## Hyperentangled Mixed Phased Dicke States: Optical Design and Detection

A. Chiuri,<sup>1</sup> G. Vallone,<sup>2,1</sup> N. Bruno,<sup>1</sup> C. Macchiavello,<sup>3</sup> D. Bruß,<sup>4</sup> and P. Mataloni<sup>1,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Universitá di Roma, I-00185 Roma, Italy

<sup>2</sup>Museo Storico della Fisica e Centro Studi e Ricerche Enrico Fermi,

Via Panisperna 89/A, Compendio del Viminale, I-00184 Roma, Italy

<sup>3</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica "A. Volta" and INFN-Sezione di Pavia, via Bassi 6, 27100 Pavia, Italy

<sup>4</sup>Institut <u>f</u>ür Theoretische Physik III, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, D-40225 Düsseldorf, Germany

<sup>5</sup>Istituto Nazionale di Ottica Applicata (INO-CNR), L.go E. Fermi 6, I-50125 Firenze, Italy

(Received 28 July 2010; revised manuscript received 25 October 2010; published 13 December 2010)

A recently introduced family of multipartite entangled states, the 4-qubit phased Dicke states, has been created by 2-photon hyperentanglement. Our experimental method allows high state fidelity and generation rate. By introducing quantum noise in the multipartite system in a controlled way, we have tested the robustness of these states. To this purpose the entanglement of the resulting multipartite entangled mixed states has been verified by using a new kind of structural witness.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.250501

PACS numbers: 03.67.Mn, 03.65.Yz

The generation and detection of multipartite entangled states is a remarkable challenge that needs to be accomplished in order to fully explore and exploit the genuine quantum features of quantum information and many-body physics. So far only a limited number of families of pure multipartite entangled states has been experimentally produced. In view of future applications, it is particularly important to test the robustness of the generated states in the presence of unavoidable noise coming from the environment. Here, we produce a new family of multipartite entangled states, we experimentally introduce certain types of noise in a controlled way and test the robustness properties of the states.

The experimental generation of multipartite entangled states that we propose is based on hyperentangled photons [1,2], which allows us to produce symmetric and phased Dicke states. Dicke states have recently attracted much interest, due to their resistance against photon loss [3] and projection measurements [4], and have been produced in experiments with photons [4–6]. Phased Dicke states are achieved by introducing phase changes starting from ordinary Dicke states [7]. Thus, they do not belong to the symmetric subspace and offer new possibilities for multipartite communication protocols, in particular, because their degree of entanglement [8]) is higher or equal with respect to the symmetric ones [9].

In order to test the presence of multipartite entanglement we may adopt different kinds of entanglement witnesses. Their experimental implementations are presented, e.g., in Refs. [10] for bipartite qubits, and [4–6,11] for pure symmetric multipartite states. In this work we implement a recently proposed new class of entanglement witnesses, socalled structural witnesses [7], and further extend such a class in order to achieve higher efficiency in entanglement detection. Moreover, we test the robustness of the phased Dicke states by introducing dephasing noise in a controlled fashion and provide a measurement of the lower bound on the robustness of entanglement. In this way we provide a new experimental tool to investigate the entanglement properties of multipartite mixed states. The method adopted to create 4-qubit phased Dicke states is based on 2-photon hyperentanglement. This technique makes possible the realization of such multipartite states, with relevant advantages in terms of generation rate and state fidelity compared to 4-photon states. The measurements were performed by a closed-loop Sagnac scheme that allows high stability. Moreover, we were able to control the noise in a photonic 4-qubit experiment (so far, to our knowledge controlled noise on 4 qubits has only been achieved with ions, see [12]).

An entanglement witness is defined as a Hermitian operator W that detects the entanglement of a state  $\rho$  if it has a negative expectation value for this state,  $\langle W \rangle_{\rho} = \text{Tr}(\rho W) < 0$  while at the same time  $\text{Tr}(\sigma W) \ge 0$  for all separable states  $\sigma$  [13,14]. For a composite system of N particles, the structural witnesses [7] have the form

$$W(k) := \mathbb{1}_{N} - \Sigma(k) = \mathbb{1}_{N} - \frac{1}{2} [\bar{\Sigma}(k) + \bar{\Sigma}(-k)], \quad (1)$$

where k is a real parameter (the wave-vector transfer in a scattering scenario),  $\mathbb{1}_N$  is the identity operator, and

$$\bar{\Sigma}(k) = \frac{1}{B(N,2)} [c_x \hat{S}^{xx}(k) + c_y \hat{S}^{yy}(k) + c_z \hat{S}^{zz}(k)], \quad (2)$$

with  $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|c_i| \le 1$ . Here B(N, 2) is the binomial coefficient and the structure factor operators  $\hat{S}^{\alpha\beta}(k)$  are defined as

$$\hat{S}^{\alpha\beta}(k) := \sum_{i < j} e^{ik(r_i - r_j)} S_i^{\alpha} S_j^{\beta}, \qquad (3)$$

where *i*, *j* denote the *i*th and *j*th spins,  $r_i$ ,  $r_j$  their positions in a one-dimensional scenario, and  $S_i^{\alpha}$  are the spin operators

with  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta = x$ , y, z. In the following we normalize the distances with the labels of the qubits as  $r_i - r_j = i - j$ . In the present work we focus on the case of 4-qubits phased Dicke states defined as [7]:

$$|D_4^{\rm ph}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (|0011\rangle + |1100\rangle + |0110\rangle + |1001\rangle - |0101\rangle - |1010\rangle).$$
(4)

A suitable structural witness  $\mathcal{W}$  for the above phased Dicke state is given by the operator (1) with  $k = \pi$ ,  $c_x = c_y = c_z = 1$  and  $S_i^{\alpha}$  being the Pauli operators [7]. This witness leads to  $\text{Tr}(|D_4^{\text{ph}}\rangle\langle D_4^{\text{ph}}|\mathcal{W}) = -\frac{4}{9}$ .

A wider class of structural witness can be obtained by generalizing the operator given in (2) to linear superpositions of structure factor operators  $\hat{S}^{\alpha\beta}(k)$  evaluated for different values of k:

$$\bar{\Sigma}(k^{x}, k^{y}, k^{z}) = \frac{1}{B(N, 2)} [c_{x} \hat{S}^{xx}(k^{x}) + c_{y} \hat{S}^{yy}(k^{y}) + c_{z} \hat{S}^{zz}(k^{z})], \qquad (5)$$

with  $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|c_i| \le 1$ . Following the same argument as in [7], it can be shown that any operator of the form (5) combined as in (1) has non-negative expectation values for separable states and is therefore an entanglement witness. Using this more general construction for the present experiment we consider a witness operator with  $k^x = k^y = \pi$  and  $k^z = 0$ :

$$\bar{\mathcal{W}} = \mathbb{1}_N - \frac{1}{6} [\hat{S}^{xx}(\pi) + \hat{S}^{yy}(\pi) - \hat{S}^{zz}(0)].$$
(6)

The expectation value of the above witness for the phased Dicke state (4) is given by  $\text{Tr}(|D_4^{\text{ph}}\rangle\langle D_4^{\text{ph}}|\tilde{W}) = -\frac{2}{3}$ , that is smaller than the one achieved by the structural witness W based on (2), thus leading to a more robust entanglement detection in the presence of noise.

State generation.—Let us consider the following state  $|\xi\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(|0010\rangle - |1000\rangle + 2|0111\rangle)$ . It is easy to show that the phased Dicke state can be obtained by applying a unitary transformation  $\mathcal{U}$  to the state  $|\xi\rangle$ :

$$|D_4^{(\text{ph})}\rangle = Z_4 \overline{CZ}_{12} \overline{CZ}_{34} C X_{12} C X_{34} H_1 H_3 |\xi\rangle \equiv \mathcal{U}|\xi\rangle \quad (7)$$

where  $H_j$  and  $Z_j$  stands for the Hadamard and the Pauli  $\sigma_z$ transformations on qubit j,  $CX_{ij} = |0\rangle_i \langle 0|1_j + |1\rangle_i \langle 1|X_j$  is the controlled-NOT gate, and  $\overline{CZ}_{ii} = |1\rangle_i \langle 1|\mathbb{1}_i + |0\rangle_i \langle 0|Z_i$ the controlled z. An equivalent and more simple transformation is given by  $\mathcal{U} = Z_1 C X_{12} C X_{34} H_1 H_3$ . We used the transformation given in (7) in order to compensate the optical delay introduced by the CX gates in the Sagnac loop of Fig. 1(b). We realized the Dicke state by using 4-qubits encoded into polarization and path of two parametric photons [A and B in Fig. 1(a)]. The  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  states are encoded into horizontal  $|H\rangle$  and vertical  $|V\rangle$  polarization or into right  $|r\rangle$  and left  $|\ell\rangle$  path. Explicitly, we used the following correspondence between physical states and logical qubits:  $\{|0\rangle_1, |1\rangle_1\} \rightarrow \{|r\rangle_A, |\ell\rangle_A\}, \{|0\rangle_2, |1\rangle_2\} \rightarrow$  $\{|H\rangle_A, |V\rangle_A\}, \{|0\rangle_3, |1\rangle_3\} \rightarrow \{|r\rangle_B, |\ell\rangle_B\}, \text{ and } \{|0\rangle_4, |1\rangle_4\} \rightarrow$  $\{|H\rangle_B, |V\rangle_B\}$ . According to these relations the state  $|\xi\rangle$  reads

$$|\xi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} [|HH\rangle(|r\ell\rangle - |\ell r\rangle) + 2|VV\rangle|r\ell\rangle]$$
(8)

and may be obtained by suitably modifying the source used to realize polarization-momentum hyperentangled states [1,15]. In each "ket" of (8) the first (second) term refers to particle A (B). A vertically polarized UV laser beam (P = 40 mW) impinges on a type I  $\beta$ -barium borate (BBO) nonlinear crystal in two opposite directions, back and forth, and determines the generation of the polarization entangled state corresponding to the superposition of the spontaneous parametric down conversion (SPDC) emission at degenerate wavelength [see Fig. 1(a)]. A 4-hole mask selects four optical modes (two for each photon), namely  $|r\rangle_A$ ,  $|\ell\rangle_A$ ,  $|r\rangle_B$ , and  $|\ell\rangle_B$ , within the emission cone of the crystal. The SPDC contribution, due to the pump beam



FIG. 1 (color online). Generation of the 4-qubit phased Dicke state. (a) Scheme of the entangled 2-photon 4-qubit parametric source that generates the state  $|\xi\rangle$ . Mirror *M* reflects both the UV pump beam and the parametric radiation. The lens *L* is used to obtain parallel modes at the output of the 4-hole screen. HWP (QWP) is an half- (quarter-) wave plate. (b) Optical setup used to transform  $|\xi\rangle$  into the state  $|D_4^{(ph)}\rangle$  and to measure Pauli operators. The phase  $\varphi$  is used to properly generate  $|\xi\rangle$  while  $\varphi_A$  and  $\varphi_B$  are used to measure Pauli path operators for the *A* and *B* photon, respectively.

incoming after reflection on mirror *M*, corresponds to the term  $|HH\rangle \otimes (|r\ell\rangle - |\ell r\rangle)$ , whose weight is determined by a half wave plate intercepting the UV beam (see [16] for more details on the generation of the nonmaximally polarization entangled state). The other SPDC contribution  $2|VV\rangle|r\ell\rangle$  is determined by the first excitation of the pump beam: here the  $|\ell r\rangle$  modes are intercepted by two beam stops and a quarter wave plate QWP transforms the  $|HH\rangle$  SPDC emission into  $|VV\rangle$  after reflection on mirror *M*. The relative phase between the  $|VV\rangle$  and  $|HH\rangle$  is varied by translation of the spherical mirror.

The transformation (7)  $|\xi\rangle \rightarrow |D_4^{(\text{ph})}\rangle$  is realized by using wave plates and one beam splitter (BS): the two Hadamards  $H_1$  and  $H_3$  in (7), acting on both path qubits, are implemented by a single BS for both A and B modes. For each controlled-NOT (or controlled-Z) gate appearing in (7) the control and target qubit are, respectively, represented by the path and the polarization of a single photon: a half wave plate (HWP) with axis oriented at 45° (0°) with respect to the vertical direction and located into the left  $|\ell\rangle$ (right  $|r\rangle$ ) mode implements a CX ( $\overline{CZ}$ ) gate.

After these transformations, the optical modes are spatially matched for a second time on the BS, closing in this way a "displaced Sagnac loop" interferometer that allows high stability in the path Pauli operator measurements [see Fig. 1(b)]. Polarization Pauli operators are measured by standard polarization analysis setup in front of detectors  $D_A$  and  $D_B$  (not shown in the figure). The overall detection rate is ~500 Hz. Note that the  $|0\rangle$  ( $|1\rangle$ ) states are identified by the counterclockwise (clockwise) modes in the Sagnac loop. It is worth stressing the high stability allowed in path analysis by the Sagnac interferometric scheme. This particular configuration, operating on both the up and down photon of the state, has made it possible to perform a detailed investigation of the robustness of a multipartite entangled state.

Decoherence.--We will now describe how we introduced a controlled decoherence into the system. Consider a single photon in a Mach-Zehnder interferometer with two arms (left and right). Varying the relative delay  $\Delta x = \ell - r$ between the right and left arm corresponds to a single qubit path decoherence channel given by  $\rho \rightarrow (1-p)\rho +$  $pZ\rho Z$ . The parameter p is related to  $\Delta x$ : when  $\Delta x > c\tau$ , where  $\tau$  represents the photon coherence time, then  $p = \frac{1}{2}$ , while when  $\Delta x = 0$  we have p = 0. This can be understood by observing that there are two time bins (one for each path). By varying the optical delay, we entangle the path with the time bin degree of freedom (DOF). Hence, by tracing over time we obtain decoherence in the path DOF depending on the overlap between the two time bins. In our setup, this can be obtained by changing the relative delay  $\Delta x = \ell - r$  between the right and the left modes of the photons in the first interferometer shown in Fig. 1. Since the translation stage acts simultaneously on both photons, this operation corresponds to two path decoherence channels:

$$\rho \to (1 - q_2)^2 \rho + q_2 (1 - q_2) [Z_1 \rho Z_1 + Z_3 \rho Z_3] + q_2^2 Z_1 Z_3 \rho Z_1 Z_3$$
(9)

where the parameter  $q_2$  is related to  $\Delta x$  in the following way. Let us consider the path terms in the  $|HH\rangle$  contribution in  $|\xi\rangle$ , namely  $|\psi^-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|r\ell\rangle - |\ell r\rangle)$ . The decoherence acts by (partially) spoiling the coherence between the  $|r\ell\rangle$  and  $|\ell r\rangle$  term giving the state  $\frac{1}{2}(|\ell r\rangle\langle\ell r| + |r\ell\rangle\langle r\ell|) \frac{1}{2}(1-2q_2)^2(|\ell r\rangle\langle r\ell|+|r\ell\rangle\langle\ell r|)$ . By assuming that for  $|\psi^{-}\rangle$  the decoherence (9) is the main source of imperfections, the measured visibility  $\tilde{V}_{exp}(\Delta x)$  of first interference on BS may be compared with the calculated value  $\tilde{V} =$  $(1 - 2q_2)^2$ : then, the relation between  $\Delta x$  and  $q_2$ , shown in Fig. 2, is obtained. The measured visibility is defined as  $\tilde{V}_{exp}(\Delta x) = \frac{B-C}{B}$  where B are the coincidences measured out of interference (i.e., measured for  $\Delta x$  much longer than the single photon coherence length) and C the coincidences measured in a given position of  $\Delta x$ . It is worth noting that at  $\Delta x = 0$  we have  $q_2 = 0.0175 \pm 0.0001$  which corresponds to a maximum visibility  $V_0 = 0.9313 \pm 0.0005$  at  $\Delta x = 0.$ 

The decoherence channel (9) acts on the state  $|\xi\rangle$ . However, it can be interpreted as a decoherence acting on the phased Dicke state  $|D_4^{(ph)}\rangle$ . Using Eq. (7) and the relations  $\mathcal{U}Z_1\mathcal{U}^{\dagger} = -Y_1Y_2$  and  $\mathcal{U}Z_3\mathcal{U}^{\dagger} = Y_3Y_4$ , the channel (9) may be interpreted as a collective decoherence channel on  $|D_4^{(ph)}\rangle$ :

$$|D_4^{(\mathrm{ph})}\rangle\langle D_4^{(\mathrm{ph})}| \to \sum_{j=1}^4 B_j |D_4^{(\mathrm{ph})}\rangle\langle D_4^{(\mathrm{ph})}|B_j^{\dagger} \qquad (10)$$

with  $B_1 = (1 - q_2)\mathbb{1}$ ,  $B_2 = \sqrt{q_2(1 - q_2)}Y_1Y_2$ ,  $B_3 = \sqrt{q_2(1 - q_2)}Y_3Y_4$ , and  $B_4 = q_2Y_1Y_2Y_3Y_4$ . A collective decoherence is a decoherence process that cannot be seen as the action of several channels acting separately on two (or more) qubits. A different type of collective noise, introduced in [17], was experimentally demonstrated in [18] for two polarization qubits in optical fibers.

Two other main sources of imperfections must be considered in our setup (see supplementary information for a detailed discussion [19]): the first one is due to a nonperfect superposition between forward and backward SPDC emission, i.e., between the  $|HH\rangle$  and  $|VV\rangle$  contributions. This imperfection can be modeled as a phase polarization decoherence channel acting on qubit 2:



FIG. 2 (color online). Values of  $q_2$  corresponding to different values of the path delay  $\Delta x$ .



FIG. 3 (color online). Experimental values of the witness W and the bound on  $E_r$  as a function of  $q_2$ . The curves correspond to the theoretical behavior obtained by setting  $q_1 = 0.05$  and  $q_3 = 0.05$ .

 $\rho \rightarrow (1 - q_1)\rho + q_1 Z_2 \rho Z_2$ . By selecting in  $|\xi\rangle$  the correlated modes  $|rl\rangle$  and by suitably setting the HWP on the pump beam we obtain the following state:  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|HH\rangle_{AB} + e^{i\gamma}|VV\rangle_{AB})|rl\rangle$ . Even in this case the value of the measured polarization visibility ( $V_{\pi} \simeq 0.90$ ) can be related to the polarization decoherence channel as  $q_1 = \frac{1 - V_{\pi}}{2} \simeq 0.05$ . The second interference on the BS (i.e., after the Sagnac loop) has been also investigated. In the measurement condition we obtained an average visibility of  $V_{k_2} \simeq 0.80$  corresponding to a decoherence channel  $\rho \rightarrow (1 - q_3)^2\rho + q_3(1 - q_3)[Z_1\rho Z_1 + Z_3\rho Z_3] + q_3^2 Z_1 Z_3\rho Z_1 Z_3$  with  $q_3 = 0.05$ .

*Measurements.*—We measured the witness operator (6) for different values of  $q_2$ . The results are shown in Fig. 3. The dark curve corresponds to the theoretical curve obtained by considering all the three decoherence channels described above and setting  $q_1 = 0.05$  and  $q_3 = 0.05$  (see the supplementary information about the details on the theoretical curve [19]). Notice that the noise parameter for which the witness expectation value vanishes gives a lower bound on the robustness of the entanglement of the produced state with respect to the implemented noise. The witness  $\hat{W}$  measured for the phased Dicke state is

$$\langle W \rangle_{\rm exp} = -0.382 \pm 0.012.$$
 (11)

We also measured a witness  $W_{\text{mult}}$  introduced in [20] to demonstrate that the generated state  $|D_4^{\text{(ph)}}\rangle$  is a genuine multipartite state and to obtain a bound on the fidelity *F*. Its expression is given in the supplementary information [19]. We obtained

$$\langle W_{\text{mult}} \rangle = -0.341 \pm 0.015 \rightarrow F \ge 0.780 \pm 0.005.$$
 (12)

Varying the noise parameter  $q_2$ , we obtained a negative expectation value of  $W_{\text{mult}}$  for  $q_2 \leq 0.114$ , thus proving the existence of genuine multipartite entanglement up to this noise level.

Following the approach of quantitative entanglement witnesses [21], we can also use the experimental result on the expectation value of the witness to provide a lower bound on the random robustness of entanglement  $E_r$ . This

is defined in [22] to be the maximum amount of white noise that one can add to a given state  $\rho$  before it becomes separable. When  $\langle \bar{W} \rangle$  is negative, a lower bound on  $E_r(\rho)$  is given by

$$E_r(\rho) \ge \frac{D|\mathrm{Tr}(\rho \,\mathcal{W})|}{\mathrm{Tr}(\bar{\mathcal{W}})},\tag{13}$$

where D is the dimension of the Hilbert space on which  $\rho$  acts. In our experiment the witness from Eq. (6) and its expectation value given in Eq. (11) lead to

$$E_r(\rho) \ge |\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle_{\exp}| = 0.382 \pm 0.012.$$
 (14)

Other bounds for different values of  $q_2$  are shown in Fig. 3. Equation (13) thus relates the value of  $\overline{W}$  in the presence of the collective noise (10) with the resilience of entanglement under the presence of a general white noise.

In summary, by two hyperentangled photons we studied the structural properties and decoherence resilience of the phased 4-qubit Dicke states. The multipartite entanglement was detected up to considerable noise level and the robustness of entanglement was tested by using an intrinsically high phase stability setup. The realized phase Dicke states have a high fidelity and, compared with other Dicke states based on 4-photon entanglement, are produced at higher repetition rate.

This work was supported in part by the EU projects CORNER, by a DFG project, and by Finanziamento Ateneo 2009 of Sapienza Università di Roma.

- [1] M. Barbieri et al., Phys. Rev. A 72, 052110 (2005).
- [2] J. T. Barreiro et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 260501 (2005).
- [3] M. Koashi V. Bužek, N. Imoto, Phys. Rev. A 62, 050302 (2000).
- [4] N. Kiesel et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 063604 (2007).
- [5] R. Prevedel et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 020503 (2009).
- [6] W. Wieczorek et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 020504 (2009).
- [7] P. Krammer et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 100502 (2009).
- [8] T.-C. Wei and P. M. Goldbart, Phys. Rev. A 68, 042307 (2003).
- [9] M. Aulbach (private communication).
- [10] M. Barbieri et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 227901 (2003).
- [11] M. Bourennane et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 087902 (2004).
- [12] J. T. Barreiro *et al.*, Nature Phys. **6**, 943 (2010).
- [13] M. Horodecki et al., Phys. Lett. A 223, 1 (1996).
- [14] B. M. Terhal, Phys. Lett. A **271**, 319 (2000).
- [15] R. Ceccarelli et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 160401 (2009).
- [16] G. Vallone *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 012319 (2007).
- [17] C. Macchiavello and G. M. Palma, Phys. Rev. A 65, 050301 (2002).
- [18] K. Banaszek et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 257901 (2004).
- [19] See supplementary material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.250501 for a detailed analysis of the decoherence channels and the expression of  $W_{mult}$ .
- [20] G. Tóth et al., New J. Phys. 11, 083002 (2009).
- [21] J. Eisert et al., New J. Phys. 9, 46 (2007).
- [22] G. Vidal and R. Tarrach, Phys. Rev. A 59, 141 (1999).