



My choices are better than yours! Gender differences in narcissistic start-ups entrepreneurs

Journal:	<i>EuroMed Journal of Business</i>
Manuscript ID	EMJB-12-2020-0130.R2
Manuscript Type:	Empirical Research Paper
Keywords:	Narcissism, Gender, Entrepreneurs, Start-ups, organizational choices

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3 **My choices are better than yours! Gender differences in narcissistic start-**
4 **ups entrepreneurs.**
5
6
7
8
9
10
11

12 **Abstract**

13
14
15 **Purpose:** The paper explores the role of narcissism on entrepreneurs' decisions in terms of
16 organizational choices, discussing gender differences. The aim relies on the influence that
17 entrepreneurs' personality, capabilities, and cognitive orientation have in the creation and
18 management of start-ups.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 **Design/methodology/approach:** Analyzing an Italian sample of 207 entrepreneurs and using
27 ANOVA and generalized linear model methods, the hypotheses were tested to understand
28 whether and how narcissism affects R&D expenditure, patent ownership, and the presence of a
29 specialized team in organizations led by women and men entrepreneurs.
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 **Findings:** Differences in the management and organizational choices of narcissistic women
37 entrepreneurs compared to their men counterparts emerged. First, results show that women
38 entrepreneurs are less narcissistic than men. Second, gender is shown to moderate the effect of
39 narcissism on the choice of having a qualified team in a start-up. Third, narcissistic women
40 entrepreneurs were found to engage less in risk-taking activities, such as R&D expenditure, and
41 to patent their ideas more than their male counterparts.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 **Originality:** The study expands the existing literature by applying a gendered lens to
52 entrepreneurs' narcissism to investigate whether they behave and manage their start-ups
53 differently.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **Keywords:** *Narcissism, Gender, Entrepreneur, Start-up, R&D expenditure, Qualified team,*
4 *Patent ownership.*
5
6
7

8
9 **Article classification:** Empirical Research Paper
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

EuroMed Journal of Business

1. Introduction

The creation and management of start-ups is a process filled with difficulty and failure (Venkataraman et al., 1990). Previous studies suggest that the personality, capabilities, and cognitive orientation of entrepreneurs strongly influence their willingness to pursue their entrepreneurial activity and face difficulties (Nga and Shamuganathan, 2010, Macenczak et al., 2016, Mathieu and St-Jean, 2013, Buttice and Rovelli, 2020). For example, entrepreneurs who believe they can control the environment through their behavior will be more likely to persist in entrepreneurial activities when experiencing difficulties. The emphasis on entrepreneurial personality has led to considering both the bright and dark sides of personality traits, showing both the positive and negative effects on the firm outcome (Resick et al., 2009). The paper focuses on narcissism as a personality trait identified as a set of self-centered behaviors, such as high self-esteem, self-promotion, and self-enhancement (Leonelli et al., 2019a). However, few studies have examined gender in the context of entrepreneurs' narcissism despite the established differences in entrepreneurship between men and women (Ingersoll et al., 2019). Specifically, the paper investigates whether narcissism is more prevalent among women or men entrepreneurs and whether narcissistic female entrepreneurs tend to invest more, less, or equally in R&D, in protecting their ideas, and in having a specialized team, compared to narcissistic male entrepreneurs. Based on the upper echelon theory (Hambrick and Mason, 1984) and social role theory (Eagly et al., 2000), 207 Italian entrepreneurs and start-ups will be examined to understand whether and how narcissism affects firm-level practices in organizations led by women and men entrepreneurs. Thereby, the present paper advances two theretofore-distinct studies: research on the organizational impacts of narcissism and women entrepreneurship, answering the Cragun et colleagues' (2020) call for future studies about the investigation of individual attributes that could influence narcissism manifestation and how narcissism could

1
2
3 impact on organizational choices and outcomes. Finally, the paper provides useful and practical
4
5 implications for governments and policymakers.
6
7

8 **2. Theoretical Background and Hypotheses**

9 10 11 *2.1. Entrepreneur narcissism*

12
13
14
15 Research on entrepreneur narcissism is generally linked to the upper echelons theory, which
16
17 considers organizations as a reflection of their managers' priorities and values (Hambrick and
18
19 Mason, 1984). According to this theory, the personality of entrepreneurs and CEOs shapes
20
21 organizational outcomes as a result of their ability to influence firm strategy, policy, and practice
22
23 (Hambrick, 2007). This influence is further amplified in micro firms, such as start-ups, where
24
25 entrepreneurs are surrounded by few peers and employees and also act as CEOs, having formal
26
27 and informal powers to explore and implement promising ideas (Abebe and Alvarado, 2013,
28
29 Leonelli et al., 2019a).
30
31
32

33
34 As already suggested by prior research, in fact, their personality can have significant and lasting
35
36 impacts on organizations (Galvin et al., 2015, Leonelli et al., 2019b, Smith and Webster, 2018).
37

38
39 The word narcissism comes from the Greek mythological character Narcissus, known for his
40
41 beauty, who fell in love with his own reflection and drowned trying to reach his beloved.
42

43
44 Therefore, this word is still associated with concepts such as a sense of superiority, self-
45
46 centeredness, entitlement, and a strong desire for authority and personal power. Here, narcissism
47
48 is considered as a personality dimension, not a clinical disorder. Personality traits tend to remain
49
50 stable over time and explain why people act differently in the same situation (Leonelli et al.,
51
52 2016). Narcissism, per se, encompasses various facets that are essential for effective leaders
53
54 (Judge et al., 2009, Gruda et al., 2021). Narcissistic entrepreneurs have a constant need for praise
55
56 and approval from their "followers," although they tend not to show their insecurities. They
57
58 describe themselves as having a strong personality, yet at the same time, they would get upset if
59
60

1
2
3 people did not notice their looks when they go out in public. Narcissism, therefore, is a complex
4
5 personality dimension, including both bright and dark aspects, like every personality trait.
6
7 Studies related to the dark side of narcissism show that narcissists usually act in an insensitive
8
9 and hostile way due to their tendency to see others as inferior. Furthermore, they exhibit a self-
10
11 serving bias in interpreting information and often make decisions based on how they will affect
12
13 their image and reputation. Accordingly, they tend to lack empathy, and in conversation, they
14
15 engage in manipulative patterns serving their own interests and objectives (Judge et al., 2009,
16
17 Chatterjee and Hambrick, 2007). Conversely, the bright side of narcissism is associated with the
18
19 idea of the “charismatic leader.” The organizational goals will reflect the narcissistic
20
21 characteristics of the entrepreneurs, who will thus share with peers and employees their pleasure
22
23 in performing a task and their apprehension during a challenge (Maccoby, 2012, Gruda et al.,
24
25 2021). Moreover, narcissistic leaders have grandiose visions for their company, which enables
26
27 them to attract many followers (Macenczak et al., 2016).
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 *2.2. Entrepreneur gender and narcissism*

35
36 Narcissism is not a gender-egalitarian phenomenon. Prior studies, in fact, show that men are
37
38 more narcissistic than women (Ingersoll et al., 2019, Grijalva et al., 2015). Men leaders fully
39
40 reflect the values of grandiose narcissism, showing characteristics such as superiority (i.e.,
41
42 everything is due) as well as the need to appear or assert themselves to achieve goals (Tschanz
43
44 et al., 1998). Morf and Rhodewalt (2001) argue that the differences in the levels of narcissism
45
46 between men and women depend on their social role. According to social role theory, the
47
48 behavioral differences between the sexes primarily arise from the differential social roles
49
50 occupied by women and men, especially those concerning the division of labor (Eagly et al.,
51
52 2000). Since women experience significant limitations on their ability to show typical
53
54 leadership qualities such as dominance, competitiveness, and assertiveness, their path to top
55
56 leadership is constrained and distinct from that of the one followed by men leaders (Glass and
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Cook, 2016). In detail, according to Harms et al. (2020), successful entrepreneurs generally
4 show masculine characteristics (i.e., aggressiveness and opportunism). This result might also
5 be related to the cultural background; generally, men decide to create a new business to make
6 things happen and accept the challenge to control their destiny, while women are pushed from
7 the high degree of dissatisfaction with the previous occupation. Moreover, Gruda et al. (2021)
8 state that gender impacts the degree to which narcissistic entrepreneurs are perceived as
9 productive and qualified. De Hoogh et al. (2015) instead demonstrate that the relationship
10 between entrepreneur gender and followers' gender impact firms' outcome. In this vein,
11 numerous studies underline that women who hold leadership positions are evaluated negatively
12 if they behave as autocratic or directive because they are incongruent with the expected sex-
13 role behavior (i.e., helpful and nurturing). Finally, recent studies also show that narcissism
14 affects fundraising success (Anglin et al., 2018, Leonelli et al., 2020) and that gender could be
15 a possible moderator of the above relationship even if this result is not supported yet (Gruda et
16 al., 2021).

17
18 In this paper, gender differences are considered on the basis of the three best-known
19 mechanisms related to entrepreneur narcissism - *leadership*, *exploitation*, and *exhibitionism*.
20 *Leadership* is associated with the desire to exercise authority and control over others. Men
21 entrepreneurs show a greater desire for power; they are more motivated than women to achieve
22 managerial roles and emerge as leaders (Grijalva et al., 2015). *Exploitation* refers to the levels
23 of aggressiveness, the implementation of counterproductive behaviors, and the propensity to
24 commit fraud. Men entrepreneurs show a great ability to assert themselves through persuasion
25 and exploitation of others compared to their female counterparts. Additionally, men are
26 rewarded for publicly displaying anger since they are perceived as demanding and deserving.
27 Women, on the other hand, are often punished for similar behavior because they are seen as
28 hysterical or too emotional (Ingersoll et al., 2019, Brescoll and Uhlmann, 2008). Lastly,
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 *exhibitionism* builds on vanity and the desire to be the center of attention. However, while men
4 are rewarded for self-promotion, women are evaluated negatively (Livingston et al., 2012).
5
6 Therefore, not to violate the stereotypical gender role expectations, women are discouraged
7
8 from an early age to engage in narcissistic behavior. Furthermore, if they have narcissistic
9
10 characteristics (e.g., an inflated sense of self-importance, dominance, and lack of empathy),
11
12 they prefer not to show them openly. Thus, it is stated that:
13
14

15
16 Hypothesis 1. Women entrepreneurs are less narcissistic than men entrepreneurs.
17
18

19 20 *2.3. Entrepreneur gender, narcissism, and start-up dynamics* 21 22

23 As for start-up dynamics, this paper considers the amount that start-up invest in R&D (i.e.,
24 Start-up R&D expenditure), the presence of a qualified team (i.e., Start-up qualified team), and
25
26 the ownership of patents (i.e., Start-up patent ownership). Narcissistic entrepreneurs see start-
27
28 ups as an extension of themselves and tend to look for extreme and grandiose strategies that
29
30 endanger their organizations (Chatterjee and Hambrick, 2007). However, the decisions about
31
32 investments in R&D are more important for start-up entrepreneurs compared to larger
33
34 companies (Whalley, 2011, Innocenti and Zampi, 2019). The assessment of R&D investments
35
36 is complicated because it is related to uncertainties associated with the possible profitability of
37
38 the R&D project. Not only is the value of the R&D project output generally uncertain, but there
39
40 is often even greater uncertainty about the expected cost for the completion of the R&D project
41
42 (Whalley, 2011). However, women are more risk-averse than men (Nelson, 2015, Hoang et al.,
43
44 2019). Female entrepreneurs generally avoid constraints that limit their ability to lead
45
46 organizational initiatives, particularly those that are risky, novel, or harmful to the organization.
47
48 As a result of these constraints, narcissistic women entrepreneurs - unlike their male
49
50 counterparts - are expected to be unable to pursue high-risk practices, such as investing more
51
52 in R&D expenditure. Thus, it is hypothesized that:
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Hypothesis 2. Gender moderates the effect of narcissism on the amount of R&D expenditure
4
5 so that narcissistic women entrepreneurs will invest less in R&D than narcissistic men
6
7 entrepreneurs.
8
9

10 The presence of a qualified team within a start-up is a surplus. Skilled team members can offer
11
12 extensive knowledge, access to resources not available internally, and can contribute with vast
13
14 experience (Kor, 2003, Jyoti and Singh, 2020). The personal characteristics of entrepreneurs
15
16 impact the presence or absence of a qualified team in a start-up and on the relationship that
17
18 these entrepreneurs will have with the team. Narcissistic entrepreneurs may feel threatened by
19
20 the presence of a qualified team because they prefer to act independently, impose their view on
21
22 the team, and be the center of attention (Rosenthal and Pittinsky, 2006, Lumpkin and Dess,
23
24 1996). Hence, the presence of skilled people may obscure their magical aura.
25
26
27

28 However, there are differences in the levels of narcissism between women and men
29
30 entrepreneurs. Women entrepreneurs show less narcissistic characteristics than their male
31
32 counterparts and, due to their intrinsic features, they may prefer being surrounded by qualified
33
34 peers and employees. At the same time, Shaw et al. (2009) suggest that women have smaller
35
36 and less effective entrepreneurial networks than men, so the presence of a qualified team can
37
38 contribute to the achievement of more resources and information. For this reason, it is stated
39
40 that:
41
42
43

44 Hypothesis 3. Gender moderates the effect of narcissism on the presence of a qualified team in
45
46 a start-up so that narcissistic women entrepreneurs prefer the presence of a qualified team more
47
48 than narcissistic men entrepreneurs.
49
50

51 Discovering new technologies is a challenging task, but creating a start-up based on such
52
53 discoveries requires further tenacity and a stronger sense of control (Markman et al., 2005,
54
55 Couch et al., 2018). Entrepreneurs should convert their new technological breakthroughs into
56
57 working prototypes and turn them into profit-yielding products and services (Markman et al.,
58
59
60

2005). Self-efficacy and self-belief are key characteristics that favor entrepreneurs in making discoveries as well as obtaining patents, which are frequently refuted before obtaining patent status (Bandura, 2001, Jensen et al., 2018). As the discovery process is filled with technological obstacles, success in obtaining patents rests heavily on a strong self-belief (Markman et al., 2005). Therefore, determination, perseverance, problem-solving ability, and tolerance for failure are vital to achieving the patent's goal (McKay, 2001). Narcissistic women entrepreneurs possess these characteristics in higher values compared to men entrepreneurs. Thus, it is stated that:

Hypothesis 4. Gender moderates the effect of narcissism on start-ups' patent ownership so that narcissistic women entrepreneurs patent their ideas more than narcissistic men entrepreneurs.

3. Methodology

3.1. Sample and procedure

This study is based on a sample consisting of Italian start-ups enlisted in the register of the Italian Chamber of Commerce. The initial sample included 500 start-ups randomly selected in the Italian territory. Entrepreneurs were contacted via their LinkedIn profile or email address, where they received the project outline and an invitation to be part of it. A link to the online survey was sent to those who agreed. Among them, 207 entrepreneurs answered the questionnaire (28.36% response rate). The survey was administered between September and November 2019; three reminder emails were sent to encourage response.

The data for the analysis consists of survey data and secondary data from a public database, thus increasing external validity. Entrepreneurs' personal information was collected through the survey, start-ups' financial information was gathered by consulting the Aida database, which contains complete information on Italian firms. Patent information was obtained from the Italian Patent register.

3.2. Measure

3.2.1. Dependent and Independent variables

Entrepreneur narcissism was measured using the short version of the Narcissistic Personality Inventory (NPI-16) developed by Ames et al. (2006) since entrepreneurs are usually time-constrained (Wallace & Baumeister, 2002). A five-point Likert scale version adopted by Gentile (2013), eliminating the non-narcissistic statement from each item, was employed. The original survey items were translated from English into Italian following a rigorous back-translation technique. The independent variables were all dummy variables. *Start-up R&D expenditure* was given the value one if the start-up spent at least 15% of its annual costs in R&D and zero if it did not. *Start-up qualified team* was assigned the value one if the total workforce included at least 1/3 of PhDs or at least 2/3 of the team held a master's degree, and zero if it did not. These two criteria are chosen because, according to the Italian law, start-ups with these characteristics are more likely to spur innovation (Italian Ministry of Economic Development, 2019). Finally, *start-up patent ownership* had the value one if the start-up owned at least one patent and zero if it did not. The choice to use a dummy variable was determined by the fact that small firms generally have a small number of patents.

3.2.2. Control variables

In this model, seven control variables controlling for individual, firm, and environment level factors were used to minimize variances not directly linked to the present study. At the individual level, entrepreneur's education and age were controlled for. Entrepreneurs with longer/higher education are likely to have access to a greater amount of resources to support their entrepreneurial ambitions and a greater ability to recognize opportunities and exploit them successfully (Altinay and Wang, 2011). Entrepreneurs' age can influence their level of aggressiveness and risk-taking, with older entrepreneurs generally being less aggressive and

1
2
3 risk-prone (Bertrand and Schoar, 2003). At the firm level, start-up age and initial capital were
4 controlled for. The age and capital of start-ups affect the choice to invest and pursue innovation,
5
6 for example, a higher initial capital can push start-ups to make riskier and more innovative
7
8 investments (Nanda and Rhodes-Kropf, 2013). Finally, at the environmental level, industry,
9
10 technology intensity, and geographical area were controlled for. In order to underline the level
11
12 of technology, we have grouped start-ups based on the R&D intensities in manufacturing and
13
14 service industries (low-, mid-low, mid-high, and high). For the start-ups belonging to the
15
16 manufacturing industries, we have followed the classification of Carroll et al. (2000), while for
17
18 those belonging to the service industries, we have followed the classification of Galindo-Rueda
19
20 and Verger (2016). The exact geographical location of the start-up may provide a cultural milieu
21
22 influencing the propensity for risk and innovativeness, as well as the entrepreneurial orientation
23
24 of the firm owner (Kreiser et al., 2010).
25
26
27
28
29

30 31 32 3.3. *Analysis*

33
34 Exploratory factor analysis (EFA) was conducted to extract the appropriate number of factors
35
36 from the narcissism scales. In accordance with Osborne and Costello (2009), the eigenvalue
37
38 graph was examined to identify natural curves or breakpoints. The graph suggested retaining
39
40 only one factor because of the steep slope between the first and second factors. Cronbach's alpha
41
42 is 0.80. Hypothesis 1 was tested using ANOVA and Cohen's D to assess the mean difference
43
44 between two unequal sample sizes. Given the unequal sample sizes, a matched-sample test (i.e.,
45
46 Tukey test) of Hypothesis 1 was also performed. Since our dependent variables are dummy
47
48 variables, we employed logistic regressions to test Hypotheses 2, 3, and 4. A Gaussian (normal)
49
50 distribution with an identity link function was specified. This same approach has been used in
51
52 prior studies examining the effect of CEO narcissism (Chatterjee and Hambrick, 2007,
53
54 Chatterjee and Hambrick, 2011).
55
56
57
58
59
60

3.4. Results

Table 1 reports the characteristics of the surveyed sample. In detail, the start-ups in our sample belong mainly to service industries (43.96%), operate in medium-high tech industries (47.34%), are located in the North West area of Italy (48.79%), and, as initial capital, they have invested between 5,000 and 10,000 euros (42.51%). Regarding the entrepreneurs, the gender is almost well balanced (i.e., 54.59% male and 45.41% female); entrepreneurs are between 30 and 39 years old (40.10%) and possess a master's degree level of education (60.39%).

*** Insert Table 1 here***

Descriptive statistics and Spearman's correlations results of the examined variables are presented in Table 2 and Table 3. We used Spearman's rank-order correlation because it is a unique tool that enables to calculate the correlation of continuous and ordinal variables (Hauke and Kossowski, 2011). Interesting results arise from Table 3. In detail, the strong correlations between our three dependent variables justify our choice again to investigate these phenomena separately (e.g., start-up R&D expenditure vs start-up qualified team, $r=-0.678$, $p<0.05$). Furthermore, results show a strong positive association between entrepreneur gender and start-up's age ($r=0.853$, $p<0.05$) and between entrepreneur narcissism and start-up's age ($r=0.450$, $p<0.05$).

*** Insert Table 2 here***

*** Insert Table 3 here***

Hypothesis 1 predicts that women entrepreneurs will be less narcissistic than men entrepreneurs. Specifically, in the mean comparison within Table 2, women entrepreneurs scored substantially lower on the narcissism measure than their male counterparts (-0.39 vs. 0.35). Moreover, in Table 3, results show a strong positive association between entrepreneur narcissism and entrepreneur gender ($r=0.402$, $p<0.05$), underlining a positive association between a higher level of narcissism and male entrepreneurs. Finally, we conducted the ANOVA test, a technique used

1
2
3 to compare the means of two or more samples (Howell, 2010), and the Tukey test, which is a
4 post-hoc ANOVA's test, to determine which specific groups' means are different (Abdi and
5 Williams, 2010). In detail, there is a statistically significant difference between groups (i.e., male
6 and female) as determined by the one-way ANOVA test ($F(1, 205)=42.44, p=0.000$), and the
7 Tukey test revealed that entrepreneur narcissism is statistically significantly higher in men
8 entrepreneurs compared to women entrepreneurs ($0.74 \pm 0.11, p=0.000$). These results allow us
9 to fully support Hypothesis 1.

10
11
12 Table 4 presents the results of the logistic regressions, with column 1 showing the results for the
13 total sample, and columns 2 and 3 reporting cross-sectional analyses by gender.

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26 *** Insert Table 4 here***
27
28
29

30
31 Hypothesis 2 suggests that gender moderates the effect of narcissism on the amount of start-up
32 R&D expenditure so that narcissistic women entrepreneurs will invest less in R&D than
33 narcissistic men entrepreneurs. In Panel A, the non-significant interaction between gender and
34 narcissism ($p=0.569$) leads to the rejection of Hypothesis 2 in the first place. Considering the
35 results shown in columns 2 and 3, narcissism was found to have a negative and statistically
36 significant effect on start-up R&D expenditure only in the female sample. This result could be
37 linked to the different ways men and women face risks, confirming that narcissistic women
38 entrepreneurs tend to engage in significantly fewer risky activities, which partially supports
39 Hypothesis 2. Hypothesis 3 suggests that gender moderates the effect of narcissism on the
40 presence of a qualified team in start-ups. This means that narcissistic women entrepreneurs will
41 prefer being surrounded by qualified employees and peers more than narcissistic men
42 entrepreneurs. In Panel B, the interaction term between gender and narcissism is negative and
43 statistically significant ($p<0.1$), suggesting that gender mitigates the effect of narcissism on the
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 presence of a qualified team. Women entrepreneurs with high levels of narcissism prefer being
4 surrounded by qualified employees and peers more than their male counterparts. The results in
5 columns 2 and 3 confirm that narcissism has a positive and statistically significant effect on the
6 propensity to work in and with a specialized team within the women entrepreneur sample
7 analyzed.

8
9
10
11
12
13
14 Finally, Hypothesis 4 suggests that gender moderates the effect of narcissism on start-up patent
15 ownership. In this perspective, narcissistic women entrepreneurs patent their idea more than
16 narcissistic men entrepreneurs. In the full sample column of Panel C, the interaction term gender
17 and narcissism has a negative and non-statistically significant result, which, at first sight, leads
18 to the rejection of Hypothesis 4. However, results in the female sample column confirm that
19 narcissism has a positive and statistically significant effect on patent ownership. This result,
20 however, is not significant in the male sample, showing that, as the level of narcissism increases,
21 women have a higher propensity to patent than men.

22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32 In sum, results support Hypothesis 1 and 3 and partially Hypothesis 2 and 4.

33 34 35 36 37 **4. Discussions**

38
39
40 This paper investigates whether narcissism is prevalent among women entrepreneurs, as well as
41 the differences in the behavior of narcissistic women entrepreneurs concerning their start-ups'
42 management and organizational choices compared to their male counterparts. Results show that
43 women entrepreneurs are less narcissistic than men. Additionally, findings suggest that gender
44 moderates the effect of narcissism on the choice to have a qualified team operating in the start-
45 ups. This means that narcissistic women entrepreneurs prefer being surrounded by qualified
46 employees and peers more than narcissistic men. As for R&D expenditure and patent
47 ownership, the hypotheses are partially confirmed, showing that narcissistic women
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 entrepreneurs engage less in risk-taking activities, such as R&D expenditure, and they also
4
5 patent their idea more than narcissistic men entrepreneurs.
6
7

8 *4.1. Theoretical implications*

9

10 The present study contributes and expands the existing literature related to the entrepreneur
11
12 personality and entrepreneurship in general, and strategic and organizational choices.
13

14
15 Regarding the entrepreneur personality literature, we investigated whether entrepreneurs behave
16
17 differently by applying a gendered lens to entrepreneurs' narcissism. So far, research on
18
19 entrepreneur narcissism has focused almost exclusively on men, given that most entrepreneurs
20
21 are men. Other works focus on how narcissistic behaviors are perceived differently when
22
23 exhibited by women than men, showing that narcissism may have different manifestations
24
25 among entrepreneurs due to the social construction of gender norms and the unequal treatment
26
27 received by genders (Ingersoll et al., 2019). For example, women entrepreneurs who display
28
29 narcissistic personalities are perceived by their men employees as less effective leaders than
30
31 equally narcissistic men entrepreneurs (De Hoogh et al., 2015). This suggests that narcissistic
32
33 women entrepreneurs may face biases not experienced by narcissistic men entrepreneurs.
34
35 Finally, previous works focus mainly on middle management roles or women entrepreneurs of
36
37 SMEs. Thus, our work expands this research stream, underlining that the manifestation of
38
39 narcissism varies according to gender, and this difference is significant also for start-up
40
41 entrepreneurs.
42
43

44
45 Regarding the entrepreneurship literature, we answer the Cragun et colleagues' (2020) call for
46
47 future studies about the investigation of other individual attributes that could influence
48
49 narcissism manifestation. Previous studies provide some initial evidence that gender differences
50
51 in narcissism might impact the choices to become entrepreneurs (Grijalva et al., 2015, Harms et
52
53 al., 2020). Investigating the combination between gender and personality, we underlined the
54
55 differences related to the way entrepreneurs create and manage their start-ups. In detail, we
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 underlined the difficulties that women entrepreneurs should overcome in order to achieve the
4
5 same results as men entrepreneurs.
6

7
8 Finally, this study contributes to the strategic and organizational choices literature, examining
9
10 the differences between entrepreneurs' personality traits and gender and entrepreneurs' decision-
11
12 making. Again, we answered the Cragun et colleagues' (2020) call for future studies about the
13
14 impact of narcissism on organizational choices and outcomes. We contribute to this field,
15
16 showing that narcissistic women entrepreneurs undertake different strategic choices than men
17
18 entrepreneurs. In particular, our study underlined the different ways women and men
19
20 entrepreneurs face the strategic choices to protecting their ideas through patents, invest in R&D,
21
22 and being surrounded by a specialized team.
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 *4.2. Practical implications*

30
31 On a practical level, this paper has important implications for governments and policymakers,
32
33 especially in times of global crisis. Governments and policymakers should consider the gender
34
35 differences in entrepreneurship, taking care of women who want to become entrepreneurs.
36
37 According to the ISTAT (Italian National Institute of Statistics) data (2021), out of 101 thousand
38
39 new unemployed, 99 thousand are women, showing that the COVID-19 pandemic has widened
40
41 the problem of gender inequality. This means that after the COVID-19 pandemic, women will
42
43 likely decide to create their own business to be newly placed on the labor market. Thus, in order
44
45 to push women in choice the entrepreneurial career and protect them from endogenous shock,
46
47 governments and policymakers should create special funds for them, encourage networking,
48
49 offer business and financial support for industries being of particular relevance for women (e.g.,
50
51 internet or local tourism business) (Goldstein et al., 2019), and give them the opportunity to be
52
53 supported in managing non-work commitments. Indirectly, this could have an important impact
54
55 on national economies because women prove to be more capable than men in managing and
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 changing their businesses to survive because they are always forced to rely on their own
4 resources (given the difficulties in obtaining loans) (Pines et al., 2010).
5
6
7
8
9

10 **4.3. Originality, limitations, and future research**

11
12
13 The originality of the study is based on a sample composed of real data on entrepreneurs.
14 Unobtrusive measures of narcissism, such as analysis of CEO photographs and firms' reports,
15 were not employed. The present study, on the other hand, shows some limitations that suggest
16 paths for further research. The present results are based on an Italian sample, and in light of this,
17 future studies may consider the impact of different cultures on the level of entrepreneur
18 narcissism.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 **5. Conclusions**

31
32
33 In sum, the paper explored the impact of entrepreneurs' personality traits and gender on their
34 decision-making (i.e., organizational choices). The focus was on narcissism as a controversial
35 personality trait strictly related to gender differences. Results showed that women
36 entrepreneurs are less narcissistic than men. Thus, there are differences in the management
37 and organizational choices of narcissistic women entrepreneurs compared to their men
38 counterparts. In detail, the choice of having a qualified team, the amount of R&D expenditure
39 invested in the start-ups, and the choice to patent are moderated by the gender of the
40 entrepreneurs.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

- Abdi, H. and Williams, L. J. (2010), "Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) test", *Encyclopedia of research design*, Vol. 3 No. 1, pp. 1-5.
- Abebe, M. and Alvarado, D. A. (2013), "Founder-CEO status and firm performance: an exploratory study of alternative perspectives", *Journal of Strategy and Management*, Vol. 6 No. 4, pp. 343-357.
- Altinay, L. and Wang, C. L. (2011), "The influence of an entrepreneur's socio-cultural characteristics on the entrepreneurial orientation of small firms", *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, Vol. 18 No. 4, pp. 673-694.
- Ames, D. R., Rose, P. and Anderson, C. P. (2006), "The NPI-16 as a short measure of narcissism", *Journal of Research in Personality*, Vol. 40 No. 4, pp. 440-450.
- Anglin, A. H., Wolfe, M. T., Short, J. C., McKenny, A. F. and Pidduck, R. J. (2018), "Narcissistic rhetoric and crowdfunding performance: A social role theory perspective", *Journal of Business Venturing*.
- Bandura, A. (2001), "Social cognitive theory: An agentic perspective", *Annual review of psychology*, Vol. 52 No. 1, pp. 1-26.
- Bertrand, M. and Schoar, A. (2003), "Managing with style: The effect of managers on firm policies", *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 118 No. 4, pp. 1169-1208.
- Brescoll, V. L. and Uhlmann, E. L. (2008), "Can an angry woman get ahead? Status conferral, gender, and expression of emotion in the workplace", *Psychological science*, Vol. 19 No. 3, pp. 268-275.
- Butticè, V. and Rovelli, P. (2020), "'Fund me, I am fabulous!' Do narcissistic entrepreneurs succeed or fail in crowdfunding?", *Personality and Individual Differences*, Vol. 162, p. 110037.
- Carroll, P., Pol, E. and Robertson, P. L. (2000), "Classification of Industries by Level of Technology: an Appraisal and some Implications", *Prometheus*, Vol. 18 No. 4, pp. 417-436.
- Chatterjee, A. and Hambrick, D. C. (2007), "It's All about Me: Narcissistic Chief Executive Officers and Their Effects on Company Strategy and Performance", *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol. 52 No. 3, pp. 351-386.
- Chatterjee, A. and Hambrick, D. C. (2011), "Executive personality, capability cues, and risk taking: How narcissistic CEOs react to their successes and stumbles", *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol. 56 No. 2, pp. 202-237.
- Couch, S., Estabrooks, L. B. and Skukauskaite, A. (2018), "Addressing the gender gap among patent holders through invention education policies", *Technology & Innovation*, Vol. 19 No. 4, pp. 735-749.
- Cragun, O. R., Olsen, K. J. and Wright, P. M. (2020), "Making CEO narcissism research great: A review and meta-analysis of CEO narcissism", *Journal of Management*, Vol. 46 No. 6, pp. 908-936.
- De Hoogh, A. H., Den Hartog, D. N. and Nevecka, B. (2015), "Gender differences in the perceived effectiveness of narcissistic leaders", *Applied Psychology*, Vol. 64 No. 3, pp. 473-498.
- Eagly, A. H., Wood, W. and Diekmann, A. B. (2000), "Social role theory of sex differences and similarities: A current appraisal", in Eckes, T. and Trautner, H. M. (Eds.) *The developmental social psychology of gender*, Psychology press, New York, NY, pp. 123-174.
- Galindo-Rueda, F. and Verger, F. (2016), "OECD taxonomy of economic activities based on R&D intensity", *OECD Science, Technology and Industry Working Papers*, Vol. 2016/04, pp. 1-25.
- Galvin, B. M., Lange, D. and Ashforth, B. E. (2015), "Narcissistic organizational identification: Seeing oneself as central to the organization's identity", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 40 No. 2, pp. 163-181.
- Gentile, B. (2013), "Investigating alternative response sets with the Narcissistic Personality Inventory: Validation of a new Likert version", University of Georgia.
- Glass, C. and Cook, A. (2016), "Leading at the top: Understanding women's challenges above the glass ceiling", *The Leadership Quarterly*, Vol. 27 No. 1, pp. 51-63.
- Goldstein, M., Martinez, P. G. and Papineni, S. (2019), "Tackling the global profitarchy: Gender and the choice of business sector", *Policy Research Working Paper*, pp. 1-32.

- 1
2
3 Grijalva, E., Newman, D. A., Tay, L., Donnellan, M. B., Harms, P. D., Robins, R. W. and Yan, T.
4 (2015), "Gender differences in narcissism: A meta-analytic review", *Psychological bulletin*,
5 Vol. 141 No. 2, pp. 261-310.
- 6 Gruda, D., McCleskey, J., Karanatsiou, D. and Vakali, A. (2021), "I'm simply the best, better than all
7 the rest: Narcissistic leaders and corporate fundraising success", *Personality and Individual*
8 *Differences*, Vol. 168, p. 110317.
- 9 Hambrick, D. C. (2007), "Upper echelons theory: An update", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol.
10 32 No. 2, pp. 334-343.
- 11 Hambrick, D. C. and Mason, P. A. (1984), "Upper echelons: The organization as a reflection of its top
12 managers", *Academy of management review*, Vol. 9 No. 2, pp. 193-206.
- 13 Harms, P., Patel, P. C. and Carnevale, J. B. (2020), "Self-centered and self-employed: Gender and the
14 relationship between narcissism and self-employment", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 121,
15 pp. 170-179.
- 16 Hauke, J. and Kosowski, T. (2011), "Comparison of values of Pearson's and Spearman's correlation
17 coefficients on the same sets of data", *Quaestiones geographicae*, Vol. 30 No. 2, pp. 87-93.
- 18 Hoang, T. T., Nguyen, C. V. and Van Tran, H. T. (2019), "Are female CEOs more risk averse than male
19 counterparts? Evidence from Vietnam", *Economic Analysis and Policy*, Vol. 63, pp. 57-74.
- 20 Howell, D. C. (2010), *Statistical methods for psychology (Internationa Edition)*, Wadsworth, Belmont,
21 CA.
- 22 Ingersoll, A. R., Glass, C., Cook, A. and Olsen, K. J. (2019), "Power, Status and Expectations: How
23 Narcissism Manifests Among Women CEOs", *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 158, pp. 893–
24 907.
- 25 Innocenti, N. and Zampi, V. (2019), "What does a start-up need to grow? An empirical approach for
26 Italian innovative start-ups", *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*,
27 Vol. 25 No. 2, pp. 376-393.
- 28 ISTAT (2021), "Employed and unemployed".
- 29 Italian Ministry of Economic Development (2019), "The Italian Startup Act Italy's policy framework to
30 support innovative start-ups", Rome, Italian Ministry of Economic Development.
- 31 Jensen, K., Kovács, B. and Sorenson, O. (2018), "Gender differences in obtaining and maintaining
32 patent rights", *Nature biotechnology*, Vol. 36 No. 4, pp. 307-309.
- 33 Judge, T. A., Piccolo, R. F. and Kosalka, T. (2009), "The bright and dark sides of leader traits: A review
34 and theoretical extension of the leader trait paradigm", *The Leadership Quarterly*, Vol. 20 No.
35 6, pp. 855-875.
- 36 Jyoti, B. and Singh, A. (2020), "Characteristics and determinants of new start-ups in Gujarat, India",
37 *Entrepreneurship Review*, Vol. 1 No. 2, pp. 1-25.
- 38 Kor, Y. Y. (2003), "Experience-based top management team competence and sustained growth",
39 *Organization Science*, Vol. 14 No. 6, pp. 707-719.
- 40 Kreiser, P. M., Marino, L. D., Dickson, P. and Weaver, K. M. (2010), "Cultural influences on
41 entrepreneurial orientation: The impact of national culture on risk taking and proactiveness in
42 SMEs", *Entrepreneurship theory and practice*, Vol. 34 No. 5, pp. 959-983.
- 43 Leonelli, S., Ceci, F. and Masciarelli, F. (2016), "The importance of entrepreneurs' traits in explaining
44 start-ups' innovativeness", *Sinergie Italian Journal of Management*, Vol. 34 No. 101, pp. 71-
45 85.
- 46 Leonelli, S., Ceci, F. and Masciarelli, F. (2019a), "'I am apt to show off': exploring the relationship
47 between entrepreneurs' narcissism and start-up innovation", *Sinergie Italian Journal of*
48 *Management*, Vol. 37 No. 3, pp. 39-62.
- 49 Leonelli, S., Di Pietro, F. and Masciarelli, F. (2020), "Narcissism, Machiavellianism and Psychopathy:
50 How Do Displayed Entrepreneurs' Personality Dark Traits Influence Crowdfunding Success?",
51 in Caputo, A. and Pellegrini, M. (Eds.) *Entrepreneurial Behaviour: Unveiling the Cognitive and*
52 *Emotional Aspects of Entrepreneurship*, Emerald, Bingley, UK, pp. 41–65.
- 53 Leonelli, S., Masciarelli, F. and Fontana, F. (2019b), "The impact of personality traits and abilities on
54 entrepreneurial orientation in SMEs", *Journal of Small Business & Entrepreneurship*.
- 55 Livingston, R. W., Rosette, A. S. and Washington, E. F. (2012), "Can an agentic Black woman get
56 ahead? The impact of race and interpersonal dominance on perceptions of female leaders",
57 *Psychological science*, Vol. 23 No. 4, pp. 354-358.
- 58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Lumpkin, G. T. and Dess, G. G. (1996), "Clarifying the Entrepreneurial Orientation Construct and
4 Linking It to Performance", *The Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 21 No. 1, pp. 135-172.
- 5 Maccoby, M. (2012), *Narcissistic leaders: Who succeeds and who fails*, Crown Business, London, UK.
- 6 Macenczak, L. A., Campbell, S., Henley, A. B. and Campbell, W. K. (2016), "Direct and interactive
7 effects of narcissism and power on overconfidence", *Personality and Individual Differences*,
8 Vol. 91, pp. 113-122.
- 9 Markman, G. D., Baron, R. A. and Balkin, D. B. (2005), "Are perseverance and self - efficacy costless?
10 Assessing entrepreneurs' regretful thinking", *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, Vol. 26 No.
11 1, pp. 1-19.
- 12 Mathieu, C. and St-Jean, É. (2013), "Entrepreneurial personality: The role of narcissism", *Personality
13 and Individual Differences*, Vol. 55 No. 5, pp. 527-531.
- 14 McKay, R. (2001), "Women entrepreneurs: moving beyond family and flexibility", *International
15 Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, Vol. 7 No. 4, pp. 148-165.
- 16 Morf, C. C. and Rhodewalt, F. (2001), "Unraveling the paradoxes of narcissism: A dynamic self-
17 regulatory processing model", *Psychological inquiry*, Vol. 12 No. 4, pp. 177-196.
- 18 Nanda, R. and Rhodes-Kropf, M. (2013), "Investment cycles and start-up innovation", *Journal of
19 Financial Economics*, Vol. 110 No. 2, pp. 403-418.
- 20 Nelson, J. A. (2015), "Are women really more risk - averse than men? A re - analysis of the literature
21 using expanded methods", *Journal of economic surveys*, Vol. 29 No. 3, pp. 566-585.
- 22 Nga, J. K. H. and Shamuganathan, G. (2010), "The influence of personality traits and demographic
23 factors on social entrepreneurship start up intentions", *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 95 No.
24 2, pp. 259-282.
- 25 Osborne, J. W. and Costello, A. B. (2009), "Best practices in exploratory factor analysis: Four
26 recommendations for getting the most from your analysis", *Pan-Pacific Management Review*,
27 Vol. 12 No. 2, pp. 131-146.
- 28 Pines, A. M., Lerner, M. and Schwartz, D. (2010), "Gender differences in entrepreneurship", *Equality,
29 diversity and inclusion: An International journal*, Vol. 29 No. 2, pp. 186-198.
- 30 Resick, C. J., Whitman, D. S., Weingarden, S. M. and Hiller, N. J. (2009), "The bright-side and the dark-
31 side of CEO personality: examining core self-evaluations, narcissism, transformational
32 leadership, and strategic influence", *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 94 No. 6, pp. 1365-
33 1381.
- 34 Rosenthal, S. A. and Pittinsky, T. L. (2006), "Narcissistic leadership", *The Leadership Quarterly*, Vol.
35 17 No. 6, pp. 617-633.
- 36 Shaw, E., Marlow, S., Lam, W. and Carter, S. (2009), "Gender and entrepreneurial capital: implications
37 for firm performance", *International Journal of Gender and Entrepreneurship*, Vol. 1 No. 1,
38 pp. 25-41.
- 39 Smith, M. B. and Webster, B. D. (2018), "Narcissus the innovator? The relationship between grandiose
40 narcissism, innovation, and adaptability", *Personality and Individual Differences*, Vol. 121, pp.
41 67-73.
- 42 Tschanz, B. T., Morf, C. C. and Turner, C. W. (1998), "Gender differences in the structure of narcissism:
43 A multi-sample analysis of the Narcissistic Personality Inventory", *Sex Roles*, Vol. 38 No. 9-
44 10, pp. 863-870.
- 45 Venkataraman, S., Van de Ven, A. H., Buckeye, J. and Hudson, R. (1990), "Starting up in a turbulent
46 environment: A process model of failure among firms with high customer dependence", *Journal
47 of Business Venturing*, Vol. 5 No. 5, pp. 277-295.
- 48 Whalley, A. E. (2011), "Optimal R&D investment for a risk-averse entrepreneur", *Journal of Economic
49 Dynamics and Control*, Vol. 35 No. 4, pp. 413-429.
- 50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

<i>Start-ups' characteristics</i>			<i>Entrepreneurs' characteristics</i>		
	<i>N</i>	<i>%</i>		<i>N</i>	<i>%</i>
Industry			Gender		
Manufacturing	25	12.08	Male	113	54.59
Construction	6	2.90	Female	94	45.41
Information and communication	85	41.06	<i>Tot</i>	207	100.00
Other Services	91	43.96			
<i>Tot</i>	207	100.00			
			Age		
Technology Intensity			≤ 29 years old	15	7.25
Low-Tech	28	13.53	30-39 years old	83	40.10
Medium-Low-Tech	42	20.29	40-49 years old	72	34.78
Medium-High-Tech	98	47.34	50-59 years old	30	14.49
High-Tech	39	18.84	≥ 60 years old	7	3.38
<i>Tot</i>	207	100.00	<i>Tot</i>	207	100.00
			Education		
Geographical area			High school	29	14.01
North West	101	48.79	Bachelor's degree	16	7.73
North East	27	13.04	Master's degree	125	60.39
Centre	38	18.36	PhD	37	17.87
South and Islands	41	19.81	<i>Tot</i>	207	100.00
<i>Tot</i>	207	100.00			
Initial capital					
≤ € 5,000	36	17.39			
€ 5,000 - € 10,000	88	42.51			
€ 10,000 - € 50,000	57	27.54			
> € 50,000	26	12.56			
<i>Tot</i>	207	100.00			

Table 1. Characteristics of the surveyed sample

Variable	Full sample (n=207)				Female sample (n=94)				Male sample (n=113)			
	Mean	SD	Min	Max	Mean	SD	Min	Max	Mean	SD	Min	Max
1. Start-up R&D expenditure ^a	0.599	0.491	0	1	0.649	0.480	0	1	0.558	0.499	0	1
2. Start-up qualified team ^a	0.401	0.491	0	1	0.394	0.491	0	1	0.407	0.493	0	1
3. Start-up patent ownership ^a	0.188	0.392	0	1	0.149	0.358	0	1	0.221	0.417	0	1
4. Entrepreneur narcissism	0.015	0.891	-1.985	1.745	-0.389	0.923	-1.985	1.630	0.350	0.709	-1.816	1.745
5. Entrepreneur gender ^b	0.549	0.499	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6. Entrepreneur education	2.821	0.888	1	4	2.830	0.812	1	4	2.814	0.950	1	4
7. Entrepreneur age	2.667	0.930	1	5	2.553	0.911	1	5	2.761	0.938	1	5
8. Start-up age	6.324	2.205	1	9	4.415	1.931	1	8	7.912	0.492	6	9
9. Start-up initial capital	2.353	0.912	1	4	2.266	0.870	1	4	2.425	0.943	1	4
10. Industry	10.275	3.469	3	19	10.628	3.027	3	19	9.982	3.787	3	14
11. Technology intensity	2.715	0.925	1	4	2.660	0.911	1	4	2.761	0.938	1	4
12. Geographical area	2.092	1.209	1	4	2.021	1.209	1	4	2.150	1.212	1	4

Notes: ^a 1=Yes, 0=No; ^b 1=Male, 0=Female;

Table 2. Descriptive statistics

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1. Start-up R&D expenditure	1											
2. Start-up qualified team	-0.678**	1										
3. Start-up patent ownership	-0.186**	-0.067	1									
4. Entrepreneur narcissism	-0.117	0.061	0.115	1								
5. Entrepreneur gender	-0.093	0.014	0.092	0.402**	1							
6. Entrepreneur education	-0.005	0.036	-0.137**	-0.011	0.023	1						
7. Entrepreneur age	-0.013	0.037	0.078	0.068	0.107	-0.077	1					
8. Start-up age	-0.005	-0.060	0.097	0.450**	0.835**	0.048	0.073	1				
9. Start-up initial capital	-0.040	0.041	0.149**	-0.038	0.085	-0.039	0.044	0.082	1			
10. Industry	0.065	-0.016	-0.056	0.032	0.015	0.221**	-0.037	0.054	-0.040	1		
11. Technology intensity	-0.007	-0.006	-0.107	0.069	0.042	0.197**	0.007	0.044	0.008	0.061	1	
12. Geographical area	-0.112	0.039	-0.055	0.015	0.069	0.178**	-0.036	0.156**	-0.112	0.140**	0.085	1

Table 3. Correlations (**= $p < 0.05$)

	Full sample (n=207)	Female sample (n=94)	Male sample (n=113)
Panel A. Dependent variable: Start-up R&D expenditure			
<i>Independent variables and moderation</i>			
Entrepreneur narcissism	-0.514*	-0.564**	-0.304
Gender	-3.015**		
Gender × Entrepreneur narcissism	0.249		
<i>Control variables</i>			
Entrepreneur education	-0.028	-0.617*	0.229
Entrepreneur age	0.001	0.282	-0.146
Start-up age	0.324**	0.279**	1.094**
Start-up initial capital	-0.163	-0.280	-0.159
Industry	0.061	0.105	0.035
Technology intensity	-0.249	-0.275	0.179
Gender x Technology intensity	0.490		
Geographical area	-0.384*	-0.309	-0.237
Gender x Geographical area	0.238		
Constant	0.417	1.194	-8.495
Panel B. Dependent variable: Start-up qualified team			
<i>Independent variables and moderation</i>			
Entrepreneur narcissism	0.616**	0.693**	-0.081
Gender	1.436		
Gender × Entrepreneur narcissism	-0.714*		
<i>Control variables</i>			
Entrepreneur education	0.165	0.909**	-0.153
Entrepreneur age	0.118	0.043	0.156
Start-up age	-0.287**	-0.267*	-0.884*
Start-up initial capital	0.145	0.286	0.134
Industry	-0.022	-0.034	-0.010
Technology intensity	0.138	0.159	-0.028
Gender x Technology intensity	-0.225		
Geographical area	0.119	0.013	0.198
Gender x Geographical area	-0.005		
Constant	0.433	-2.502	6.065*
Panel C. Dependent variable: Start-up patent ownership			
<i>Independent variables and moderation</i>			
Entrepreneur narcissism	0.522	0.660*	0.230
Gender	0.700		
Gender × Entrepreneur narcissism	-0.318		
<i>Control variables</i>			
Entrepreneur education	-0.175	0.505	-0.424*
Entrepreneur age	0.162	0.171	0.243
Start-up age	-0.022	-0.082	0.388
Start-up initial capital	0.393*	0.667*	0.338
Industry	-0.021	-0.058	-0.000
Technology intensity	0.111	-0.024	-0.412
Gender x Technology intensity	-0.346		
Geographical area	-0.259	-0.332	-0.007
Gender x Geographical area	0.277		
Constant	-1.427	-3.455	-3.692

Table 4. Regressions results (*= $p < 0.1$; **= $p < 0.05$; ***= $p < 0.01$)