D starting from 34.3 mg ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of 4 a , using 11 as photocatalyst. Pure 10 was obtained in $76 \%$ NMR and $63 \%$ isolated yield ( $8.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.063 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.37-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}(101$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 178.1,133.2,129.3,128.6,127.3,41.1 \mathrm{ppm}$. These data matched with those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{51}$

Scale-up Synthesis of 2-Phenylacetic Acid (10) in 1 mmol Scale. In a 50 mL Schlenk tube, 343 mg ( $1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of 4 a , 4CzIPN 11 ( $15.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and TBD ( $209 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5$ mmol, 2 equiv) were added. The Schlenk tube was subjected to 3 vacuum $/ \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ cycles, and then the reagents were dissolved in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}-$ degassed acetonitrile ( $20 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.05 \mathrm{M}$ ), and the reaction mixture was bubbled with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ for 30 s . Then, the Schlenk tube was wrapped with an LED strip and placed in the photochemical reactor shown in section A. 2 of the Supporting Information and irradiated for 15 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, the product was moved in a separating funnel using 80 mL of ethyl hexane:DCM 9:1, and 120 mL of a NaOH 1 M aqueous solution were added. The two phases were separated, and then the aqueous phase was further washed with hexane:DCM 9:1 $(2 \times 80 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reunited aqueous phase was acidified adding a HCl 2 M aqueous solution ( 100 mL ). The acidified aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $5 \times 50$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The organic phases were collected, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure, giving the pure product 10 in $75 \%$ yield $(103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a pale orange solid.

2-(4-Bromophenyl)acetic acid (14). Synthesized following general procedure $\mathbf{D}$ starting from 42.2 mg ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of 4 c , using 11 as photocatalyst. Pure 14 was obtained in $65 \%$ NMR and $52 \%$ isolated yield $(11.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.052 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 176.9, 132.1, $131.8,131.1,121.5,40.3 \mathrm{ppm}$. These data matched with those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{52,53}$

2-(2-Chlorophenyl)acetic acid (15). Synthesized following general procedure $\mathbf{D}$ starting from 37.7 mg ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of $\mathbf{4 d}$, using 11 as photocatalyst. 15 was obtained in $40 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR yield, as judged by integration of the diagnostic benzylic peak at $3.47 \mathrm{ppm} .^{54}$

2-(4-(Trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)acetic acid (16). Synthesized following general procedure D starting from 42.7 mg ( 0.1 mmol , 1.0 equiv) of 4 e , using 11 as photocatalyst. 16 was obtained in $59 \%$ ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR yield, as judged by integration of the diagnostic benzylic peak at 3.50 ppm . ${ }^{55}$

2-(2,4-Dimethylphenyl)acetic acid (17). Synthesized following general procedure $\mathbf{D}$ starting from 37.1 mg ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of 4f, using 11 as photocatalyst. Pure 17 was obtained in $63 \%$ NMR and $54 \%$ isolated yield $(8.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.054 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 177.5,137.5,136.9,131.5,130.4,129.2$, 127.1, 38.6, 21.2, 19.7 ppm . HRMS (ESI-MS) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$163.0765, found 163.0748.

2-Phenylpropanoic acid (18). Synthesized following general procedure $\mathbf{D}$ starting from 35.7 mg ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of $\mathbf{4 g}$, using 11 as photocatalyst. Pure 18 was obtained in $68 \%$ NMR and $58 \%$ isolated yield $(8.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.058 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.41-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $181.0,139.9,128.8,127.7,127.5,45.5,18.2 \mathrm{ppm}$. These data matched with those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{26}$

2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)propanoic acid (19). Synthesized following general procedure $\mathbf{D}$ starting from 38.7 mg ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of 4 h , using 13 as photocatalyst. Pure 19 was obtained in $47 \%$ isolated yield ( $8.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.047 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 180.8,159.0,132.0,128.8,114.2,55.4$, $44.6,18.3 \mathrm{ppm}$. These data matched with those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{26}$

2-Phenylbutanoic acid (20). Synthesized following general procedure $\mathbf{D}$ starting from $37.1 \mathrm{mg}(0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of $4 \mathbf{i}$, using 11 as photocatalyst. 20 was obtained in $51 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR yield, as judged by integration of the diagnostic benzylic peak at $3.34 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{54}$

1,2,3,4-Tetrahydronaphthalene-1-carboxylic acid (21). Synthesized following general procedure $\mathbf{D}$ starting from $28.9 \mathrm{mg}(0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) of $4 \mathbf{j}$, using 11 as photocatalyst. Pure 21 was obtained in $37 \%$ NMR and $36 \%$ isolated yield ( $6.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a paleyellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.28-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.86$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-$ $1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 181.3,137.3,132.6,129.6,129.5,127.1,125.8,44.5,29.1$, $26.5,20.4 \mathrm{ppm}$. These data matched with those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{56,57}$

2-Methyl-2-phenylpropanoic acid (22). Synthesized following general procedure $\mathbf{D}$ starting from 27.7 mg ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of 4 k , using 13 as photocatalyst. Pure 22 was obtained in $43 \%$ isolated yield ( $7.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.043 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.44-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.22(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 181.9$, 142.9, 127.4, 125.9, 124.8, 45.3, 26.2 ppm . These data matched with those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{58}$

2-(Naphthalen-2-yl)acetic acid (23). Synthesized following general procedure $\mathbf{D}$ starting from $39.3 \mathrm{mg}(0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of 41, using 11 as photocatalyst. Pure 23 was obtained in $48 \%$ NMR and $42 \%$ isolated yield $(7.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.042 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.91-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-$ $7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 177.4,133.4,132.6,130.7,128.3,128.2,127.73,127.68,127.3$, 126.2, 125.9, 41.1 ppm . These data matched with those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{51}$

2-(Naphthalen-1-yl)acetic acid (24). Synthesized following general procedure $\mathbf{D}$ starting from 39.3 mg ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of 4 m , using 11 as photocatalyst. Pure 24 was obtained in $58 \%$ NMR and $49 \%$ isolated yield ( $9.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.049 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.97$ (brd, $\left.J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $4.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 178.2, 133.9, 132.1, 129.9, 128.9, 128.5, 128.3, 126.6, 126.0, 125.6, 123.8, 38.9 ppm. These data matched with those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{59}$

2-(Thiophen-3-yl)acetic acid (25). Synthesized following general procedure $\mathbf{D}$ starting from 34.9 mg ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of $4 \mathbf{n}$, using 13 as photocatalyst. Pure 25 was obtained in $40 \%$ isolated yield (5.7 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a pale-yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=4.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 176.9,132.9,128.6,126.1,123.5,35.6 \mathrm{ppm}$. HRMS (ESIMS) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{-}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$141.0016, found 141.0018.

2-(Naphthalen-2-yl)propanoic acid (26). Synthesized following general procedure $\mathbf{D}$ starting from 40.8 mg ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of 4o, using 11 as photocatalyst. Pure 26 was obtained in $60 \%$ NMR and $57 \%$ isolated yield $(11.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.057 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.90-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.92$ $(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 180.5,137.4,133.6,132.9,128.6,128.0,127.8$, 126.6, 126.4, 126.1, 125.9, 45.6, 18.3 ppm . These data matched with those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{60}$
2-Phenylpent-4-enoic acid (27). Synthesized following general procedure $\mathbf{D}$ starting from 38.3 mg ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of $\mathbf{4 b}$, using 11 as photocatalyst. Pure 27 was obtained in $37 \%$ isolated yield ( 6.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.037 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.37-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.79-5.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12-5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.1$, $8.4,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.9,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 179.7,137.9,134.9,128.7,128.2,127.6,117.3$, $51.4,37.1 \mathrm{ppm}$. These data matched with those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{61}$
2-(p-Tolyl)acetic acid (29). Synthesized following general procedure $\mathbf{D}$ starting from 41.5 mg ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of $\mathbf{2 8 b}$, using 11 as photocatalyst. After workup the crude was further purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether:EtOAc, 7:3 + 1\% AcOH ) to get pure 29 in $43 \%$ isolated yield ( $6.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.043 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.21-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 178.5, 137.1, 130.3, 129.5, 129.4, 40.8, 21.2 ppm . These data matched with those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{5}$

2-(3-Methoxyphenyl)acetic acid (30). Synthesized following general procedure D starting from 22.8 mg ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) of $\mathbf{2 8 c}$, using 11 as photocatalyst. Pure 30 was obtained in $71 \%$ NMR and $70 \%$ isolated yield ( $11.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.070 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.28-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 177.7, 159.7, 134.7, 129.6, 121.7, 115.1, 112.9, 55.2, 41.1 ppm . These data matched with those previously reported in the literature."

Procedures and Characterizations for Product Manipulation. Benzyl benzylcarbamate (31). The procedure was adapted from a report in literature. ${ }^{63} 68.1 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 0}(0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) were dissolved in 2 mL of toluene, $119 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of diphenylphosphoryl azide ( $0.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), and $77 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ triethylamine ( $0.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) were added. The mixture was refluxed, using an oil bath, for 2 h under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Gas release was observed. The reaction mixture was cooled to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $62 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of benzyl alcohol ( $0.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) were added in one portion. The mixture was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, using an oil bath, for 2 days. After cooling to room temperature, 40 mL of water were added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 $\times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic phases were washed with water (2 $\times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and once with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residual oil was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using toluene:ethyl acetate $98: 2$ to $95: 5$ as eluent mixture, giving 31 in $78 \%$ yield ( 93.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $7.42-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 156.6,138.5,136.6$, 128.8, 128.7, 128.3, 127.7, $67.0,45.3 \mathrm{ppm}$. These data matched with those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{64}$
(1R,2S,5R)-2-Isopropyl-5-methylcyclohexyl 2-phenylacetate (32). Synthesized following a reported procedure, ${ }^{65}$ starting from 13.6 mg of $\mathbf{1 0}$ ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) Pure 32 was obtained using flash chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate 8:2 to 7:3) in $97 \%$ yield (26.6 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.097 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a pale-yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.37-7.26 (m, 5H), 4.71 (td, $J=11.2,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.03-1.94 (m, 1H), 1.80-1.74 (m, 1H), 1.73-1.65 (m, 2H), 1.54$1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.11-1.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.00-0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.94-0.86$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz},(6 \mathrm{H}), 0.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\right.$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.2,134.4,129.2,128.5,126.9,74.7,47.1$, 41.9, 40.8, 34.3, 31.4, 26.1, 23.4, 22.0, 20.7, 16.3 ppm . These data matched with those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{65}$

1,2-Diphenylpropan-1-one (33). Synthesized following a procedure from a literature report, ${ }^{66}$ starting from 26.7 mg of 18 ( 0.18 mmol, 1.0 equiv) Pure 33 was obtained using flash chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate $95: 5$ ) as a colorless oil in $91 \%$ yield ( 24.5 mg , $0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 66 \%$ over 2 steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.25$
$(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95$ (s,3H), $1.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 208.3,140.7,128.9,127.8,127.1,53.6,28.2,17.2 \mathrm{ppm}$. These data matched with those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{66}$
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