

## Pre-operative clinical and instrumental factors as antireflux surgery outcome predictors

Salvatore Tolone, Giorgia Gualtieri, Edoardo Savarino, Marzio Frazzoni, Nicola de Bortoli, Manuele Furnari, Giuseppina Casalino, Simona Parisi, Vincenzo Savarino, Ludovico Docimo

Salvatore Tolone, Giorgia Gualtieri, Giuseppina Casalino, Simona Parisi, Ludovico Docimo, Division of General and Bariatric Surgery, Second University of Naples, 80131 Naples, Italy

Edoardo Savarino, Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Surgery, Oncology and Gastroenterology, University of Padua, 35121 Padua, Italy

Marzio Frazzoni, Division of Gastroenterology, Baggiovara Hospital, 41121 Modena, Italy

Nicola de Bortoli, Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Pisa, 56126 Pisa, Italy

Manuele Furnari, Vincenzo Savarino, Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Genoa, 16123 Genoa, Italy

**Author contributions:** Tolone S contributed to conception and design and drafting the article; Gualtieri G contributed to drafting the article; Savarino E, Frazzoni M, de Bortoli N, Furnari M, Casalino G and Parisi S contributed to acquisition and interpretation of data, and revisited it critically for important intellectual content; Savarino V and Docimo L gave final approval of the version to be published.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** None.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

**Manuscript source:** Invited manuscript

**Correspondence to:** Salvatore Tolone, MD, PhD, Second University of Naples, Division of General and Bariatric Surgery, Via Pansini 5, 80131 Naples, Italy. [salvatore.tolone@unina2.it](mailto:salvatore.tolone@unina2.it)  
Telephone: +39-81-5666658

Fax: +39-81-5666237

Received: March 29, 2016

Peer-review started: April 4, 2016

First decision: May 23, 2016

Revised: July 20, 2016

Accepted: September 21, 2016

Article in press: September 22, 2016

Published online: November 27, 2016

### Abstract

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is nowadays a highly prevalent, chronic condition, with 10% to 30% of Western populations affected by weekly symptoms. Many patients with mild reflux symptoms are treated adequately with lifestyle modifications, dietary changes, and low-dose proton pump inhibitors (PPIs). For those with refractory GERD poorly controlled with daily PPIs, numerous treatment options exist. Fundoplication is currently the most commonly performed antireflux operation for management of GERD. Outcomes described in current literature following laparoscopic fundoplication indicate that it is highly effective for treatment of GERD; early clinical studies demonstrate relief of symptoms in approximately 85%-90% of patients. However it is still unclear which factors, clinical or instrumental, are able to predict a good outcome after surgery. Virtually all demographic, esophagogastric junction anatomic conditions, as well as instrumental (such as presence of esophagitis at endoscopy, or motility patterns determined by esophageal high resolution manometry or reflux patterns determined by means of pH/impedance-pH monitoring) and clinical features (such as typical or atypical symptoms presence) of patients undergoing laparoscopic fundoplication for GERD can be factors associated with symptomatic relief. With this in mind, we sought to review studies that identified the factors that predict outcome after laparoscopic total

fundoplication.

**Key words:** Gastroesophageal reflux disease; Antireflux surgery; Outcome predictors; Fundoplication; Nissen; Laparoscopy; High resolution manometry; Impedance-pH monitoring

© **The Author(s) 2016.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core tip:** Fundoplication is currently the most commonly performed antireflux operation for management of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). Outcomes described in current literature following laparoscopic fundoplication indicate that it is highly effective for treatment of GERD. However it is still unclear which factors, clinical or instrumental, are able to predict a good outcome after surgery. Anatomical conditions seem to not be a risk factor for poor outcome. The predictability of success following laparoscopic fundoplication seems to be directly proportional to the degree of certainty that gastroesophageal reflux is the underlying cause of the patient's complaints. Thus, performing an accurate pre-operative clinical and instrumental evaluation is mandatory.

Tolone S, Gualtieri G, Savarino E, Frazzoni M, de Bortoli N, Furnari M, Casalino G, Parisi S, Savarino V, Docimo L. Pre-operative clinical and instrumental factors as antireflux surgery outcome predictors. *World J Gastrointest Surg* 2016; 8(11): 719-728 Available from: URL: <http://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/full/v8/i11/719.htm> DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4240/wjgs.v8.i11.719>

## INTRODUCTION

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is currently a common condition; usually 10% to 30% of Western populations refer a weekly incidence of GERD symptoms. It has been recognized as a significant public health concern in the West<sup>[1,2]</sup>. Usually, the major part of patients with mild GERD are treated effectively with dietary and lifestyle changes, and/or low-dosage proton pump inhibitors (PPIs)<sup>[3]</sup>. For patients with GERD refractory to PPIs, different treatments can be started. In fact antireflux surgery, and endoscopic procedures exist for patients who will to undergo an operative intervention. Fundoplication is currently considered the surgical gold standard for GERD treatment. Since its first description by Rudolph Nissen in 1956<sup>[4]</sup>, the development of laparoscopy have increased the use of fundoplication worldwide. The indications for antireflux surgery in GERD patients were stated by the American Gastroenterological Association in 2013: It can be indicated in a GERD patient responsive, but not compliant to acid suppression therapy; in GERD patients who continue to experience troublesome

symptoms despite an adequate pharmacological therapy; and in GERD patient who experience persistent extraesophageal symptoms despite adequate PPI therapy<sup>[5]</sup>.

LF outcomes (as reported in current literature) point out that this technique is highly effective in GERD patients; the relief of symptoms is present in 85%-90% of subjects in the immediate post-operative period<sup>[6,7]</sup>. Despite these encouraging data, there can be complications that can necessitate a second intervention: Re-herniation, disruption or twisting of the fundoplication, persistent dysphagia or reflux-related symptoms, gas bloat syndrome, and esophageal motor dysfunction<sup>[8,9]</sup>. Also, it is not clear the real incidence of redo antireflux surgery, because of small sample size or are single center studies. In the 90's, Lafullarde reported an overall reoperation rate of 10% after LF<sup>[10]</sup>. More recently, reoperation incidence is reported to be resembling 5%<sup>[11]</sup>. A systematic review performed on elective LF documented an overall reoperation incidence approximating 0.6%<sup>[12]</sup>. In the nationwide study from Denmark, an incidence near to 5% of redo antireflux surgery was reported in 2589 patients<sup>[13]</sup>.

Being the increasing number of GERD patients without endoscopic esophagitis that are selected for LF, there is the need to highlight the great significance of a careful selection of patients who are likely to have a successful outcome after surgery. Virtually all demographic, esophagogastric junction anatomic conditions, as well as instrumental and clinical features of patients undergoing LF for GERD can be factors associated with a good outcome. With this in mind, we sought to review studies that identified the factors that could predict outcome after LF.

## DEMOGRAPHICS FACTORS (GENDER, AGE, OBESITY, COMORBIDITIES)

Some studies revealed that gender can affect the clinical manifestation of GERD. Female gender with GERD showed at pH-monitoring a minor value of esophageal acid exposure and greater symptom scores than male gender cross-matched for grades of esophagitis<sup>[14,15]</sup>. In the same way, age seems to influence presentation, and GERD-related symptoms usually appear less severe in elderly, with a greater incidence of reflux complications<sup>[16]</sup>. In 2009, a study investigated the impact of gender and age on 5 years outcome of LF<sup>[17]</sup>. Authors showed that women were more likely to report a poorer outcome than men, describing heartburn, dysphagia and a lower satisfaction rate after surgery. Age, instead, did not prejudiced surgical outcome, even in presence of an higher incidence of complicated esophagitis and acid exposure in elderly than younger subjects<sup>[18]</sup>. These results were also confirmed by two large case series from Italy that compared antireflux surgical outcome in patients younger or older than 65 years<sup>[19,20]</sup>. Overweight and obesity are associated

with increased intraabdominal pressure, presence of hiatal hernia, increased frequency of transient sphincter relaxation, diminished lower esophageal sphincter (LES) pressure, and impaired gastric emptying, thus increasing esophageal acid exposure time (AET) and total number of reflux (TNR), which have a clear role in GERD and promoting symptoms<sup>[21,22]</sup>. Recently, Luketina *et al.*<sup>[23]</sup> retrospectively evaluated antireflux surgical outcomes in obese patients compared to normal weight GERD patients. Body mass index (BMI) was not associated to poorer outcome; reduction in GERD symptom score, GERD recurrence and reoperation rates were similar in both obese and normal weight patients. These data are consistent to several case-series<sup>[24,25]</sup>, whereas only few studies reported poorer outcomes after LF in obese subjects, with increased intraoperative difficulties, risk of recurrence and re-herniation<sup>[26]</sup>. Finally, a study performed on a large cohort from North Carolina suggested that presence of pre-operative comorbidities, such as diabetes, hypertension or pulmonary disease, were unlikely to impair the outcome of LF<sup>[27]</sup>.

## CLINICAL FACTORS: SYMPTOMS

Clinical presentation of GERD patients varies from typical to atypical symptoms, as well as extraesophageal symptoms and associated syndromes.

Heartburn and regurgitation are considered the hallmarks of reflux disease. Atypical reflux symptoms include non-cardiac chest pain and extraesophageal manifestations such as chronic cough, chronic asthma, chronic laryngitis, and dental erosions. Also, dyspepsia manifestations and irritable bowel syndrome symptoms can be present in up to 50% of GERD patients<sup>[28]</sup>.

Many studies were performed to verify the post-operative symptomatic gain after LF, in order to estimate its clinical effectiveness. Morgenthal *et al.*<sup>[29]</sup> studied a cohort of 166 subjects with 11 years follow-up of; authors showed that typical symptoms presence was a predictive factor for a long term good outcome after LF. Lundell *et al.*<sup>[30]</sup> performed a systematic review about the outcome of antireflux surgery. They found that patients did not experience heartburn substantially in the year after LF but it reappeared over time, with a certain amount of patients reporting heartburn after 10 years. Similarly, patients reporting regurgitation reported a substantial relief in the year after LF but with a recurrence 10 years after LF<sup>[30]</sup>.

Achieving atypical GERD symptoms response is challenging: In a recent review, authors did not find any sure data on the efficacy of LF in relieving these manifestations, even if the majority of studies demonstrated some degree of improvement<sup>[31]</sup>. However, when a patient is selected on the basis of pH-impedance monitoring, LF showed a significant relief of extraesophageal symptoms but it seems to cannot improve all of the patients. Adaba *et al.*<sup>[32]</sup> studied respiratory symptoms in patients with GERD and then

treated with LF. They stratified the study population into three groups; patients with cough only, patients with cough plus other respiratory symptoms (asthma, COPD, bronchitis, interstitial lung disease and hoarseness of voice) and patients with other respiratory symptoms only. Patients with cough only were likely to have a better symptoms improvement than patients with cough plus respiratory symptoms and respiratory symptoms only in the short and long term, even if the small number of patients represented a limitation. This trend has also been observed in other studies<sup>[33,34]</sup>. Overall response rates were over 70% in the control of respiratory manifestations. A recent review speculated that cough and reflux may stimulate each other<sup>[35]</sup>. Cough showed the highest preoperative scores than all extraesophageal manifestations and was referred by about 45% of the subjects.

Finally, the presence of dyspepsia-like symptoms seems to be a negative factor for outcome. In fact, several studies reported that after surgery there are subjects who will get worse or exacerbate dyspepsia-like symptoms (epigastric fullness, bloating, abdominal pain, flatulence), with worsening in GERD symptom control in up to 50% at long term follow-up<sup>[36,37]</sup>.

## CLINICAL FACTORS: RESPONSE TO PPI

Acid-suppression with PPIs is the most widespread used therapy for GERD. Actually, patients who control their symptoms and resolve mucosal lesions with PPIs are referred to as "complete responders", whereas "partial responders" or "non-responders" are those increasingly numbers of patients experiencing only partial or no relief from reflux symptoms, even after optimized PPI<sup>[38]</sup>. The LF is currently contemplated in patients with hiatus hernia and, according to some surgeons, in patients non-responsive to PPI<sup>[39]</sup>, whereas other surgeons do not consider the surgical treatment as a good option in PPI non-responders.

Several studies evaluated the clinical effectiveness of surgical treatment of GERD in PPI responders and nonresponders. According to Lundell *et al.*<sup>[30]</sup>, partial responders were the ones needing to use acid-suppressive medication and requiring surgical reintervention after LF. These results are consistent with a recent study; authors in fact showed that the pre-operative symptomatic response to PPI treatment was an excellent predictor of the subsequent response to LF<sup>[40]</sup>. In Campos *et al.*<sup>[41]</sup> performed a multivariate analysis, demonstrating that pre-operative PPI refractoriness was a predictive factor of poor outcome after LF. In fact, PPI non-responders patients had a significantly effectiveness from the surgical treatment but it was still less successful when matched with PPI responders. Also, other studies considered the surgical outcome in non-responders, evaluating those also affected by atypical symptoms, reflecting that surgical procedure can be ineffective to treat atypical symptoms. Hamdy *et coll.*, therefore, realized a prospective study on patients

responders and non-responders who underwent LF<sup>[42]</sup>. The two groups were matched for endoscopic grading of esophagitis as well as no significant difference between the two groups on functional assessment on esophageal manometric study of LES pressure and pH-monitoring. According to their findings, clinical outcome was better in PPIs responders regarding disappearance of heartburn and regurgitation, while there was no difference in improvement of dysphagia between both groups. Also, overall patient satisfaction with surgery was significantly higher in the good responders. Authors concluded that patients responder to PPI have a positive predictive factor for LF outcome, whereas PPI non responders are not at risk for a contraindication. However, PPI non-responders have experienced the failure of the pharmacological therapy, evaluating the surgical treatment as the last opportunity for their relief. So that, surgeons and gastroenterologists should accurately and carefully select patients non-responders to maximize LF outcomes: PPI non responders and/or patients complaining atypical digestive symptoms should avoid a surgical procedure to treat GERD, if the real presence of GERD and a possible symptom-reflux correlation is not documented.

---

## ANATOMICAL FACTORS: HIATAL HERNIA PRESENCE

---

Mechanisms of GERD are multifactorial (dysfunction of esophageal peristalsis, gastric activity, and LES continence). The presence of hiatal hernia exposes patients to increased AET, TNR and to a more severe GERD pattern<sup>[43]</sup>. In literature in fact, is currently reported that at baseline hiatus hernia, LES resting pressure and length are significantly more compromised in patients with severe erosive reflux disease (ERD) and Barrett's esophagus (BE) compared to those with mild erosions and non erosive reflux disease (NERD).

Intuitively, GERD patients with a normal LES pressure at manometry would have less acid reflux and related symptoms at baseline; thus, they could be more likely to experience dysphagia after LF, with generally worse outcomes. On the other hand, hiatal hernia is often found in patients reporting dissatisfaction and/or undergoing reoperative antireflux surgery. Its persistence after LF is in fact a predictive factor of negative outcome<sup>[44]</sup>.

Lord *et al*<sup>[45]</sup> demonstrated not only that the grade of GERD well links with the functional and anatomical qualities of the gastroesophageal reflux barrier, with hiatus hernia, and that a defective LES is significantly more frequent in ERD or BE patients, but also that LF, which resolves the hernia and increases the LES pressure, offers in the same way good or excellent outcomes, irrespective of the presence of mucosal inflammation, and in all degrees of GERD<sup>[45]</sup>. Similarly, Lei *et al*<sup>[46]</sup> study the effect of LF in treating sliding hiatal hernia. They found that at 2 years follow-up in up to

93% of subjects a normal instrumental testing was present, with a good overall satisfaction. Cowgill *et al*<sup>[47]</sup> compared a group of patients with GERD that had a normal LES resting pressure, to a group of patients with inadequate LES, before and after LF was performed. They found that before surgery, patients with normal LES tone had symptom scores (for heartburn and regurgitation) similar to those patients with inadequate LES, and the symptom relief was also similar after LF. Inability to belch was not frequent at baseline, and its presence did not increased postoperatively. Furthermore, dysphagia scores significantly improved in patients, irrespective for inadequate and adequate LES pressure, whereas dysphagia frequency did not improve in those adequate or inadequate LES pressure at manometry.

---

## ENDOSCOPIC FACTORS: ESOPHAGITIS, NERD AND BE

---

Deterioration of esophageal clearance function protracts contact of the refluxate, thus increasing mucosal damage, that can be documented during endoscopy. Therefore, GERD patients may present with a broad spectrum of endoscopic mucosal presentation (normal to esophagitis to BE).

However, a the majority of patients complaining GERD symptoms have no mucosal lesions at endoscopic imaging<sup>[48,49]</sup>, while in others gastric acid reflux may trigger ERD and causing a weakening of esophageal peristalsis<sup>[50]</sup>. It could be expected that GERD patients without esophagitis suffer of a less symptomatic disease, and that the presence or absence of esophagitis at the endoscopic exam, could somehow influence the management of those patients, expecting that NERD patients could be treated with medical therapy whereas patients with esophagitis would need other approaches instead. Additionally, it could be thought that NERD subjects would have superior perioperative outcomes than ERD patients, but having less favorable long-term outcomes when compared to the ERDs.

Recently, there are confirmation that NERD subjects are similar to ERDs for reflux patterns, symptoms severity, and use of medical therapy<sup>[51]</sup>.

Additionally, recent reports advocate that a less aggressive therapy (cisapride, anti-H2) in NERD subjects is often ineffective, and they necessitate high-dose PPI; also, they experience relapse frequently, and a lower response rates to omeprazole when compared to ERDs<sup>[52,53]</sup>. For these reasons, management strategies for NERD should be based on the same principles as those for ERD.

Lots of studies were taken to evaluate preoperative influence of esophagitis in GERD patients, and to evaluate how the presence of erosions would affect the outcome of surgery. The hypotheses that NERD patients would have better perioperative results with less

favorable long-term outcome than ERD is false. LF is an efficient treatment for GERD, with no significant clinical differences between patients with and without ERD at baseline. For patients with NERD, LF offers significant relief of symptoms and a marked diminution in the use of PPI<sup>[54]</sup>.

Some investigators reported relatively poorer outcomes of LF for patients with BE and suggested the use of more aggressive surgical strategies for BE developed in GERD patients<sup>[55]</sup>. However, a study from Cowgill *et al.*<sup>[56]</sup> compared patients with GERD with or without BE to verify the presence of differences in symptoms relative frequency and severity and in relative levels of acid reflux preoperatively and to verify symptom improvement postoperatively. Authors postulated that patients with BE would experience more severe reflux and symptoms at baseline, with poorer effects after LF than patients without BE. However, before surgery, even if BE patients showed higher DeMeester scores, symptom scores were not significantly different than patients without BE. After LF, symptoms scores improved for both group of patients. After LF, all symptoms scores significantly improved, whereas dysphagia frequency was higher in patients with BE. Similarly, Abbas *et al.*<sup>[57]</sup> noticed that 67% of 49 BE patients after LF were asymptomatic at follow-up. Also, Oelschlagel *et al.*<sup>[58]</sup> reported excellent outcomes in GERD and BE patients, with up to 95% of the subjects reporting a persistent symptomatic improvement after LF. Tolone *et al.*<sup>[59]</sup> showed optimal reflux control in BE patients after LF, documenting it by the means of MII-PH monitoring; also Authors showed regression of low grade dysplasia one year after surgery.

## INSTRUMENTAL FEATURES: MOTILITY

Esophageal dysmotility commonly occurs with GERD. In the study by Savarino *et al.*<sup>[60]</sup>, which combined esophageal manometry and impedance, patients with reflux esophagitis have been shown to have a significant increase in esophageal motility and bolus transit abnormalities compared to healthy controls and patients with NERD. Although the association between GERD and esophageal dysmotility is clear, GERD symptoms relief after medical therapy is not proven to be helpful in improving esophageal motility. In fact, although PPIs are able to fully resolve reflux esophagitis and are successful in the majority of patients in terms of symptom relief, it has been shown that they have no effect on the improvement of esophageal body motility<sup>[61]</sup>. On the other hand, the surgical correction of GERD offers an improvement or a complete resolution of esophageal dysmotility<sup>[62]</sup>. However, medical good-sense purposes a limited role for LF if esophageal dysmotility is present, fearing for postoperative dysphagia development. Coherently, successful results after LF in patients with esophageal motor dysfunction are not easy to predict<sup>[63]</sup>. Various studies considered ineffective esophageal motility not to be a risk factor for

prolonged postoperative dysphagia after LF<sup>[64,65]</sup>. Even if several studies reported excellent outcomes after LF in patients with manometric motor disorders, these results are not entirely shared. Dysphagia can be observed in a considerable amount (up to 20%) of GERD patients and esophageal motor abnormalities after LF<sup>[66,67]</sup>.

The study conducted from D'Alessio *et al.*<sup>[68]</sup>, showed that patients with esophageal motor dysfunction determined at manometry had adequate outcomes after LF if they were able to effectively clear a food bolus at preoperative esophagography. These patients had similar outcomes to those with normal esophageal motor function. Pizza *et al.*<sup>[69]</sup>, studied different patients divided into groups according to the motility pattern studied preoperatively with manometry. They divided a group A with impaired esophageal peristalsis, and group B without impaired peristalsis. Their study demonstrated that the two groups had a statistically significant improvement in symptom score and that preoperative defective esophageal peristalsis was not a contraindication to LF.

Another aspect to be considered is the preoperative LES resting pressure at manometry, because experience with LF in GERD patients and manometrically intact LES is limited. In the majority of GERD subjects an impaired LES competence is documented at esophageal manometry, thus reflux presence is easily argued. In those with manometrically adequate LES, several other mechanisms (transient involuntary relaxations of the LES, impaired esophageal peristalsis, decreased gastric emptying, increased intragastric or intraabdominal pressure, increased BMI, life-style habits) have been proposed to explain the occurrence of GERD.

Riedl *et al.*<sup>[70]</sup> studied the importance of LES pressure and its hypothetic capacity to influence the outcome of LF when a normal pressure was present. In their study, they stratified 4 groups: Group I (LES with a defective intra-abdominal length and a defective pressure), group II (defective LES pressure), group III (defective LES intra-abdominal length), and group IV (normal LES). They found no significant differences among the groups regarding the quality of GERD symptoms and quality of life scores. Similar conclusions led the study of Patti *et al.*<sup>[71]</sup> where authors studied three groups based on the preoperative LES pressure. The resolution of symptoms and incidence in the novo dysphagia was similar among the three groups, irrespective of the preoperative LES status. Also, authors found that LF was linked to a higher percentage of postoperative dysphagia than partial fundoplication, regardless the LES pressure at baseline.

Finally, a new parameter at high resolution manometry, the esophagogastric junction contractile integral, was recently used to better prove the antireflux barrier efficacy of the junction<sup>[72]</sup>. The group from St Louis showed that this metric distinguished patients with normal AET from those with pathological values better than conventional LES parameters, and that it can be useful to evaluate the efficacy of the anti-reflux

surgery<sup>[73]</sup>.

## INSTRUMENTAL FEATURES: ESOPHAGEAL ACID EXPOSURE

Outstandingly, GERD patients are really a heterogeneous population. By means of 24-h ambulatory esophageal pH monitoring, AET can be quantified and qualified depending on the body position in which it appears. According this latter feature, three reflux patterns of acid reflux at pH-monitoring are usually reported: Unique upright, unique supine, and bipositional one. The presence of abnormal supine and bipositional AET are considered classic indication for antireflux surgery<sup>[74]</sup>. However, some investigators believe that symptom improvement and success after LF could depend upon the AET-body position pattern. It is reasonable to accept that LF outcomes can vary according to the reflux patterns. Upright reflux, for example, is cogitated to be a less severe GERD pattern, whereas bipositional reflux seems to be associated with advanced, severe disease. Although upright reflux is considered an initial form of GERD, these subjects are supposed to present a greater incidence of aerophagia and dyspepsia. Also, these patients are supposed to have worse postoperative outcomes after LF, including higher rates of postoperative gas bloating and flatus, when compared to those with supine or bipositional pathological AET<sup>[75,76]</sup>. Consequently, some physicians have been hesitant to indicate LF in presence of isolated upright pathological AET<sup>[77]</sup>.

However, several papers are even in contrast on this matter. In fact, different studies found a similar symptoms relief in patients with pathological upright reflux and in those with pathological supine or bipositional AET<sup>[78,79]</sup>. Only two studies evaluated objectively the outcomes of LF and demonstrated that isolated upright reflux patients had a good outcome after surgical intervention<sup>[80,81]</sup>. Other authors have recently reopened the debate and it has been reported that poorer symptomatic improvement occurs after surgery in patients with pathological upright reflux<sup>[82]</sup>. Cowgill *et al*<sup>[83]</sup> studied a large cohort of GERD patients who required antireflux surgery. Authors stratified patients according to positional AET features at baseline pH-monitoring. Patient with reflux occurring in any position, even in only upright reflux, experienced similar good symptom improvement after LF; in fact, a larger percentage of patients with upright reflux defined their overall outcomes as "excellent" or "good". All symptoms improved postoperatively. Authors concluded that after LF, symptoms of GERD improved in all reflux patterns and that LF dramatically improves GERD symptoms, irrespectively of the reflux pattern; thus, antireflux surgery is encouraged. Actually, it remains debated whether upright reflux should be considered as a relative contraindication for LF, because

studies comparing long-term objective and subjective parameters are lacking.

## INSTRUMENTAL FEATURES: IMPEDANCE-PH MONITORING

Combined multichannel intraluminal impedance-pH (MII-pH) monitoring can identify reflux events independently of its pH quality. In recent years, in fact, MII-pH monitoring has become a progressively adopted method in the evaluation of GERD. Because MII-pH monitoring detects retrograde movements in the esophagus regardless of an acid pH drop, it permits to document either nonacid or weakly acidic reflux events (with a pH higher than 4). This central advantage allows to evaluate GERD patients with refractory symptoms during acid-suppression therapy; in fact, recent studies have shown the capacity of MII-pH monitoring in increasing the symptom index sensitivity for patients on PPIs<sup>[84,85]</sup>.

Mainie *et al*<sup>[86]</sup> assessed LF as a management for patients with PPI refractory symptoms associated with reflux, by means of MII-pH monitoring. Authors found that at baseline 18 of 19 patients had a positive symptom index and one, a negative symptom index. At postoperative follow-up (14 mo), 94% of patients with a positive symptom index were asymptomatic or with a marked improvement. Persistent symptoms were experienced in the patient with a negative symptom index, and one patient had recurrent symptoms after 9 mo. Authors concluded that patients resistant to PPI with a positive symptom index demonstrated by MII-pH monitoring could be managed successfully by LF.

Del Genio *et al*<sup>[87]</sup> in 2008 verified if the MII-pH was effective to provide a correct selection of patients for LF. Authors prospectively assessed and reviewed data from 314 consecutive patients not responsive or not compliant to PPI who underwent MII-pH for GERD. One hundred fifty-three patients who underwent LF with a minimum follow-up of 1 year were included in the study. Outcomes were reported for patients with normal and ineffective peristalsis and for patients with positive pH-monitoring, negative pH-monitoring and positive total number of reflux episodes at MII, and negative pH-monitoring and normal number of reflux episodes at MII and a positive symptom index correlation with MII (hypersensitive esophagus patients). The overall patient satisfaction rate after surgery was 98.3%. No differences in patients' satisfaction and clinical postoperative symptom score were recorded between the groups as stratified by MII-pH. Authors concluded that MII-pH provided a useful objective selection of patients for LF and that LF can provide excellent outcomes in either patients with positive pH or negative pH and positive MII monitoring or symptom index association. These results were later confirmed by another Italian group that documented the positive impact of LF on reflux control in patients who

underwent MII-pH before and after surgery<sup>[88]</sup>.

## CONCLUSION

The LF is a good and efficacy therapeutical option for GERD. However, due to great heterogeneity in the phenotypical appearance of GERD, it is arguable that the outcomes of LF can be affected by a great number of factors. Based on the results highlighted in literature, a correctly fashioned LF, and, more important, a correct indication to LF can provide optimal results with good patient satisfaction. Thus, in large part, the predictability of success following LF is directly proportional to the level of certainty that GERD is the underlying cause of the patient's symptoms. Pre-operative testing are mandatory, especially MII-pH, due to its ability to better stratify GERD patients and to better identify the reflux-symptom association.

## REFERENCES

- Dent J, El-Serag HB, Wallander MA, Johansson S. Epidemiology of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review. *Gut* 2005; **54**: 710-717 [PMID: 15831922 DOI: 10.1136/gut.2004.051821]
- Hansen JM, Wildner-Christensen M, Schaffalitzky de Muckadell OB. Gastroesophageal reflux symptoms in a Danish population: a prospective follow-up analysis of symptoms, quality of life, and health-care use. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2009; **104**: 2394-2403 [PMID: 19623171 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2009.391]
- de Bortoli N, Guidi G, Martinucci I, Savarino E, Imam H, Bertani L, Russo S, Franchi R, Macchia L, Furnari M, Ceccarelli L, Savarino V, Marchi S. Voluntary and controlled weight loss can reduce symptoms and proton pump inhibitor use and dosage in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease: a comparative study. *Dis Esophagus* 2016; **29**: 197-204 [PMID: 25516110 DOI: 10.1111/dote.12319]
- NISSEN R. A simple operation for control of reflux esophagitis. *Schweiz Med Wochenschr* 1956; **86**: 590-592 [PMID: 13337262]
- Katz PO, Gerson LB, Vela MF. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2013; **108**: 308-328; quiz 329 [PMID: 23419381 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2012.444]
- Davis CS, Baldea A, Johns JR, Joehl RJ, Fisichella PM. The evolution and long-term results of laparoscopic antireflux surgery for the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *JLS* 2010; **14**: 332-341 [PMID: 21333184 DOI: 10.4293/108680810X12924466007007]
- Arguedas MR, Heudebert GR, Klapow JC, Centor RM, Eloubeidi MA, Wilcox CM, Spechler SJ. Re-examination of the cost-effectiveness of surgical versus medical therapy in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease: the value of long-term data collection. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2004; **99**: 1023-1028 [PMID: 15180720 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2004.30891.x]
- Dallemagne B, Arenas Sanchez M, Francart D, Perretta S, Weerts J, Markiewicz S, Jehaes C. Long-term results after laparoscopic reoperation for failed antireflux procedures. *Br J Surg* 2011; **98**: 1581-1587 [PMID: 21710482 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.7590]
- Horgan S, Pohl D, Bogetti D, Eubanks T, Pellegrini C. Failed antireflux surgery: what have we learned from reoperations? *Arch Surg* 1999; **134**: 809-815; discussion 815-817 [PMID: 10443802 DOI: 10.1001/archsurg.134.8.809]
- Lafullarde T, Watson DI, Jamieson GG, Myers JC, Game PA, Devitt PG. Laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication: five-year results and beyond. *Arch Surg* 2001; **136**: 180-184 [PMID: 11177138 DOI: 10.1001/archsurg.136.2.180]
- Byrne JP, Smithers BM, Nathanson LK, Martin I, Ong HS, Gotley DC. Symptomatic and functional outcome after laparoscopic reoperation for failed antireflux surgery. *Br J Surg* 2005; **92**: 996-1001 [PMID: 15997449 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.4914]
- Mariette C, Pessaux P. Ambulatory laparoscopic fundoplication for gastroesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review. *Surg Endosc* 2011; **25**: 2859-2864 [PMID: 21487865 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-011-1682-5]
- Funch-Jensen P, Bendixen A, Iversen MG, Kehlet H. Complications and frequency of redo antireflux surgery in Denmark: a nationwide study, 1997-2005. *Surg Endosc* 2008; **22**: 627-630 [PMID: 18071800 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-007-9705-y]
- Banki F, Demeester SR, Mason RJ, Campos G, Hagen JA, Peters JH, Bremner CG, Demeester TR. Barrett's esophagus in females: a comparative analysis of risk factors in females and males. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2005; **100**: 560-567 [PMID: 15743352 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2005.40962.x]
- Lin M, Gerson LB, Lascar R, Davila M, Triadafilopoulos G. Features of gastroesophageal reflux disease in women. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2004; **99**: 1442-1447 [PMID: 15307857 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2004.04147.x]
- Cowgill SM, Arnaoutakis D, Villadolid D, Al-Saadi S, Arnaoutakis D, Molloy DL, Thomas A, Rakita S, Rosemurgy A. Results after laparoscopic fundoplication: does age matter? *Am Surg* 2006; **72**: 778-783; discussion 783-784 [PMID: 16986386]
- Beck PE, Watson DI, Devitt PG, Game PA, Jamieson GG. Impact of gender and age on the long-term outcome of laparoscopic fundoplication. *World J Surg* 2009; **33**: 2620-2626 [PMID: 19771470 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-009-0216-y]
- Zhu H, Pace F, Sangaletti O, Bianchi Porro G. Features of symptomatic gastroesophageal reflux in elderly patients. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1993; **28**: 235-238 [PMID: 8446848 DOI: 10.3109/0365529309090678]
- Pizza F, Rossetti G, Limongelli P, Del Genio G, Maffettone V, Napolitano V, Bruscianno L, Russo G, Tolone S, Di Martino M, Del Genio A. Influence of age on outcome of total laparoscopic fundoplication for gastroesophageal reflux disease. *World J Gastroenterol* 2007; **13**: 740-747 [PMID: 17278197]
- Tolone S, Docimo G, Del Genio G, Bruscianno L, Verde I, Gili S, Vitiello C, D'Alessandro A, Casalino G, Lucido F, Leone N, Pirozzi R, Ruggiero R, Docimo L. Long term quality of life after laparoscopic antireflux surgery for the elderly. *BMC Surg* 2013; **13** Suppl 2: S10 [PMID: 24267446 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2482-13-S2-S10]
- Tolone S, Savarino E, de Bortoli N, Frazzoni M, Furnari M, d'Alessandro A, Ruggiero R, Docimo G, Bruscianno L, Gili S, Pirozzi R, Parisi S, Colella C, Bondanese M, Pascotto B, Buonomo N, Savarino V, Docimo L. Esophagogastric junction morphology assessment by high resolution manometry in obese patients candidate to bariatric surgery. *Int J Surg* 2016; **28** Suppl 1: S109-S113 [PMID: 26718611 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2015.12.047]
- Tolone S, Limongelli P, del Genio G, Bruscianno L, Rossetti G, Amoroso V, Schettino P, Avellino M, Gili S, Docimo L. Gastroesophageal reflux disease and obesity: do we need to perform reflux testing in all candidates to bariatric surgery? *Int J Surg* 2014; **12** Suppl 1: S173-S177 [PMID: 24859401 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2014.05.016]
- Luketina RR, Koch OO, Köhler G, Antoniou SA, Emmanuel K, Pointner R. Obesity does not affect the outcome of laparoscopic antireflux surgery. *Surg Endosc* 2015; **29**: 1327-1333 [PMID: 25294529 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-014-3842-x]
- Winslow ER, Frisella MM, Soper NJ, Klingensmith ME. Obesity does not adversely affect the outcome of laparoscopic antireflux surgery (LARS). *Surg Endosc* 2003; **17**: 2003-2011 [PMID: 14577029 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-003-8118-9]
- Ng VV, Booth MI, Stratford JJ, Jones L, Sohanpal J, Dehn TC. Laparoscopic anti-reflux surgery is effective in obese patients with gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 2007; **89**: 696-702 [PMID: 17959008 DOI: 10.1308/003588407X205323]
- Hahnloser D, Schumacher M, Cavin R, Cosendy B, Petropoulos P. Risk factors for complications of laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication.

- Surg Endosc* 2002; **16**: 43-47 [PMID: 11961603 DOI: 10.1007/s004640090119]
- 27 **Varban OA**, McCoy TP, Westcott C. A comparison of pre-operative comorbidities and post-operative outcomes among patients undergoing laparoscopic nissen fundoplication at high- and low-volume centers. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2011; **15**: 1121-1127 [PMID: 21557016 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-011-1492-z]
- 28 **Frazzoni M**, Piccoli M, Conigliaro R, Frazzoni L, Melotti G. Laparoscopic fundoplication for gastroesophageal reflux disease. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014; **20**: 14272-14279 [PMID: 25339814 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i39.14272]
- 29 **Morgenthal CB**, Lin E, Shane MD, Hunter JG, Smith CD. Who will fail laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication? Preoperative prediction of long-term outcomes. *Surg Endosc* 2007; **21**: 1978-1984 [PMID: 17623236]
- 30 **Lundell L**, Bell M, Ruth M. Systematic review: laparoscopic fundoplication for gastroesophageal reflux disease in partial responders to proton pump inhibitors. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014; **20**: 804-813 [PMID: 24574753 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i3.804]
- 31 **Koch OO**, Antoniou SA, Kaindlstorfer A, Asche KU, Granderath FA, Pointner R. Effectiveness of laparoscopic total and partial fundoplication on extraesophageal manifestations of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a randomized study. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech* 2012; **22**: 387-391 [PMID: 23047378 DOI: 10.1097/SLE.0b013e31825efb5b]
- 32 **Adaba F**, Ang CW, Perry A, Wadley MS, Robertson CS. Outcome of gastro-oesophageal reflux-related respiratory manifestations after laparoscopic fundoplication. *Int J Surg* 2014; **12**: 241-244 [PMID: 24463143 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijssu.2014.01.005]
- 33 **Allen CJ**, Anvari M. Gastro-oesophageal reflux related cough and its response to laparoscopic fundoplication. *Thorax* 1998; **53**: 963-968 [PMID: 10193396 DOI: 10.1136/thx.53.11.963]
- 34 **Tolone S**, Del Genio G, Docimo G, Bruscianno L, del Genio A, Docimo L. Objective outcomes of extra-esophageal symptoms following laparoscopic total fundoplication by means of combined multichannel intraluminal impedance pH-metry before and after surgery. *Updates Surg* 2012; **64**: 265-271 [PMID: 22875788 DOI: 10.1007/s13304-012-0171-2]
- 35 **Galmiche JP**, Zerbib F, Bruley des Varannes S. Review article: respiratory manifestations of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2008; **27**: 449-464 [PMID: 18194498 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2008.03611.x]
- 36 **Broeders JA**, Rijnhart-de Jong HG, Draaisma WA, Bredenoord AJ, Smout AJ, Gooszen HG. Ten-year outcome of laparoscopic and conventional nissen fundoplication: randomized clinical trial. *Ann Surg* 2009; **250**: 698-706 [PMID: 19801931 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181bcdad7]
- 37 **Broeders JA**, Draaisma WA, Bredenoord AJ, Smout AJ, Broeders IA, Gooszen HG. Impact of symptom-reflux association analysis on long-term outcome after Nissen fundoplication. *Br J Surg* 2011; **98**: 247-254 [PMID: 20960456 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.7296]
- 38 **Sifrim D**, Zerbib F. Diagnosis and management of patients with reflux symptoms refractory to proton pump inhibitors. *Gut* 2012; **61**: 1340-1354 [PMID: 22684483 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2011-301897]
- 39 **Bais JE**, Bartelsman JF, Bonjer HJ, Cuesta MA, Go PM, Klinkenberg-Knol EC, van Lanschot JJ, Nadorp JH, Smout AJ, van der Graaf Y, Gooszen HG. Laparoscopic or conventional Nissen fundoplication for gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: randomised clinical trial. The Netherlands Antireflux Surgery Study Group. *Lancet* 2000; **355**: 170-174 [PMID: 10675115 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(99)03097-4]
- 40 **Kamolz T**, Granderath FA, Pointner R. The outcome of laparoscopic antireflux surgery in relation to patients' subjective degree of compliance with former antireflux medication. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech* 2003; **13**: 155-160 [PMID: 12819497 DOI: 10.1097/00129689-200306000-00003]
- 41 **Campos GM**, Peters JH, DeMeester TR, Oberg S, Crookes PF, Tan S, DeMeester SR, Hagen JA, Bremner CG. Multivariate analysis of factors predicting outcome after laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication. *J Gastrointest Surg* 1999; **3**: 292-300 [PMID: 10481122 DOI: 10.1016/S1091-255X(99)80071-7]
- 42 **Hamdy E**, El Nakeeb A, Hamed H, El Hemaly M, ElHak NG. Outcome of laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication for gastroesophageal reflux disease in non-responders to proton pump inhibitors. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2014; **18**: 1557-1562 [PMID: 24985244 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-014-2584-3]
- 43 **Tolone S**, de Cassan C, de Bortoli N, Roman S, Galeazzi F, Salvador R, Marabotto E, Furnari M, Zentilin P, Marchi S, Bardini R, Stumliolo GC, Savarino V, Savarino E. Esophagogastric junction morphology is associated with a positive impedance-pH monitoring in patients with GERD. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2015; **27**: 1175-1182 [PMID: 26010058 DOI: 10.1111/nmo.12606]
- 44 **Ohnmacht GA**, Deschamps C, Cassivi SD, Nichols FC, Allen MS, Schleck CD, Pairolo PC. Failed antireflux surgery: results after reoperation. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2006; **81**: 2050-2053; discussion 2053-2054 [PMID: 16731129 DOI: 10.1016/j.athoracsur.2006.01.019]
- 45 **Lord RV**, DeMeester SR, Peters JH, Hagen JA, Elyssnia D, Sheth CT, DeMeester TR. Hiatal hernia, lower esophageal sphincter incompetence, and effectiveness of Nissen fundoplication in the spectrum of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2009; **13**: 602-610 [PMID: 19050984 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-008-0754-x]
- 46 **Lei Y**, Li JY, Jiang J, Wang J, Zhang QY, Wang TY, Krasna MJ. Outcome of floppy Nissen fundoplication with intraoperative manometry to treat sliding hiatal hernia. *Dis Esophagus* 2008; **21**: 364-369 [PMID: 18477260 DOI: 10.1111/j.1442-2050.2007.00777.x]
- 47 **Cowgill SM**, Bloomston M, Al-Saadi S, Villadolid D, Rosemurgy AS. Normal lower esophageal sphincter pressure and length does not impact outcome after laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2007; **11**: 701-707 [PMID: 17436051 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-007-0152-9]
- 48 **Niu XP**, Yu BP, Wang YD, Han Z, Liu SF, He CY, Zhang GZ, Wu WC. Risk factors for proton pump inhibitor refractoriness in Chinese patients with non-erosive reflux disease. *World J Gastroenterol* 2013; **19**: 3124-3129 [PMID: 23716993 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v19.i20.3124]
- 49 **Yang XJ**, Jiang HM, Hou XH, Song J. Anxiety and depression in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease and their effect on quality of life. *World J Gastroenterol* 2015; **21**: 4302-4309 [PMID: 25892882 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i14.4302]
- 50 **Eckardt VF**. Does healing of esophagitis improve esophageal motor function? *Dig Dis Sci* 1988; **33**: 161-165 [PMID: 3338364 DOI: 10.1007/BF01535727]
- 51 **Savarino E**, Zentilin P, Tutuian R, Pohl D, Gemignani L, Malesci A, Savarino V. Impedance-pH reflux patterns can differentiate non-erosive reflux disease from functional heartburn patients. *J Gastroenterol* 2012; **47**: 159-168 [PMID: 22038553 DOI: 10.1007/s00535-011-0480-0]
- 52 **Carlsson R**, Dent J, Watts R, Riley S, Sheikh R, Hatlebakk J, Haug K, de Groot G, van Oudvorst A, Dalväg A, Junghard O, Wiklund I. Gastro-oesophageal reflux disease in primary care: an international study of different treatment strategies with omeprazole. International GORD Study Group. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 1998; **10**: 119-124 [PMID: 9581986 DOI: 10.1097/00042737-199802000-00004]
- 53 **Galmiche JP**, Barthelemy P, Hamelin B. Treating the symptoms of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: a double-blind comparison of omeprazole and cisapride. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1997; **11**: 765-773 [PMID: 9305487 DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2036.1997.00185.x]
- 54 **Desai KM**, Frisella MM, Soper NJ. Clinical outcomes after laparoscopic antireflux surgery in patients with and without preoperative endoscopic esophagitis. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2003; **7**: 44-51; discussion 51-52 [PMID: 12559184 DOI: 10.1016/S1091-255X(02)00135-X]
- 55 **Csendes A**. Surgical treatment of Barrett's esophagus: 1980-2003. *World J Surg* 2004; **28**: 225-231 [PMID: 14961203 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-003-6986-8]
- 56 **Cowgill SM**, Al-Saadi S, Villadolid D, Zervos EE, Rosemurgy AS. Does Barrett's esophagus impact outcome after laparoscopic Nissen



- fundoplication? *Am J Surg* 2006; **192**: 622-626 [PMID: 17071195 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2006.08.010]
- 57 **Abbas AE**, Deschamps C, Cassivi SD, Allen MS, Nichols FC, Miller DL, Pairolero PC. Barrett's esophagus: the role of laparoscopic fundoplication. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2004; **77**: 393-396 [PMID: 14759403 DOI: 10.1016/S0003-4975(03)01352-3]
- 58 **Oeschlager BK**, Barreca M, Chang L, Oleynikov D, Pellegrini CA. Clinical and pathologic response of Barrett's esophagus to laparoscopic antireflux surgery. *Ann Surg* 2003; **238**: 458-464; discussion 464-466 [PMID: 14530718 DOI: 10.1097/01.sla.0000090443.97693.c3]
- 59 **Tolone S**, Limongelli P, Romano M, Federico A, Docimo G, Ruggiero R, Bruscianno L, Del Genio G, Docimo L. The patterns of reflux can affect regression of non-dysplastic and low-grade dysplastic Barrett's esophagus after medical and surgical treatment: a prospective case-control study. *Surg Endosc* 2015; **29**: 648-657 [PMID: 25030477 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-014-3713-5]
- 60 **Savarino E**, Gemignani L, Pohl D, Zentilin P, Dulbecco P, Assandri L, Marabotto E, Bonfanti D, Inferrera S, Fazio V, Malesci A, Tutuian R, Savarino V. Oesophageal motility and bolus transit abnormalities increase in parallel with the severity of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2011; **34**: 476-486 [PMID: 21671968 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2011.04742.x]
- 61 **Martinucci I**, de Bortoli N, Giacchino M, Bodini G, Marabotto E, Marchi S, Savarino V, Savarino E. Esophageal motility abnormalities in gastroesophageal reflux disease. *World J Gastrointest Pharmacol Ther* 2014; **5**: 86-96 [PMID: 24868489 DOI: 10.4292/wjgpt.v5.i2.86]
- 62 **Heider TR**, Behrns KE, Koruda MJ, Shaheen NJ, Lucktong TA, Bradshaw B, Farrell M. Fundoplication improves disordered esophageal motility. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2003; **7**: 159-163 [PMID: 12600439 DOI: 10.1016/S1091-255X(02)00145-2]
- 63 **Rydborg L**, Ruth M, Lundell L. Does oesophageal motor function improve with time after successful antireflux surgery? Results of a prospective, randomised clinical study. *Gut* 1997; **41**: 82-86 [PMID: 9274477]
- 64 **Chrysos E**, Tsiaoussis J, Zoras OJ, Athanasakis E, Mantides A, Katsamouris A, Xynos E. Laparoscopic surgery for gastroesophageal reflux disease patients with impaired esophageal peristalsis: total or partial fundoplication? *J Am Coll Surg* 2003; **197**: 8-15 [PMID: 12831918 DOI: 10.1016/S1072-7515(03)00151-0]
- 65 **Scheffer RC**, Samsom M, Frakking TG, Smout AJ, Gooszen HG. Long-term effect of fundoplication on motility of the oesophagus and oesophagogastric junction. *Br J Surg* 2004; **91**: 1466-1472 [PMID: 15386318 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.4759]
- 66 **Jobe BA**, Wallace J, Hansen PD, Swanstrom LL. Evaluation of laparoscopic Toupet fundoplication as a primary repair for all patients with medically resistant gastroesophageal reflux. *Surg Endosc* 1997; **11**: 1080-1083 [PMID: 9348378 DOI: 10.1007/s004649900534]
- 67 **Karim SS**, Panton ON, Finley RJ, Graham AJ, Dong S, Storseth C, Clifton J. Comparison of total versus partial laparoscopic fundoplication in the management of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Am J Surg* 1997; **173**: 375-378 [PMID: 9168069 DOI: 10.1016/S0002-9610(97)00078-0]
- 68 **D'Alessio MJ**, Rakita S, Bloomston M, Chambers CM, Zervos EE, Goldin SB, Poklepovic J, Boyce HW, Rosemurgy AS. Esophagography predicts favorable outcomes after laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication for patients with esophageal dysmotility. *J Am Coll Surg* 2005; **201**: 335-342 [PMID: 16125065 DOI: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2005.04.036]
- 69 **Pizza F**, Rossetti G, Del Genio G, Maffettone V, Bruscianno L, Del Genio A. Influence of esophageal motility on the outcome of laparoscopic total fundoplication. *Dis Esophagus* 2008; **21**: 78-85 [PMID: 18197944 DOI: 10.1111/j.1442-2050.2007.00756.x]
- 70 **Riedl O**, Gadenstätter M, Lechner W, Schwab G, Marker M, Ciovia R. Preoperative lower esophageal sphincter manometry data neither impact manifestations of GERD nor outcome after laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2009; **13**: 1189-1197 [PMID: 19370381 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-009-0890-y]
- 71 **Patti MG**, Perretta S, Fischella PM, D'Avanzo A, Galvani C, Gorodner V, Way LW. Laparoscopic antireflux surgery: preoperative lower esophageal sphincter pressure does not affect outcome. *Surg Endosc* 2003; **17**: 386-389 [PMID: 12436239 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-002-8934-3]
- 72 **Tolone S**, De Bortoli N, Marabotto E, de Cassan C, Bodini G, Roman S, Furnari M, Savarino V, Docimo L, Savarino E. Esophagogastric junction contractility for clinical assessment in patients with GERD: a real added value? *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2015; **27**: 1423-1431 [PMID: 26227513 DOI: 10.1111/nmo.12638]
- 73 **Wang D**, Patel A, Mello M, Shriver A, Gyawali CP. Esophagogastric junction contractile integral (EGJ-CI) quantifies changes in EGJ barrier function with surgical intervention. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2016; **28**: 639-646 [PMID: 26768087 DOI: 10.1111/nmo.12757]
- 74 **Fein M**, Hagen JA, Ritter MP, DeMeester TR, De Vos M, Bremner CG, Peters JH. Isolated upright gastroesophageal reflux is not a contraindication for antireflux surgery. *Surgery* 1997; **122**: 829-835 [PMID: 9347863 DOI: 10.1016/S0039-6060(97)90094-5]
- 75 **Saraswat VA**, Dhiman RK, Mishra A, Naik SR. Correlation of 24-hr esophageal pH patterns with clinical features and endoscopy in gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Dig Dis Sci* 1994; **39**: 199-205 [PMID: 8281858 DOI: 10.1007/BF02090083]
- 76 **Campos GM**, Peters JH, DeMeester TR, Oberg S, Crookes PF, Mason RJ. The pattern of esophageal acid exposure in gastroesophageal reflux disease influences the severity of the disease. *Arch Surg* 1999; **134**: 882-887; discussion 882-887 [PMID: 10443813 DOI: 10.1001/archsurg.134.8.882]
- 77 **DeMeester TR**, Bonavina L, Albertucci M. Nissen fundoplication for gastroesophageal reflux disease. Evaluation of primary repair in 100 consecutive patients. *Ann Surg* 1986; **204**: 9-20 [PMID: 3729589 DOI: 10.1097/0000658-198607000-00002]
- 78 **Gillen P**, Thornton J, Byrne PJ, Walsh TN, Hennessy TP. Implications of upright gastro-oesophageal reflux. *Br J Surg* 1994; **81**: 239-240 [PMID: 8156346 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.1800810226]
- 79 **Mughal MM**, Bancewicz J, Marples M. Oesophageal manometry and pH recording does not predict the bad results of Nissen fundoplication. *Br J Surg* 1990; **77**: 43-45 [PMID: 2302512 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.1800770115]
- 80 **Meneghetti AT**, Tedesco P, Galvani C, Gorodner MV, Patti MG. Outcomes after laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication are not influenced by the pattern of reflux. *Dis Esophagus* 2008; **21**: 165-169 [PMID: 18269653 DOI: 10.1111/j.1442-2050.2007.00770.x]
- 81 **Hong D**, Swanstrom LL, Khajanchee YS, Pereira N, Hansen PD. Postoperative objective outcomes for upright, supine, and bipositional reflux disease following laparoscopic nissen fundoplication. *Arch Surg* 2004; **139**: 848-852; discussion 852-854 [PMID: 15302694 DOI: 10.1001/archsurg.139.8.848]
- 82 **Power C**, Maguire D, McAnena O. Factors contributing to failure of laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication and the predictive value of preoperative assessment. *Am J Surg* 2004; **187**: 457-463 [PMID: 15041491 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2003.12.034]
- 83 **Cowgill SM**, Al-Saadi S, Villadolid D, Arnaoutakis D, Molloy D, Rosemurgy AS. Upright, supine, or bipositional reflux: patterns of reflux do not affect outcome after laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication. *Surg Endosc* 2007; **21**: 2193-2198 [PMID: 17522933 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-007-9333-6]
- 84 **Zerbib F**, Roman S, Ropert A, des Varannes SB, Poudroux P, Chaput U, Mion F, Verin E, Galmiche JP, Sifrim D. Esophageal pH-impedance monitoring and symptom analysis in GERD: a study in patients off and on therapy. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2006; **101**: 1956-1963 [PMID: 16848801 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2006.00711.x]
- 85 **Mainie I**, Tutuian R, Shay S, Vela M, Zhang X, Sifrim D, Castell DO. Acid and non-acid reflux in patients with persistent symptoms despite acid suppressive therapy: a multicentre study using combined ambulatory impedance-pH monitoring. *Gut* 2006; **55**: 1398-1402 [PMID: 16556669 DOI: 10.1136/gut.2005.087668]
- 86 **Mainie I**, Tutuian R, Agrawal A, Adams D, Castell DO. Combined multichannel intraluminal impedance-pH monitoring to select patients with persistent gastro-oesophageal reflux for laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication. *Br J Surg* 2006; **93**: 1483-1487 [PMID:

Tolone S *et al.* Outcome predicting of antireflux surgery

17051602 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.5493]

- 87 **del Genio G**, Tolone S, del Genio F, Aggarwal R, d'Alessandro A, Allaria A, Rossetti G, Bruscianno L, del Genio A. Prospective assessment of patient selection for antireflux surgery by combined multichannel intraluminal impedance pH monitoring. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2008; **12**: 1491-1496 [PMID: 18612705 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-

008-0583-y]

- 88 **Frazzoni M**, Piccoli M, Conigliaro R, Manta R, Frazzoni L, Melotti G. Refractory gastroesophageal reflux disease as diagnosed by impedance-pH monitoring can be cured by laparoscopic fundoplication. *Surg Endosc* 2013; **27**: 2940-2946 [PMID: 23436097 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-013-2861-3]

**P- Reviewer:** Garcia-Compean D, Wong K **S- Editor:** Gong ZM

**L- Editor:** A **E- Editor:** Wu HL





Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

Help Desk: <http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx>

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

