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#### Abstract

Given a sequence of $\left(G_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of finite transitive groups of degree $n_{i}$ ，let $W_{\infty}$ be the inverse limit of the iterated permutational wreath products $G_{m}$ 乙 $\cdots$ 乙 $G_{2}$ 乙 $G_{1}$ ． We prove that $W_{\infty}$ is（topologically）finitely generated if and only if $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(G_{i} / G_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ is finitely generated and the growth of the minimal number of generators of $G_{i}$ is bounded by $d \cdot n_{1} \cdots n_{i-1}$ for a constant $d$ ．Moreover we give a criterion to decide whether $W_{\infty}$ is positively finitely generated．
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\left(G_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of finite transitive permutation groups of degree $n_{i}$ and let $W_{m}=G_{m} \imath \cdots 乙 G_{2}$ 乙 $G_{1}$ be the iterated（permutational）wreath product of the first $m$ groups．The infinitely iterated wreath product is the inverse limit

$$
W_{\infty}=\lim _{\underset{m}{ }}^{\lim _{m}}=\underset{m}{\lim _{\overleftarrow{m}}}\left(G_{m} \imath \cdots \prec G_{2} \prec G_{1}\right)
$$

In a recent paper Bondarenko［2］studies some sufficient conditions on the se－ quence $\left(G_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ to get that the profinite group $W_{\infty}$ is（topologically）finitely gen－ erated：under the conditions that the minimal number of generators $d\left(G_{i}\right)$ of $G_{i}$ is bounded by a constant $d$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(G_{i} / G_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ is finitely generated，using techniques from branch groups，he produces a finitely generated dense subgroup of $W_{\infty}$ ．

Since $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(G_{i} / G_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ is a homomorphic image of $W_{\infty}$ ，the second condition is clearly also a necessary condition：if $W_{\infty}$ is generated as a profinite group by $d$ elements，then $d\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(G_{i} / G_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq d$ ．

Another necessary condition comes from the observation that if $K$ is a finite permutation group of degree $n$ and $H$ is finite，then $d(H) \leq n \cdot d(H \backslash K)$（see the remark at the beginning of Section 5）．Since $W_{i}=G_{i} 2 W_{i-1}$ where $W_{i-1}$ is a per－
mutation group of degree $n_{1} n_{2} \cdots n_{i-1}$, it follows that if $W_{\infty}$ is finitely generated by $d$ elements, then $d\left(G_{i}\right) \leq d \cdot n_{1} n_{2} \cdots n_{i-1}$ for every $i>1$.

The main result of this paper is that these two necessary conditions are also sufficient.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\left(G_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of transitive permutation groups of degree $n_{i}$. The inverse limit $W_{\infty}$ of the iterated wreath products $G_{m} \imath \cdots \prec G_{2} \prec G_{1}$ is finitely generated if and only if
(1) $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(G_{i} / G_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ is finitely generated,
(2) there exists an integer $d$ such that $d\left(G_{i}\right) \leq d \cdot n_{1} \cdots n_{i-1}$ for every $i>1$.

Actually, we prove that there exists an absolute constant $k_{0}$ such that

$$
d\left(W_{\infty}\right) \leq \max \left(d+2, d\left(W_{i_{0}}\right)\right)+d\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(G_{i} / G_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

where $i_{0}$ denotes the first index such that $n_{1} \cdots n_{i_{0}-1} \geq \log _{60} k_{0}$. Indeed, $k_{0}$ is the smallest positive integer with the property: if a finite group $L$ has a unique minimal normal subgroup $N$ and $|N| \geq k_{0}$, then $P_{L}(d) \geq \frac{1}{2} P_{L / N}(d)$ for each $d \geq 2$, where $P_{L}(d)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.P_{L / N}(d)\right)$ denotes the probability of generating $L$ (resp. $L / N$ ) with $d$ elements. The existence of such a constant is ensured by the main theorem in [19]. On the other hand, we conjecture that for every $d \geq 2$ and every monolithic group $L$ with socle $N$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{L}(d) \geq \frac{53}{90} P_{L / N}(d) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(equality holds if $L=\operatorname{Alt}(6)$ and $d=2$ ). If this were true, our result would become

$$
d\left(W_{\infty}\right) \leq \max \left(d+2, d\left(G_{1}\right)\right)+d\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(G_{i} / G_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

For example, the inequality (1.1) is satisfied if the socle of $N$ is a direct power of alternating or sporadic simple groups [25]: this implies that if every non-abelian composition factor in the transitive permutation groups $G_{i}$ is alternating or sporadic, then $d\left(W_{\infty}\right) \leq \max \left(d+2, d\left(W_{1}\right)\right)+d\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(G_{i} / G_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on a generalization to the "non-soluble" case of some results in [15] and [16]. In that papers the author considered the generation of the wreath product $W=H \imath K$ of two finite permutation groups $H$ and $K$ and a formula was found for $d(W)$ in the case where $H$ is soluble. Later, in [4], the minimal number of generators of a group $G$ was connected to some special homomorphic images of $G$ whose behavior can be studied with the help of an equivalence
relation among the chief factors of $G$ (see Section 2 for more details). Using these new techniques, we are able to control the "non-abelian" part of the problem and to produce a formula for $d(W)$ whenever the degree of $K$ is large enough.

Infinitely iterated wreath products appear in literature with several motivations. For example they can be viewed as automorphism groups of suitably constructed rooted trees and play a relevant role in the study of self-similar groups (see e.g. [9] and [10]). Moreover, they provide a useful tool to construct examples and counterexamples in the context of profinite groups (see e.g. [20], [24], and [17]). Bhattacharjee [1] and Quick [21,22] considered wreath products of non-abelian simple groups with transitive action and proved that their inverse limit is generated by two elements even with positive probability. Recall that a profinite group $G$ may be viewed as a probability space with respect to the normalized Haar measure and that $G$ is called positively finitely generated (PFG) if for some $k$ a random $k$-tuple generates $G$ with positive probability. From the papers of Bhattacharjee and Quick, it follows that an infinitely iterated wreath product of transitive groups $G_{i}$ is PFG when every $G_{i}$ is a nonabelian simple group. However, in [17] an example is given of an infinitely iterated wreath product of transitive groups that is 2-generated but non-PFG.

In Proposition 6.2, with the help of a result by Jaikin-Zapirain and Pyber [11], we will obtain a criterion that makes it possible to decide whether $W_{\infty}$ is PFG from information on the structure of the transitive groups $G_{i}$ and their degree $n_{i}$.

## 2 Generating crown-based powers

Let $L$ be a monolithic primitive group and let $A$ be its unique minimal normal subgroup. For each positive integer $k$, let $L^{k}$ be the $k$-fold direct product of $L$. The crown-based power of $L$ of size $k$ is the subgroup $L_{k}$ of $L^{k}$ defined by

$$
L_{k}=\left\{\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}\right) \in L^{k} \mid l_{1} \equiv \cdots \equiv l_{k} \bmod A\right\}
$$

Equivalently, $L_{k}=A^{k} \operatorname{Diag} L^{k}$.
Let, as usual, $d(G)$ denote the minimal number of generators of a finite group $G$. In [4] it is proved that for every finite group $G$ there exists a monolithic group $L$ and a homomorphic image $L_{k}$ of $G$ such that
(1) $d(L / \operatorname{soc} L)<d(G)$,
(2) $d\left(L_{k}\right)=d(G)$.

An $L_{k}$ with this property will be called a generating crown-based power for $G$. In [4] it is explained how $d\left(L_{k}\right)$ can be computed in terms of $k$ and the structure of $L$. A key ingredient when one wants to determine $d(G)$ from the behavior of
the crown-based power homomorphic images of $G$ is to evaluate for each monolithic group $L$ the maximal $k$ such that $L_{k}$ is a homomorphic image. This integer $k$ comes from an equivalence relation among the chief factors of $G$. More generally, following [12], we say that two irreducible $G$-groups $A$ and $B$ are $G$-equivalent and we put $A \sim_{G} B$ if there is an isomorphism $\Phi: A \rtimes G \rightarrow B \rtimes G$ such that the following diagram commutes:


Note that two $G$-isomorphic $G$-groups are $G$-equivalent. In the particular case where $A$ and $B$ are abelian the converse is true: if the groups $A$ and $B$ are abelian and $G$-equivalent, then $A$ and $B$ are also $G$-isomorphic. It is proved that two chief factors $A$ and $B$ of $G$ are $G$-equivalent if and only if either they are $G$-isomorphic between them or there exists a maximal subgroup $M$ of $G$ such that $G / \operatorname{Core}_{G}(M)$ has two minimal normal subgroups $N_{1}$ and $N_{2} G$-isomorphic to $A$ and $B$ respectively. For example, the minimal normal subgroups of $L_{k}$ are all $L_{k}$-equivalent.

Let $A=X / Y$ be a chief factor of $G$. A complement $U$ to $A$ in $G$ is a subgroup $U$ of $G$ such that $U X=G$ and $U \cap X=Y$. We say that $A=X / Y$ is Frattini if $X / Y$ is contained in the Frattini subgroup of $G / Y$; this is equivalent to saying that $A$ is abelian and there is no complement to $A$ in $G$. The number $\delta_{G}(A)$ of nonFrattini chief factors $G$-equivalent to $A$ in any chief series of $G$ does not depend on the series. Now, we denote by $L_{A}$ the monolithic primitive group associated to $A$, that is

$$
L_{A}= \begin{cases}A \rtimes\left(G / C_{G}(A)\right) & \text { if } A \text { is abelian } \\ G / C_{G}(A) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

If $A$ is a non-Frattini chief factor of the group $G$, then $L_{A}$ is a homomorphic image of $G$. More precisely, there exists a normal subgroup $N$ such that $G / N \cong L_{A}$ and $\operatorname{soc}(G / N) \sim_{G} A$ (in the following we will sometimes identify $\operatorname{soc} L_{A}$ with $A$ as $G$-groups). Consider now all the normal subgroups $N$ with the property that $G / N \cong L_{A}$ and $\operatorname{soc}(G / N) \sim_{G} A$ : the intersection $R_{G}(A)$ of all these subgroups has the property that $G / R_{G}(A)$ is isomorphic to the crown-based power $\left(L_{A}\right)_{\delta_{G}(A)}$ ( $L_{A, \delta_{G}(A)}$ for short). The socle $I_{G}(A) / R_{G}(A)$ of $G / R_{G}(A)$ is called the $A$-crown of $G$ and it is a direct product of $\delta_{G}(A)$ minimal normal subgroups $G$-equivalent to $A$. Later we will use the facts that

$$
I_{G}(A)=\{g \in G \mid g \text { induces an inner automorphism on } A\}
$$

and $A \sim_{G} B$ implies $I_{G}(A)=I_{G}(B)$. In particular, if $A$ and $B$ are chief factors of $G$ and $A \sim_{G} B$, then $R_{G}(A)=R_{G}(B)$ and $L_{A} \cong L_{B}$.

Note that if $L_{k}$ is a homomorphic image of $G$ for some $k \geq 1$, then $L$ is associated to a non-Frattini chief factor $A$ of $G\left(L \cong L_{A}\right)$ and $k \leq \delta_{G}(A)$. If $L_{A, k}$ is a generating crown-based power, then $L_{A, \delta_{G}(A)}$ has the same property: in this case, by abuse of notation, we will say that $A$ is a generating chief factor for $G$.

The minimal number of generators of a generating crown-based power can be computed when $A$ is abelian with the help of the following formula: for an irreducible $G$-module $M$, set

$$
r_{G}(M)=\operatorname{dim}_{\operatorname{End}_{G}(M)} M, \quad s_{G}(M)=\operatorname{dim}_{\operatorname{End}_{G}(M)} H^{1}(G, M)
$$

and define

$$
h_{G}(M)= \begin{cases}\delta_{G}(M) & \text { if } M \text { is a trivial } G \text {-module } \\ {\left[\frac{s_{G}(M)-1}{r_{G}(M)}\right]+2} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Note that, as $G / R \cong L_{M, k}$ where $R=R_{G}(M)$ and $k=\delta_{G}(M)$, we obtain $\delta_{G}(M)=\delta_{G / R}(M)=\delta_{L_{M, k}}(M)$. Moreover, if $\delta_{G}(M)>0$, then $R \leq C_{G}(M)$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{E_{E n d_{G}}(M)} H^{1}(G, M)=\delta_{G}(M)+\operatorname{dim}_{E^{E n d}(M)} H^{1}\left(G / C_{G}(M), M\right)$ (see e.g. [1.2] in [16]) and therefore $r_{G}(M)=r_{G / R}(M)$ and $s_{G}(M)=s_{G / R}(M)$. We conclude that if $\delta_{G}(M)>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{G}(M)=h_{L_{M, \delta_{G}(M)}}(M) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From a result by Gaschütz [8, Satz 2], we have either $h_{G}(M)=d\left(L_{M, \delta_{G}(M)}\right)$ or $h_{G}(M)<d\left(L_{M} / M\right)$. Therefore we have the following:

Proposition 2.1. If there exists an abelian generating chief factor $A$ for $G$, then

$$
d(G)=h_{G}(A)
$$

In our discussion we will employ different arguments according to the existence or not of an abelian generating chief factor. In the first case it is useful to notice that

Proposition 2.2. Let $d\left(I_{G}\right)$ be the minimal number of generators of the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{Z} G$ as a $G$-module. If $G$ has an abelian generating chief factor $A$, then

$$
d(G)=d\left(I_{G}\right)=h_{G}(A)
$$

Proof. By a result of Cossey, Gruenberg and Kovács [3, Theorem 3]

$$
d\left(I_{G}\right)=\max \left\{h_{G}(M) \mid M \text { irreducible } G \text {-module }\right\}
$$

thus $d\left(I_{G}\right) \geq h_{G}(A)=d(G)$. Since $d\left(I_{G}\right) \leq d(G)$, we have an equality.

Theorem 1.1 will be derived by an extension to the non-abelian crowns of the following:

Proposition 2.3 ([16, Proposition 1]). If $H$ is a finite group and $G$ is a transitive permutation group of degree $n$, then

$$
d\left(I_{H \imath G}\right)=\max \left\{d\left(I_{H / H^{\prime} \imath G}\right),\left[\frac{d\left(I_{H}\right)-2}{n}\right]+2\right\} .
$$

## 3 Crowns in wreath products

Let $H$ be a finite group and $K$ be a transitive group of degree $n$ and denote by

$$
W=H \imath K=H^{n} \rtimes K
$$

the (permutational) wreath product of $H$ and $K$, where $K$ permutes the components of the base subgroup $H^{n}=H_{1} \times \cdots \times H_{n}$.

In this section we want to study the relation between the chief factors of $H$ and the chief factors of $W$. First note that if $A$ is an $H$-group, then $A^{n}$ can be seen as a $W$-group where $H^{n}$ acts componentwise and $K$ permutes the components of the elements. When dealing with $A^{n}$ as a $W$-group, we will usually refer to this action. We say that an $H$-group $A$ is irreducible if the only $H$-groups contained in $A$ are $A$ and $\{1\}$; we say that an $H$-group is trivial if the action of $H$ on $A$ is the trivial one, that is, $H=C_{H}(A)$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $A$ and $B$ be irreducible $H$-groups.
(1) If $A$ is a non-trivial $H$-group, then $A^{n}$ is an irreducible non-trivial $W$-group.
(2) If $A \sim_{H} B$, then $A^{n} \sim_{W} B^{n}$.
(3) If $A$ and $B$ are non-trivial $H$ groups and $A \propto_{H} B$, then $A^{n} \varkappa_{W} B^{n}$.
(4) If $A$ is a non-central chief factor of $H$ and $L$ is the associated monolithic group, then $A^{n}$ is a chief factor of $W$ and the monolithic primitive group associated to $A^{n}$ is isomorphic to $L$ २K.

Proof. (1) Let $N \neq 1$ be a $W$-group contained in $A^{n}=A_{1} \times \cdots \times A_{n}$ and suppose $1 \neq\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in N$ is a non-trivial element. As $K$ is transitive on the components, we can assume $x_{1} \neq 1$. Note that $C_{A}(H)$ is a proper $H$-subgroup of $A$, hence $C_{A}(H)=1$ by irreducibility of $A$. Thus we obtain $\left[x_{1}, H\right] \neq 1$ and in particular $\left[x_{1}, H\right]$ is a non-trivial $H$-subgroup of $A$, hence $\left[x_{1}, H\right]=A$. Therefore $\left[\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), H_{1}\right]=\left[x_{1}, H\right] \times\{1\} \times \cdots \times\{1\}=A_{1}$ is contained in $N$ and, by the transitivity of the action of $K$, we conclude that $A^{n} \leq N$.
(2) Let $A \sim_{H} B$ : there exists an isomorphism $\Phi: A \rtimes H \rightarrow B \rtimes H$ such that the following diagram commutes:


Now define $\Psi: A^{n} \rtimes W \rightarrow B^{n} \rtimes W$ by the position

$$
\left(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right) k\right)^{\Psi}=\left(a_{1}^{\phi}, \ldots, a_{n}^{\phi}\right)\left(h_{1}^{\Phi}, \ldots, h_{n}^{\Phi}\right) k
$$

Thus $\Psi$ is a well-defined isomorphism for which the following diagram is commutative:

where $\psi$ is the restriction to $A^{n}$ of $\Psi$, and therefore $A^{n} \sim_{W} B^{n}$.
(3) Assume, by contradiction, that $A^{n} \sim_{W} B^{n}$. We shall first consider the case where the groups $A$ and $B$ are abelian. Then the $W$-equivalence relation is simply the $W$-isomorphism relation and $A^{n} \sim_{W} B^{n}$ implies that there exists a $W$-isomorphism $\psi: A^{n} \rightarrow B^{n}$. Note that we have $C_{A^{n}}(K)=\operatorname{Diag}\left(A^{n}\right) \cong A$ and similarly $C_{B^{n}}(K)=\operatorname{Diag}\left(B^{n}\right) \cong B$. Since $\psi$ is a $W$-isomorphism, it follows that the restriction of $\psi$ to $C_{A^{n}}(K)$ is a $W$-isomorphism between $C_{A^{n}}(K)=\operatorname{Diag}\left(A^{n}\right)$ and $C_{B^{n}}(K)=\operatorname{Diag}\left(B^{n}\right)$. This implies that there is an $H$-isomorphism between $A$ and $B$, and we conclude that $A \sim_{H} B$.

We now consider the case where $A$ and $B$ are non-abelian. Assume that the diagram (3.2) is commutative. First of all we note that the minimal normal subgroups of $A^{n} \rtimes H^{n}$ contained in $A^{n}$ are the subgroups $A_{i}$. Moreover the $A_{i}^{\psi}$ are minimal normal subgroups of $\left(A^{n} \rtimes H^{n}\right)^{\Psi}=B^{n} \rtimes H^{n}$ contained in $\left(A^{n}\right)^{\psi}=B^{n}$. It follows that $A_{i}^{\psi}=B_{j}$ for some $j$. In particular, $A \cong B$ as groups.

If $A_{1}^{\psi}=B_{1}$, then consider that $\left[\prod_{i>1} A_{i}, H_{1}\right]=1$ implies

$$
\left[\prod_{i>1} A_{i}, H_{1}\right]^{\Psi}=\left[\prod_{i>1} A_{i}^{\Psi}, H_{1}^{\Psi}\right]=\left[\prod_{i>1} B_{i}, H_{1}^{\Psi}\right]=1
$$

thus $H_{1}^{\Psi} \leq C_{B^{n} \rtimes H^{n}}\left(\prod_{i>1} B_{i}\right)$. Moreover, $H_{1}^{\Psi} \leq B^{n} \rtimes H_{1}$ since the right part of the diagram (3.2) commutes, and therefore

$$
H_{1}^{\Psi} \leq C_{B^{n} \rtimes H^{n}}\left(\prod_{i>1} B_{i}\right) \cap\left(B^{n} \rtimes H_{1}\right) \leq B_{1} \rtimes H_{1}
$$

It follows that the following diagram commutes,

and $A_{1} \sim_{H_{1}} B_{1}$. Since the action of $H$ on $A$ and $B$ is equal to the action of $H_{1}$ on $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$ respectively, $A \underset{\sim}{\sim} B$ and we are done.

We are left with the case $A_{1}^{\psi} \neq B_{1}$; then there exists an index $j \neq 1$ such that $A_{j}^{\psi}=B_{1}$. Note that we cannot argue as above, since now $A_{1}^{\psi} \rtimes H_{1}^{\Psi}$ is contained in $B_{1} B_{j} \rtimes H_{1}$ but not in $B_{j} \rtimes H_{1}$ and hence we cannot simply "restrict" the diagram (3.2) to one component.

Since the right part of the diagram (3.2) commutes, for every $h \in H_{1}$ there exist unique elements $b_{i} \in B$ such that $h^{\Psi}=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) h$ : we define the map $\beta: H_{1} \mapsto B_{1}$ by sending $h$ to the element $h^{\beta}=\left(b_{1}, 1 \ldots, 1\right)$. Then $\left[H_{1}, A_{j}\right]=1$ implies $\left[H_{1}^{\Psi}, B_{1}\right]=1$ and hence $h^{\beta} h$ commutes with every element of $B_{1}$. It follows that the map $\Theta: A_{j} \rtimes H_{1} \mapsto B_{1} \rtimes H_{1}$ defined by $\left(a_{j} h\right)^{\Theta}=a_{j}^{\psi} h^{\beta} h$ is a well-defined homomorphism for which the following diagram is commutative,

and hence $A_{j} \sim_{H_{1}} B_{1}$ (note that the action of $H_{1}$ on $A_{j}$ is the trivial one and it is not equivalent to the action of $H$ on $A$ ).

Now, by definition,

$$
I_{H_{1}}\left(A_{j}\right)=\left\{x \in H_{1} \mid x \text { induces an inner automorphism on } A_{j}\right\}=H_{1}
$$

hence $A_{j} \sim_{H_{1}} B_{1}$ implies $I_{H_{1}}\left(B_{1}\right)=I_{H_{1}}\left(A_{j}\right)=H_{1}$. Then

$$
I_{W}\left(B^{n}\right)=\left(I_{H}(B)\right)^{n}=H^{n}
$$

and since $B^{n} \sim_{W} A^{n}$, we get $I_{W}\left(A^{n}\right)=I_{w}\left(B^{n}\right)=H^{n}$. Therefore we find that $I_{H}(A)=H=I_{H}(B)$. As we will see in the subsequent Lemma 3.2, from the facts that $I_{H}(A)=H=I_{H}(B)$ and that $A \cong B$ as groups, we get that $A$ and $B$ are $H$-equivalent to the same trivial $H$-group. By transitivity, it follows that $A \sim_{H} B$ and this gives the desired contradiction.
(4) Let $A$ be a chief factor of $H$. Then $L \cong H / C_{H}(A)$ if $A$ is non-abelian, $L \cong A \rtimes H / C_{H}(A)$ otherwise. Note that $C_{W}\left(A^{n}\right) \leq \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} C_{W}\left(A_{i}\right) \leq H^{n}$, as the action of $K$ on the components is faithful. Hence $C_{W}\left(A^{n}\right)=C_{H}(A)^{n}$. Then $W / C_{W}\left(A^{n}\right) \cong\left(H / C_{H}(A)\right)$ ८ $K$ and the result follows.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose $A$ is a $G$-group with trivial center. If $I_{G}(A)=G$, then $A$ is $G$-equivalent to the trivial $G$-group $A^{*}$, where $A^{*}=A$ as a group.

Proof. This is a consequence of the definition (see the remark after Proposition 1.2 in [12]) and Theorem 11.4.10 in [23], but for the readers' convenience, we will sketch a direct proof.

As $A$ has trivial center and $I_{G}(A)=G$, there is a homomorphism $f: G \mapsto A$ which send $g \in G$ to the element $f(g)$ in $A$ such that $a^{f(g)}=a^{g}$ for every $a \in A$. Let $A^{*}$ be the trivial $G$-group equal to $A$ as a group. Now we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi: A^{*} \times G & \rightarrow A \rtimes G, \\
(a, g) & \mapsto a f(g)^{-1} g .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that, by definition of $f$, for every $g \in G$ the element $f(g)^{-1} g$ centralizes the elements of $A$ in $A \rtimes G$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(a_{1}, g_{1}\right)\left(a_{2}, g_{2}\right)\right)^{\Phi} & =\left(a_{1} a_{2}, g_{1} g_{2}\right)^{\Phi}=a_{1} a_{2} f\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right)^{-1} g_{1} g_{2} \\
& =a_{1}\left(a_{2} f\left(g_{2}\right)^{-1}\right)\left(f\left(g_{1}\right)^{-1} g_{1}\right) g_{2} \\
& =a_{1}\left(f\left(g_{1}\right)^{-1} g_{1}\right)\left(a_{2} f\left(g_{2}\right)^{-1}\right) g_{2} \\
& =\left(a_{1}, g_{1}\right)^{\Phi}\left(a_{2}, g_{2}\right)^{\Phi}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $a_{2} f\left(g_{2}\right)^{-1} \in A$. This shows that $\Phi$ is a homomorphism. Then the following diagram is commutative,

and we conclude that $A \sim_{G} A^{*}$.
From now on, $B$ will denote the base subgroup $H^{n}$ of $W=H$ 乙 $K=B \rtimes K$. Let us fix a chief series of $H$ passing through the derived subgroup $H^{\prime}$ of $H$

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=N_{t} \triangleleft N_{t-1} \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft N_{t^{\prime}}=H^{\prime} \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft N_{1} \triangleleft N_{0}=H . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since every $N_{i}^{n}$ is normal in $W$, we can refine the series $\left(N_{i}^{n}\right)_{i}$ to get a $W$-chief series of $B$ passing through the derived subgroup $B^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=M_{s_{t}} \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft M_{s_{t^{\prime}}}=N_{t^{\prime}}^{n}=B^{\prime} \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft M_{1} \triangleleft M_{0}=B . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every prime $p$, let $d_{p}\left(H / H^{\prime}\right)$ be the minimal number of generators of the Sylow $p$-subgroup of $H / H^{\prime}$. Note that $d_{p}\left(H / H^{\prime}\right)=h_{H / H^{\prime}}(A)$ where $A$ is a central non-Frattini chief-factor of $H / H^{\prime}$ of order $p$. Moreover, if $A=X / Y$ is a central non-Frattini (i.e., complemented) chief-factor of $H$, then $X$ cannot be contained in $H^{\prime}$; therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{p}\left(H / H^{\prime}\right)=h_{H}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)=h_{H / H^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A \sim_{H} \mathbb{F}_{p}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ is the irreducible trivial $\mathbb{F}_{p} H$-module.
Proposition 3.3. Suppose $M=M_{i} / M_{i+1}$ is a non-Frattini chieffactor of the series (3.4).
(1) If $M_{i} \leq B^{\prime}$, then there is a non-Frattini chief factor $A=X / Y$ of the series (3.3) contained in $H^{\prime}$ such that $M=X^{n} / Y^{n}$. Moreover $M$ is not $W$-equivalent to any chief factor of $W / B^{\prime}, \delta_{W}(M)=\delta_{H}(A)$ and $L_{M} \cong L_{A}$ 乙 $K$.
(2) If $B^{\prime} \leq M_{i+1}<M_{i} \leq B$, then

$$
\delta_{W}(M) \leq \delta_{K}(M)+d_{p}\left(H / H^{\prime}\right) r_{K}(M)
$$

where $p$ is the exponent of $M$.
(3) If $B \leq M_{i+1}$, and $M$ is not equivalent to any $W$-chief factor of $B / B^{\prime}$, then the action of $W$ on $M$ induces an action of $K$ on $M, \delta_{W}(M)=\delta_{K}(M)$ and the primitive monolithic group associated to $M$ is the same in the two actions.

Proof. (1) We first prove that the map $A=X / Y \mapsto A^{n}=X^{n} / Y^{n}$ gives a bijection between the set of non-Frattini chief factors of the series (3.3) contained in $H^{\prime}$ and the set of non-Frattini chief factors of the series (3.4) contained in $B^{\prime}$.

Let $A=X / Y$ be a non-Frattini chief factor of the series (3.3) contained in $H^{\prime}$. Note that the central complemented chief factors of (3.3) lie above $H^{\prime}$. Then $A$ is not central and hence, by Proposition 3.1, we have that $A^{n}$ is a non-central chief factor of the series (3.4) contained in $B^{\prime}$. Moreover, if $U$ is a complement to $A$ in $H$, then $U \backslash K$ is a complement to $A^{n}$ in $W$. This implies that the map is well defined.

To prove that the map is bijective, it is sufficient to show that if $A=N_{i} / N_{i+1}$ is a Frattini chief factor of $H$, then every chief factor $X / Y$ of the series (3.4) with $N_{i+1}^{n} \leq Y<X \leq N_{i}^{n}$ is Frattini. We can assume $N_{i+1}=1$; thus $A \leq$ Frat $H$ and $A^{n} \leq(\text { Frat } H)^{n}=$ Frat $B \leq$ Frat $W$ and we are done.

To prove that $\delta_{H}(A)=\delta_{W}\left(A^{n}\right)$ it is sufficient to show that $A^{n}$ cannot be equivalent to any $W$-chief factor containing $B^{\prime}$, indeed, from Proposition 3.1, we already know there are $\delta_{H}(A)$ chief factors of (3.4) which are $W$-equivalent to $A^{n}$
inside $B^{\prime}$. Assume, by contradiction, that $A^{n} \sim_{W} M=X / Y$ where $B^{\prime} \leq Y \leq$ $X \leq W$. Then $I_{W}\left(A^{n}\right)=I_{W}(M)$. But, on one hand, $I_{W}\left(A^{n}\right)=\left(I_{H}(A)\right)^{n} \leq B$, on the other hand $I_{W}(M)=X C_{W}(X)$. This implies $X \leq B$ and $I_{W}(M)=B$. In particular, as $B^{\prime} \leq Y, M$ is centralized by $B$. Therefore the two factors $A^{n}$ and $M$ are abelian, the equivalence relation reduces to a $W$-isomorphism and hence $A^{n}$ is centralized by $B$. It follows that $A$ is a central factor of $H$, but this is a contradiction, since complemented central chief factors of (3.3) lie above $H^{\prime}$.

Finally, by Proposition 3.1, we get that $L_{M}=L_{A}$ 乙 $K$.
(2) Set $\bar{H}=H / H^{\prime}$ and note that $B \leq C_{W}(M)$, hence the action of $W$ on $M$ induces an action of $K$ on $M$. We follow the arguments of Lemma 2.1 in [15] and Lemma 4.1 in [16]. Since we are dealing with non-Frattini factors, we can assume that the Frattini subgroup of $\bar{H}$ is trivial. The Sylow $p$-subgroup $\bar{H}_{p}$ of $\bar{H}$ is a vector space of dimension $d=d_{p_{n}}(\bar{H})$ generated, say, by the elements $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{d}$. Then the Sylow $p$-subgroup $\bar{H}_{p}^{n}$ of $\bar{H}^{n}$ is generated, as an $\mathbb{F}_{p} K$-module, by the elements $\left(h_{i}, 1, \ldots, 1\right)$. In particular, $\bar{H}^{n}$ is the direct sum of $d$ cyclic $\mathbb{F}_{p} K$-modules, and the number of complemented $\mathbb{F}_{p} K$-modules $K$-equivalent to $M$ in $\bar{H}^{n}$ is at most $d_{p}(\bar{H}) r_{K}(M)$ where $r_{K}(M)=\operatorname{dim}_{\text {End }}^{K}(M)(M)$ (see [15, Lemma 2.1]). It follows that $\delta_{W}(M) \leq \delta_{K}(M)+d_{p}(\bar{H}) r_{K}(M)$.
(3) It is sufficient to note that $B \leq C_{W}(M)$ and that, by the first part of the proposition, $M$ cannot be equivalent to any chief factor contained in $B^{\prime}$.

Now we consider non-trivial $W$-modules (abelian $W$-groups) and the values of the function $h_{W}$ on them.

Proposition 3.4. Let $p$ be a prime and $M$ be a non-trivial irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{p} W$-module.
(1) If $M$ is $W$-equivalent to a non-Frattini $W$-chieffactor contained in $B^{\prime}$, then there exists a non-trivial irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{p} H$-module $U$ such that $M \sim_{W} U^{n}$ and $h_{W}(M) \leq\left\lceil\frac{h_{H}(U)-2}{n}\right\rceil+2$.
(2) If $M$ is $W$-equivalent to a non-Frattini $W$-chief factor of $B / B^{\prime}$, then we have $h_{W}(M) \leq h_{K}(M)+d_{p}\left(H / H^{\prime}\right)$.
(3) If $M$ is not $W$-equivalent to any non-Frattini $W$-chieffactor of $B$ but satisfies $\delta_{W}(M)=\delta_{K}(M) \geq 1$, then $h_{W}(M)=h_{K}(M)$.
(4) If $\delta_{W}(M)=0$, then $h_{W}(M) \leq 2$.

Proof. (1) The first part follows from Propositions 3.3, the bound of $h_{W}(M)$ is proved in [16, step 2.5].
(2) Since $M$ is $W$-equivalent to a chief factor of $B / B^{\prime}, B$ centralizes $M$ and hence $r_{W}(M)=r_{K}(M)$. Let $\bar{H}=H / H^{\prime}$. From Proposition 3.3 we conclude
that $\delta_{W}(M) \leq \delta_{K}(M)+d_{p}(\bar{H}) r_{K}(M)$. Moreover (see (1.2) in [16]),

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{W}(M) & =\delta_{W}(M)+\operatorname{dim}_{\operatorname{End}_{W}(M)} H^{1}\left(W / C_{W}(M), M\right) \\
& \leq \delta_{K}(M)+d_{p}(\bar{H}) r_{K}(M)+\operatorname{dim}_{\operatorname{End}_{K}(M)} H^{1}\left(K / C_{K}(M), M\right) \\
& =d_{p}(\bar{H}) r_{K}(M)+s_{K}(M)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{W}(M) & =\left[\frac{s_{W}(M)-1}{r_{W}(M)}\right]+2 \leq\left[\frac{d_{p}(\bar{H}) r_{K}(M)+s_{K}(M)-1}{r_{K}(M)}\right]+2 \\
& \leq h_{K}(M)+d_{p}(\bar{H})
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) Since $\delta_{W}(M)=\delta_{K}(M) \geq 1$, it follows that $M$ is not equivalent to any chief factor contained in $B$ and hence $B$ is contained in $R_{W}(A)$ where $A$ is a chief factor $W$-equivalent to $M$ (every minimal normal subgroup of $W / R_{W}(A)$ is $W$-equivalent to $A$ ). By the same arguments used to prove equation (2.1), it follows that $h_{W}(M)=h_{W / B}(M)=h_{K}(M)$.
(4) This is proved in Lemma 1.5 of [14].

## 4 Number of generators of wreath products

Let $L$ be a monolithic primitive group with socle $N$. Let us denote by $P_{L}(d)$ (resp. $P_{L / N}(d)$ ) the probability of generating $L$ (resp. $L / N$ ) with $d$ elements, and, for $d \geq d(L)$, let

$$
P_{L, N}(d)=P_{L}(d) / P_{L / N}(d)
$$

When $N$ is non-abelian, the formula given in [4] to evaluate $d\left(L_{t}\right)$ is the following:

Theorem 4.1 ([4, Theorem 2.7]). Let L be a monolithic primitive group with nonabelian socle $N$ and let $d \geq d(L)$. Then $d\left(L_{t}\right) \leq d$ if and only if

$$
t \leq \frac{P_{L, N}(d)|N|^{d}}{\left|C_{\mathrm{Aut}} L(L / N)\right|}
$$

In Theorem 1.1 in [19] it is proved that if $|N|$ is large enough and $d \geq 2$ random elements generate $L$ modulo $N$, then these elements almost certainly generate $L$ itself:

Theorem 4.2 ([19, Theorem 1.1]). There exists a positive integer $k_{0}$ such that, if $L$ is a monolithic primitive group with socle $N$ and $|N| \geq k_{0}$, then for every $d \geq d(L)$ we have $P_{L, N}(d) \geq 1 / 2$.

Proposition 4.3. Let $L$ be a monolithic primitive group with a non-abelian socle $N$, let $K$ be a transitive group of degree $n$ and set $L^{*}=L$ \}K. Assume that $|N|^{n} \geq k_{0}$. For every positive integer $t$ and every integer $d \geq d\left(L^{*} / \operatorname{soc} L^{*}\right)-2$, if $d\left(L_{t}\right) \leq d \cdot n$, then $d\left(L_{t}^{*}\right) \leq d+2$.

Proof. Since $L_{t}$ can be generated by $n d$ elements, by Theorem 4.1 we have that

$$
t \leq \frac{P_{L, N}(n d)|N|^{n d}}{\left|C_{\mathrm{Aut} L}(L / N)\right|}
$$

As $N \leq C_{\text {Aut } L}(L / N)$ and $P_{L, N}(n d) \leq 1$, we deduce $t \leq|N|^{n d-1}$.
Now, again by Theorem 4.1, to prove that $d\left(L_{t}^{*}\right) \leq \bar{d}+2$, it is sufficient to prove that

$$
t \leq \frac{P_{L^{*}, M}(d+2)|M|^{d+2}}{\left|C^{*}\right|}
$$

where $M=\operatorname{soc} L^{*}$ and $C^{*}=C_{\text {Aut } L^{*}}\left(L^{*} / M\right)$. By assumption, we obtain that $d+2 \geq \max \left(d\left(L^{*} / M\right), 2\right)=d\left(L^{*}\right)$, where the last equation follows from [18]. Moreover, we have that $|M|=|N|^{n} \geq k_{0}$. Thus we can apply Theorem 4.2 to get the inequality $P_{L^{*}, M}(d+2) \geq 1 / 2$. Moreover, if $N=S^{a}$, where $S$ is a simple non-abelian group and $a$ is a positive integer, from the proof of Lemma 1 in [5], $\left|C^{*}\right| \leq n a|S|^{n a-1} \mid$ Aut $\left.S|\leq n a| S\right|^{n a+1}$. It follows that

$$
\frac{P_{L^{*}, M}(d+2)|M|^{d+2}}{\left|C^{*}\right|} \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|M|^{d+2}}{n a|S|^{n a+1}}
$$

As $t \leq|N|^{n d-1}$ and $M=N^{n}$, it is sufficient to check that $\frac{|N|^{n(d+2)}}{2 n a|S|^{n a+1}} \geq|N|^{n d-1}$, that is,

$$
|N|^{2 n+1}=|S|^{2 n a+a} \geq 2 n a|S|^{n a+1}
$$

and this follows from the fact that $|S| \geq 60$.
Proposition 4.4. Let $K$ be a transitive permutation group of degree $n \geq \log _{60} k_{0}$, where $k_{0}$ is the constant defined in Theorem 4.2. Then

$$
d(H \succ K) \leq \max \left(d\left(H / H^{\prime} 乙 K\right),\left\lceil\frac{d(H)}{n}\right\rceil+2\right)
$$

Proof. Set $\bar{H}=H / H^{\prime}$. When $W=H \imath K$ has an abelian generating chief factor, by Proposition 2.2 we have $d(G)=d\left(I_{G}\right)$, and then the result follows from Proposition 2.3:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(W) & =d\left(I_{W}\right)=\max \left(d\left(I_{\bar{H} \imath K}\right),\left[\frac{d\left(I_{H}\right)-2}{n}\right]+2\right) \\
& \leq \max \left(d(\bar{H} \imath K),\left[\frac{d(H)}{n}\right]+2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we assume that every generating chief factor is non－abelian and we argue by induction on $|H|$ ，the case $|H|=1$ being obviously true．Let $M$ be a non－ abelian generating chief factor of the series（3．4）．If $M$ is not contained in $B^{\prime}$ ，then， by Proposition 3．3，$M$ is a $K$－group such that $\delta_{W}(M)=\delta_{K}(M)$ and the crown－ based power $L_{M, \delta_{W}(M)}$ is a homomorphic image of $K$ ．Therefore

$$
d(W)=d\left(L_{M, \delta_{W}(M)}\right) \leq d(K) \leq d(\bar{H} 乙 K)
$$

and the result follows．
We are left with the case where $M$ is a non－abelian chief factor contained in $B^{\prime}$ ． From Proposition 3.3 we know that there exists a non－abelian chief factor $N$ of the series（3．3）such that $\delta_{W}(M)=\delta_{H}(N)$ and $L_{M} \cong L_{N}$ 乙 $K$ ．Set $L=L_{N}$ ， $L^{*}=L \imath K$ and $\delta=\delta_{H}(N)$ ．

Let $d_{0}=\max \left(d(\bar{H} \imath K),\left\lceil\frac{d(H)}{n}\right\rceil+2\right)$ ；we want to apply Proposition 4.3 to prove that $d(W)=d\left(L_{\delta}^{*}\right) \leq d_{0}$ ．As $|L / N|<|H|$ ，by induction we get

$$
d(L / N \imath K) \leq \max \left(d\left(L / L^{\prime} \imath K\right),\left\lceil\frac{d(L / N)}{n}\right\rceil+2\right)
$$

Since $L / L^{\prime}$ is a homomorphic image of $\bar{H}$ and $L^{*} / M=L / N 乙 K$ ，we deduce that

$$
d\left(L^{*} / M\right)=d(L / N \imath K) \leq \max \left(d(\bar{H} \imath K),\left\lceil\frac{d(H)}{n}\right\rceil+2\right)=d_{0}
$$

Moreover，$d_{0} \geq\left\lceil\frac{d(H)}{n}\right\rceil+2$ ，i．e．，$n\left(d_{0}-2\right) \geq d(H) \geq d\left(L_{\delta}\right)$ ．Also，the assump－ tion $n \geq \log _{60} k_{0}$ gives $|N|^{n} \geq k_{0}$ ．Thus all the hypotheses of Proposition 4.3 are satisfied（for $d=d_{0}-2$ ）and we conclude that $d(W)=d\left(L_{\delta}^{*}\right) \leq d_{0}$ ．

The previous result reduces the problem of finding a bound to $d(W)$ to the case where $H$ is an abelian group．Let

$$
\rho_{K, H, p}=\max _{M} h_{K}(M)+d_{p}\left(H / H^{\prime}\right)
$$

where the subscript $M$ ranges over the set of non－trivial irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{p} K$－modules， with $\rho_{K, H, p}=0$ if every irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{p} K$－module is trivial．

Proposition 4．5．If $H$ is abelian，then $d(H \backslash K) \leq \max _{p \| H \mid}\left(d(H \times K), \rho_{K, H, p}\right)$ ．
Proof．Let $W=H$ 乙 $K$ and let $M$ be a generating chief factor for $W$ ．
If $M$ is non－abelian，then $M$ cannot be $W$－equivalent to any chief factor of $B=H^{n}$ ，hence $R_{W}(M) \geq B$ and $L_{M, \delta_{W}(M)}$ is a homomorphic image of $K$ ．It follows that

$$
d(W)=d\left(L_{M, \delta_{W}(M)}\right) \leq d(K) \leq d(H \times K)
$$

and we are done．

Now, let us assume that $M$ is abelian. If $M$ is central, by equation (3.5) it follows that $h_{W}(M)=h_{W / W^{\prime}}(M) \leq d\left(W / W^{\prime}\right) \leq d(H \times K)$ since $W /[B, K] \cong$ $H \times K$. Thus $d(W)=h_{W}(M) \leq d(H \times K)$ and the result follows.

Then we are left with the case where $M$ is non-central. By Proposition 3.4 (both (2) and (3)), $h_{W}(M) \leq h_{K}(M)+d_{p}(H)$ and therefore

$$
d(W)=h_{W}(M) \leq \rho_{K, H, p} .
$$

This completes the proof.

## 5 Iterated wreath products

Note that if $K$ is a permutation group of degree $n$, then $d(H) \leq n \cdot d(H 乙 K)$; indeed, given a set

$$
\left\{g_{i}=\left(h_{i, 1}, \ldots, h_{i, n}\right) k_{i} \mid h_{i, j} \in H, k_{i} \in K, i=1, \ldots, d\right\}
$$

of generators for $H<K$, then the group $H$ can be generated by the elements $\left\{h_{i, j} \mid j=1, \ldots, n, i=1, \ldots, d\right\}$. Moreover,

$$
d(H \imath K) \geq d\left(H / H^{\prime} \times K / K^{\prime}\right)
$$

since $H / H^{\prime} \times K / K^{\prime}$ is a homomorphic image of $H$ 乙 $K$.
This shows the "only if" implication of Theorem 1.1. The other implication is proved in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\left(G_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of transitive permutation groups of degree $n_{i}$. Let $\bar{G}_{i}=G_{i} / G_{i}^{\prime}$ and denote by $W_{m}=G_{m} \imath \cdots \imath G_{1}$ the iterated permutational wreath product of the first $m$ groups. Assume that there exist two integers $c$ and d with
(i) $d\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \bar{G}_{i}\right)=c$,
(ii) $d\left(G_{i}\right) \leq d \cdot n_{1} \cdots n_{i-1}$ for every $i>1$.

Then, for $e=\max \left(d+2, d\left(W_{i_{0}}\right)\right)$, where $i_{0}$ is the first index such that the degree $n_{1} \cdots n_{i_{0}}$ of $W_{i_{0}}$ is at least $\log _{60}\left(k_{0}\right)$, we get the following:
(1) If $M$ is a non-trivial irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{p} W_{m}$-module, where $m \geq i_{0}$, then

$$
h_{W_{m}}(M) \leq e+d_{p}\left(\prod_{i=i_{0}}^{m} \bar{G}_{i}\right)
$$

(2) $d\left(W_{m}\right) \leq e+d\left(\prod_{i=i_{0}}^{m} \bar{G}_{i}\right)$ for every $m \geq i_{0}$.
(3) The inverse limit of the iterated wreath products $W_{m}$ is finitely generated and $d\left(\lim _{\longleftarrow} W_{m}\right) \leq e+c$.

Proof. (1) We argue by induction on $m$. The case $m=i_{0}$, is trivial since

$$
h_{W_{i_{0}}}(M) \leq d\left(W_{i_{0}}\right) \leq e
$$

So let $m>i_{0}$ and let $M$ be a non-trivial irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{p} W_{m}$-module. By Proposition 3.4 applied to $W_{m}=G_{m} 2_{n} W_{m-1}$, where $n=n_{1} \cdots n_{m-1}$ is the degree of $W_{m-1}$, we get that either $h_{W_{m}}(M) \leq\left\lceil\frac{h_{G_{m}}(U)-2}{n}\right\rceil+2$ for an $\mathbb{F}_{p} G_{m}$-module $U$ contained in $G_{m}^{\prime}$, or $h_{W_{m}}(M) \leq h_{W_{m-1}}(M)+d_{p}\left(\bar{G}_{m}\right)$; thus

$$
h_{W_{m}}(M) \leq \max \left(\left\lceil\frac{h_{G_{m}}(U)-2}{n}\right\rceil+2, h_{W_{m-1}}(M)+d_{p}\left(\bar{G}_{m}\right)\right)
$$

Since $h_{G_{m}}(U) \leq d\left(G_{m}\right) \leq d n$ implies $\left\lceil\frac{h_{G_{m}}(U)-2}{n}\right\rceil+2 \leq d+2$, and, by inductive hypothesis

$$
h_{W_{m-1}}(M) \leq e+d_{p}\left(\prod_{i=i_{0}}^{m-1} \bar{G}_{i}\right)
$$

we get

$$
h_{W_{m}}(M) \leq \max \left(d+2, e+d_{p}\left(\prod_{i=i_{0}}^{m-1} \bar{G}_{i}\right)+d_{p}\left(\bar{G}_{m}\right)\right) \leq e+d_{p}\left(\prod_{i=i_{0}}^{m} \bar{G}_{i}\right)
$$

(2) Again, we argue by induction on $m$, the case $m=i_{0}$ being trivial.

So let $m>i_{0}$, that is, $n=n_{1} \cdots n_{m-1}>\log _{60}\left(k_{0}\right)$. Proposition 4.4 applied to $W_{m}=G_{m} \imath_{n} W_{m-1}$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
d\left(W_{m}\right) & \leq \max \left(d\left(\bar{G}_{m} 々 W_{m-1}\right),\left\lceil\frac{d\left(G_{m}\right)}{n}\right\rceil+2\right) \\
& \leq \max \left(d\left(\bar{G}_{m} \prec W_{m-1}\right), d+2\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we apply Proposition 4.5 to have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(\bar{G}_{m} \imath W_{m-1}\right) \leq \max _{p \|\left|\bar{G}_{m}\right|}\left(d\left(\bar{G}_{m} \times W_{m-1}\right), \rho_{W_{m-1}, G_{m}, p}\right) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\rho_{W_{m-1}, G_{m}, p}=\max _{M}\left(h_{W_{m-1}}(M)\right)+d_{p}\left(\bar{G}_{m}\right)
$$

and $M$ ranges over the set of non trivial irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{p} W_{m-1}$-modules, with

$$
\rho_{W_{m-1}, G_{m}, p}=0
$$

if every irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{p} W_{m-1}$-module is trivial. By part (1) of this theorem,

$$
h_{W_{m-1}}(M) \leq e+d_{p}\left(\prod_{i=i_{0}}^{m-1} \bar{G}_{i}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{W_{m-1}, G_{m}, p} \leq e+d_{p}\left(\prod_{i=i_{0}}^{m-1} \bar{G}_{i}\right)+d_{p}\left(\bar{G}_{m}\right)=e+d_{p}\left(\prod_{i=i_{0}}^{m} \bar{G}_{i}\right) . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, note that a crown-based power homomorphic image of $\bar{G}_{m} \times W_{m-1}$ is either a homomorphic image of $W_{m-1}$ or a homomorphic image of $\bar{G}_{m} \times \bar{W}_{m-1}$ (in the latter case it is associated to a central chief factor). This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(\bar{G}_{m} \times W_{m-1}\right) & \leq \max \left(d\left(\bar{G}_{m} \times \bar{W}_{m-1}\right), d\left(W_{m-1}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \max \left(d\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \bar{G}_{i}\right), d\left(W_{m-1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By inductive hypothesis, we get $d\left(W_{m-1}\right) \leq e+d\left(\prod_{i=i_{0}}^{m-1} \bar{G}_{i}\right)$, and therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
d\left(\bar{G}_{m} \times W_{m-1}\right) & \leq \max \left(d\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \bar{G}_{i}\right), e+d\left(\prod_{i=i_{0}}^{m-1} \bar{G}_{i}\right)\right) \\
& \leq e+d\left(\prod_{i=i_{0}}^{m} \bar{G}_{i}\right) \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

From (5.2), (5.3) and (5.4), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(\bar{G}_{m} \imath W_{m-1}\right) & \leq \max _{p| | \overline{\boldsymbol{G}}_{m} \mid}\left(d\left(\bar{G}_{m} \times W_{m-1}\right), \rho_{W_{m-1}, \boldsymbol{G}_{m}, p}\right) \\
& \leq \max _{p| | \overline{\boldsymbol{G}}_{m} \mid}\left(e+d\left(\prod_{i=i_{0}}^{m} \bar{G}_{i}\right), e+d_{p}\left(\prod_{i=i_{0}}^{m} \bar{G}_{i}\right)\right) \\
& \leq e+d\left(\prod_{i=i_{0}}^{m} \bar{G}_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $d+2 \leq e$, from (5.1) we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(W_{m}\right) & \leq \max \left(d\left(\bar{G}_{m} \prec W_{m-1}\right), d+2\right) \\
& \leq e+d\left(\prod_{i=i_{0}}^{m} \bar{G}_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) This follows directly from (2) and the assumption that $d\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \bar{G}_{i}\right)=c$. Indeed, $d\left(W_{m}\right) \leq e+d\left(\prod_{i=i_{0}}^{m} \bar{G}_{i}\right) \leq e+c$ for every $m$, and the same bound applies to the generating number of their inverse limit.

## 6 Probability of generating an iterated wreath product

Once we know that a profinite group $G$ is finitely generated, it is natural to ask about the probability to find a set of generators for the group. A profinite group $G$ is called Positively Finitely Generated (PFG) if there exists an integer $t \geq d(G)$ such that a randomly chosen $t$-tuple generates $G$ with positive probability.

Note that it is possible to extend the definitions of $G$-equivalence and crowns to profinite groups (see [7]). Moreover, if $G$ is finitely generated, then $\delta_{G}(A)$ is finite for every finite irreducible $G$-group $A$ and in particular this holds for the chief factors of $G$ (cf. [7, Theorem 12]). Recently, Jaikin-Zapirain and Pyber gave a characterization of PFG-groups in terms of non-abelian crowns:

Theorem 6.1 (Jaikin-Zapirain, Pyber [11]). A finitely generated profinite group $G$ is PFG if and only if there exists a constant $c$ such that for every non-abelian chief factor $A$ of $G$,

$$
\delta_{G}(A) \leq l(A)^{c}
$$

where $l(A)$ is the minimal degree of a faithful transitive representation of $A$.
This statement allows us to characterize PFG infinitely iterated permutational wreath products.

Proposition 6.2. Let $\left(G_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of transitive permutation groups of degree $n_{i}$. Assume that the inverse limit $W_{\infty}$ of the iterated permutational wreath products $W_{m}=G_{m} \imath \cdots \prec G_{1}$ is finitely generated. Then $W_{\infty}$ is PFG if and only if there exists a constant $c$ such that for every non-abelian chief factor $A$ of $G_{i}$ and for every $i>1$,

$$
\delta_{G_{i}}(A) \leq l(A)^{c n_{1} \cdots n_{i-1}} .
$$

Proof. Let $M$ be a non-abelian chief factor of $W=W_{\infty}$ such that $\delta_{W}(M)>0$. Since $\delta_{W}(M)$ does not depend on the chosen chief series and is finite (Theorems 11 and 12 in [7]), we get $\delta_{W}(M)=\delta_{W_{i}}(M)$ for some $i$; let $i$ be the smallest integer with this property. Without loss of generality we can assume $i>1$. Since $\delta_{W_{i-1}}(M)<\delta_{W_{i}}(M)$, it follows that $M$ is equivalent to a non-abelian chief factor of $B=G_{i}^{n}$, the base subgroup of $W_{i}=G_{i}$ 乙 $W_{i-1}$, where $n=n_{1} \cdots n_{i-1}$ is the degree of $W_{i-1}$. In particular, $M$ is equivalent to a non-abelian chief factor contained in $B^{\prime}$, and from Proposition 3.3 it follows that there exists a nonabelian chief factor $A$ of $G_{i}$ such that $M \sim W_{i} A^{n}$ and $\delta_{W_{i}}(M)=\delta_{G_{i}}(A)$. Since $l(M)=l(A)^{n}$ (see Proposition 5.2.7 in [13] and the comments afterwards), the result follows from the characterization of PFG-groups given by Jaikin-Zapirain and Pyber (Theorem 6.1).
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