# Vomiting With Head Trauma and Risk of Traumatic Brain Injury

Meredith L. Borland, MBBS, a.b Stuart R. Dalziel, PhD, c.d Natalie Phillips, MBBS, e.f Sarah Dalton, BMed, Mark D. Lyttle, MBChB, h.i.j Silvia Bressan, PhD, h.k Ed Oakley, MBBS, h.l.m Stephen J.C. Hearps, PGDipBiostat, Amit Kochar, MD, Jeremy Furyk, MBBS, John A. Cheek, MBBS, h.l Jocelyn Neutze, MBChB, P Franz E. Babl, MD, h.l.m on behalf of the Paediatric Research in Emergency Department International Collaborative group

**OBJECTIVES:** To determine the prevalence of traumatic brain injuries in children who vomit after head injury and identify variables from published clinical decision rules (CDRs) that predict increased risk.

abstract

**METHODS:** Secondary analysis of the Australasian Paediatric Head Injury Rule Study. Vomiting characteristics were assessed and correlated with CDR predictors and the presence of clinically important traumatic brain injury (ciTBI) or traumatic brain injury on computed tomography (TBI-CT). Isolated vomiting was defined as vomiting without other CDR predictors.

**RESULTS:** Of the 19 920 children enrolled, 3389 (17.0%) had any vomiting, with 2446 (72.2%) >2 years of age. In 172 patients with ciTBI, 76 had vomiting (44.2%; 95% confidence interval [CI] 36.9%–51.7%), and in 285 with TBI-CT, 123 had vomiting (43.2%; 95% CI 37.5%–49.0%). With isolated vomiting, only 1 (0.3%; 95% CI 0.0%–0.9%) had ciTBI and 2 (0.6%; 95% CI 0.0%–1.4%) had TBI-CT. Predictors of increased risk of ciTBI with vomiting by using multivariate regression were as follows: signs of skull fracture (odds ratio [OR] 80.1; 95% CI 43.4–148.0), altered mental status (OR 2.4; 95% CI 1.0–5.5), headache (OR 2.3; 95% CI 1.3–4.1), and acting abnormally (OR 1.86; 95% CI 1.0–3.4). Additional features predicting TBI-CT were as follows: skull fracture (OR 112.96; 95% CI 66.76–191.14), nonaccidental injury concern (OR 6.75; 95% CI 1.54–29.69), headache (OR 2.55; 95% CI 1.52–4.27), and acting abnormally (OR 1.83; 95% CI 1.10–3.06).

**CONCLUSIONS:** TBI-CT and ciTBI are uncommon in children presenting with head injury with isolated vomiting, and a management strategy of observation without immediate computed tomography appears appropriate.



"Princess Margaret Hospital for Children, Perth, Australia; "Division of Paediatrics and Emergency Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Western Australia, Crawley, Australia; "Starship Children's Health, Auckland, New Zealand; "Liggins Institute, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand; "Lady Cilento Children's Hospital, South Brisbane, Australia; "Ithild Health Research Gentre, School of Medicine, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia; "The Children's Hospital at Westmead, Sydney, Australia; "Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Melbourne, Australia; "Bristol Royal Hospital for Children, Bristol, United Kingdom; "Academic Department of Emergency Care, University of the West of England, Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom; "Department of Women's and Children's Health, University of Padova, Padova, Italy; 'The Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne, Australia; "Department of Paediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry, and Health Sciences, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia; "Women's and Children's Hospital, North Adelaide, Australia; "The Townsville Hospital, Townsville, Australia; and Kidz First Children's Hospital, Auckland, New Zealand

A complete list of non-author contributors appears in the Supplemental Information.

Dr Borland conceptualized and contributed to the data collection for the original study, conceptualized this study, interpreted the data for this study, drafted the initial manuscript, and revised the manuscript; Dr Babl conceptualized and devised the data collection tools, contributed to collection, coordinated and supervised data collection for the original study, and reviewed and

WHAT'S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT: Vomiting episodes in children with head injury have been assumed to indicate more severe injury and as such are often an indication to undergo cranial computed tomography to exclude clinically important traumatic brain injury.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: In this study, we have confirmed that isolated vomiting is rarely associated with significant traumatic brain injury and has delineated coexisting factors, which are associated with an increased risk of clinically important traumatic brain injury.

**To cite:** Borland ML, Dalziel SR, Phillips N, et al. Vomiting With Head Trauma and Risk of Traumatic Brain Injury. *Pediatrics*. 2018;141(4):e20173123

**TABLE 1** Outcome Definitions

ciTBI Outcomes	TBI-CT Outcomes
Death	Intracranial hemorrhage or contusion
Intubation for >24 h	Cerebral edema
Neurosurgery	Traumatic infarction
Hospital admission of ≥2 nights	Diffuse axonal injury
	Shearing injury
	Sigmoid sinus thrombosis
	Intracranial contents or signs of brain herniation
	Midline shift
	Diastasis of the skull
	Pneumocephalus
	Depressed skull fracture

Retrieved from Dayan PS, Holmes JF, Atabaki S, et al; Traumatic Brain Injury Study Group of the Pediatric Emergency Care Applied Research Network. Association of traumatic brain injuries with vomiting in children with blunt head trauma. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2014;63(6):657–665.

Mild-to-moderate blunt head injuries in children are a common reason for presentation to emergency departments (EDs) worldwide. 1-3 These injuries cause considerable decision-making dilemmas because clinicians balance the need to undertake a computed tomography (CT) scan to look for clinically important traumatic brain injury (ciTBI) against the risks of exposing the developing brain to ionizing radiation.4-6 Vomiting has been associated with an increased risk of more severe head injury and as such is often an indication to undergo cranial CT.1,4,7,8 The prevalence of ciTBI in children who sustain head injury<sup>9–12</sup> has been described in studies to derive clinical decision rules (CDRs), which guide the use of cranial CT scanning in these children. Both the Pediatric Emergency Care Applied Research Network (PECARN)<sup>10</sup> and the Children's Head Injury Algorithm for the Prediction of Important Clinical Events (CHALICE)<sup>9</sup> CDRs included vomiting episodes as a predictor variable; however, researchers in these studies used different cutoffs for frequency of vomiting.13 CHALICE, which is the most liberal with the numbers of vomits ( $\geq 3$ episodes) before triggering a CT scan, has been criticized because the positive predictive value (PPV) of an abnormal CT result in children with isolated vomiting remains

low at 3.7% in pediatric patients.<sup>14</sup> The PECARN CDR includes the presence of any vomiting as a predictor variable in children aged ≥2 years, although it suggests that when isolated, this may carry only intermediate risk, and so observation may be appropriate rather than immediate CT scanning. Researchers who conducted a secondary analysis of the PECARN study<sup>15</sup> have also confirmed that ciTBI is uncommon in the presence of isolated vomiting. After the publication of the CHALICE CDR the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence recommended that CT scanning be done in children with head injury and  $\geq 3$  episodes of vomiting16; this was modified in the most recent iteration that if there were no other features, these children may be observed.

We undertook a prospective observational study to compare 3 high-quality CDRs (the PECARN, CHALICE, and Canadian Assessment of Tomography for Childhood Head Injury  $[CATCH]^{9-11}$  that guide the use of CT in pediatric head injuries, externally validating the CDRs in a population outside their derivation sites. 17 In this planned secondary analysis of our cohort, we aim to determine the prevalence of ciTBI in children with vomiting and the relationship between age, frequency of vomiting, mechanism of injury, and ciTBI. We also sought to determine which PECARN and CHALICE CDR

predictors, when present with vomiting, increase the risk of either ciTBI or the wider clinical group of traumatic brain injury on computed tomography (TBI-CT). Because the CATCH CDR included vomiting as part of the composite definition of mild TBI, we did not include its predictor variables as a direct comparator in our cohort.

#### **METHODS**

# **Study Design, Setting, and Patients**

This was a planned secondary analysis of the Australasian Paediatric Head Injury Rule Study (APHIRST)<sup>18</sup> of children <18 years old with head injury presenting between April 2011 and November 2014 to 10 pediatric EDs in Australia and New Zealand associated with the Paediatric Research in Emergency **Departments International** Collaborative research network.<sup>19</sup> We collected all published rule-specific predictor and outcome variables for the PECARN, CATCH, and CHALICE CDRs. Patients with the following were excluded: trivial facial injury only, patient and/or family refusal to participate, referral from ED triage to an external provider (ie, not seen in the ED), neuroimaging done before the transfer to a study site, and those who did not wait to be seen.

In this planned subanalysis, we assessed the history and characteristics of vomiting at the initial evaluation in the ED. We correlated the presence of vomiting with the mechanism of injury, age of the patient, and presence of both ciTBI and TBI-CT as defined in the PECARN study (Table 1).10 We analyzed separately children < 2 and ≥2 years old because the PECARN CDR did not include vomiting for children <2 years old. We also correlated the presence of vomiting with high-risk mechanisms as defined by the CHALICE of high-speed road traffic crash either as pedestrian, cyclist, or occupant (speed >40

**TABLE 2** Mechanism of Injury in Children Who Vomit

		No Vomiting	ting		Any Vomiting	ting		1 Vomit	<u>.</u> =		2 Vomits	ts		≥3 Vomits	nits	Ь
	и	%	95% CI	и	%	95% CI	и	%	95% CI	и	%	95% CI	и	%	95% CI	
All ages, y	16 531	83.0	(82.5–83.5)	3389	17.0	(16.5–17.5)	1305	9.9	(6.5–6.9)	843	4.2	(4.0-4.5)	1241	6.2	(9.9–6.9)	
\	1847	11.2	(10.7–11.7)	471	13.9	(12.7–15.1)	223	17.1	(15.1 - 19.1)	121	14.4	(12.0-16.7)	127	10.2	(8.6–11.9)	<.001
1–2	2545	15.4	(14.9-16.0)	472	13.9	(12.8–15.1)	191	14.6	(12.7 - 16.6)	103	12.2	(10.0 - 14.4)	178	14.3	(12.4 - 16.3)	
>2	12 139	73.4	(72.8–74.1)	2446	72.2	(70.7–73.7)	891	68.3	(65.8–70.8)	619	73.4	(70.4–76.4)	926	75.4	(73.0–77.8)	I
Sex																
Female	5835	35.3	(34.6–36.0)	1398	41.3	(39.6–42.9)	547	41.9	(39.2–44.6)	344	40.9	(37.5–44.2)	202	40.9	(38.1–43.6)	<.001
Mechanism																
Falls	11 385	70.6	(69.9–71.3)	2628	78.8	(77.4–80.2)	977	9.92	(74.3–79.0)	699	80.2	(77.5–82.9)	982	80.0	(77.8–82.3)	<.001
From height <3 ft	8171	50.7	(49.9–51.4)	1677	50.3	(48.6–52.0)	652	51.1	(48.4 - 53.9)	415	49.8	(46.4 - 53.2)	610	49.7	(46.9-52.5)	<.001
From height 3–5 ft	2042	12.7	(12.2–13.2)	621	18.6	(17.3–19.9)	219	17.2	(15.1 - 19.3)	158	18.9	(16.3–21.6)	244	19.9	(17.7-22.1)	
From height 6–10 ft	848	5.3	(4.9–5.6)	247	7.4	(6.5–8.3)	79	6.2	(4.9-7.5)	99	7.9	(6.1 - 9.8)	102	8.3	(6.8-9.9)	
From height > 10 ft	121	8.0	(0.6–0.9)	25	0.8	(0.5-1.0)	8	9.0	(0.2-1.1)	10	1.2	(0.5-1.9)	7	9.0	(0.2-1.0)	
Unknown height	203	1.3	(1.1-1.4)	28	1.7	(1.3–2.2)	19	1.5	(0.8-2.2)	20	2.4	(1.4-3.4)	19	1.6	(0.9-2.2)	
Fall downstairs	218	1.4	(1.2–1.6)	39	1.2	(0.8–1.6)	16	1.3	(0.7-1.9)	10	1.2	(0.5-2.0)	13	<del></del>	(0.5-1.7)	.398
Traffic incident																
Pedestrian struck by moving vehicle	177	Ξ	(0.9–1.3)	30	6.0	(0.6–1.2)	15	1.2	(0.6–1.8)	7	0.8	(0.2–1.5)	∞	0.7	(0.2–1.1)	.305
Bike rider struck by automobile	21	0.1	(0.1-0.2)	2	0.1	(0.0-0.1)	2	0.2	(0.0-0.4)	0	0.0	(0.0-0.0)	0	0.0	(0.0-0.0)	.281
Fall from bicycle (no helmet)	287	1.8	(1.6–2.0)	88	2.6	(2.1-5.2)	33	5.6	(1.7-3.4)	23	2.7	(1.6-5.9)	32	2.6	(1.7-5.5)	.001
Motor vehicle-related	643	4.0	(3.7-4.3)	125	3.7	(3.1–4.4)	63	4.9	(3.7-6.1)	27	3.2	(2.0-4.4)	35	2.8	(1.9–3.7)	.504
Miscellaneous																
Object struck head, unintended	1132	7.0	(6.6-7.4)	160	4.8	(4.1-5.5)	92	5.9	(4.6-7.2)	25	3.0	(1.8-4.2)	29	4.8	(3.6-6.0)	<.001
Assault (NAI concern)	78	0.5	(0.4-0.6)	23	0.7	(0.4-1.0)	7	0.5	(0.1-0.9)	8	1.0	(0.3-1.6)	8	9.0	(0.2–1.1)	.168
—, not applicable.																

miles per hour); falling from >3 m in height; or a high-speed injury from a projectile or other object.

To overcome difficulties in comparing the CDRs with different inclusion and exclusion criteria (including age, Glasgow Coma Score [GCS], and rule-specific outcomes), we created a homogeneous comparison cohort for secondary analyses. This cohort included all children (<18 years old) who presented within 24 hours with minor head injury (defined as GCS scores of 13–15 on ED presentation). We report the relationship in our vomiting cohort to the prediction rule variables for the 2 CDRs, namely loss of consciousness (LOC), headache, acting abnormally according to parents in children <2 years old, amnesia, seizure, nonaccidental injury (NAI) concern, altered mental state, examination features suggestive of skull fracture, abnormal GCS, neurologic deficit, and a scalp hematoma. We then determined the relationship of these variables with the number of vomiting episodes  $(1, 2, or \ge 3 \text{ times})$  and specifically compared the prediction variables in children <2 and  $\geq 2$  years old. We subsequently performed a multivariate logistic regression analysis to assess the independent associations of head injury signs and symptoms with the presence of ciTBI and TBI-CT in children with vomiting.

The institutional ethics committees at each participating site approved the study. We obtained informed verbal consent from parents and/ or guardians, apart from instances of significant life-threatening or fatal injuries, when participating ethics committees granted a waiver of consent.

The trial protocol<sup>17</sup> was developed by the study investigators and was registered with the Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (ACTRN12614000463673).

TABLE 3 Vomiting and ciTBI and TBI-CT by CDR Predictor Variables

		Total (W	ith and Without	Other CDF	R Predicto	rs)			No Other CDR R	ule Predi	ctors	
		No ciTl	BI		ciTB			No ci	ГВІ		ciTBI	
	n	%	95% CI	n	%	95% CI	n	%	95% CI	n	%	95% CI
Vomiting and ciTBI b	y CDR predic	tor variat	oles	-								
No vomiting	16 435	83.2	(82.7-83.7)	96	55.8	(48.3-63.1)	7452	88.1	(87.4-88.8)	1	50.0	(1.9-98.1)
Any vomiting	3313	16.8	(16.3-17.3)	76	44.2	(36.9-51.7)	1005	11.9	(11.2-12.6)	1	50.0	(1.9-98.1)
No. vomits												
1	1284	6.5	(6.2-6.9)	21	12.2	(8.1-18.0)	412	4.9	(4.4-5.4)	0	0.0	_
2	818	4.1	(3.9-4.4)	25	14.5	(10.0-20.6)	250	3.0	(2.6-3.3)	0	0.0	_
≥3	1211	6.1	(5.8-6.5)	30	17.4	(12.5-23.9)	343	4.1	(3.7-4.5)	1	50.0	(1.9-98.1)
Vomiting and TBI-CT	a, by CDR pred	dictor var	iables									
No vomiting	16369	83.4	(82.8-83.9)	162	56.8	(51.0-62.5)	7452	88.1	(87.4-88.8)	1	33.3	(2.6-90.4)
Any vomiting	3266	16.6	(16.1-17.2)	123	43.2	(37.5-49.0)	1004	11.9	(11.2-12.6)	2	66.7	(9.6-97.4)
No. vomits												
1	1269	6.5	(6.1-6.8)	36	12.6	(9.2-17.0)	412	4.9	(4.4-5.4)	0	0.0	_
2	811	4.1	(3.9-4.4)	32	11.2	(8.0-15.5)	250	3.0	(2.6-3.3)	0	0.0	_
≥3	1186	6.0	(5.7-6.4)	55	19.3	(15.1–24.3)	342	4.0	(3.6-4.5)	2	66.7	(9.6-97.4)

Retrieved from Dunning J, Daly JP, Lomas JP, Lecky F, Batchelor J, Mackway-Jones K; Children's Head Injury Algorithm for the Prediction of Important Clinical Events Study Group. Derivation of the Children's Head Injury Algorithm for the Prediction of Important Clinical Events decision rule for head injury in children. *Arch Dis Child.* 2006;91(11):885–891 and Kuppermann N, Holmes JF, Dayan PS, et al; Pediatric Emergency Care Applied Research Network. Identification of children at very low risk of clinically-important brain injuries after head trauma: a prospective cohort study [published correction appears in *Lancet.* 2014;383(9914):308]. *Lancet.* 2009;374(9696):1160–1170. —, not applicable.

<sup>a</sup> TBI-CT includes those with and without ciTBI by definition.

# **Study Procedures**

Patients were enrolled by the treating ED clinician, who then collected predictive clinical data before any neuroimaging. The research assistant recorded ED and hospital management data after the visit and conducted a telephone follow-up with patients who had not undergone neuroimaging.

### **Statistical Analysis**

Data were entered into EpiData (The EpiData Association, Odense, Denmark) and later Research Electronic Data Capture<sup>20</sup> and analyzed by using Stata 13 (StataCorp, College Station, TX). Descriptive statistics were calculated for key variables with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) when relevant.

We compared the rates of ciTBI and TBI-CT with and without isolated vomiting. Isolated vomiting was defined as vomiting without an association with predictor variables of head injury as defined in both the PECARN rules (<2 and ≥2 years old) and the CHALICE rule. 9,10 Of note, the PECARN CDR for those <2 years old did not include vomiting

as a predictor variable. In addition, we undertook a multivariate logistic regression analysis to assess the independent associations of head injury signs and symptoms with the presence of ciTBI and TBI-CT.

# **RESULTS**

Of the 19 920 eligible children enrolled in the APHIRST cohort study, 3389 (17.0%; 95% CI 16.5%–17.5%) had a history of any vomiting, with 1006 (29.7%) with isolated vomiting without any other CDR predictors. There were 1398 (41.3%) girls, and the mechanism of head injury was most commonly a fall (*n* = 2628; 78.8%; Table 2). Seventy-six of the 172 children with a ciTBI (44.2%; 95% CI 36.9%–51.7%) and 123 of the 285 children with TBI-CT (43.2%; 95% CI 37.5%–49.0%) had any history of vomiting (Table 3).

When applying our cohort data solely to the CHALICE rule predictors for those with isolated vomiting <3 times (n = 662 of 1006; 65.8%) and  $\geq$ 3 times (n = 344 of 1006; 34.2%), there were 0 and 1 child with ciTBI, respectively, and 0 and 2 children with a TBI-CT, respectively (Table 4).

Significant associations for ciTBI when 1 additional symptom and/or sign was added to vomiting <3 times included the following: suspicion of depressed or penetrating skull fracture (n = 9 of 34; 26.5%; 95% CI 11.4%-41.5%; P < .001), signs of base of skull fracture (n = 3 of 17; 17.7%; 95% CI 0.0%–13.9%; P = .005), seizure (n = 6 of 45; 13.3%; 95% CI 3.3%-23.4%; P < .001), altered GCS (n = 5 of 43; 11.6%; 95% CI 1.9%-21.3%; P = .002), drowsiness (n = 16 of 158; 10.1%; 95% CI)5.4%-14.9%; P < .001), as well as the high-risk mechanisms of high-speed motor vehicle crash (MVC) (n = 10)of 90; 11.1%; 95% CI 4.6%-17.6%; P < .001) and fall from >3 m (n = 9of 145; 6.2%; 95% CI 2.3%-10.2%; P = .003). When vomiting occurred ≥3 times, the significant associations were abnormal drowsiness (n = 14of 158; 8.9%; 95% CI 4.4%-13.3%; P < .001), seizure (n = 2 of 15; 13.5%; 95% CI 0.0%-31.1%; P = .049), altered GCS (n = 3 of 35; 8.6%; 95%CI 0.0%-18.0%; P = .050), and the high-risk mechanisms of high-speed MVC (n = 3 of 35; 8.6%; 95% CI 0.0%-18.0%; P = .050) and fall from >3 m (n = 9 of 102; 8.8%; 95% CI 3.3%–14.4%; *P* < .001).

TABLE 4 Prevalence of Traumatic Brain Injuries in APHIRST Patients With Isolated Vomiting and Vomiting Plus 1 Other Factor Based on Age-Specific CHALICE Prediction Rule Factors

			ciTBI		Pa			TBI-CT <sup>b</sup>		P <sup>a</sup>
	n	N	%	95% CI		n	Ν	%	95% CI	_
Isolated vomiting <3 times only	0	662°	0.0	(0.0-0.0)	<.001	0	662°	0.0	(0.0–0.0)	<.001
Plus LOC >5 min	1	12	8.3	(0.0-24.7)	.229	3	12	25.0	(0.0-50.6)	.005
Plus amnesia >5 min	5	117	4.3	(0.6-8.0)	.102	8	117	6.8	(2.2-11.4)	.029
Plus abnormal drowsiness	16	158	10.1	(5.4-14.9)	<.001	21	158	13.3	(8.0-18.6)	<.001
Plus suspicion of NAI	1	15	6.7	(0.0-19.7)	.278	3	15	20.0	(0.0-41.0)	.011
Plus seizure	6	45	13.3	(3.3-23.4)	<.001	8	45	17.8	(6.5-29.1)	<.001
Plus GCS <14, or 15 if age <1 y	5	43	11.6	(1.9-21.3)	.002	7	43	16.3	(5.1-27.4)	<.001
Plus suspicion of depressed or penetrating injury	9	34	26.5	(11.4–41.5)	<.001	13	34	38.2	(21.7–54.8)	<.001
Plus signs of base-of-skull fracture	3	17	17.7	(0.0-36.3)	.005	5	17	29.4	(7.1–51.7)	<.001
Plus positive neurology	2	34	5.9	(0.0–13.9)	.164	4	34	11.8	(0.8–22.8)	.021
Plus presence of bruise, swelling, or laceration >5 cm if age <1 y	8	341	2.4	(0.7–4.0)	.688	14	341	4.1	(2.0–6.2)	.310
Plus high speed MVC	10	90	11.1	(4.6–17.6)	<.001	12	90	13.3	(6.3-20.4)	<.001
Plus fall from >3 m	9	145	6.2	(2.3–10.2)	.003	15	145	10.3	(5.4–15.3)	<.001
Plus high-speed injury from a projectile or other object	4	101	4.0	(0.1–7.8)	.168	5	101	5.0	(0.7–9.2)	.249
Isolated vomiting ≥3 times only	1	344c	0.3	(0.0-0.9)	.001	2	344c	0.6	(0.0-1.4)	<.001
Plus LOC >5 min	1	3	33.3	(0.0-98.7)	.071	2	3	66.7	(1.3-100.0)	.006
Plus amnesia >5 min	2	72	2.8	(0.0-6.6)	.691	6	72	8.3	(1.9-14.8)	.128
Plus abnormal drowsiness	14	158	8.9	(4.4-13.3)	<.001	22	158	13.9	(8.5-19.3)	<.001
Plus suspicion of NAI	1	8	12.5	(0.0-37.0)	.178	1	8	12.5	(0.0-37.0)	.305
Plus seizure	2	15	13.3	(0.0-31.1)	.049	2	15	13.3	(0.0-31.1)	.140
Plus GCS <14, or 15 if age <1 y	3	35	8.6	(0.0-18.0)	.050	4	35	11.4	(0.7-22.1)	.065
Plus suspicion of depressed or penetrating injury	1	15	6.7	(0.0–19.7)	.309	3	15	20.0	(0.0–41.0)	.026
Plus signs of base-of-skull fracture	2	23	8.7	(0.0-20.5)	.104	5	23	21.7	(4.5-39.0)	.003
Plus positive neurology	0	27	0.0	(0.0-0.0)	>0.999	0	27	0.0	(0.0-0.0)	.629
Plus presence of bruise, swelling, or laceration >5 cm if age <1 y	3	126	2.4	(0.0–5.1)	>0.999	5	126	4.0	(0.6–7.4)	>0.999
Plus high-speed MVC	3	35	8.6	(0.0-18.0)	.050	3	35	8.6	(0.0-18.0)	.200
Plus fall from >3 m	9	102	8.8	(3.3-14.4)	<.001	13	102	12.8	(6.2-19.3)	<.001
Plus high-speed injury from a projectile or other object	2	59	3.4	(0.0–8.1)	.650	3	59	5.1	(0.0–10.7)	.743

Retrieved from Dunning J, Daly JP, Lomas JP, Lecky F, Batchelor J, Mackway-Jones K; Children's Head Injury Algorithm for the Prediction of Important Clinical Events Study Group. Derivation of the Children's Head Injury Algorithm for the Prediction of Important Clinical Events decision rule for head injury in children. Arch Dis Child. 2006;91(11):885–891.

The 2 PECARN rules (<2 and  $\ge2$  years old) applied different CDR predictor variables compared with the CHALICE (Table 5). Of the subsample of 457 of 1006 (45.4%) children <2 years old with isolated vomiting, none had ciTBI or TBI-CT in our cohort. In the 549 (54.6%) children  $\ge2$  years old with isolated vomiting, 1 (0.3%; 95% CI 0.0%–0.9%) had ciTBI and 2 (0.6%; 95% CI 0.0%–1.4%) had TBI-CT (including the 1 child with ciTBI; Table 5). Case synopses of these 2 children are presented in Table 6.

In multivariate regression, the presence of the following, in addition to

vomiting, was significantly associated with ciTBI: signs of skull fracture, altered mental status, headache, and acting abnormally and with TBI-CT, signs of a skull fracture, NAI concern, and headache, and acting abnormally with the test characteristics (including sensitivity, specificity, PPVs, negative predictive values [NPVs], and adjusted odds ratios [aORs]), which are presented in Table 7.

# **DISCUSSION**

In this large prospective observational study of children,

we have confirmed that vomiting is a common symptom (17%) after a head injury at any age, but the incidence of ciTBI or TBI-CT without other symptoms and/or signs of head injury is infrequent. We have confirmed the finding of Dayan et al<sup>15</sup> that isolated vomiting in children is rarely associated with ciTBI or TBI-CT. The most important associations with vomiting that signal a greater risk of ciTBI include signs that are suggestive of a skull fracture, altered consciousness or behavior, and headache, and for TBI-CT, that also includes concern for NAI.

5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Fisher's exact P value.

b TBI-CT includes those with and without ciTBI.

c Total isolated and nonisolated vomiting.

**TABLE 5** Prevalence of Traumatic Brain Injuries in APHIRST Patients With Isolated Vomiting and Vomiting Plus 1 Other Factor Based on Age-Specific PECARN Predictor Variables

			ciTBI				TBI-CT <sup>a</sup>	
	n	Ν	%	95% CI	n	Ν	%	95% CI
Children age <2 y								
Isolated vomiting (ie, no other predictors)	0	457 <sup>b</sup>	0.0	(0.0-0.0)	0	457 <sup>b</sup>	0.0	(0.0-0.0)
Vomiting plus altered mental status (GCS <15, sleepiness, agitation)	10	124	8.1	(3.3-12.9)	17	124	13.7	(7.6-19.8)
Vomiting plus nonfrontal scalp hematoma	6	175	3.4	(0.7-6.1)	17	175	9.7	(5.3-14.1)
Vomiting plus LOC >5 s	0	37	0.0	(0.0-0.0)	1	37	2.7	(0.8-0.0)
Vomiting plus palpable skull fracture	4	34	11.8	(0.8-22.8)	13	34	38.2	(21.7-54.8)
Vomiting plus not acting normally per parent	8	196	4.1	(1.3-6.9)	14	196	7.1	(3.5-13.8)
Vomiting plus history of severe mechanism of injury	2	8	25.0	(0.0-57.1)	2	8	25.0	(0.0-57.1)
Children age ≥2 y								
Isolated vomiting (ie, no other predictors)	1	549 <sup>b</sup>	0.2	(0.0-0.5)	2	549 <sup>b</sup>	0.4	(0.0-0.9)
Vomiting plus altered mental status (GCS <15, sleepiness, agitation)	39	460	8.5	(5.9-11.3)	55	460	12.0	(9.0-14.9)
Vomiting plus any LOC	17	307	5.5	(3.0-8.1)	26	307	8.5	(5.4-11.6)
Vomiting plus clinical signs of basilar skull fracture	4	30	13.3	(1.0-25.7)	8	30	26.7	(10.6-42.8)
Vomiting plus severe headache	42	1104	3.8	(2.7-4.9)	64	1104	5.8	(4.4-7.2)
Vomiting plus severe mechanism of injury	17	75	22.7	(13.1–32.2)	21	75	28.0	(17.8–38.2)

Retrieved from Dayan PS, Holmes JF, Atabaki S, et al; Traumatic Brain Injury Study Group of the Pediatric Emergency Care Applied Research Network. Association of traumatic brain injuries with vomiting in children with blunt head trauma. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2014;63(6):657–665.

**TABLE 6** Case Synopses for Patients With Vomiting With No CDR Predictors

Age, y	Sex	No. Vomits	Mechanism	Admission	Final Diagnosis
13	Male	11	Struck by small, hard ball	Observed 5 h on day of injury	CT: temporal fracture, subacute epidural hematoma, and contusion
				Represented 1 wk later with ongoing vomiting and headache then admitted for >2 d (no neurosurgery)	MRI: underlying small dural nodule on MRI with bleeding into it
3	Female	1	Fall from bicycle, no helmet	Admitted <2 nights	Occipital contusion

Consistent with the findings of Dayan et al<sup>15</sup> in the PECARN study, TBI-CT abnormalities in this study are more common than ciTBI. The importance of differentiating between CT abnormalities (TBI-CT) and ciTBI is that CDRs need to be sensitive for detecting head injuries that require interventions (defined in our study as either neurosurgery, intubation, or admission ≥2 nights because of the persistent signs or symptoms from the head injury) rather than injuries requiring no interventions. No child with isolated vomiting required neurosurgical intervention, and 1 child with ciTBI required a 6-day admission for bleeding into a congenital dural nodule after re-presentation to hospital 1 week after the initial presentation with head injury. This child had a large number of vomits in the ED

on the first presentation and had ongoing vomiting for a week before the imaging and detection of the underlying condition.

Vomiting has been included as a prognostic symptom in a number of guidelines and CDRs for advising CT use in pediatric head injury.<sup>7,21–23</sup> Although some have suggested vomiting (and in particular, repeated vomiting)<sup>21,23</sup> is a predictor of ciTBI, a meta-analysis in 2003 revealed that vomiting did not significantly increase the relative risk of an intracranial hematoma in pooled results from 14 092 children and 7 studies, although these studies had good homogeneity.<sup>22</sup> The precise definition of frequency of vomiting has not been consistent in the literature and is confused by differences in the presence of

vomiting in adults and children. Children may be prone to vomiting because of personal or familial predisposition rather than the presence of intracranial injury<sup>21</sup>; however, other studies have revealed a reduced vomiting rate in children with significant injury in comparison with children with normal CT results.1 Researchers in many studies that include vomiting as a predictor have not defined the difference between isolated vomiting and vomiting associated with other predictor variables. This study has revealed the variables that increase the risk of both ciTBI and TBI-CT. We have sought to describe predictor variables associated with the highest risk of significant injury.

With this study, we add to evidence to assist in the rational use of cranial

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> TBI-CT includes those with and without ciTBI.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Total isolated and nonisolated vomiting.

| Table 7 Diagnostic Testing of ciTBI and TBI-CT From CDR Predictors Within Vomiting Cases (N = 3389)

			Predicting	Predicting Likelihood of ciTBl	of ciTBI					Predict	Predicting Likelihood of TBI-CT	od of TBI-CT		
	Sensitivity	Sensitivity Specificity	λdd	NPV	a0R	95% CI	Ь	Sensitivity	Specificity	λdd	NPV	a0R	95% CI	Ь
207	23.84	92.08	2.55	99.28	1.39	(0.61–3.15)	.435	20.70	92.13	3.68	98.77	1.51	(0.73–3.14)	.263
Headache	45.35	79.64	1.90	99.41	2.29	(1.28-4.11)	.005	41.40	79.72	2.88	98.94	2.55	(1.52–4.27)	<.001
Acting abnormally (according to	40.12	87.10	2.64	99.40	1.86	(1.03-3.37)	.040	37.19	87.22	4.05	98.97	1.83	(1.09-3.96)	.020
parents)														
Amnesia	22.67	91.82	2.36	99.27	1.24	(0.57 - 2.69)	.582	20.35	91.87	3.50	98.76	1.50	(0.76-2.97)	.239
Seizure	7.56	98.55	4.35	99.19	2.12	(0.65-6.85)	.211	7.02	98.58	69.9	98.65	1.61	(0.50 - 5.21)	.423
NAI concern	6.98	99.55	11.88	99.19	4.71	(0.80-27.76)	780.	5.61	99.57	15.84	98.64	6.75	(1.54-29.69)	.011
Altered mental status	27.33	98.00	10.63	99.36	2.35	(1.04-5.33)	.041	20.70	98.05	13.35	98.84	2.02	(0.95-4.31)	690
Skull fracture	76.16	98.66	33.08	99.79	80.13	(43.38-148.61)	< .001	73.33	99.05	52.78	99.61	112.96	(66.76–191.14)	<.001
Abnormal GCS (≤13)	6.98	99.38	8.89	99.19	0.79	(0.19-3.33)	.750	5.26	99.39	11.11	98.64	0.93	(0.25-3.53)	.918
Neurologic deficit	4.07	69.86	2.63	99.16	0.46	(0.09-2.38)	.356	3.51	98.70	3.76	98.60	0.52	(0.13-2.42)	.344
Scalp hematoma	69.19	62.89	1.74	99.59	0.80	(0.44-1.46)	.465	71.23	66.12	2.96	99.37	1.24	(0.74-2.55)	.413

CT in children. It is important to recognize that CT is the gold standard investigation for the identification of intracranial injury, with results guiding subsequent management. Negative results are reassuring and facilitate patient discharge, reducing not only health care costs but parental anxiety. However, CT scans are associated with radiation risks, particularly in children with rapidly developing brains, who are more vulnerable to radiation-associated cell damage. Radiation from CT can cause lethal malignancies, with a reported lifetime mortality rate of 1 death for every 1000 to 5000 pediatric cranial CTs performed (with the highest risk in the younger age groups).24-26

A strength of this study was the large number of children with head injury and isolated vomiting. In this cohort, only 1 child had a ciTBI, with 1 additional child having a TBI-CT not meeting ciTBI criteria. An additional strength has been the inclusion of the PECARN CDR predictor variables for children <2 years old and the inclusion of the CHALICE definition of isolated vomiting, demonstrating that the exact number of vomiting episodes does not change the risk of ciTBI or TBI-CT. Similar to the PECARN study, we have shown that isolated vomiting alone in the <2-years-old age group was not associated with ciTBI or TBI-CT.<sup>15</sup> These factors are important in providing a rationale for avoiding a CT scan in younger children, reducing the risk of exposure to radiation and sedation to undertake the scan. However, the low incidence of both ciTBI in our cohort and the known long-term risk of radiation-induced malignancy means that the choice between scanning and not scanning is finely balanced. This study will assist clinicians in determining when to undertake CT scans in children with vomiting with and without other CDR predictors.

This study has a number of limitations. CT scans were obtained on a minority of patients; it would have been unethical to obtain CT scans on patients whom the clinicians did not think required them. We collected the number of vomiting episodes but did not determine the timing of vomiting episodes in relation to the head injury, which has been included as an increased risk of ciTBI.8 However, the benefit of this observational study with extensive follow-up was that it allowed unexpected consequences of the head injury to be detected after discharge from the hospital without CT scanning. Because of the pronounced heterogeneity of the CDR predictor variables, the only way to realistically compare the variables was to create a homogenous cohort and explore the associations against the age groups and vomiting frequencies. Finally, the patients reflect a cohort from Australia and New Zealand with a bias toward tertiary-care children's hospitals, where the neuroimaging rate is lower than reported in US studies. 10,27

**CONCLUSIONS** 

TBI-CT is uncommon, and ciTBI is uncommon in children with minor blunt head injury when vomiting is their only sign or symptom. In children with isolated vomiting, strategies such as observation should

be considered before conducting an immediate CT scan.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank the following research staff from the following sites: Amanda Williams, Amy Baylis, Kaya Gardiner, Nicola Williams, Elle Goss, Triona Stephens, Marta Arpone, and Joseph Mabuti (The Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne, Australia); Sharon O'Brien, Timothy Loy, Jeremy Rogers, Samantha Oosterhof, and Verity Watt (Princess Margaret Hospital for Children, Perth, Australia); Susan Montgomery (The Townsville Hospital, Townsville, Australia); Rebecca Saul, Meegan Price, Petrina Hetherington, Emily Casey, Mary Lang, Jane O'Shea, Anne Cook, Kerrie-Ann Abel, and Bronwyn Griffin (The Royal Children's Hospital, South Brisbane, Australia); Tamara Brunton (Monash Medical Centre, Melbourne, Australia); Shirley Lawrence (Kidz First Children's Hospital, Auckland, New Zealand); Megan Bonisch (Starship Children's Health, Auckland, New Zealand); Carey Alymer and Simone Green (Women's and Children's Hospital, North Adelaide, Australia); Laura Phillips and Elizabeth Moss (The Children's Hospital at Westmead, Sydney, Australia); Meg Jepperson (Mater Children's Private Hospital, Brisbane, Australia); and Susan Donath, Charlotte Molesworth,

Kim Jachno, Brenton Ward, and Louise Crowe (Murdoch Children's Research Institute).

### **ABBREVIATIONS**

aOR: adjusted odds ratio

APHIRST: Australasian Paediatric Head Injury Rule

Study

CATCH: Canadian Assessment of Tomography for Childhood Head Injury

CDR: clinical decision rule
CHALICE: Children's Head Injury
Algorithm for the
Prediction of
Important Clinical

CI: confidence interval ciTBI: clinically important

**Events** 

traumatic brain injury CT: computed tomography

ED: emergency department GCS: Glasgow Coma Score

LOC: loss of consciousness MVC: motor vehicle crash NAI: nonaccidental injury

NPV: negative predictive value PECARN: Pediatric Emergency

RN: Pediatric Emergency
Care Applied Research
Network

PPV: positive predictive value TBI-CT: traumatic brain injury on computed tomography

revised the manuscript; Drs Dalziel, Phillips, Dalton, Lyttle, Bressan, Oakley, Kochar, Furyk, Cheek, and Neutze conceptualized and contributed to the data collection for the original study and reviewed and revised the manuscript for this study; Mr Hearps performed the statistical analysis for this study and reviewed and revised the manuscript; and all authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

This trial has been registered with the Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (http://www.anzctr.org.au/TrialSearch.aspx?searchTxt=ACTRN126140004636 73&isBasic=True) (identifier ACTRN12614000463673).

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2017-3123

Accepted for publication Jan 17, 2018

8

Address correspondence to Meredith L. Borland, MBBS, Princess Margaret Hospital for Children, GPO D184, Perth, WA 6840, Australia. E-mail: meredith.borland@health.wa.gov.au

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2018 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

FUNDING: Funded by grants from the National Health and Medical Research Council (project grant GNT1046727, Paediatric Emergency Medicine Centre of Research Excellence GNT1058560; Canberra, Australia); the Murdoch Children's Research Institute (Melbourne, Australia); the Emergency Medicine Foundation (EMPJ-11162; Brisbane, Australia); Australia's Perpetual Philanthropic Services (2012/1140); Auckland Medical Research Foundation (3112011) and the A+Trust (Auckland District Health Board; Auckland, New Zealand); The Government of Western Australia Department of Health Targeted Research Fund 2013 (Perth, Australia); and the Townsville Hospital and Health Service Private Practice Research and Education Trust Fund (Townsville, Australia) and supported by the Victorian Government's Infrastructure Support Program (Melbourne, Australia). Dr Babl was partly funded by a grant from The Royal Children's Hospital Foundation and the Melbourne Children's Clinician Scientist Fellowship (Melbourne, Australia) and a National Health and Medical Research Council Practitioner Fellowship (Canberra, Australia). Dr Dalziel was partly funded by the Health Research Council of New Zealand (HRC13/556).

POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST: The authors have indicated they have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Fundarò C, Caldarelli M, Monaco S, et al. Brain CT scan for pediatric minor accidental head injury. An Italian experience and review of literature. Childs Nerv Syst. 2012;28(7):1063–1068
- Harty E, Bellis F. CHALICE head injury rule: an implementation study. Emerg Med J. 2010;27(10):750–752
- Kinnaman KA, Mannix RC, Comstock RD, Meehan WP III. Management of pediatric patients with concussion by emergency medicine physicians. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 2014;30(7):458–461
- 4. Kocyigit A, Serinken M, Ceven Z, et al. A strategy to optimize CT use in children with mild blunt head trauma utilizing clinical risk stratification; could we improve CT use in children with mild head injury? Clin Imaging. 2014;38(3):236–240
- Lockie FD, Dalton S, Oakley E, Babl FE; Paediatric Research in Emergency Departments International Collaborative. Triggers for head computed tomography following paediatric head injury: comparison of physicians' reported practice and clinical decision rules. Emerg Med Australas. 2013;25(1):75–82
- 6. Van Winkle PJ, Ho NJ, Rodriguez CA, Sirikulvadhana L, McMillan JA. Blunt head trauma in children in a community health care setting: outcomes and variables associated with the use of computed tomography. *J Pediatr*. 2012;161(3):547–553.e1
- Pandor A, Harnan S, Goodacre S, Pickering A, Fitzgerald P, Rees A. Diagnostic accuracy of clinical characteristics for identifying CT abnormality after minor brain injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Neurotrauma. 2012;29(5):707–718

- Xiao B, Wu FF, Zhang H, Ma YB. Safety and efficacy of symptom-driven CT decision rule in fully conscious paediatric patients with symptoms after mild closed head trauma. *Emerg Med J.* 2013;30(1):e10
- Dunning J, Daly JP, Lomas JP, Lecky F, Batchelor J, Mackway-Jones K; Children's Head Injury Algorithm for the Prediction of Important Clinical Events Study Group. Derivation of the Children's Head Injury Algorithm for the Prediction of Important Clinical Events decision rule for head injury in children. Arch Dis Child. 2006;91(11):885–891
- Kuppermann N, Holmes JF, Dayan PS, et al; Pediatric Emergency Care Applied Research Network. Identification of children at very low risk of clinicallyimportant brain injuries after head trauma: a prospective cohort study [published correction appears in Lancet. 2014;383(9914):308]. Lancet. 2009;374(9696):1160—1170
- Osmond MH, Klassen TP, Wells GA, et al; Pediatric Emergency Research Canada Head Injury Study Group. CATCH: a clinical decision rule for the use of computed tomography in children with minor head injury. CMAJ. 2010;182(4):341–348
- Thiam DW, Yap SH, Chong SL. Clinical decision rules for paediatric minor head injury: are CT scans a necessary evil? Ann Acad Med Singapore. 2015;44(9):335–341
- Lyttle MD, Crowe L, Oakley E, Dunning J, Babl FE. Comparing CATCH, CHALICE and PECARN clinical decision rules for paediatric head injuries. *Emerg Med J*. 2012;29(10):785–794
- Bainbridge J, Khirwadkar H, Hourihan MD. Vomiting—is this a good indication for CT head scans in patients with

- minor head injury? *Br J Radiol.* 2012;85(1010):183–186
- 15. Dayan PS, Holmes JF, Atabaki S, et al; Traumatic Brain Injury Study Group of the Pediatric Emergency Care Applied Research Network. Association of traumatic brain injuries with vomiting in children with blunt head trauma. Ann Emerg Med. 2014;63(6):657–665
- 16. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Head injury: assessment and early management. 2014. Available at: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg176. Accessed August 6, 2017
- 17. Babl FE, Lyttle MD, Bressan S, et al; PREDICT Research Network. A prospective observational study to assess the diagnostic accuracy of clinical decision rules for children presenting to emergency departments after head injuries (protocol): the Australasian Paediatric Head Injury Rules Study (APHIRST). BMC Pediatr. 2014;14:148
- Babl FE, Borland ML, Phillips N, et al; Paediatric Research in Emergency Departments International Collaborative. Accuracy of PECARN, CATCH, and CHALICE head injury decision rules in children: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet*. 2017;389(10087):2393—2402
- 19. Babl FE, Borland M, Ngo PK, et al. Paediatric research in emergency departments international collaborative (predict). *J Paediatr Child Health*. 2005;41(11):614–615
- Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform*. 2009;42(2):377—381

9

- 21. Da Dalt L, Andreola B, Facchin P, Gregolin M, Vianello A, Battistella PA. Characteristics of children with vomiting after minor head trauma: a case-control study. *J Pediatr*. 2007;150(3):274–278
- 22. Dunning J, Batchelor J, Stratford-Smith P, et al. A meta-analysis of variables that predict significant intracranial injury in minor head trauma. *Arch Dis Child.* 2004;89(7):653–659
- 23. Palchak MJ, Holmes JF, Vance CW, et al. A decision rule for identifying children at low risk for brain injuries after

- blunt head trauma. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2003;42(4):492–506
- 24. Pearce MS, Salotti JA, Little MP, et al. Radiation exposure from CT scans in childhood and subsequent risk of leukaemia and brain tumours: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet*. 2012;380(9840):499–505
- 25. Miglioretti DL, Johnson E, Williams A, et al. The use of computed tomography in pediatrics and the associated radiation exposure and estimated cancer risk. JAMA Pediatr. 2013;167(8):700–707
- 26. Mathews JD, Forsythe AV, Brady Z, et al. Cancer risk in 680,000 people exposed to computed tomography scans in childhood or adolescence: data linkage study of 11 million Australians. *BMJ*. 2013;346:f2360
- 27. Oakley E, May R, Hoeppner T, et al; Paediatric Research in Emergency Departments International Collaborative. Computed tomography for head injuries in children: change in Australian usage rates over time. *Emerg Med Australas*. 2017;29(2):192–197

Vomiting With Head Trauma and Risk of Traumatic Brain Injury

Meredith L. Borland, Stuart R. Dalziel, Natalie Phillips, Sarah Dalton, Mark D. Lyttle, Silvia Bressan, Ed Oakley, Stephen J.C. Hearps, Amit Kochar, Jeremy Furyk, John A. Cheek, Jocelyn Neutze, Franz E. Babl and on behalf of the Paediatric Research in Emergency Department International Collaborative group

*Pediatrics* 2018;141;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2017-3123 originally published online March 29, 2018;

**Updated Information &** including high resolution figures, can be found at:

Services http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/141/4/e20173123

**References** This article cites 26 articles, 8 of which you can access for free at:

http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/141/4/e20173123#BIBL

**Subspecialty Collections** This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the

following collection(s): **Emergency Medicine** 

http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/emergency\_medicine\_

sub

**Head and Neck Injuries** 

http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/head\_neck\_injuries\_su

b

**Traumatic Brain Injury** 

http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/traumatic brain injury

\_sub

**Permissions & Licensing** Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or

in its entirety can be found online at:

http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml

**Reprints** Information about ordering reprints can be found online:

http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml

# American Academy of Pediatrics



DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN®



Vomiting With Head Trauma and Risk of Traumatic Brain Injury
Meredith L. Borland, Stuart R. Dalziel, Natalie Phillips, Sarah Dalton, Mark D. Lyttle,
Silvia Bressan, Ed Oakley, Stephen J.C. Hearps, Amit Kochar, Jeremy Furyk, John A.
Cheek, Jocelyn Neutze, Franz E. Babl and on behalf of the Paediatric Research in
Emergency Department International Collaborative group

Pediatrics 2018;141;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2017-3123 originally published online March 29, 2018;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/141/4/e20173123

Data Supplement at:

http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/suppl/2018/03/21/peds.2017-3123.DCSupplemental

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 345 Park Avenue, Itasca, Illinois, 60143. Copyright © 2018 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

