

UNIVERSITA' DEGLI STUDI DI PADOVA

DIPARTIMENTO DI MEDICINA ANIMALE, PRODUZIONI E SALUTE SCUOLA DI DOTTORATO DI RICERCA IN SCIENZE VETERINARIE INDIRIZZO SCIENZE CLINICHE VETERINARIE XXIV° CICLO

EVALUATION OF GLUCOSE TOLERANCE TEST AND SURROGATE INDEXES OF INSULIN SENSITIVITY TO DETECT INSULIN RESISTANCE SYNDROME IN TRANSITION DAIRY COWS

DIRETTORE DELLA SCUOLA: CH. MO PROF. Gianfranco Gabai COORDINATORE D'INDIRIZZO: CH. MO PROF. Maurizio Isola SUPERVISORE: CH. MO PROF. Massimo Morgante

DOTTORANDA: SARA RIUZZI

Un particolare ringraziamento alle aziende che hanno partecipato e reso possibile questo lavoro.

Index

| 1 | ABSTRACT | | | |
|----|---|---|---|----|
| 2. | RIA | 0 | 2 | |
| 3. | INTRODUCTION | | | |
| | 3.1 Glycaemia: comparison between ruminants and non-ruminants | | | 3 |
| | | 3.1.1 | Regulation of glycaemia | 4 |
| | | 3.1.2 | Endocrine Pancreas | 5 |
| | | 3.1.3 | Insulin: synthesis and structure | 5 |
| | | 3.1.4 | The process of insulin secretion | 6 |
| | | 3.1.5 | Insulin receptors and tissues signal transduction | 6 |
| | | 3.1.6 | Stimulus for insulin release in non-ruminants | 7 |
| | | 3.1.7 | Stimulus for insulin release in ruminants | 7 |
| | | 3.1.8 | The role of insulin in glucose metabolism | 8 |
| | | 3.1.9 | The role of insulin in lipid metabolism | 9 |
| | | 3.1.10 | The role of insulin in the protein and mineral metabolism | 9 |
| | 3.2 | Insulir | resistance phenomenon | 11 |
| | | 3.2.1 | Risk factors of insulin resistance syndrome | 11 |
| | 3.3 | 3 Physiological metabolic modifications in the transition dairy cow | | |
| | 3.4 | Post-p | artum metabolic disorders related to energetic metabolism | 20 |
| | | 3.4.1 | Relationship between insulin resistance and immunosuppression | 21 |
| | 3.5 | Nutrit | ional strategies for preventing and limiting NEB and insulin resistance | 22 |
| | | 3.5.1 | Diet Supplementation in the transition period | 23 |
| | 3.6 Tests for measuring insulin | | or measuring insulin resistance | 25 |
| | | 3.6.1 | Hyperinsulinemic Euglycemic Glucose Clamp (HEC) | 25 |
| | | 3.6.2 | Frequently Sampled Intravenous Glucose Tolerance Test (IVGTT) | 26 |
| | | 3.6.3 | Surrogate insulin sensitivity indices: HOMA and QUICKI | 28 |
| 4. | MATERIALS AND METHODS | | | |
| | 4.1 | Herds | | 30 |
| | 4.2 | .2 Animals | | |
| | 4.3 | 3 The Intravenous Glucose Tolerance Test (IVGTT) | | |
| | 4.4 | 4.4 In field: data collection and analysis | | |
| | 4.5 | 4.5 Laboratory analysis | | |
| | 4.6 | 4.6 Data Management and Statistical Analysis | | |
| 5. | RESULTS | | | |
| | 5.1 | Field c | lata | 44 |

| | 5.2 Hematochemical results | 49 |
|----|--|----|
| 6. | DISCUSSION | 68 |
| | 6.1 The FGTT | 68 |
| | 6.2 The Negative Energy Balance | 71 |
| | 6.3 The surrogate markers of insulin sensitivity: RQUICKI and HOMA | 73 |
| | 6.4 Conclusions | 75 |
| 7. | REFERENCES | 76 |

1. Abstract

Healthy multiparous Holstein-Fresian cows (n=101, parity \geq 2) from 3 large-scale dairy herds in Italy were subjected to an intravenous glucose tolerance test (GTT) 14-1 d before (Week -1) and 3-9 d after calving (Week +1). A single blood collection was repeated 10-16 d after calving. Several plasma metabolites and insulin were determined at basal samples (T0); Revised Quantitative Insulin Sensitivity Check Index (RQUICKI) and Homeostasis Model Assessment (HOMA) for the estimation of peripheral insulin sensitivity were also calculated at T0. Insulin and glucose were also measured 10 (T10) and 80 (T80) minutes after glucose infusion. T80/T0 glucose ratio in Week -1 was used for cows' classification in two categories: GTT class 1 = cows with T80/T0 > 1.2; GTT class 0 = cows with T80/T0 \leq 1.2. First milk test productions, diseases and mastitis cases were recorded. Cows in GTT class 0 and 1 in Week -1 differed both for glucose concentration at T0 and glucose at T80 (P < 0.01). Cows in GTT class 1 had a relative risk of 2.18 of having NEFA higher than 0.5 mEq/l pre-partum (P < 0.05). There was a significant herd effect over NEFA, Glucose, Insulin, Albumins, Urea, GOT-AST and GPT-ALT at TO prepartum (P < 0.05); post-partum herd effect was confirmed for Glucose and RQUICKI (P < 0.05). In the pre-partum weeks interestingly different levels of plasma insulin at T10 were evidenced within GTT class with lower hormone concentrations in class 1 (P < 0.01). Those cows also reported post-partum higher HOMA values (P < 0.01) and lower RQUICKI (P < 0.05), which reflect decreased insulin sensitivity. In conclusion, our study demonstrates a promising opportunity for application of surrogate indices of insulin sensitivity and GTT in field trials to detect insulin resistance syndrome in dairy cows in the future. The T80/T0 ratio derived by GTT seemed to be useful in detecting a low insulin secretion as a likely complication of altered glucose uptake following glucose load in transition cows.

2. Riassunto

101 bovine di razza Holstein-Fresian, pluripare (> 2 parti) e in buono stato di salute, selezionate all'interno di 3 grandi aziende di bovine da latte in Italia, sono state sottoposte al test da carico intravenoso del glucosio a 14-1 giorni prima (Settimana -1) e a 3-9 giorni (Settimana +1) dopo il parto. Un singolo campione di sangue è stato ripetuto a 10-16 giorni dopo il parto. Diversi metaboliti e la concentrazione di insulina sono stati determinati in corrispondenza dei campionamenti basali (T0); inoltre a T0 sono stati calcolati l'indice RQUICKI, Revised Quantitative Insulin Sensitivity Check Index, e HOMA, Homeostasis Model Assessment per la valutazione della sensibilità insulinica periferica. L'insulina e il glucosio sono stati anche misurati a 10 (T10) e 80 (T80) minuti dopo l'infusione del glucosio. Il rapporto tra le glicemie rilevate a T80 e T0 nella Settimana -1 è stato utilizzato per la classificazione degli animali in due categorie: la classe GTT 1 per gli animali con T80/T0 > 1.2; la classe GTT 0 per quelli con T80/T0 \leq 1.2. Sono state inoltre raccolte le produzioni di latte al primo test, i casi di patologie e di mastiti. Le bovine in classe 0 e classe 1 per GTT differivano tra loro sia per la glicemia basale che per la glicemia a T80 (P < 0.01). La bovine in classe 1 di GTT avevano un rischio relativo pari a 2.18 di avere NEFA più elevati di 0.5 mEq/l pre-parto (P < 0.05). E' stato riscontrato un significativo effetto azienda su NEFA, Glucosio, Insulina, Albumine, Urea, GOT-AST e GPT-ALT a TO pre-parto (P < 0.05); post-parto l'effetto azienda è stato confermato per Glucosio ed RQUICKI (P < 0.05). Nelle settimane pre-parto sono stati osservati livelli diversi di insulina plasmatica a T10, in particolare concentrazioni inferiori dell'ormone sono state messe in evidenza in classe 1 di GTT (P < 0.01). Le stesse vacche hanno successivamente riportato valori più elevati di HOMA (P < 0.01) e valori inferiori di RQUICKI (P < 0.05) post-parto, che riflettono una diminuita sensibilità insulinica. Per concludere, il nostro studio dimostra per il futuro una buona possibilità di applicazione degli indici surrogati di sensibilità insulinica e del GTT in prove di campo per individuare forme di insulino resistenza nella vacca da latte. Il rapporto T80/T0 ricavato dal GTT sembra utile nel rilevare una scarsa secrezione di insulina quale probabile complicazione dell'assorbimento del glucosio successivo alla sua somministrazione durante il GTT nelle bovine in transizione.

3. Introduction

The periparturient period is characterized by metabolic and endocrine changes resulting from negative energy balance and the insulin resistance phenomenon by extra-hepatic tissues (Sano et al., 1991; 1993), which helps to primarily direct the flow of nutrients to the fetus and mammary gland during last few weeks of gestation and first periods of subsequent lactation (Baird, 1981). Insulin resistance syndrome is also assumed as being a decisive factor involved in the pathogenesis of metabolic disorders of dairy cows such as ketosis and fatty liver (Hayirli, 2006).

3.1 Glycaemia: comparison between ruminants and non-ruminants

Glycaemia usually shows very constant and similar values across different animal species, with slight variations within a reference range of 70-100 mg/dl in humans and most domestic animals, if measured after few hours of fasting. After a prolonged fasting period the glycaemia is steadily preserved as well through a sensitive and complicated regulation mechanism. This regulation system is necessary because both hyperglycaemia and hypoglycaemia are noxious to the organism. Lasting high levels of glucose, as reported in diabetes, can cause multiple effects due to its osmotic pressure in the extracellular fluid, with cellular dehydration and loss of glucose in the urine accompanied by osmotic diuresis. In the diabetic patients persistently high glucose is also responsible for blood vessel wall damage, and consequently for a higher risk of heart failure, ictus, kidney diseases and blindness. Nevertheless animals can bear and put up with prolonged state of hyperglicaemia, the hypoglycaemia can be lethal with increasing seriousness and severe alterations when glucose gets down 50 mg/dl: headache, dizziness, shuddering, convulsions, loss of consciousness and death. All these consequences are caused by an insufficient supply of glucose to the brain that cannot loosely utilize alternative energetic sources to meet its requirements because of the presence of the haemato-encephalic barrier.

Unlike all the other species and young ruminants, postprandial plasma glucose concentrations range from 40 to 60 mg/dl in adult ruminants, under normal physiological conditions (Hsu and Crump, 1989). In ruminants, dietary carbohydrates and proteins are converted to volatile fatty acids (VFA) and some other biomolecules (e. g. ammonia and microbial protein) in the reticulo-rumen, by microbial degradation and fermentation. Only a small amount of glucose passes through the reticulo-rumen and is absorbed from the intestine, while the majority of glucose is provided via gluconeogenesis in the liver (Young, 1976). Hence, plasma glucose concentration in ruminants is lower than that in non-ruminants. Besides ruminants can tolerate larger fluctuations of glycaemia than non-ruminants and are less sensitive to the effects of hypoglycaemia. For example, glycaemia in sheep reared on pastures ranges between 30 and 60 mg/dl according to seasons. This may be explained by some important physiological

modifications of glucose requirements that occur throughout lactation and pregnancy without apparent disorders in ruminants. Typically these changeable situations may include alterations of the feed quality along the whole year on pastures, the fetus demand of energetic substrates during gestation, and the rapid onset of lactose and milk production after calving. Ruminants can face with these priorities because they use VFA (acetic, propionic and butyric acids), abundantly produced by ruminal fermentation, as alternative energetic sources. Among these VFA, only propionic acid has a strategic rule in gluconeogenesis. Since gluconeogenesis is supported by components that favor propionic production, the feed composition can indirectly influence the plasma glucose level (Wilson et al., 1983).

3.1.1 Regulation of glycaemia

The regulation of glucose occurs on multiple levels by cooperation of endocrine and nervous systems in order to arouse endocrine, neurological and behavioral responses that all together are able to preserve glycaemia from variations (Aguggini et al., 2000). The process of glucose homeostasis exhibits oscillatory behavior involving the following principal mechanisms:

1. Automatic response of the pancreatic islets which secrete glucagon and insulin under condition of hypo or hyperglycaemia, respectively. When insulin binds on the cellular insulin receptor, it leads to a cascade of cellular processes that promote the uptake of glucose from the blood stream, and its usage or, in some cases, its storage in the cell. Insulin is an anabolic hormone and acts to preserve nutrients. It has multiple roles in metabolism of carbohydrates, lipids and proteins. Glucagon is the most important counter regulatory mechanism to stop the activity of insulin beyond a certain limit.

2. Intervention of the central nervous system (CNS), which stimulates hunger and food research and intake, when the glucose reserves are depleting. On the contrary of all the remaining CNS, some hypothalamic neurons are sensitive to glucose concentration and require insulin to consume glucose. This fact explains the insatiable hunger state in diabetics suffering from hyperglycaemia but also deficiency of insulin or inefficiency of insulin action. On the other hand, insulin produces satiety only if glycaemia is maintained elevated, otherwise it itself causes hypoglycaemia and thus stimulates appetite. In part insulin secretion is controlled by vagus nerve. Either the view of food, food sensing by olfactory and taste receptors or conditioned stimuli associated with food determine an insulin release with reinforcement of hunger and food interest.

3. Catecholamine release from adrenal medullary in danger and emergency situation. Epinephrine is immediately released in large amount and rapid rush and has acute hyperglycaemic effects, including hepatic glycogen lisis and lipolisis in adipocites and muscle.

4. Adrenal glycocorticoids secretion in several stress situations as trauma, hemorrhagies, diseases, poisonings, anaphylaxis, emotional stresses and other general conditions with severe

homeostatic alterations. In these cases the hypothalamus secretes the CRF (Corticotropin Releasing Factor) which in turn stimulates the pituitary gland to release ACTH (Adrenocorticotropic Hormone) that promotes glycocorticoids synthesis and excretion in the blood. Similarly to epinephrine, their effects are hyperglycaemic because they suppress protein synthesis and foster hepatic gluconeogenesis in order to preserve the CNS functionality and be able to completely utilize and oxidize fatty acids.

5. Thyroid gland activity, which basically exerts a hyperglycaemic effect due to an increased protein and lipid catabolism, improved intestinal absorption, sympathetic tone and synergic action with catecholamine and food consumption.

6. Somatotropin hormone activity: it has hyperglycaemic effects and is an insulin antagonist too, because it depresses glucose uptake and consumption from tissues and preferably facilitates the use of adipose reserves to sustain energetic demand of protein synthesis, particularly during growth and development, gestation and lactation.

3.1.2 Endocrine Pancreas:

As an endocrine gland, the pancreas secretes peptide hormones, such as insulin from β cells, glucagon from α cells, somatostatin from δ cells, and pancreatic polypeptide from F cells (Hsu and Crump, 1989). These cells are located in the clusters known as islets of Langerhans diffused among exocrine parenchymal tissue and they constitute 1-3% of the total pancreatic mass with approximately 2500 cells in each islet and one million islets in humans. The proportions of β , α , δ , and F cells are about 60%, 30%, 8% and 2% respectively (Hsu and Crump, 1989; Hadley, 1996) and they strictly control each other hormones secretion within the islet.

3.1.3 Insulin: synthesis and structure

Insulin biosynthesis is a complex event that is characterized by formation of two insulin precursors: preproinsulin, which is composed of acidic (A,) and basic (B) chains and a peptide chain connecting the A and B chains (C peptide) in a single polypeptide of 97 amino acids, and proinsulin that is formed after cleavage of 23 residues of C peptide and formation of disulphide linkage between the A and B chains in the RER. After the proinsulin in transfered to the Golgi apparatus, C-pepetide is removed in the secretory granules and proinsulin and C-peptide are both stored in the cytosol (Hadley, 1996). They are released from granules at the same time and therefore C-peptide can be measured to monitor insulin endogenous production in patients subjected to insulin therapy (Swenson and Reece, 2002). Ultimately insulin consists of two peptide chains, A and B, composed of 21 and 30 amino acids respectively and linked by two disulphide bridges. There are only minor differences in chemical structures of insulin secreted by different mammalians, that don't influence its biological effects if administered in heterologous species but can cause antigenic responses after prolonged treatments (Ganong,

1991). For instance, threonine located in position 30 of the B chain in human insulin is replaced with alanine in bovine insulin (Hsu and Crump, 1989).

3.1.4 The process of insulin secretion:

Once insulin is synthesized, it is secreted in two different phases after glucose enters the β cells. As for the first phase, preformed insulin release is triggered rapidly within 3-5 minutes since sudden blood glucose increase. This initial hormone secretion can be tenfold but halves and last only for 5-10 minutes. The second phase is a slow release of newly formed vesicles that are triggered regardless of the glucose level (Guyton and Hall, 2000). It starts after 15 minutes and achieves a new plateau within 2-3 hours at a level that is usually higher than the initial phase. Insulin release occurs by exocytosis. After an increase of glucose in the blood, glucose is captured by his specific transport proteins, the insulin-independent GLUT-2, and flows into the cell. Next, glucose metabolism via glycolysis generates ATP inside the cell. Elevated ATP/ADP ratio inhibits the ATP sensitive potassium ion channels on the β cells membrane, eventually leading to membranes depolarization and influx of extracellular Ca2+ ions through the voltage–gated Calcium channels. Thus there is a transient vesicles fusion to the cell membrane and their insulin content is excreted in the bloodstream (Kumar et al., 2005; Guyton and Hall, 2006).

3.1.5 Insulin receptors and tissues signal trasduction:

The insulin transduction pathway is an important biochemical pathway beginning at the cellular level affecting homeostasis. This insulin signal transduction pathway is composed of trigger mechanisms that serve as signals throughout the cell. The insulin receptor is a dimer of 2 α and 2 β subunits. The α subunit is located on surface of the cell and the insulin binds to it at the cell membrane, whereas the β subunit is located inside the cell and has a tyrosine kinase domain (Kahn, 1994). The α subunit acts as an enzyme that activates insulin functions and after insulin attachment causes autophosphorylation by ATP of the β subunit, which triggers tyrosine kinase activity in the cell. Thus, the hormone-receptor complex is internalized and intracellular secondary messengers are propagated in a chain reaction through enzymes phosphorylation and dephosphorylation cascades. These messengers are specific for the final biological effects of insulin, which for simplicity can be distinguished in mitogenic and metabolic functions. For instance, phosphorylation and activation of guanosine triphosphate (Ras complex) and Mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) are responsible for expressing mitogenic functions like cell growth, proliferation and gene expression; phosphorylation of phosphatidylinositol-3kinase (PI-3K) leads to crucial metabolic functions such as synthesis of lipids, proteins and glycogen. Most importantly, the PI-3K pathway leads to the distribution of glucose for important cell functions by binding to various glucose transporters (GLUT vesicles), and stimulates the translocation of vesicles containing GLUT 4 on cell membranes, thus increasing the glucose entry rate inside cells (Kumar et al., 2006). Differences in possession of different type of GLUT implicate tissue dependency from insulin for uptake of glucose. For instance, GLUT 1 is predominant in brain, placenta, mammary gland and erythrocytes; GLUT 2 in the liver, kidney and pancreas; GLUT 3 in brain and placenta; GLUT 4 in adipose tissue and skeletal and heart muscle; and GLUT 5 in small intestine (DeFronzo et al., 1992). Among these, only GLUT 4 needs of insulin for uptake of glucose. Instead the liver and mammary gland are not insulin-sensitive organs. Therefore the effects of insulin are specific only for certain tissues: in particular insulin is very important in the uptake of glucose by muscle and adipose tissue (Zhou et al., 1999).

3.1.6 Stimulus for insulin release in non-ruminants:

There are several factors that can stimulate both insulin synthesis and release (Berne and Levy, 1993). In non ruminants the most important stimulus is glucose elevation in the blood, followed by other nutrients like sugars (galactose, mannose, glyceraldehydes), aminoacids (especially arginine, leucine, alanine, lysine) free long-chain fatty acids and minerals (potassium and calcium). Regarding aminoacids, they have a different effect than glucose and can hardly increase insulin production if administered in absence of hyperglycaemia. Anyway, aminoacids are very powerful agonist of glucose in inducing insulin release under hyperglycaemic conditions. Furthermore numerous hormones can promote insulin activity, like gastrointestinal hormones (gastrine, secretine, cholecystokinin, pancreatic polypeptide, gastric inhibitory peptide and glucagone), in addition to drugs and β -adrenergic and parasympathetic activity (vagal stimuli and acetylcholine).

On the other side, analogous but opposed factors can suppress insulin release: decreased glucose level in the blood, gastrointestinal hormones (galanin, somatostatin, pancreastatin), sympathetic and α -adrenergic stimuli (catecholamines) and other specific compounds (e.g. IL-1, PGF2- α). Even some psychic or sensory perceptions, like the view of food or its presence in the mouth, can anticipate and strengthen the last direct action of glucose on endocrine pancreas. These factors can either induce insulin release via vagal stimulation of hypothalamic hunger center (ventro-lateral hypothalamus) or suppress its release via sympathetic nervous system and ventro-medial hypothalamus centers (Aguggini et al., 2000). All the CNS neurons are permeable to glucose with no need for insulin mediation, except for the ventro-medial hypothalamus. Still later the presence of ingesta in the gastrointestinal tract, and their quality, will further promote insulin secretion through gastrointestinal hormones and vagal tone.

3.1.7 Stimulus for insulin release in ruminants:

Owing to distinct differences in nutrient metabolism between ruminants and non-ruminants, the magnitude of insulin secretion in response to nutrients varies greatly (Brockman and

Laarveld, 1986). It is well known that fatty acids (FA) with 3- to 8-carbon chains increase insulin secretion in ruminants (Horino et al., 1968). Moreover, valerate and butyrate were reported to be responsible for maximum elevation of plasma insulin and were more potent for insulin secretion than glucose in ruminants, but failed to stimulate insulin secretion in rabbits and pigs. However a certain haematic glucose concentration is necessary in ruminants to meet the basal requirements and thoroughly use fatty acids, preventing the formation of keton bodies. Given to low plasma glucose levels, ketonaemia is very frequent in ruminants. Thereby the insulin secretion is mostly regulated by haematic VFA and keton bodies because they are the most abundant energetic source and can easily enter the haemato-encephalic barrier and they can be utilized by brain (Aguggini et al., 2000). In conclusion, glucose has a secondary role in insulin control in ruminants.

3.1.8 The role of insulin in glucose metabolism:

Insulin forces entry of glucose into cells and its storage in the form of glycogen, most prominently in muscle and adipose tissue, via modification of action of numerous enzymes. Inward movement of glucose is speeded up by two mechanisms: an increased rate of exocytosis and decreased endocytosis of GLUT 2 in hepatocytes, and stimulation of glucokinase activity, which phosphorylates glucose to glucose 6-phosphate (G6P) (Katzung, 1995). The rapid glucose phosphorylation tends to keep a low intracellular glucose concentration. Thus, the gradient of glucose is normally directed inward but, again, insulin can greatly speed up this process. In the same way, insulin facilitates entry of glucose into adipose tissue through GLUT 4, where glucose is then oxidized for esterification of free FA during lipogenesis. This phenomenon is highly specific and mostly limited to glucose and other few similar sugars, which can compete for their transportation (Ganong, 1991). At the same time both in the liver and muscle, insulin can stimulate glycogenesis and glycolysis by following similar pathways except for uptake of glucose. The liver utilizes GLUT 2, whereas muscle utilizes GLUT 4. Glycolisis is stimulated by insulin through activation of phosphofructokinase and pyruvate kinase, which direct the flow of glucose towards pyruvate and lactate (Berne and Levy, 1993). Glycogen synthesis is mediated by the action of glycogen synthase. In ruminants, insulin also stimulates glycogen synthase, but glucokinase activity is little or absent in the liver (Brockman and Laarveld, 1986). Instead, hexokinase is involved in the uptake of glucose in the ruminant liver (Brockman, 1984). However, hexokinase has a lower affinity for glucose compared with glucokinase (Berne and Levy, 1993). For this reason, the ruminant liver normally takes up only small amounts of glucose. Insulin then suppresses glucose production from non-sugar substrates by inhibiting key pyruvate carboxylase and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase) for enzymes (e.g. gluconeogenesis (O'Brien and Granner, 1990) and glycogen phosphorylase for glycogenolysis.

3.1.9 The role of insulin in lipid metabolism:

In adipose tissue and muscle, insulin enhances FA esterification and triglyceride (TG) synthesis by providing FA substrates inside cells. In the small vessels of the adipose tissue, insulin stimulates lipoprotein-lipases (LPL) and TG division and lets free FA enter into adipocytes. The free FA is re-esterified with glycerophosphate, derived either from glycolysis, glycerol or other FA. Precursors for lipogenesis are different between ruminants and non ruminants: glucose is the major precursor for lipogenesis in adipose tissue of non-ruminants, whereas acetate is the major precursor in ruminants (Prior and Scott, 1980). Also in the liver, insulin stimulates lipogenesis and inhibits ketogenesis (Brockman, 1978, 1979). Further, NEFA mobilized from adipose tissue is the primary source of hepatic lipogenesis in ruminants (Emery et al., 1992). Nevertheless, unlike in non-ruminants, the ruminant liver is not a primary organ for lipogenesis (Ingle et al., 1972). In any case, oxidation of glucose is indispensable to generate NADPH₂ and α glycerophosphate for FA synthesis from lactate and acetate in ruminants (Prior and Scott, 1980). The effect of insulin is decisive on carboxylation of mitochondrial acetyl-CoA generated by glycolysis in non-ruminants or directly derived from acetate in ruminants. Thanks to insulin intervention, acetyl-CoA is then converted into malonyl-CoA by acetil-CoA carboxylase (Brockman, 1978, 1979). Malonyl-coA represents the primary step in FA formation. Additionally, insulin can inhibit lipolysis by slowing down the activity of lipase and protein kinase A and lowering the level of cAMP. Moreover, insulin control of lipogenesis and lypolysis in adipose tissue guarantees lower circulating non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA), reduces their uptake from the liver and improve peripheral tissue ketone utilization. In summary, it is clear that insulin mainly favorites the utilization of carbohydrates as energetic font. Instead, it depresses fat usage by tissues, except for CNS.

3.1.10 The role of insulin in the protein and mineral metabolism:

Insulin intervenes on protein metabolism through activation of amino acids uptake inside the cell, decreased proteolysis and breakdown of proteins inside the lysosomes, increase of special DNA sequences transcription, mRNA translation and protein synthesis. The enzymes responsible for carbohydrates, lipids and proteins storage are the most induced by insulin activities. Owing to her anabolic influence, insulin is even concerned in the growth process and cooperates with other anabolic hormones like somatotropine (growth hormone, GH) and androgens in a different but synergic manner (Guyton and Hall, 2000). For instance, each hormone has a stronger capacity for the regulation of certain amino acids uptake by cells. In the case of insulin, it promotes valine, leucine, thysorine and phenylalanine transfer.

As to mineral metabolism, insulin facilitates the entry of potassium ions into the muscle and miocardic cells because it enhances the activity of the Na^+-K^+ ATPase pump in the cell membranes. The consequence is a decrease of potassium in the extracellular fluid and cells

iperpolarization. On the contrary, potassium ions depletion, like in patients affected by primary iperaldosteronism, tends to reduce insulin secretion and develop diabetic symptoms.

3.2 Insulin resistance phenomenon:

Insulin resistance is a generic term which describes "the state where a physiological level of insulin produces a less than normal biological response" (Kahn, 1978). This may be due to defects located either at the pre-receptor level, or at the receptor and post-receptor levels. Actually insulin resistance can be sketchily defined as insulin responsiveness or insulin sensitivity. The former is evaluated as the response of insulin to glucose, the latter as tissue responsiveness to insulin. First, pre-receptor level defects include decreased insulin production, increased insulin degradation, or both. Secondary, the molecular mechanisms in defects at the receptor level include decreased number of receptors and decreased binding affinity. Ultimately, in post-receptor defects the intracellular signaling steps of insulin action and translocation of GLUT may be impaired. Kahn (1978) has stated that, in general, hypoinsulinaemia is a common feature in pre-receptor defects; reduced insulin responsiveness is typical of receptor level defects; and reduced insulin sensitivity is linked to post-receptor defects. The consequence of these alterations is a multi-factorial complex of symptoms, such as hyperglicaemia, ketonaemia and ketosis, metabolic acidosis, glucosuria, diuresis, dehydration, exc., (McCance and Huether, 1994) that is common to many physio-pathological events in humans and all domestic animals, included ruminants. In humans, these disorders are well characterized into different types of diabetes. In ruminants, they are also seen in ketosis syndrome and haepatic lipidosis during particular stages of productive life (Drackley et al., 1992). In all cases, this syndrome recognizes similar aetiological and pathogenetical factors (Hayirli, 2006).

3.2.1 Risk factors of insulin resistance syndrome:

Hereafter, I will focus on description of disorders and factors that are relevant for ruminant animals, and particularly in high producing dairy cows.

Gestation:

Insulin resistance and a decrease in peripheral tissues sensitivity to insulin are commonly observed during late gestation (Hay et al., 1988; Petterson *et al.*, 1994). In this phase, fetal glucose uptake is approximately 50% of glucose production in ewes (Prior and Christenson, 1978) and insulin-mediated uptake of glucose by skeletal muscle and adipose tissues and inhibition of lipolysis are decreased (Schlumbohm et al., 1997). Uptake of glucose and numbers of GLUT 4 in heart muscle and white and brown adipose tissues were lower in pregnant rats compared to non-pregnant rats (Nieuwenhuizen *et al.*, 1998). The glucose transfer from placenta to fetus is dependent on the difference of concentration of this molecule between maternal and fetal plasma (Simmons et al., 1979) and is mediated by specific transport proteins GLUT-1 and GLUT-3. The number of these carriers increases along the advance of pregnancy in

order to enhance the transfer of glucose across placenta (Hay, 1995). Reduced insulin sensitivity by peripheral tissues during late pregnancy assures adequate transfer of glucose from dam to fetus as an insulin-independent process. This mechanism is affected by increased serum concentrations of the hormones estradiol, progesterone and prolactin during late gestation. It appears that oestrogen enhances the action of insulin during non-pregnancy and lactation and progesterone suppresses insulin actions during late pregnancy. This was suggested by Ryan and Enns investigation on the effects of these hormones on insulin action in isolated cells from adipose tissue of pregnant, non-pregnant, and virgin rats (1988). Addition of estradiol to culture medium increased maximum insulin binding; addition of progesterone decreased glucose transport and maximum insulin binding; and addition of prolactin and placental lactogen decreased glucose transport without changing maximum insulin binding. Besides, maternal insulin concentration tends to decrease towards the end of gestation and is inversely proportional to placental lactogen levels in ewes (Blom et al., 1976; Vernon et al., 1981). Otherwise, the insulin response to a glucose load is significantly lowered in these pregnant animals (Van der Walt et al., 1980). Lomax and colleagues hypothesized that the decline in insulinemia in the last third of gestation in ruminants might be linked to a reduced capability of pancreas to react to insulinotropic agents like glucose (1979).

Nutrition - energy density of diet:

Malnutrition and feed restriction reduces the glucoregulatory actions of insulin. Feed restriction results in hypoinsulinaemia in donkeys (Forhead and Dobson, 1997) and a decrease in islet numbers and islet size, which may cause lower insulin secretion (Tse *et al.*, 1998). Further, an experiment conducted in rats by Reis and colleagues (1997), reported that basal serum insulin and plasma glucose concentrations and glucose clearance rate were lower in malnourished rats than in well-nourished rats during the oral GTT. Moreover, insulin secretory response to glucose addition to cell media containing pancreas islets isolated from malnourished rats was lower compared to those isolated from well-nourished rats. Similarly, in dairy cattle, decreased plasma insulin prior to parturition and in the first weeks after calving may reflect regression of the pancreas as a result of depression in DMI. Inadequate feeding during the dry period can cause a variety of problems in the postparturient cow, including susceptibility to infections, infertility, and adverse effects on milk production. A common recommendation is that the dairy producer should try to maximize DMI intake in close-up dry cows, to prepare the cow for a higher feed intake immediately after calving and, in turn, reduce metabolic disorders (Grummer, 1995).

In a study of 2003, Holtenius and colleagues reported that plasma glucose disappearance rate, and thereby the effectiveness and concentration of insulin, was related to feeding regimen offered during the prefresh transition period of cows fed to consume 6, 9 and 14.5 kg DM,

providing 71 (Low energy diet, L), 106 (Medium energy diet, M), or 177 MJ (High energy diet, H) of metabolizable energy (ME) per day. The diets provided in average 75, 110, and 178% of the energy requirements for maintenance and pregnancy according to the Swedish feeding recommendations (Spörndly, 1999). These diets were introduced when the cows were dried off from the previous lactation, at least 8 wk before expected parturition. After parturition all cows were fed another total mixed ration ad libitum. They found a markedly higher plasma insulin concentration and a lower glucose clearance rate in prepartum H cows, compared to M and L cows. They suggested that this could reflect a prepartum positive energy balance (EB) but also a greater insulin resistance. Also, there were no differences in DMI in early lactation, but during wk 6 to 12 postpartum DMI was lower among H cows, which was linked to a prolonged negative energy balance in this group. At the same time body weight loss was greatest in these cows and mainly occurred in lactation weeks 1 to 4, whereas the milk yield did not differ between treatments (Agenäs et al., 2003).

However, the magnitude and duration of malnutrition required to develop metabolic disorders in dairy cows are largely unknown. Relatively few studies have evaluated the effects of restricted feeding during the dry period on the health and metabolism of cattle. Cows subjected either to 30% feed restriction or reduction in DMI as they approach parturition are known to develop postpartum hepatic disturbances (Veenhuizen *et al.*, 1991; Hayirli and Grummer, 2004). In contrast, Holcomb et al. (2001) found no negative effects of restricted feeding except lower milk fat percentage in early lactation. Others believe that the low energy density of diets during the transition period is associated with improved DMI and energy balance (Douglas et al., 1998; Rabelo et al., 2003). On the other hand, overfeeding during the dry period might lead to appetite depression and an increased rate of health disorders and poor milk production (Rukkwamsuk et al., 1999).

Most researchers have indicated that higher energy concentration of the diet precalving could improve voluntary intake, increase BW gain (VandeHaar et al., 1999; Dewhurst et al., 2000), and reduce the mobilization of adipose tissue and plasma NEFA concentrations (Ingvartsen and Andersen, 2000; Hayirli et al., 2002), which would improve the maternal regulation of physiological responses and enhance productive performance during the transition period (Contreras et al., 2004). Very recently (2012), Gao and colleagues ascertained that feeding lower energy diets to cows during the last three weeks prepartum had several significant effects both on the cow and her calf. They assigned 30 Holstein dairy cows to one of three diets during the last 21 days prior to calving. The diets consisted of a low energy group (net energy of lactation (NEL) = 5.25 MJ/kg of DM); medium energy group (NEL = 5.88 MJ/kg of DM); and high energy group (NEL = 6.48 MJ/kg of DM). Unfortunately, the authors did not report how much each cow was fed and estimate their energy intake. Cows fed the low energy diet had a large increase in plasma NEFA concentration from 21 to 7 days prepartum and their calf health was

profoundly affected. Their results suggest that maternal energy density during the last 21 d prepartum negatively affected growth, development, immunity, and antioxidant capability of neonatal calves and the energy balance of the mothers in the immediate postpartum period.

Nutrition - fat feeding (hyper-insulinemia):

During high-fat feeding lipid availability in muscle and liver and oxidation of fat are elevated but incomplete. Thus, fat feeding is accompanied by increased plasma NEFA and ketons concentration. Ketogenic diets also cause acute hyper-insulinaemia and reduced insulin sensitivity. In general, hyper-insulinaemia downregulates insulin actions and signal trasduction at the receptor and postreceptor levels (Berne and Levy, 1993; Sebokova et al., 1995). In fact, hyper-insulinaemia jeopardizes the ability of insulin to suppress hepatic glucose production in the liver (Oakes et al., 1997) and impair glucose uptake by peripheral tissues. Glucose uptake is limited by alterations of cell membrane fluidity, reduced number of GLUT 4 and decreased glucokinase activity in the liver and muscle. These mechanisms were confirmed in rats fed increasing dietary fat in vivo and in vitro experiments (Watari et al., 1988; Ruth and Kor, 1992; Ruth, 1992). High-fat diets were associated to important reduction in insulin binding. Insulin anti-lipolitic effect is also reduced and FA is released from adipose tissue (Sparks and Sparks, 1995). The increased NEFA concentration in lipid induced insulin resistance was demonstrated in humans by Laville and colleagues (1995): insulin was not able to reduce plasma NEFA and lipid oxidation, following intravenous infusions of labeled glucose and palmitate compared to a control group. Furthermore, adverse effects of supplemental fat (more than 4%) on DMI of lactating dairy cattle are well documented. Mechanisms by which high level of supplemental fat adversely affect DMI include interference with acceptability of diet, reduced gut motility, decreased fermentation and degradation of fiber, and alteration of hormonal status (Devendra and Lewis, 1974; Palmquist and Jenkins, 1980; Allen, 2000). In 2002, Hayirli demonstrated a liner decrease in DMI in response to increasing level of dietary EE concentration and during the prefresh transition period. The extent of feed and energy intake depression is dependent upon fat type (Allen, 2000). Fat sources with more unsaturated fatty acids reduce intake to the greatest extent and fatty acids that are highly saturated have less effect.

Obesity and fat mobilization:

A number of studies reveal that obesity is associated with increased likelihood of metabolic disturbances. Hyper-insulinaemia (McCann et al., 1986) and insulin resistance (Mahler, 1981; McCann and Reimers, 1985; Bergman et al., 1998) are common metabolic signs of obesity in non-ruminants and ruminants. Unlike obese non-ruminant species, obese ruminants have poor appetites (Garnsworthy and Topps 1982; Treacher *et al.*, 1986; Hayirli *et al.*, 2002b). Therefore, obesity in non-ruminants is associated with hyper-glycaemia and hyper-insulinaemia, whereas

obesity in ruminants is associated with hypo-glycaemia and hypo-insulinaemia. Feeding behavior has been linked to ATP concentration within cells in the liver with satiety occurring as fuels are oxidized and ATP is produced, and hunger occurring as oxidation decreases and ATP is depleted (Allen, 2000). According to the Hepatic Oxidation Theory (HOT), formulated by Allen and colleagues (2000; 2005), it is important to realize that "the pattern of oxidation of fuels (minute to minute) is what affects feeding behavior because the amount of oxidation over longer periods of time (hours or days) is relatively constant". Because fatty acids are readily oxidized in the liver, the supply of NEFA from mobilization of body fat reserves likely suppresses feed intake in the transition period. As a consequence of obesity, the degree of fat mobilization is dependent upon the amount of fat reserves available for mobilization as well as changes in insulin concentration, tissue sensitivity to insulin, and stress. Cows with excessive body condition generally mobilize fat very rapidly through transition because their tissues are more insulin resistant and they have greater fat stores to mobilize. Recent research indicates that allowing cows to consume more energy than required during the far-off dry period results in increased NEFA concentrations in early lactation (Holtenius et al., 2003). Hormones released during stress increase fat mobilization, elevating plasma NEFA concentration further. Free FA competes with glucose for utilization by insulin-sensitive tissues (Boden, 1977; Koopmans et al., 1996) and has toxic effects on peripheral tissues (Spector and Fletcher, 1978). Noshiro and colleagues (1997) tested insulin action on insulin-sensitive lean rats and insulin-resistant obese Zucker rats. The adverse effect of NEFA concentration was more pronounced in obese rats than in lean rats. The adverse effect of elevated NEFA concentration on adipose tissue insulin sensitivity was also demonstrated (VanEpps-Fung and colleagues, 1997; Bergman and Mittelman, 1998). In summary, elevated NEFA concentration causes inhibition of insulinstimulated glucose uptake by peripheral tissues, decreases the number and translocation of GLUT 4, and disturbs intracellular insulin signalling pathways in the liver and peripheral tissues with an increased risk for receptor downregulation (Garvey et al., 1986).

Genetics:

Until recently, general dairy cow breeding policy has resulted in a cow that produces more milk and has a greater propensity to mobilize body fat in early lactation (Buckley et al., 2000; Roche et al., 2006; McCarthy et al., 2007). Intensively selected cows in early lactation experience a subsequent failure to replenish body energy stores. This challenge has also been associated with reduced reproductive performance (Beam and Butler, 1999; Buckley et al., 2003; Roche et al., 2007). Low insulin levels are associated genotypically (Gutierrez et al., 2006) and phenotypically (Ingvartsen and Friggens, 2005) with high milk yield. Although insulin has no direct effects on galactopoiesis, low insulin decreases the uptake of glucose by insulin-sensitive tissues, such as skeletal muscles, and enhances glucose availability for the mammary gland, which is insulin-insensitive (Zhao et al., 1996; Nishimoto et al., 2006; van Knegsel et al., 2007). Therefore, insulin is a very interesting candidate molecule concerning the paradox between yield, metabolic diseases, and reproductive performance. There is further evidence of a tendency for higher body weight in cows with genetic merit for low milk fat content compared to high fat content (Agenäs et al., 2003). Cows of North American (NA) and New Zealand (NZ) origin represent 2 strains of high and low genetic merit for milk production (Roche et al., 2006). NA cows produce more milk, have a greater propensity to mobilize body condition in early lactation and partition less energy to improving body condition in mid and late lactation (Horan et al., 2005; Roche et al., 2006; Mc-Carthy et al., 2007; Macdonald et al., 2008). These strain differences should be due, at least in part, to an altered response of tissues to insulin and a resultant increased nutrient supply, particularly of glucose and fatty acids, to the mammary gland to support milk production. Cows of NZ origin fed a TMR have a greater insulin response to a glucose challenge than either NZ cows grazing pasture or NA cows fed TMR or pasture (Chagas et al., 2003), indicating a potential interaction between strain of Holstein-Friesian (HF) cow and diet on insulin resistance in early lactation. These data are consistent with the hypothesis that insulin dynamics may be involved in the effect of genetic strain, nutrition, or both on nutrient partitioning (Chagas et al., 2007a). In 2009, Chagas and colleagues confirmed that differences in milk production between NA and NZ cows in early lactation can be explained by a greater glucose fractional turnover rate in NZ cows compared with those of NA origin. In 2009, further data by Kay and colleagues from New Zeland supported the hypothesis for potential strain differences in recoupling of the somatotropic axis, insulin resistance, and energy partitioning, and may help explain the physiology behind the previously reported greater milk production and body condition score loss in NA HF. They offered 0, 3, or 6 kg of concentrate DM/cow per day for an extended lactation to fifty-six genetically divergent NZ and NA HF cows grazing pasture (605 ± 8.3 d in milk; mean \pm standard error of the mean). During early lactation, NEFA and GH concentrations were greater and IGF-I concentrations were less, and increased at a slower rate in NA HF. During the extended lactation period, NA HF had greater NEFA and GH concentrations; there were strain × diet interactions for insulin and leptin, and a tendency for a strain × diet interaction for glucose. These interactions were primarily due to greater plasma insulin, leptin, and glucose concentrations in the NZ HF fed 6 kg of concentrate DM/cow per day, a result of excessive body condition in this treatment. In a similar study conducted in New Zeland (White et al., 2012), expression of pyruvate carboxylase (PC) mRNA in liver biopsies from 27 NZ and 27 NA HF cows was monitored at 0, +1, and +4 wk relative to calving. Pyruvate carboxylase is a rate-limiting enzyme for hepatic gluconeogenesis. The responses of NZ and NA cows to the transition to lactation and concentrate supplementation appeared to be similar; however, NZ cattle had a higher basal expression of PC. In the same recent years, McCarthy et al. (2009) compared the hepatic expression of genes of the growth hormone (GH)-IGF (or somatotropic) axis in the NA HF and the NZ HF strains of dairy cow at early and mid lactation. They found that early-lactation adaptations to negative energy balance may have more severe effects in the NA strain compared with the NZ strain because the NZ strain had greater expression of IGF-1. In the immediate postpartum period, the somatotropic axis in the liver becomes uncoupled, whereby elevated plasma GH concentrations fail to stimulate an increase in hepatic IGF-1 synthesis (Thissen et al., 1994; Fenwick et al., 2008; Lucy, 2008). IGF-1 plays a critical role in stimulating the anabolic and mitogenic activity of GH in various tissues (Laron, 2001). Numerous reports have suggested that nutritionally compromised cows have reduced systemic concentrations of insulin and IGF-1 (Patton et al., 2006; Lucy, 2008). It has been reported that irreversible glucose loss leads to some degree of uncoupling of the somatotropic axis as plasma concentrations of IGF-1 decline concomitantly with a reduction in hepatic mRNA abundance of IGF-1 and GH receptor (GHR; Meier et al., 2008). Previous studies have demonstrated that systemic concentrations of the metabolic hormone IGF-1 in early lactation are positively associated with the subsequent calving-to-service interval and ultimately the pregnancy outcome in dairy cattle (Taylor et al., 2004; Patton et al., 2007; Wathes et al., 2007). McCarthy's results were consistent with other recent authors' findings of greater IGF-1 plasma concentrations in NZ HF during the post-transition period (30 to 90 d postcalving; Patton et al., 2008).

3.3 *Physiological metabolic modifications in the transition dairy cow:*

The transition from late gestation to early lactation is a period of dramatic physiological and metabolic adaptation for the dairy cow. During late gestation the nutritional demands of the fetus and uterus increase exponentially, while intake is often reduced by the endocrine changes that induce parturition and parturition itself. Other several factors which can impact on intake have been investigated and discussed for many decades (Ingvarsten and Andersen, 2000). Factors affecting and regulating DMI of lactating dairy cattle are numerous, complex, and span from cellular to environmental conditions (Forbes, 1996; Roseler et al., 1997a, b; Allen, 2000). These factors can be categorized broadly as animal factors (i.e., age, body condition, breed, physiological stage, and milk yield level), dietary factors (i.e., ingredient and nutrient compositions of diets and physical and agronomic characteristics of feed stuffs), managerial factors (i.e., production, feeding, and housing systems), and climatic factors (i.e., temperature, humidity, and wind) (NRC 1987). Factors affecting DMI and depression in DMI during the prefresh transition period are largely unknown. It is possible that factors affecting DMI in lactating dairy cattle and other ruminants also influence DMI in prefresh transition dairy cattle (HayirIi et al. 2002).

After calving, increases in nutrient requirements for milk synthesis outpace increases in intake (Bell, 1995). For the dairy cow, the most severe nutritional imbalances typically occur during transition (Grummer, 1995). Development of negative energy balance prior to parturition and its continuation through early lactation are due to significant DMI depression (Bertics et al., 1992; Hayirli et al., 2002b) and the lag time between peaks of intake and milk yield, respectively (Baird, 1981; Grummer, 1995). Dairy cattle loose body weight (BW) and body condition score (BCS) to compensate for the energy deficit (Coppock, 1985). Lactogenesis is accompanied by alterations of metabolism, which include increased lipolysis and decreased lipogenesis in adipose tissue, decreased glycogenesis and increased gluconeogenesis and glycogenolysis in the liver, decreased use of glucose and increased use of lipid as energy sources by body tissues, and increased mobilization of protein reserves from muscle tissue (Bauman and Currie, 1980; Collier et al., 1984; Reynolds et al., 2003). Several euglycemic clamp studies (Debrass et al., 1989; Prior and Christenson, 1978; Sano et al., 1991) ascertained that insulin resistance begins before parturition and continues during early lactation. Thus, during the periparturient period, insulin resistance may be an important factor in the initiation of catabolic activities (Holstenius, 1993). Milk synthesis is drawn by glucose availability for lactose production. For a cow producing 35 kg of milk per day with 4.9% lactose, about 2.9 kg glucose is used for lactose secretion in the mammary gland, of which 2.7 kg is provided via gluconeogenesis in the liver (Young, 1976). Propionate accounts for most of glucose released from the liver (about 70%) during the final two weeks of gestation (Reynolds et al., 2003).

Although propionate is very rapidly taken up by the liver, when it is absorbed faster than it can be utilized to produce glucose, it will likely be oxidized, generating ATP and a satiety signal to the brain. The capacity of the liver to produce glucose is affected by glucose demand because limiting enzymes in the liver are up-regulated to meet the need. Because of this, propionate is less likely to be oxidized (and decrease feed intake) at peak lactation when glucose demand is high, than in late lactation when glucose demand is lower. Although propionate might be expected to have little effect on feed intake of fresh cows because they have high glucose demand, decreasing oxidation of propionate per se, propionate also stimulates oxidation of acetyl CoA. Fresh cows have a large supply of acetyl CoA in the liver from partial oxidation of NEFA. Some acetyl CoA is exported as ketones, but it is also readily oxidized when propionate is taken up by the liver, quickly generating ATP and a satiety signal (Allen and Bradford, 2006). This is an apparent contradiction: propionate is a primary fuel used to produce glucose, which is needed to increase insulin and decrease NEFA, thereby alleviating the depression in feed intake by NEFA oxidation in fresh cows, but propionate itself suppresses feed intake by stimulating oxidation of acetyl CoA in fresh cows. That is, the metabolic profile during early lactation includes low concentrations of serum insulin, plasma glucose and liver glycogen and high concentrations of serum glucagon, adrenaline and GH, plasma β -hydroxybutyrate (BHB) and NEFA, and liver triglycerides (Herbein et al., 1985; Vazquez-Anon et al., 1994). These changes predispose dairy cows to hepatic lipidosis and ketosis. This metabolic pattern is also reported in cases of induced or spontaneous hepatic lipidosis and ketosis (DeBoer et al., 1985; Veenhuizen et al., 1991; Drackley et al., 1992). Lower mitochondrial glycerol-phosphate acyltransferase activity (Rukkwamsuk et al., 1998, 1999b) diverts FA from esterification into β -oxidation in order to protect the hepatocytes against further accumulation of TG during NEB (Bruss, 1993). Because liver contributes a small fraction of total body fat synthesis (Ingle et al., 1972), FA mobilized from adipose tissue is the primary sources of hepatic TG in ruminants (Emery et al., 1992; Bruss, 1993; Grummer, 1993). NEFA are either directly transported to mammary gland (Annison et al., 1967) or are taken up by the liver in relation to their concentration in plasma (Heimberg and Wilcox, 1972). In the liver, FA is re-esterified to TG that can be stored or exported as VLDL, or FA is oxidized either completely to carbon dioxide in tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle or incompletely to ketone bodies. When the export of TG as VLDL from the liver cannot keep pace with increased NEFA uptake and TG synthesis by the liver, hepatic lipidosis becomes significant (Grummer, 1993).

3.4 Post-partum metabolic disorders related to energetic metabolism:

Hepatic lipidosis and ketosis occur as a result of inability to keep pace with homeorhetic changes during the periparturient period, as previously described, and are common lipid-related metabolic disorders in the fresh high producing dairy cow (Herdt *et al.*, 1983, 1988; DeBoer *et al.*, 1985; Veenhuizen *et al.*, 1991; Drackley *et al.*, 1992; Grummer, 1993; Vazquez-Anon *et al.*, 1994). The aetiologies of hepatic lipidosis and ketosis are similar and liver function is impaired in both cases (Strang *et al.*, 1998; Zhu *et al.*, 2000).

Hepatic lipidosis refers to accumulation of lipids in hepatocytes (Pearson and Maas, 1990). Many cows experience hepatic lipidosis and ketosis of varying degrees of severity during the periparturient period (Grummer, 1993). The severity of hepatic lipidosis is related to the degree of mobilization of adipose tissue fat reserves (Roberts *et al.*, 1981). Hepatic lipidosis may compromise production (Gerloff *et al.*, 1986a), immune function (Ropstad *et al.*, 1989; Kaneene *et al.*, 1997), and fertility (Reid *et al.*, 1979a,b) and increases the likelihood of ketosis (Drackley *et al.*, 1992). Major factors causing hepatic lipidosis include increased supply of long-chain FA by adipose tissues and diet, impairment of TG incorporation into VLDL, and defects in VLDL transport (Gruffat *et al.*, 1996).

Ketosis occurs after hepatic lipidosis in high-producing dairy cows (Reid, 1980) and is characterized by hypo-phagia, decreased milk production, increased BW and BCS loss, lethargy or hyper-excitability, hypo-glycaemia, hypo-insulinaemia, hype-rketonaemia, hyper-lipidaemia, and depleted hepatic glycogen (Veenhuizen et al., 1991; Drackley et al., 1992). Moreover, liver TG concentration is negatively correlated with plasma glucose and serum insulin concentrations and positively correlated with plasma NEFA and BHBA concentrations (Studer et al., 1993). Brockman (1979) summarized direct and indirect antiketogenic effects of insulin, which include decreasing liver NEFA uptake through stimulating lipogenesis and inhibiting lipolysis in adipose tissue; enhancing peripheral tissue ketone utilization; and altering enzyme activities and availability of substrates that are involved in ketogenesis in the liver. The impact of insulin on enhancing utilization of BHB and acetoacetate by extra hepatic tissues was demonstrated in normal and alloxan induced diabetic sheep (Jarret et al. 1976). Insulin decreases activity of carnitine palmitoyl transferase-I (CPT-I) and increases affinity of CPT-I for malonyl-CoA (Grantham and Zammit 1988). Moreover, insulin inhibitory effect on ketogenesis is also related to its stimulatory effect on activity of acetyl-CoA carboxylase and formation of malonyl-CoA that inhibits activity of CPT I (Zammit 1981, 1990, 1996).

3.4.1 Relationship between insulin resistance and immunosuppression:

Metabolic disorders (milk fever, displaced abomasum, ketosis, and fatty liver syndrome) and mammary gland (mastitis and mammary gland edema) and reproductive diseases (veterinary assisted dystocia, retained placenta, and metritis) seemingly occur as a complex during the periparturient period (Curtis et al., 1985; Correa et al., 1990; Erb and Grohn, 1998). Moreover, these health problems compromise lifetime milk yield and reproductive efficiency (Erb et al., 1985; Deluyker et al., 1991; Rajala-Schultz et al., 1999). Elevated plasma ketones (greater than > 20 mg BHB per deciliter) are a key element in the development of most disorders, other than the sole ketosis, because they compromise immune potency through suppressing mitogenic response of lymphocytes (Targowski et al., 1985; Franklin et al., 1991; Sato et al., 1994). There are also indications that reduced insulin sensitivity and low insulin result in lipolysis and elevated peripheral concentrations of NEFA during last weeks before calving, which, in turn, increase the risk of production diseases such as ketosis, retained fetal membranes (Dyk et al., 1995), abomasal dislocation (Holtenius et al., 2000; Doll et al., 2009), and hepato-lipidosis and have a detrimental effect on granulosa cell function (Vanholder et al., 2005). Furthermore, various studies provide indications that inflammatory processes contribute to lipolysis, ketogenesis and hepatic disorders in dairy cows (Bionaz et al. 2007; Bradford et al. 2009, Hiss et al. 2009). It is also known that inflammatory diseases such as metritis and mastitis and inflammatory mechanism associated with subacute ruminal acidosis (Plaizier et al. 2008), very frequent in the fresh cow, possibly affects insulin response (Bigner et al. 1996) and reduce considerably insulin sensitivity (Kushibiki et al. 2000, 2001, 2003). In dairy cows currently there is little evidence that, compared to monogastrics suffering from the metabolic syndrome, chronic inflammatory processes in adipose tissue may contribute by means of adipokines and cytokines to the development of reduced insulin sensitivity (Saremi et al. 2011). Glucocorticoid treatment (Sternbauer et al. 1998, Kusenda et al. 2012) as well as endogenous cortisol release caused by stress challenges or pain (Rizk et al. 2012) affect glucose and fat metabolism due to reduced insulin sensitivity and depression of insulin mediated suppression of lipolysis. Therefore, the cow in the transition stage may enter a vicious cycle supported by concomitant alterations of energetic metabolism, diseases and inflammatory states that progressively influence and favour each other.

3.5 Nutritional strategies for preventing and limiting NEB and insulin resistance:

Minimizing DMI depression or increasing nutrient density of the diet during the transition period would be an essential strategy to alleviate the severity of negative energy balance, maintain body reserves, increase nutrients available for rapid fetal growth, ease metabolic transition from pregnancy to lactation, and acclimate rumen microorganisms to lactation diets (Van Saun, 1991; Grummer, 1995; Nocek, 1995). Increasing dietary concentration of non-fibre carbohydrates during the last 21 days of gestation (NFC) (1.58–1.63 Mcal NEL/kg DM or 38–44% NFC) increases energy density of the diet by providing greater amounts of glucogenic precursors like propionate (Flipot *et al.*, 1988; Minor *et al.*, 1998; Dann *et al.*, 1999; Rabelo *et al.*, 2003) and maximizes DMI and energy intake by decreasing gut fill (Forbes, 1996). This feeding regimen stimulates the microbial flora (Forbes, 1996) and serum insulin secretion, which promotes papillae growth (Driksen *et al.*, 1985). Increased growth of papillae enlarges the surface area and VFA absorptive capacity of the rumen epithelium (Driksen *et al.*, 1985). In turn, this could prevent accumulation of VFA and normalize pH of the reticulo-ruminal fluid.

In addition, factors affecting DMI during the prefresh transition are linked to limiting fat mobilization. Nutritional factors (e.g., feeding more energy as fermentable carbohydrates) that alleviate severity of hepatic lipidosis also affect ketogenesis (Hayirli and Grummer, 2004). The effects of this feeding regimen on the development of ketosis are mediated through insulin potential antiketogenic effects (Schultz, 1971; Schalm and Schultz, 1976). Despite a minimal energy density of the diet should be guaranteed in the prepartum phase, attention must be paid to avoid an excessively high speed of propionate production in the rumen. Because feed intake of fresh cows is likely controlled primarily by hepatic oxidation (HOT theory; Allen, 2000; Allen et al., 2005; Allen and Bradford, 2006; Allen and Bradford, 2010), it is necessary to manipulate the rate of propionate production to extend meal length, supplying other glucose precursors that stimulate oxidation of acetyl CoA to a lesser extent, and providing alternate energy sources for tissues to spare glucose and elevate insulin concentrations, decrease fat mobilization and the period of time feed intake is suppressed by oxidation of NEFA in the liver.

Diets with moderately high forage fiber concentrations might benefit fresh cows (Voelker Linton and Allen, 2007). Forage fiber increases rumen fill, decreasing the risk of abomasal displacement, and increases acetate production, sparing glucose utilization by extrahepatic tissues. While research is needed to evaluate effects of concentration and fermentability of starch on feed intake response, starch sources with moderate ruminal fermentability and high digestibility in the small intestine such as dry ground corn, compared to cracked corn and high moisture corn, will likely provide more glucose precursors by increasing feed intake. Hepatic oxidation of ketogenic amino acids can contribute to satiety according to HOT and urea production from excess ammonia produces a carbon skeleton that can be oxidized. However, greater dietary protein concentration can also increase feed intake by reducing propionate

production. Increasing protein concentration could dilute diet starch concentration and decrease energy spilling by ruminal microbes, thus converting a greater fraction of fermented digesta into microbial cells and less into VFA. Formulating diets to maintain gut fill with ingredients that are retained in the rumen longer, and have moderate rates of fermentation and high ruminal digestibility will likely provide more energy over time when feed intake decreases at calving or from metabolic disorders or infectious disease. This will help maintain plasma glucose and prevent even more rapid mobilization of body reserves.

Feed intake of dry cows must be limited by feeding diets with high forage NDF concentration. Diets with high concentrations of grain, non-forage fiber, and finely chopped forages fed through the transition period should be avoided. Increased amounts of ruminal digesta also decrease risk of displaced abomasum and increase buffering capacity, decreasing risk of acidosis. Some long fiber particles are necessary to form a mat and increase digesta retention in the rumen, but the length of cut, digestion characteristics and maturity of forage type can vary greatly and influence feed intake. Grass silage or hay is likely more beneficial than wheat straw because the fiber is more digestible and it provides energy for a longer time when feed intake decreases at calving (Beauchemin et al., 1994).

Withal, mobilization of body fat during mid to late lactation must be prevented. It is advisable to feed a more filling, less fermentable diet as milk yield declines. As lactation progresses past mid lactation, faster propionate production by highly fermentable diet can depress feed intake. This will provide a more consistent supply of fuels, reducing insulin and partitioning more energy to milk rather than body condition.

3.5.1 Diet Supplementation in the transition period:

Supplementations of choline (Hartwell *et al.*, 2000; Piepenbrink and Overton, 2003), inositol (Gerloff *et al.*, 1986b) and methionine (Bertics and Grummer, 1999), which was intended to improve TG export by enhancing lipoprotein synthesis, failed to alleviate hepatic lipidosis in dairy cattle. Therefore, until factors impeding hepatic VLDL-TG export are identified, limiting fat mobilization from adipose tissue will play a key role in prevention of hepatic lipidosis. Studer and colleagues (1993) reported similar changes in transition dairy cows supplemented with propylene glycol. The antilipolytic effect of niacin supplementation during the periparturient period on alleviation of hepatic lipidosis is controversial. Minor and colleagues (1998) found a tendency for decreased liver TG, whereas Skaar and colleagues (1989) found no effect on hepatic lipidosis. Niacin likely needs to be supplemented at higher concentrations than currently recommended unless provided in a protected form. Chromium increases insulin sensitivity and supplemental chromium has been demonstrated to decrease plasma NEFA concentration and plasma glucose to serum insulin ratio in lactating dairy cows, no effect on hepatic lipidosis was reported in cows supplemented with chromium (Yang *et al.*, 1996; Besong

et al., 2001; Hayirli *et al.*, 2001; Pechova *et al.*, 2002), which was intended to increase insulin action on extrahepatic tissues to inhibit lipolysis. However chromium supplements are not allowed for use in lactating dairy cattle diets by the European Union and other international food inspection agencies. Supplemental fat should not be fed through the transition period because it can depress feed intake by increasing the supply of fatty acids to be oxidized. An exception might be the use of supplemental conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) to suppress fat production in the mammary gland, benefiting fresh cows by sparing glucose.

3.6 Tests for measuring insulin resistance:

Although several methods had been developed and validated to evaluate insulin sensitivity in human medicine, none of these methods can be universally used in all patients (Lais et al., 2003). Some of these methods include: fasting plasma insulin, homeostatic model assessment, quantitative insulin sensitivity check index, glucose-to-insulin ratio, continuous infusion of glucose with model assessment, indices based on oral glucose tolerance test, insulin tolerance test, and the so called "gold standard" methods, the hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp and the frequently sampled-intravenous glucose tolerance test. Each method is characterized by specific procedures, cut-off values for defining insulin resistance, advantages and limitations, and suitability for use either in clinical practice or in research settings according to its handiness, accuracy and validity. In humans tests on insulin resistance are generally performed after an overnight fast to ensure a comparable and stable metabolic state regarding pancreatic baseline insulin secretion, glucose disposal and gluconeogenesis (Muniyappa et al. 2008; Borai et al. 2011). However, in contrast to monogastrics, ruminants are not in a fasting metabolic state after an overnight fast and additionally restricting access to feed will quickly result in increased lipolysis, ketogenesis and fat accumulation in hepatic tissue in early lactating dairy cows (Oikawa and Oetzel 2006, Quiroz-Rocha et al. 2010). In ruminants little information is available about the necessity and optimal duration of fasting and interpretation on test results yet. The hyperinsulinemic euglycaemic glucose clamp (HEC) technique and intravenous glucose tolerance test (IVGTT) are the most commonly used tests in research in dairy cows to evaluate insulin resistance or glucose intolerance.

3.6.1 Hyperinsulinemic Euglycemic Glucose Clamp (HEC):

The glucose clamp technique was originally developed by DeFronzo et al. (1979) and is seen as the reference standard for directly determining metabolic insulin sensitivity. In humans, after an overnight fast, during the clamp insulin is continuously infused resulting in a new steady state insulin concentration (SSIC). To maintain plasma glucose, parallel glucose is infused at varying infusion rates depending on results of frequently measured plasma glucose concentrations. Assuming that hepatic gluconeogenesis is almost completely suppressed by insulin infusions, the steady state glucose infusion rate (SSGIR) reflects glucose disposal to peripheral tissues, in particular muscle and adipose tissue. The ratio between SSGIR and SSIC reflects glucose disposal per unit of insulin (insulin sensitivity index, ISI). The HEC procedure allows the effects of insulin to be measured without the ensuing hypoglycemia. The amount of insulin required to achieve the maximum response indicates insulin responsiveness, whereas the amount of insulin required to reach the half-maximal response indicates insulin sensitivity (Kahn, 1978). Glucose infusion rate is equal to metabolic rate of glucose utilization (Sano *et al.*, 1991, 1993). The major limitations of HEC are that it is time consuming, labourious, very expensive and requires an experienced operator to manage technical difficulties. Thus, use of HEC is restricted to experimental studies with limited number of animals.

3.6.2 Frequently Sampled Intravenous Glucose Tolerance Test (IVGTT):

The GTT is a more practical and cheaper test than the euglycaemic clamp technique for determining glucose intolerance. It is based on a bolus infusion of glucose and frequent blood sampling in short intervals to register glycemia, insulinemia and changes in blood NEFA concentrations. These paremeters give information on pancreatic insulin release, glucose disposal and insulin dependent suppression of blood NEFA concentrations (Grünberg et al., 2011). In the GTT, basal and peak concentrations, plasma disappearance rate, half-life, time to reach basal concentration and ratio of plasma glucose to serum insulin are parameters for evaluation of glucose tolerance (Hayirli et al., 2001). Response measures to glucose challenges often involve area under the curve (AUC) calculations to estimate response over time after the challenge. Smaller glucose AUC might suggest greater glucose clearance and thus less insulin resistance. However, information obtained from GTT is not as easily interpreted as that generated from euglycaemic clamps. For instance, during the GTT, it is not known whether increased plasma glucose disappearance rate is due to increased glucose utilization or decreased insulin production. In this case, the molar ratio of serum insulin to glucose or the ratio of their disappearance rates is a better indicator of insulin resistance than plasma glucose disappearance rate alone (Subiyatno et al., 1996). Another limitation of IVGTT is hyperglycemia which may result in renal glucose losses and increased insulin independent glucose disposal to the mammary gland in lactating cows so that insulin dependent glucose utilization of peripheral tissues may be overestimated. Schoenberg and Overton (2011) combined the IVGTT with a single injection of insulin in low dose to estimate insulin dependent glucose disposal and suppression of blood NEFA.

The GTT has been performed in various experiments in ruminants in the last years with particular attention to the few weeks prior to calving and immediately after. These studies have been used to show that insulin can alter plasma concentrations of several metabolites but, because of the low circulating concentration of insulin in early lactation, it may exert less control over metabolic processes in proximity of calving and under pathologic conditions. For example, artificial hyperlipidemia was used in dairy cattle to mimic the increases in NEFA during late pregnancy, and successfully illustrated the negative effects that rising NEFA have on insulin signaling and glucose clearance during a GTT in the transition period (Pires et al., 2007). Bossaert and colleagues (2008) infused dextrose intravenously in 23 dairy cows at -14, 14 and 42 d around calving to assess their glucose-induced insulin responses at different time points relative to calving and to relate this to the metabolic status and the time of first ovulation. Furthermore, IV glucose infusion has been used to evaluate the profile of metabolic hormones

change, such as GH, Ghrelin, insulin and epinephrine, after a glucose challenge in ten multiparous dairy cows 35 d in milk (Roche et al., 2008). GTT was used in association to Insulin Tolerance Test (ITT), to determine the glucose-induced insulin responsiveness and insulin sensitivity in cows showing different forms of periparturient ketone pattern with and without puerperal metritis, and their interrelation with different metabolic and hormonal parameters in dairy cows (Kerestes et al., 2009). 31 Holstein cows were involved in this experiment between 18 and 22 d before, and GTT was performed again on d 7 and 60-70 after calving. In a similar trial, the effects of periparturient propylene glycol supplementation on the same parameters and on the time of the first postpartum ovulation and pregnancy rates were analyzed through GTT and ITT (Kerestes Ph.D. dissertation, 2010).

Likewise, additional researches have been carried out by use of GTT with the purpose of testing diets, nutrients and various therapeutic or other compounds effects on glucose metabolism. For instances, GTTs were conducted by Hayirli and colleagues (2001) on d 10 prepartum and d 28 postpartum in 48 cows in order to study the effect of supplemental chromium as chromiummethionine (Cr-Met) on production and metabolic parameters from 28 d before expected calving date through 28 d of lactation. Glucose curves in response to GTTs have been compared to those of Xylitol Tolerance Tests in four non-lactating cows, where xylitol appeared to cause similar secretion of insulin to that caused by glucose and have similar disappearance rate (Mizutani et al., 2003). Xylitol is a sugar alcohol that is often used for treatment of ketosis in dairy cattle in Japan. Therefore the experiment proved that xylitol had value for treatment of ketosis. IV treatments with 50% dextrose solution decreased phosphorus concentration in serum, compared with the control treatment and suppress BHB and NEFA concentrations for < 12 hours in 24 clinically normal multiparous cows enrolled 5 to 10 d after parturition in the study (Wagner and Schimek, 2010). Recently, a study was completed in order to determine the effects of different dietary energy levels on responses to glucose and insulin challenges during the dry period in multiparous cows (Schoenberg et al., 2012). The insulinotropic effect of a high plane (HP) compared to a low plane (LP) of nutrition beginning 48 d before expected parturition was confirmed by the lower glucose AUC, than for those fed the LP diet, during GTTs (0.25 g of dextrose/kg of body weight (BW)) performed first on d 14 of treatment and then, following 24 h of feed removal, on d 17. In both nutrition planes, the AUC was higher during the GTT in the feed-deprived state than in the GTT during the fed state, suggesting slower clearance of glucose during negative energy balance either pre- or post-feed deprivation. Beyond this, after each GTT, cows were subjected to the HEC procedure, which attested prior GTT results. Even NEFA AUC in response to glucose and insulin challenges could be measured: in this way it is feasible to assess differential responses to treatments (such as dietary energy level or insulin-sensitizing agents) in glucose versus lipid metabolism (Shoenberg and Overton, 2010).

3.6.3 Surrogate insulin sensitivity indices: HOMA and QUICKI

In humans surrogate insulin sensitivity indices were developed to test patients with diabetes for insulin resistance on a simple and cheap basis. Again after an overnight fast, a single blood sample is taken for determination of blood glucose and plasma insulin. In healthy humans, the fasting condition represents a basal steady state where glucose is homeostatically maintained in the normal range such that insulin levels are not significantly changing and hepatic gluconeogenesis is constant. The different indices provide similar but not same results so that more than one index should be used when diabetic patients are tested (Muniyappa et al., 2008). Indices are calculated from plasma concentrations of glucose, insulin, NEFA, or glycerol using the following equations:

1) Homeostasis model assessment (HOMA; Matthews et al. (1985)): HOMA = Glucose (mmol/L) x Insulin (μ U/mL).

HOMA-IR uses a fasting sample and is derived from the use of the insulin glucose product, divided by a constant: HOMA-IR = {[Glucose (mmol/L) x Insulin (μ U/mL)]/22.5} x 0.5 (Radziuk, 2000; Singh and Saxena, 2010). The denominator of 22.5 is a normalizing factor and derives from the product of normal fasting blood glucose (4.5 mmol/L) and insulin (5 μ U/mL) in typical "healthy humans" (Muniyappa et al., 2008). For using this index in other subjects, basal levels of the respective animal have to be considered. The higher HOMA-IR, the higher is IR and the lower is insulin sensitivity. Its log-transformation (log (HOMA)) and the reciprocal score (1/HOMA) provide better correlations compared to HOMA in evaluation of IR in humans (Yokoyama et al., 2003; Muniyappa et al., 2008).

2) Quantitative insulin sensitivity check index (QUICKI; Katz et al. (2000)): QUICKI = $1/[\log (Glucose (mg/dL)) + \log (Insulin (\muU/mL))];$

3) Revised quantitative insulin sensitivity check index (RQUICKI; Perseghin et al. (2001)): RQUICKI = $1/[\log (Glucose (mg/dL)) + \log (Insulin (\muU/mL) + \log (NEFA (mmol/L))].$

4) Modified quantitative insulin sensitivity check index replacing NEFA by glycerol (QUICKIglycerol; Rabasa-Lhoret et al. (2003)):

QUICKI-glycerol = $1/[\log (Glucose (mg/dL)) + \log (Insulin (\muU/mL) + \log (Glycerol (\mumol/L))].$

5) Revised quantitative insulin sensitivity check index including BHB (Balogh et al., 2008): RQUICKI-BHB = 1/ [log (glucose (mg/dl)) + log (insulin (μ U/ml)) + log (NEFA (mmol/l)) +log (BHB (mmol/l))]. A low RQUICKI index value indicates decreased insulin sensitivity.

Recently Holtenius and Holtenius (2007) suggested usage of RQUICKI as an estimate for insulin sensitivity also for dairy cattle. However, in ruminants glucose metabolism is significantly different from that in monogastrics, blood samples cannot be taken in fasted metabolic states and the metabolic state in dairy cows in early lactation (hypo-insulinemia, hypoglycaemia) differs substantially from that in diabetic patients (hyper-insulinemia, hyper-glycemia) so that a thorough evaluation of accuracy and reliability of surrogate indices appears necessary before these tests are widely used. So far, RQUICKI index and GTT results were compared (Balogh et al. 2008; Kerestes et al. 2009) with controversial findings. Balogh et al. (2008) obtained significant correlations between some parameters derived by GTT and the RQUICKI and RQUICKI-BHB, whereas Kerestes et al. (2009) did not find any significant correlation between GTT variables and the RQUICKI. Nevertheless, such indices appear promising and are already used in research studies (Al-Trad et al., 2009; Bossaert et al., 2009; Stengärde et al., 2010, Schoenberg et al., 2011) since they are cheap and easy to perform and therefore applicable in large numbers of animals. Moreover, pre-sampling fasting procedure is not feasible in ruminants. Energetic metabolism during early lactation is essentially different between dairy cows and diabetic patients and therefore it substantially influence glucose utilization. For instance, hypoglycaemia and hypo-insulinemia are typical of fresh cows after calving, whereas hyperglycaemia and hyper-insulinemia are frequent consequences of diabetes. Thus, currently the numeric comparison of test results between studies is of limited value and establishment of general reference values for surrogate insulin sensitivity indices is difficult.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1 Herds

This trial involved 3 Holstein-Fresian dairy farms in the province of Padova (Veneto), selected for rearing genetically high producing cows and having similar dry cow and transition cow management. One herd (Herd A) was composed of about 270 lactating animals, the second herd (Herd B) was composed of 340 lactating animals and the third (Herd C) had 1.100 lactating cows. The survey was carried out during spring and summer seasons in 2011. Herd C was started first beginning in March and its sampling was ended up in late April; Herd A and B were investigated simultaneously beginning in early April and their sampling was ultimated in late July. All the three farms were equipped with cooling systems of various kinds for preventing heat stress in the summer. The speed of animals' enrollment in the trial in each herd was limited by dry cows availability in those months due to fertility efficiency seasonal variations. All farms used to offer a total mixed ration (TMR) ad libitum to both non-lactating and lactating cows and TMR was delivered to animals between 9.00 and 11.00 am and refreshed on a daily basis, after animals exit from milking parlour in the morning milking session. Cows were milked twice a day at 6.00 and 15.00 in the milking parlour. TMR was based on grass hay, alfalfa hay, soybean, concentrated feed and silage. Some hay was left in the bunk out of the TMR for non lactating cows. Besides, all three farms used to dry-off cows around 60 days before expected calving date and adopted a steaming-up phase in late dry period (close-up period) with higher energy and protein levels than far-off. The length of close-up period could be variable, ranging from 20 to 10 days, according to individual animals and herds, and space availability due to animals stocking density. Cows were chosen and moved to the close up pen by the herd manager on the basis of their appearance and days left to predicted calving date, given estimated gestation length of 275 days. Herd C tended to house cows in the close up pen for less days (about 10) according to their nutrition program. Also the energy density and composition of far-off and close-up diets could vary between farms but nutritional strategies were kept constant during the trial in each herd. Cows were introduced to a new lactation diet, with differences in nutrients composition between herds, immediately following parturition. Both dry-off and close-up cows were housed in permanent straw bedding pens in all farms, whereas immediately after calving they were moved to a new bedding pen for a few days for better health check. Fresh cows were monitored once daily by the herd private vet according to their routine protocols and treated for diseases. Cows that did not report apparent unhealthy state were moved to free stall facilities in larger groups within 10 days in milk. In all farms cows were never moved to a maternity unit for calving but calved in the close-up pen. Fresh cows
were neither routinely checked for fever nor ketosis in the blood by ketons strips in any herd. Fresh cows were checked for clinical signs of diseases, including retained placenta and puerperal metritis, and even animals' appetite, attitude and milk production were taken into account by veterinarians for treatment decisions.

| | Herd A | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------|----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Item | Dry cow | Close-up | Fresh cow | | | | |
| Ingredients (% of DM) | | | | | | | |
| Corn silage | 20,93 | - | 32,02 | | | | |
| Wheat straw | 13,44 | 11,61 | 2,06 | | | | |
| Нау | 53,52 | - | 10,68 | | | | |
| Soybean meal | 10,65 | - | 8,96 | | | | |
| Mineral mixture | 1,45 | 2,47 | 2,22 | | | | |
| Water | | - | | | | | |
| Alfalfa hay | - | 11,52 | 12,23 | | | | |
| Ground corn silage | - | - | 11,94 | | | | |
| Ground corn | - | - | 10,28 | | | | |
| Cotton seeds | | | 4,20 | | | | |
| Sunflower meal | - | - | 4,16 | | | | |
| Flax seeds | - | - | 1,26 | | | | |
| Fresh cow TMR | - | 74,40 | - | | | | |
| Chemical analysis, % of DM | | | | | | | |
| DM (% as fed) | 59,28 | 59,89 | 53,75 | | | | |
| NEL (Mcal/kg of DM) | 1,18 | 1,39 | 1,60 | | | | |
| NDF (%) | 54,87 | 40,51 | 34,18 | | | | |
| NFC (%) | 22,58 | 33,45 | 40,55 | | | | |
| Starch (%) | 7,23 | 19,60 | 26,29 | | | | |
| Crude Protein (%) | 11,63 | 14,07 | 15,20 | | | | |
| Ether extract (%) | 1,77 | 3,44 | 3,32 | | | | |
| Crude ash (%) | 9,06 | 9,51 | 6,87 | | | | |

Table 1: Composition and contents of the different rations in Herd A

| | | Herd B | |
|----------------------------------|---------|----------|-----------|
| Item | Dry cow | Close-up | Fresh cow |
| Ingredients (% of DM) | | | |
| Corn silage | 14,97 | 30,69 | 26,34 |
| Wheat straw | 14,89 | 7,17 | - |
| Нау | 54,14 | 26,06 | - |
| Soybean meal | 5,90 | 9,65 | - |
| Molasses | 3,24 | 1,56 | - |
| Mineral mixture | 1,60 | 4,42 | - |
| Water | | | |
| Ground corn silage | - | - | 7,48 |
| Ground corn | - | 13,76 | - |
| Sunflower meal | 5,27 | 6,70 | - |
| Grass silage | - | - | 5,22 |
| Dry hay and raw material mixture | - | - | 60,95 |
| Chemical analysis, % of DM | | | |
| DM (% as fed) | 62,63 | 51,54 | 55,42 |
| NEL (Mcal/kg of DM) | 1,22 | 1,45 | 1,59 |
| NDF (%) | 54,52 | 40,49 | 31,84 |
| NFC (%) | 23,74 | 34,35 | 40,92 |
| Starch (%) | 5,02 | 20,00 | 26,22 |
| Crude Protein (%) | 12,01 | 14,20 | 16,77 |
| Ether extract (%) | 1,89 | 2,63 | 3,25 |
| Crude ash (%) | 7,73 | 6,42 | 7,33 |

Table 2: Composition and contents of the different rations in Herd B

| | Herd C | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------|----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Item | Dry cow | Close-up | Fresh cow | | | | |
| Ingredients (% of DM) | | | | | | | |
| Corn silage | 35,67 | 34,56 | 44,80 | | | | |
| Wheat straw | 17,74 | 17,18 | - | | | | |
| Нау | 36,86 | 35,71 | 4,34 | | | | |
| Soybean meal | 8,78 | 8,50 | 8,27 | | | | |
| Mineral mixture | 0,96 | 2,30 | 2,25 | | | | |
| Water | | | - | | | | |
| Alfalfa hay | - | - | 14,49 | | | | |
| Ground corn | - | - | 14,62 | | | | |
| Ryegrass silage | - | - | 4,90 | | | | |
| Flaked soybean | - | - | 6,32 | | | | |
| Flax seeds | - | 1,75 | - | | | | |
| Chemical analysis, % of DM | | | | | | | |
| DM (% as fed) | 55,76 | 54,46 | 54,94 | | | | |
| NEL (Mcal/kg of DM) | 1,22 | 1,26 | 1,53 | | | | |
| NDF (%) | 53,06 | 51,82 | 33,39 | | | | |
| NFC (%) | 27,89 | 28,33 | 42,12 | | | | |
| Starch (%) | 13,74 | 13,39 | 27,92 | | | | |
| Crude Protein (%) | 10,71 | 11,04 | 14,07 | | | | |
| Ether extract (%) | 2,06 | 2,62 | 3,55 | | | | |
| Crude ash (%) | 6,23 | 6,12 | 6,88 | | | | |

Table 3: Composition and contents of the different rations in Herd C

4.2 Animals

Forty-six cows entering second lactation (parity 2) and fifty-five multiparous (parity 3 to 10) cows producing mean \pm SEM: 10.917 \pm 179 kg of fat corrected milk in the previous 305-day lactation were randomly selected for the study among animals in the close-up pens of the three herds. Cows were within 14 days before expected calving date, considering normal gestation length of 275 days and whole physical appearance of calving proximity at the time of selection. Cows that were going to calve later than 14 days from the enrollment date were tested again in order to obtain all samples from pregnant cows in the range of 14 pre-partum days. These cows had a high genetic merit for milk yield. Their milk production in the previous lactation (305EVM) for herd A, 10.306 \pm 323 for herd B and 11.082 \pm 288 for herd C. The final number of cows that entered in the trial was 101 and they were evenly partitioned between each farm: 32 animals were in herd A, 35 animals in herd B and 34 animals in herd C. They were apparently in a general organic healthy status and were not submitted to any treatment for diseases at least in the previous month at the moment of selection.

4.3 The Intravenous Glucose Tolerance Test (IVGTT)

Glucose tolerance test was performed between days 14-1 before delivery in late gestation (Week -1), and after calving, on days 3-9 (Week +1). A single blood sample was collected again on days 10-16 of lactation (Week +2) to evaluate further incidence of ketosis in the transition period and monitor the evolution of insulin and other metabolites, which might be very fluctuant in the first two weeks of lactation. The GTT was not performed at 10-16 days in milk. First the animals were selected and restrained by headlocks of the close-up pens up to 30 minutes before beginning of tests and remained captured during the whole individual test. GTT were accomplished serially and thereby some selected cows had to remain locked for a longer time. When a lot of tests were scheduled in a certain day, we managed to postpone the capture of some animals. Thus, we let the animals never be restrained longer than one hour before GTT. Most tests were performed between 8 and 12 am, sometimes after TMR delivery was already completed, and it was not possible to completely control or restrict feed access to animals due to obvious requirements of productive cows in a field trial. Some feed was always available in mangers, both prior and after daily TMR distribution, even during restraint. Simultaneously, an initial blood collection (T0) through a 2.5 ml syringe and 21-gauge x 1 1/2" needle (0.80 x 40 mm) for basal glucose determination by glucose meter, and a couple of 9 mlevacuated tubes containing lithium heparin as anticoagulant (Vacuette®, Greiner Bio-One, Kremsmuenster, Austria) were sampled from one jugular vein from each cow. These samples were immediately followed by a glucose infusion in the same jugular vein over an average time of 5 min. A 14-gauge x 1 1/2" needle (2.0 x 40 mm) was inserted in the vein and connected to an urinay defluxor (Haemotronic® S.p.A., Mirandola MO, Italia) for rapid infusion of 300 ml of 50% glucose solution, approximating a glucose dose of 0.20 to 0.25 g/kg of BW (Glucosio 50 g/100 mL; solution for infusion, glucose monohydrate, AltaSelect Srl, San Giovanni Lupatoto -Verona, Italy). The body weight was estimated based on the average size and condition score of the cows in the herd and varied between 600 and 700 kg; great variability in body weights was reduced by excluding heifers from the research. The proper amount of glucose solution was prepared before IV administration start. Proper needle insertion in the vein was continuously checked during glucose challenge. Whether cows were nervous and therefore IV administration was not correctly accomplished, the blood measurements were excluded from the statistical analysis. Stress was avoided as much as possible; cows were rigorously blocked against the headlocks only during IV infusion. They generally appeared relaxed and were let move their head and rest in standing position while waiting for subsequent samples till 80 minutes. Moreover, haematological samples were taken before any other manipulations, like daily health check, took place to avoid stress because it can modify some hormones and metabolic parameters. Also, milking cows were submitted to any pharmacological therapies after the completion of the last sample at 80 min since GTT to avoid distorted findings. Therefore, there was the chance that a tested cow was treated for diseases last time the previous day, about 24 h before GTT, or during the morning milking session in case of intra-mammary treatment for mastitis. All animals treatments, included hour and date and pharmacological compound administered, were registered in our field sheets and weighed up before inclusion of that specific GTT in the trial. However, certain interference from herd treatments must be taken into consideration and will be discussed in the appropriate chapter. Zero time was set immediately after the end of glucose infusion. Additional blood samples, both in Lithium-Eparine tubes and syringes for glucometer determination, were obtained on 10 (T10) and 80 min (T80) relative to the glucose infusion, on the contro-lateral vein of jugular vein punctured at TO.



Blood tubes were stored on ice at less than 4°C in field until centrifuged (10 min at 3.500 x g, Labofuge machine) within 1 h of sampling, after the end of field operations, at the Laboratory of the Department of Veterinary Clinical Science of the University of Padova. The plasma fraction was transferred to a sterile polystyrene tube with a 3-mL plastic pipette. Two aliquots of plasma were obtained from each vacutainer and they were stored at -20° C until analysis. After centrifugation, highly and moderately clotted and haemolytic samples were excluded from subsequent analysis.

4.4 In field: data collection and analysis

Data collection

Data about individual anamnesis were recorded for each cow. We had a work sheet predisposed to record the following data: parity, exact dates of sampling relative to calving, glucose readings by glucose meter and relative times at sampling on T0, T10 and T80, exact time of the end of IV infusion, health records and dates and description of treatments submitted to cows. Milk fever, retained placenta, metritis, mastitis, left abomasal displacement (LDA) and other specified unhealthy status were checked on the sheet. The health status of the cows was estimated subjectively by observation of individual milk yield, attitude and appetite, conversation with the herd manager and vet, and regular clinical inspections. Other details like dystocia, twins, partum induction were also recorded. Induced calving were less than 5%. Body condition score (according to Edmonson procedure (1989) based on 5 points scale) was evaluated by the same subject during animal selection in order to estimate the average herd body weight of dry cows for administration of adequate volume of IV solution. Milk production at 1st APA test and days in milk (DIM) at 1st test, mature cow milk equivalent on 305-d (EVM305), calculated as a prevision from 1st milk test in the current lactation, EVM305 in the previous lactation, and somatic cells count (SCC) at 1st APA test were later obtained for each cow from the APA district of Padova. BHB was also measured twice by a glucose meter post partum in order to immediately provide the herd managers with field BHB values that could help them in making health evaluation and treatments decision about every single cows.

| Below I | attach | the | templa | ate s | heet | for | field | l record | ls of | eac | h singl | e cow: | |
|---------|--------|-----|--------|-------|------|-----|-------|----------|-------|-----|---------|--------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| FARM | |
|--------------|--|
| COW ID TAG | |
| COW ID CHAIN | |
| Lactation N° | |

1. (Week -1) 14 – 1 DAYS PREPARTUM: DATE_____

BCS

| | T0 | time iv | T10 | T80 | T80/T0 | NOTES |
|----------------|----|---------|-----|-----|--------|-------|
| GLUCOSE | | | | | | |
| Time of sample | | | | | | |

CALVING DATE: _____

2. (Week +1) 3 - 9 DAYS POSTPARTUM: DATE_____

BHBA at Time 0

| | T0 | time iv | T10 | T80 | T80/T0 | NOTES |
|----------------|----|---------|-----|-----|--------|-------|
| GLUCOSE | | | | | | |
| Time of sample | | | | | | |

3. (Week +2) 10 – 16 DAYS POSTPARTUM: DATE _____

| GLUCOSE | |
|---------|--|
| BHBA | |

HEALTH RECORDS

| | YES | NO | NOTES | date |
|--------------|-----|----|-------|------|
| RIT PLACENTA | | | | |
| MILK FEVER | | | | |
| METRITIS | | | | |
| LDA | | | | |
| MASTITIS | | | | |
| OTHER | | | | |

<u>Glucose determination in field:</u>

After each sample glucose was straight measured in field. We decided to do so in order to evaluate the IVGTT as a field test that could be fully realized under field conditions on farms, with no need for further laboratory responses. Cow-side glucose measurements were conducted on whole blood using a hand-held blood glucose meter (Optium Xceed, ART19558-004 Rev.A; Abbott Diabetes Care Ltd, Witney, Oxon, UK). Optium Xceed is an instrument commonly utilized in human patients for diabetics care. As far as we know, there are no glucose meters specifically provided and validated for ruminants. However, Optium Xeed is the most diffused meter present in our herds and in other countries because it is extremely accurate and user friendly for BHB determination. In fact many herds use to monitor fresh cows for ketosis by reading BHB through glucose meter. Optium Xeed is also the most used glocometer in recent research in ruminants regarding glucose tolerance loads and heuglicaemic clamp techniques (Oetzel, 2010; Schoenberg et al., 2012). For these reasons it was one of the few glucose meters considered for comparison with laboratory analysis (McGuirck and Oetzel, 2008; Voyvoda and Erdogan, 2010). We used Optium H strips for glucose reading. These strips have been validated both for capillary and venous and arterial blood from human subjects. Glucose results were displayed in mg/dl in 5 seconds by our instrument and its assay range is 20 – 500 mg/dl. When a glucose concentration lower than 20 mg/dl was detected, we reported a glucose value of 20 mg/dl in our field sheets; similarly, when glucose concentration was indicated as higher than 500 mg/dl by the glucometer, a value of 500 mg/dl was considered as glucose present in the tested sample. The test principle is based on a reaction between glucose and chemicals on the test strip, producing a small electrical current proportional to the amount of glucose present in the blood. The optimal temperature for glucometer functioning is in the range of 15°-40°C and humidity between 10% - 90%. Regarding precision of our instrument, a study in humane medicine reported on the Optium H strips user's instructions shows that results tipically vary by no more than 3.0% to 3.6% with high (mean \pm SEM 344 \pm 10.2 mg/dl) and low (mean \pm SEM 51 \pm 1.8 mg/dl) glucose concentration respectively. Accuracy of Optium Xceed was measured by comparing its results obtained at different medical centers with those obtained using the YSI Glucose Analyser: the correlation coefficient in venous blood was 0.99 for glucose range of 70 – 540 mg/dl.

4.5 Laboratory analysis

One of the series of plasma aliquots have been transfered and stored at -20° at the Laboratory of Medical Clinic (Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale delle Venezie, IZSVE) for analysis of glucose, basal NEFA and BHB (T0), insulin at T10 and insulin at T80, by use of automated equipment and commercial kits based on enzymatic, colorimetric or immunological reactions. All samples have been tested for glucose with a Cobas c 501 analyzer (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) and Gluc3, glucose HK test (kit no. 04404483190VB, Roche Diagnostics) by hexokinase method. The intra-assay coefficient of variation (CV) was < 1% and the interassay CV was < 1.3%. Standardized reference material was tested each day of assay for each parameter as a control serum to confirm calibration of the methods. On Cobas C 501 were performed also NEFA and β -OHB analysis: basal NEFA concentrations were determined by using a colorimetric method, NEFA RX Monza test (kit no. FA 115, Randox, Crumlin, UK) with intraassay CV < 4.81% and total-assay CV < 4.51%. Basal samples were analyzed also for BHB concentration by RANBUT RX Monza test (kit no. RB 1007, Randox, Crumlin, UK), a UV kinetic enzymatic method based on the oxidation of D-3-hydroxybutyrate to acetoacetate by the enzyme 3-Hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase with intra-assay CV < 3,78% and inter-assay CV < 5,25%. Insulin concentrations at T10 and T80 were measured by using a Immulite One analyzer (Siemens, distributed by Medical Systems S.p.A., Genova, Italy) and the commercial INS kit (Siemens Healthcare, Diagnostic Products Ltd, Gwynedd, UK), which is a solid-phase, enzymelabeled chemiluminescent immunometric assay with intra-assay CV < 6.4% and total-assay CV < 8%. The minimum detectable level with acceptable precision has been determined as 14.7 pmol/I. The laboratory of IZSVE provided intra- and inter-assay test results that confirmed the CV values stated by the different commercial methods for all the tests used. A value equal to 14.0 pmol/l of insulin was considered for data analysis in cases of very low insulin concentrations not detectable by the immunometric assay.

At the same time a series of basal plasma aliquots (T0) was analyzed at the Department of Veterinary Clinical Science of the University of Padova for determination of Albumin, Globulin, Total Proteins, Urea, GOT-AST, GPT-ALT, Calcium, Chlorine, Phosphorus, Magnesium, Potassium and Sodium by an automated Biochemistry analyzer BT1500 (Biotecnica Instruments S.p.A., Roma, Italy). Plasma concentrations of these parameters were determined by use of Gesan reagents (Gesan Production s.r.l., Campobello di Mazara, TP, Italy): Albumin LR for albumin (REF C1200620 518 Test, colorimetric bromocresol green BCG method, intra-assay and inter-assay CV < 4.15%); Total Protein LR for total proteins (REF E4506100, biuret methods, intra-assay and inter-assay CV < 3.92%); Urea UV LR for urea (REF E4800550, Kinetic UV method Urease-GLDH, intra-assay and inter-assay CV < 3.70%); AST GOT LR for GOT-AST (REF 3700650, Kinetic UV optimized method IFCC, International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory

Medicine, intra-assay and inter-assay CV < 3.66%); ALT GPT LR for GPT-ALT (REF 3800650, Kinetic UV optimized method IFCC, International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine, intra-assay and inter-assay CV < 3.71%); Calcium CPC LR for Calcium (REF 1801030, Colorimetric ocresolphtalein complexone method CPC, intra-assay and inter-assay CV < 2.11%); Chloride LR for Chlorine (REF E2100650, Colorimetric method mercurious thiocyanate, intra-assay and inter-assay CV < 2.60%); Phosphorus LR for Phosphorus (REF C3300620, Colorimetric blue of molybdate method, intra-assay and inter-assay CV < 2.71%); Magnesium LR for Magnesium (REF C4400620 518 Test, Colorimetric method Xylidyl Blue, intra-assay and inter-assay CV < 2.75%); Sodium for Sodium (REF 4950180, Kinetic enzymatic method, intra-assay and inter-assay CV < 4.72%). Globulins were determined by difference between Total Proteins and Albumin concentrations.

Insulin determination at TO

A series of basal samples (T0) were shipped to the Animal Endocrinology Laboratory, Dipartimento di Scienze della Vita e Biotecnologie, University of Ferrara, for quantification of insulin by a radio-immunometric-assay in order to be able to calculate the RQUICKI indexes for each animal from basal blood collections. Free-insulin concentration in each sample was quantified with a commercial ¹²⁵I-IRMA kit developed for human samples (BI-Ins IRMA kit; CIS Bio International Ltd.) and previously validated for bovine plasma samples (Kerestes et al., 2009; 2010). The minimum detectable concentration of free insulin with an acceptable level of precision was determined as 1 μ UI/ml (7 pmol/I). The higher sensitivity of this method compared to a enzyme-labeled chemiluminescent immunometric assay was useful for a better determination of very low insulin levels typical of cows approaching calving as was expected in T0 samples. The result was reported as 7 pmol/I for statistical analysis whether a non detectable insulin level was found by the RIA determination. Samples were assayed in single aliquotes. The intra-assay CV was between 3.36 and 4.73%, the inter-assay CV was 4.06%. Quality controls were within the 95% confidence limits of the known concentrations.

4.6 Data Management and Statistical Analysis

The goal of this trial was to investigate the responses of animals to FGTT into different herds and verify the effect of cows' ability to respond to the glucose challenge on their energy balance and health status. Moreover, we tried the applicability of the test under field conditions, by comparison with indicators of insulin resistance and glucose tolerance commonly used in human medicine.

FGTT parameters and animals classification:

We decided to evaluate T80/T0 glucose ratios above 1.2 as threshold for considering cows that had difficulties in returning to basal glucose levels, not responding to glucose load. This threshold was already studied in a preliminary trial in late gestation cows by Gianesella and colleagues (unpublished data, 2009), and it appeared to be a valid cut-point to distinguish animals with altered haematological parameters of glucose and lipid metabolism before calving. Therefore the animals were divided in two groups according to their T80/T0 ratios measured by glucose meter pre-partum: cows with a T80/T0 lower or equal to 1.2 were included in class 0 (FGTT Week-1 class 0), whereas cows with a T80/T0 higher than 1.2 were classified as class 1 (FGTT Week-1 class 1).

Further classifications of animals were used in order to estimate the prevalence of certain illnesses after calving in every farm: each cow which experienced any retained placenta, milk fever, abomasal displacement, metritis, lameness or culling before 90 DIM was considered diseased (Disease class 1), otherwise cows were considered healthy (Disease class 0); either cows for which the first milk test highlighted an average SCC higher than 200.000 or cows affected by clinical mastitis in the first 30 DIM were classified as diseased (Mastitis class 1), compared to normal cows (Mastitis class 0). A percent of the animals treated with any pharmacological and anti-ketosic treatment within 10 DIM among cows enrolled in each farm was also calculated.

Insulin Resistance indexes calculation:

Model estimations of insulin resistance were calculated from samples collected at basal times (T0) in all weeks (Week -1, Week +1, Week +2) as follows:

1) revised quantitative insulin sensitivity check index (RQUICKI), as already used by other authors in the bovine species (Holtenius and Holtenius, 2007; Kerestes et al., 2009; Bossaert et al., 2009; Balogh et al., 2009; Kusenda, 2010) according to this formula: RQUICKI = 1/[log(G T0) + log(I T0) + log(NEFA T0)], where G T0 = basal laboratory glucose (mg/dL), I T0 = basal insulin (μ U/mL), and NEFA T0 = basal NEFA (mmol/L).

2) modified homeostasis model assessment (HOMA-IR), as recently done in dairy cows by other researchers (Gellrich, 2012) according to this formula: HOMA-IR = (G T0 x I T0)/22.5, where G T0 = basal laboratory glucose (mmol/L), and I T0 = basal insulin (μ U/mL).

Data analysis:

The data were compared with reference values from local laboratories and checked for outliers in excel database; outliers were excluded and all variables were screened for normality by calculation of Shapiro Wilk normality test. If necessary, the variables were transformed with the natural logarithm to achieve a normal distribution. Gross means were reported in the following chapter for specific weeks of sampling and expressed as means ± standard errors of the mean (SEM).

A preliminary evaluation was accomplished by checking the Pearson correlations between prepartum field T80/T0 ratios and plasmatic NEFA and BHB concentrations both before and after calving. A FREQ procedure in SAS (version 9.2, SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC) was used to better evidence the relative risk of having illnesses and increased plasma NEFA and BHB for cows in FGTT Week-1 class 1. Subclinical ketosis was defined as a serum BHBA concentration of \geq 1.4 mmol/I (Duffield, 2000). In our trial, cows that resulted in BHB higher than 1.4 mmol/I in Week +1 or +2 were grouped in BHB class 1, whereas the others were in BHB class 0. Levels of approximately 0.3 mEq/I of pre-partum NEFA and 0.7 mEq/I post-partum have recently been identified as critical limit for predicting diseases risk after calving (Ospina et al., 2010). We used 0.5 mEq/L of NEFA Week -1 and 1.0 mEq/I of NEFA Week +1 as thresholds for classification of animals: cows exceeding these values were included in NEFA class 1, otherwise they were in NEFA class 0.

An introductory analysis was performed in order to study the hematochemical parameters and the IR indexes before calving. For this purpose cows were divided in two pre-partum groups on the basis of their enrollment time relative to parturition: cows enrolled on 14 - 7 days before calving were distinguished from cows enrolled later than 7 days pre-partum. A multi-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied using PROC GLM in SAS to primarily verify any herd or week sample effects: qualitative variables included herd, pre-partum week, disease and mastitis class, EVM and parity. Cows that had a EVM305 at first milk test < 9.500 kg were classified as low productive, a EVM305 of 9.500 – 11.123 kg were classified as fair, and a EVM305 > 11.123 kg were high productive animals. Cows were also grouped in two classes according to their lactation number by dividing secondiparous animals from older cows. Multiple comparisons by Bonferroni method were applied to determine if differences were statistically significant (P < 0,05). Particularly interesting results are reported as least square means (Ismean) \pm pooled standard errors (PSE).

Secondly, all glucose and insulin measurements and IR indexes in Week -1 were evaluated by a multi-way ANOVA to especially test the effect of the FGTT class in Week -1, as defined above,

on the hematochemical results pre-partum. The model included herd, EVM, FGTT Week -1, mastitis, parity and disease class. EVM and parity classes were built following the same definitions as previously described. A similar statistic was repeated for testing glucose and insulin measurements at T10 and T80 in Week +1. Pre-partum and post-partum hematochemical results were considered as definitely separate findings. Because basal plasma metabolites, insulin and IR indexes were measured twice after parturition, for these baseline parameters a repeated-measures approach using ANOVA was used in SAS (PROC MIXED REPEATED) including animal effect. Qualitative variables consisted of herd, EVM, FGTT Week -1, mastitis, parity, disease and postpartum week classes. The covariance structure was a compound symmetry for repeated measurements on cows in postpartum weeks with 2 levels of classification (Week +1 and Week +2). Bonferroni adjustments for estimation of significative differences between means (P < 0,05) were applied. The most interesting and significative effects due to FGTT and herd classes have been pointed out as Ismean ± PSE.

Afterwards having found an effect of FGTT on IR indexes, we applied the calculation of R.O.C. curves (Receiver Operating Characteristic) to evaluate the validity of FGTT performed in Week - 1 in predicting the occurrence of insulin resistance phenomenon in the first couple of weeks of lactation. The analysis was carried out by use of MedCalc Software, version 12.3.0 (Broekstraat 52, 9030 Mariakerke, Belgium). According to the R.O.C. theory, the classification of animals on the basis of a cut-off equal to 1.2 for T80/T0 ratios was compared to RQUICKI and HOMA determined in post-partum weeks. The Area Under the R.O.C. Curves (AUC), the Z test and the Youden Index were calculated both for RQUICKI and HOMA. Furthermore, best cut-off values of RQUICKI and HOMA for discerning animals belonging to FGTT class 0 or 1 (Week -1) were calculated and respective Sensitivity and Specificity for each index are reported. Final accuracy of the IR indexes compared to FGTT was estimated by interpreting their AUC and referring to the scheme quoted by Bottarelli and Parodi (2003) and initially proposed by Swets (1998) about quality of diagnostic tests.

5. Results

5.1 Field data

First data available in this trial were obtained by field analysis of glucose by glucose meter at all sample times (T0 – T10 – T80), followed by individual cow calculation of respective pre-partum T80/T0 ratio and classification as "lower than 1.2" (class 0 FGTT) or "higher than 1.2" (class 1 FGTT) in glucose tolerance test class. Hereafter, the glucose measured by glucometer will be reported as Field glucose and by laboratory as Lab glucose; the T80/T0 ratio derived by glucometer readings will be reported as Field glucose T80/T0 = FGTT and the ratio derived by laboratory analysis as Lab glucose T80/T0 = LGTT. Table 4 and Fig. 1 show the distribution of cows enrolled within each farm and their main features, included the frequencies of FGTT classes in the three different herds.

Table 4: distribution of total N° of cows tested in the herds, distribution of cows enrolled less than 7 days pre-partum and 2nd parity cows in the herds, average DIM, milk production and projection of EVM at 305 d at first milk test in each herd. Pooled standard errors (PSE) are reported for means.

| Herd | А | В | С | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------|
| Animals | | | | Totals |
| N° lactating cows | 270 | 340 | 1100 | |
| N° tested animals | 32 | 35 | 34 | 101 |
| Frequency (%) | 31 | 34 | 33 | 33 |
| N° tested ≤ 7 d pre-partum | 13 | 25 | 20 | 58 |
| Frequency (%) | 41 | 71 | 59 | 57 |
| N° animals parity 2 | 14 | 16 | 16 | 46 |
| Frequency (%) | 44 | 46 | 47 | 46 |
| First milk test | mean | mean | mean | PSE |
| DIM at 1st test | 30,8ª | 23,5 ^b | 20,5 ^b | 2,9 |
| Milk production at 1st test (kg) | 41,2 ^a | 40 ^a | 32,8 ^b | 1,8 |
| EVM305 1st test (kg) | 10761 ^a | 10740 ^a | 9480 ^b | 272 |

a, b: means with different superscripts on the same line differ (P < 0.05).

Second lactation cows were evenly distributed between farms: herd A had 44% of parity 2 cows, herd B had 46% of parity 2 cows and herd C had 47% of parity 2 cows. 71% of cows in herd B were enrolled in the trial at less than 7 d pre-partum, 59% of cows in herd C and 41% in herd A but a significant effect of pre-partum week sub-class was not present on glucose and insulin concentrations (see more details following Table 7). Cows in herd A have higher DIM at their first milk test because many of them calved in July and were tested in early September since August test is normally skipped. However, a mature equivalent with proper adjustments for DIM and calving season was determined for better comparison of herds and cows' productions.

Various series of pie chart will be used to display frequencies into different herds: herd A will be colored in green, herd B will be colored in blue and herd C in red.

The percent of cows in class 1 for FGTT in Week -1 was similar within herd A (53%), and herd B (54%); it was slightly higher in herd C (59%). The average T80/T0 glucose ratio pre-partum in herd A was 1,174 \pm 0,035; 1,246 \pm 0,046 in herd B and 1,291 \pm 0,045 in herd C but a significant difference was not found between herds.

As regard disease incidence (Fig. 2), herd A and C seemed to have comparable percentages of illnesses and mastitis cases (disease = 56% for herd A and 62% for herd C; mastitis = 50% for herd A and 41% for herd C). Cows in herd B were declared as clinically diseased in fewer cases: 37% of cows had diseases and 34% had mastitis. Nevertheless, the herd with the higher percentage of cows treated with pharmacological and anti-ketotic therapies within 10 DIM among tested animals, was herd C (47% versus 19% of herd A and 15% of herd B; Fig. 3).

Fig. 1: distribution of cows within FGTT Week -1 class 0 (cows with pre-partum Field T80/T0 \leq 1.2) and class 1 (cows with pre-partum Field T80/T0 > 1.2) in each herd: N° of animals and respective frequency (%) within the farm are indicated for each class.



Fig. 2: distribution of cows within Disease class (charts A, C, E) and Mastitis class (charts B, D, F) in each herd: N° of animals and respective frequency (%) in class 0 and 1 within the farm are indicated for each class.



Fig. 3: N° of animals treated with any pharmacological and anti-ketosic treatment within 10 DIM and respective frequency (%) for each farm.



5.2 Hematochemical results:

Hematochemical parameters determined by laboratories have been reported as gross means within specific weeks of sampling relative to calving (Week -1 = 14-1 d pre-partum, Week +1 = 3-9 d post-partum, Week +2 = 10-16 d post-partum; Table 5). Field glucose average values have been included in the same table for completeness as well.

General outcomes were in line with expected hematochemical results from normal transition cows. Having several post-partum diseased animals in our trial and being interested in studying also those ones, a certain deviation of gross means due to pathological situations is acceptable and unavoidable. Reported reference ranges are taken from healthy animals and with 68% confidence interval, therefore they may apparently look quite restrictive for our sample but the presence of unhealthy cattle in our sturdy must be weighed. Glycaemia and insulinaemia tended to decrease after calving, with particular low glucose levels in Week +1, concurrently with the most frequent cases of hyper-ketonemia and other diseases. In reverse, NEFA and BHB concentrations peaked in Week +1, as already found by other authors (Bossaert et al., 2009). Calcium concentration was quite stable despite a slight decrease in Week +1 and +2 due to physiological hypocalcemia following parturition and onset of lactation in dairy cattle. This maintenance of calcemia trough the first days of lactation was confirmed by a very low number of milk fever cows registered in our survey (less than 3%) and could have been supported by preventive therapies with calcium boli and intravenous administration immediately after calving in weak cows, as actually routinely done by all farms. RQUICKI and HOMA indexes were rather constant in the first two weeks of lactation; pre-partum RQUICKI average values were similar to those determined after calving (0,57 - 0,60) whereas HOMA was slightly higher in the pre-partum weeks (0,53) compared to post-partum (0,41-0,43). Further, the GOT-AST is easily skewed since this parameter tends to become very high in cows suffering from any aspecific diseases. Additional reasons for variations and interpretation of this parameter will be better discussed in the next chapter.

| | | | week - | 1 | | w | veek +1 | | w | veek +2 | |
|-------------------|--------|--|---------------------------------------|--------|-------|--|---------|-------|---|---------|-------|
| Parameter | Unit | reference values 23-7d prep. (§) | reference values < 7d prep. (§) | mean | SEM | reference values < 8d postp. (§) | mean | SEM | reference values 8-25d postp. (§) | mean | SEM |
| Field Glucose T0 | mg/dl | - | - | 48,36 | 0,85 | - | 43,21 | 1,37 | - | 44,70 | 1,05 |
| Field Glucose T10 | mg/dl | - | - | 137,53 | 5,99 | - | 131,68 | 5,09 | - | - | - |
| Field Glucose T80 | mg/dl | - | - | 72,40 | 7,59 | - | 54,51 | 3,14 | - | - | - |
| Field T80/T0 | - | - | - | 1,24 | 0,03 | - | 1,24 | 0,04 | - | - | - |
| Lab Glucose T0 | mg/dl | 55 - 66 | 52 - 67 | 62,68 | 6,30 | 53 - 73 | 51,38 | 1,61 | 53 - 69 | 69,23 | 19,02 |
| Lab Glucose T10 | mg/dl | - | - | 162,74 | 15,06 | - | 159,87 | 19,84 | - | - | - |
| Lab Glucose T80 | mg/dl | - | - | 86,97 | 13,17 | - | 62,00 | 3,53 | - | - | - |
| Lab T80/T0 | - | - | - | 1,19 | 0,03 | - | 1,20 | 0,04 | - | - | - |
| Insulin TO | pmol/l | - | - | 23,50 | 1,42 | - | 20,01 | 3,76 | - | 19,13 | 1,82 |
| Insulin T10 | pmol/l | - | - | 351,28 | 32,60 | - | 245,60 | 24,43 | - | - | - |
| Insulin T80 | pmol/l | - | - | 38,76 | 6,27 | - | 28,14 | 5,61 | - | - | - |
| BHB | mmol/l | 0,25 - 0,42 | 0,33 - 0,51 | 0,53 | 0,04 | 0,35 - 0,62 | 1,21 | 0,11 | 0,32 - 0,60 | 1,04 | 0,10 |
| NEFA | meq/l | 0,08 - 0,36 | 0,00 - 0,54 | 0,42 | 0,03 | 0,58 - 1,00 | 0,80 | 0,04 | 0,35 - 0,88 | 0,66 | 0,03 |
| Albumin | g/l | 36 - 41 | 34 - 41 | 34,65 | 0,34 | 34,0 - 42,7 | 33,44 | 0,37 | 34 - 43 | 32,58 | 0,37 |
| Globulin | g/l | 34 - 43 | 27 - 39 | 43,07 | 0,69 | 34 - 43 | 46,21 | 0,70 | 38 - 48 | 48,52 | 0,67 |
| Total Proteins | g/l | 72 - 82 | 64 - 76 | 77,73 | 0,74 | 71 - 83 | 79,66 | 0,82 | 75 - 90 | 81,11 | 0,74 |
| GOT - AST | u/l | 45 - 72 | 50 - 69 | 80,79 | 2,36 | 68 - 100 | 147,62 | 7,42 | 64 - 90 | 136,48 | 7,23 |
| GPT - ALT | u/l | 11 - 23 | 12 - 22 | 11,42 | 0,69 | 13 - 23 | 12,05 | 0,48 | 15 - 25 | 12,34 | 0,63 |
| Urea | mg/dl | 15,7 - 25,6 | 17,7 - 27,0 | 30,74 | 0,95 | 19,2 - 32,4 | 30,52 | 1,56 | 19,4 - 32,2 | 28,23 | 1,36 |
| Calcium | mg/dl | 8,92 - 9,60 | 8,64 - 9,64 | 9,84 | 0,08 | 7,96 - 9,36 | 9,63 | 0,09 | 8,6 - 9,52 | 9,65 | 0,08 |
| Chlorine | mmol/l | 103 - 108 | 105 - 110 | 105,19 | 0,34 | 100 - 107 | 101,84 | 0,31 | 99 - 104 | 100,70 | 0,31 |
| Phosphorus | mg/dl | 5,76 - 7,50 | 4,90 - 6,90 | 6,64 | 0,15 | 4,19 - 6,12 | 6,07 | 0,15 | 4,59 - 6,67 | 5,90 | 0,16 |
| Magnesium | mg/dl | 1,99 - 2,50 | 1,94 - 2,43 | 2,46 | 0,05 | 0,79 - 1,06 | 2,21 | 0,04 | 2,18 - 2,77 | 2,41 | 0,05 |
| Potassium | mmol/l | 3,93 - 4,58 | 3,86 - 4,71 | 3,73 | 0,05 | 3,70 - 4,44 | 3,43 | 0,06 | 3,66 - 4,34 | 3,29 | 0,06 |
| Sodium | mmol/l | 140 - 145 | 143 - 148 | 142,92 | 0,30 | 137 - 145 | 141,87 | 0,32 | 136 - 142 | 140,72 | 0,41 |
| RQUICKI | - | - | - | 0,60 | 0,01 | - | 0,58 | 0,01 | - | 0,57 | 0,01 |
| HOMA | - | - | - | 0,53 | 0,07 | - | 0,41 | 0,09 | - | 0,43 | 0,07 |

Table 5: Average values of Field and Lab Glucose at different time samples, T80/T0 glucose ratios (Field and Lab T80/T0), Insulin, hematochemical parameters, RQUICKI and HOMA with relative standard errors of the mean (SEM) at different sampling intervals.

§) Reference values for multiparous healthy cows from Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale della Lombardia ed Emilia Romagna "Bruno Ubertini" (IZSLER), within 68% confidence intervals and specific periods (23-7 days pre-partum; < 7 days pre-partum; < 8 days post-partum; 8-25 days post-partum).

Fig. 4: distribution of cows in NEFA class in Week-1 (charts A, C, E) and NEFA class in Week +1 (charts B, D, F) in each herd: N° of animals and respective frequency (%) in class 0 and 1 within the farm are indicated for each class.



Fig. 5: distribution of cows in BHB class in Week+1 and/or +2 in each herd: N° of animals and respective frequency (%) in class 0 and 1 within the farm are indicated for each class.





Fig. 6: Correlation between Field Glucose T80/T0 ratios (FGTT) and NEFA in Week-1.

A simple correlation between the FGTT ratios and NEFA was determined. A significant relationship was observed in Week -1 as shown in Fig. 6 (R = 0,4; P < 0,0001). Neither a significant correlation between the FGTT pre-partum and the FGTT post-partum was found, nor between the FGTT in Week -1 and NEFA or ketonemia in Week +1/+2. The FGTT in Week +1 was further compared to NEFA and BHB in the same post-partum week with no interesting results. If considering laboratories glucose measurements, the correlation coefficient R between LGTT and NEFA in Week -1 was 0,28 (P < 0,0001). General correlation coefficient R between LGTT and FGTT was 0,5 independently of week sample (P < 0,0001).

The Relative Risk (RR) of cows in FGTT class 1 pre-partum to be classified as NEFA class 1 cows pre-partum (class 1 = NEFA > 0,5 meq/l) was 2,18 (95% Cl = 1,00 – 4,75; P < 0,05). Other risks of developing hyper-ketonemia, diseases and mastitis after calving for cows with high pre-partum NEFA were verified but no significance was observed. Only cows with NEFA higher than 1 meq/l in Week +1 had a significant risk of having high BHB in the same week sample (RR = 6,9; 95% Cl = 2,70 – 17,35; P < 0,001).

Table 6: Relative Risk (RR) of cows in NEFA or FGTT class 1 pre-partum ("Risk cases") to be classified as Disease, Mastitis, BHB or NEFA class 1 cows post-partum ("Diseased cases"). All tested cases with respective significance levels (P) and confidence intervals (CI) are reported. In addition, the RR of cows in NEFA class 1 in Week+1 to be in BHB class 1 after calving was verified. The RR of cows in FGTT class 1 in Week-1 to be in NEFA class 1 before calving was also considered. NS = Not Significant (P < 0.05).

| Risk cases | Diseased cases | Р | RR | CI |
|-------------|-------------------|---------|------|--------------|
| NEFA week-1 | Disease | NS | 1,35 | 0,93 - 1,97 |
| NEFA week-1 | Mastitis | NS | 1,22 | 0,74 - 1,99 |
| NEFA week-1 | BHB week+1 and +2 | NS | 1,77 | 0,98 - 3,18 |
| NEFA week+1 | BHB week+1 | < 0,001 | 6,9 | 2,70 - 17,35 |
| FGTT week-1 | Disease | NS | 1,32 | 0,88 - 1,96 |
| FGTT week-1 | BHB week+1 and +2 | NS | 0,73 | 0,41 - 1,30 |
| FGTT week-1 | BHB week+1 | NS | 0,64 | 0,28 - 1,45 |
| FGTT week-1 | NEFA week-1 | 0,037 | 2,18 | 1,00 - 4,75 |
| FGTT week-1 | NEFA week+1 | NS | 1,02 | 0,54 - 1,89 |

A preliminary analysis of Week -1 hematochemical parameters revealed a similar pattern for insulin and NEFA in herds B and C, with average higher NEFA and lower insulin compared to herd A, as shown in Fig.1. Lower Urea, GOT-AST and GPT-ALT were also found both in herd B and C compared to herd A (P<0,001). Albumin and Total proteins were lower in herd C compared to herds A and B (P<0,001) (Table 7 and Fig. 7, 8, 9).

Only means for herd class have been shown in the table because, as initially mentioned, other significant effects of pre-partum week, parity, evm and diseases classes were not evident. Nevertheless, glycaemia at T80 in Week -1 was higher in cows enrolled less than 7 d pre-partum (least squared means are 59,45 ± 14,14 in cows enrolled 14-7 d pre-partum and 90,04 ± 12,47 in cows enrolled \leq 7 d pre-partum; P = 0,1065). Otherwise, glycaemia at T0 in the two pre-partum weeks sub-classes was rather similar (least square means are 48,33 ± 1,49 at 14-7 d pre-partum and 49,06 ± 1,32 \leq 7 d pre-partum, P = 0,7161). Also, cows enrolled \leq 7 d pre-partum, P = 0,2635) and T10 (307,68 ± 50,02 compared to 453,62 ± 56,72 at 14-7 d pre-partum, P = 0,0557).

Significantly higher plasma NEFA appeared in the same cows (least squared means are 0,49 \pm 0,04 compared to 0,34 \pm 0,05 at 14-7 d pre-partum, P = 0,0289).

Table 7: Least squared means (Ismean) and pooled standard errors (PSE) for basal pre-partum Field Glucose, Insulin and hematochemical parameters in different Herds, and statistical significance observed. Only significantly different Ismeans have been reported (P < 0,05).

| Parameters | Unit | FValue | Р | | PSE | | |
|---------------------|------------|--------|----------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------|
| | | | | А | В | С | |
| Field Glucose T0 | mg/dl | 3,61 | 0,032 | 50,10 | 44,98 ^b | 51,02ª | 1,73 |
| Insulin T0 | pmol/l | 5,47 | 0,006 | 30,87 ^e | 17,69 ^f | 19,68 ^f | 2,56 |
| NEFA | meq/l | 7,35 | 0,001 | 0,25 ^f | 0,55 ^e | 0,47 ^e | 0,06 |
| Albumin | g/l | 14,82 | < 0,0001 | 34,76 ^e | 36,66 ^e | 32,31 ^f | 0,56 |
| Total proteins | g/l | 6,48 | 0,003 | 80,14 ^c | 80,25 ^c | 73,68 ^d | 1,45 |
| GOT-AST | u/l | 8,91 | 0,0003 | 89,48 ^e | 71,81 ^f | 70,18 ^f | 3,42 |
| GPT-ALT | u/l | 11,62 | < 0,0001 | 16,34 ^e | 9,56 ^f | 9,69 ^f | 1,14 |
| Urea | mg/dl | 17,27 | < 0,0001 | 38,68 ^e | 27,66 ^f | 26,54 ^f | 1,61 |
| Chlorine | mmol/ I | 12,69 | < 0,0001 | 105,85 [°] | 107,15 ^e | 102,95 ^f | 0,60 |
| Sodium | mmol/ | 12,53 | < 0,0001 | 144,29 ^e | 143,33 ^e | 140,48 ^f | 0,54 |

ab, means with different superscripts on the same line differ with P < 0.05; cd P < 0.01; ef P < 0.001.



Fig. 7: Least squared means for basal Field Glucose, Insulin and NEFA in Week-1 in Herd class. Means with different letters between herds differ as indicated by superscripts in table 7.

Fig. 7: Least squared means for basal Albumin, Urea and Total Proteins in Week-1 in Herd class. Means with different letters between herds differ as indicated by superscripts in table 7.





Fig. 8: Least squared means for basal GOT-AST and GPT-ALT in Week-1 in Herd class. Means with different letters between herds differ as indicated by superscripts in table 7.

Table 8: Statistical significances observed for pre-partum Field and Lab Glucose determinations and Insulin at different time samples, for RQUICKI and HOMA, and for post-partum Field and Lab Glucose and Insulin at T10 and T80, within Herd, EVM, FGTT Week-1, Mastitis, Parity and Disease Classes. NS = Not Significant (P < 0,05).

| | Parameter | Herd Class | | EVM Class | | FGTT Week-1 Class | | Mastitis Class | | Parity Class | | Disease Class | |
|-------|-------------------|------------|-------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------------|----|--------------|----|---------------|----|
| | | FValue | Р | FValue | Р | FValue | Р | FValue | Р | FValue | Р | FValue | Р |
| | Field Glucose TO | 3,082 | NS | 0,066 | NS | 5,719 | 0,019 | 0,766 | NS | 0,384 | NS | 0,444 | NS |
| | Field Glucose T10 | 1,419 | NS | 0,216 | NS | 1,018 | NS | 1,296 | NS | 3,300 | NS | 0,585 | NS |
| | Field Glucose T80 | 4,987 | 0,009 | 0,115 | NS | 31,468 | < 0,0001 | 1,572 | NS | 3,378 | NS | 0,669 | NS |
| | Lab Glucose TO | 0,188 | NS | 0,311 | NS | 0,228 | NS | 0,586 | NS | 1,480 | NS | 0,025 | NS |
| - | Lab Glucose T10 | 0,591 | NS | 1,007 | NS | 0,302 | NS | 1,068 | NS | 0,706 | NS | 0,007 | NS |
| eek | Lab Glucose T80 | 0,0067 | NS | 0,328 | NS | 1,723 | NS | 0,841 | NS | 0,437 | NS | 0,915 | NS |
| ≥ | Insulin TO | 7,105 | 0,001 | 2,915 | NS | 0,101 | NS | 0,017 | NS | 0,191 | NS | 0,012 | NS |
| | Insulin T10 | 0,89 | NS | 0,327 | NS | 9,007 | 0,004 | 0,000 | NS | 0,011 | NS | 0,000 | NS |
| | Insulin T80 | 0,255 | NS | 1,262 | NS | 0,003 | NS | 0,840 | NS | 0,663 | NS | 0,002 | NS |
| | RQUICKI | 2,743 | NS | 0,848 | NS | 1,113 | NS | 0,041 | NS | 1,961 | NS | 0,249 | NS |
| | HOMA | 5,82 | 0,004 | 1,595 | NS | 0,059 | NS | 0,082 | NS | 0,151 | NS | 0,313 | NS |
| | Field Glucose T10 | 1,507 | NS | 0,848 | NS | 0,034 | NS | 3,125 | NS | 0,424 | NS | 0,024 | NS |
| | Field Glucose T80 | 0,009 | NS | 0,228 | NS | 0,001 | NS | 0,049 | NS | 0,072 | NS | 0,819 | NS |
| ++ | Lab Glucose T10 | 0,368 | NS | 0,855 | NS | 0,011 | NS | 0,978 | NS | 0,416 | NS | 0,777 | NS |
| V e e | Lab Glucose T80 | 0,816 | NS | 0,428 | NS | 0,07 | NS | 0,007 | NS | 0,003 | NS | 0,298 | NS |
| | Insulin T10 | 0,173 | NS | 2,905 | NS | 0,028 | NS | 0,66 | NS | 2,326 | NS | 0,269 | NS |
| | Insulin T80 | 1,702 | NS | 4,266 | 0,018 | 0,839 | NS | 0,029 | NS | 0,981 | NS | 0,001 | NS |

Table 9: Statistical significances observed and cow effect (%) over post-calving repeated T0 Field and Lab Glucose determinations, Insulin, hematochemical parameters, RQUICKI and HOMA within Herd, EVM, FGTT Week-1, Mastitis, Parity, Disease and Postpartum week Classes. NS = Not Significant (P < 0,05).

| Parameter | Herd Class | | EVM Class | | FGTT Week-1 Class | | Mastitis Class | | Parity Class | | Disease Class | | Postpartum week Class | | Cow effect |
|------------------|------------|---------|-----------|--------|-------------------|-------|----------------|----|--------------|-------|---------------|-------|--------------------------|--------|---------------|
| | FValue | Р | FValue | Р | FValue | Р | FValue | Р | FValue | Р | FValue | Р | FValue | Р | (%) |
| Field Glucose TO | 3,237 | 0,045 | 3,933 | 0,024 | 1,333 | NS | 0,049 | NS | 0,334 | NS | 0,000 | NS | 0,143 | NS | 22 |
| Lab Glucose TO | 5,829 | 0,004 | 4,926 | 0,010 | 3,289 | NS | 0,018 | NS | 4,538 | NS | 0,020 | NS | 0,446 | NS | 17 |
| Insulin TO | 0,860 | NS | 1,382 | NS | 2,933 | NS | 2,054 | NS | 0,425 | NS | 0,836 | NS | 0,003 | NS | 3 |
| BHB | 1,765 | NS | 0,600 | NS | 0,873 | NS | 0,323 | NS | 4,747 | 0,032 | 1,216 | NS | 5,986 | 0,017 | 44 |
| NEFA | 2,259 | NS | 0,737 | NS | 0,497 | NS | 0,017 | NS | 8,178 | 0,005 | 0,000 | NS | 12,295 | 0,0007 | 37 |
| Albumin | 25,324 | <0,0001 | 2,105 | NS | 5,368 | 0,023 | 0,153 | NS | 0,497 | NS | 2,086 | NS | 8,101 | 0,006 | 22 |
| Globulin | 1,261 | NS | 10,007 | 0,0001 | 0,217 | NS | 2,221 | NS | 2,099 | NS | 1,449 | NS | 13,904 | 0,0003 | 44 |
| Total Proteins | 9,357 | 0,0002 | 5,531 | 0,006 | 0,330 | NS | 1,517 | NS | 2,796 | NS | 0,251 | NS | 1,896 | NS | 14 |
| GOT - AST | 0,114 | NS | 1,214 | NS | 0,003 | NS | 0,000 | NS | 0,036 | NS | 1,066 | NS | 1,406 | NS | 49 |
| GPT - ALT | 4,478 | 0,015 | 1,177 | NS | 0,485 | NS | 3,208 | NS | 0,789 | NS | 1,354 | NS | 0,433 | NS | 42 |
| Urea | 0,443 | NS | 0,995 | NS | 0,276 | NS | 2,677 | NS | 1,228 | NS | 1,225 | NS | 4,668 | 0,034 | 57 |
| Calcium | 8,641 | 0,0004 | 1,804 | NS | 2,372 | NS | 0,084 | NS | 0,342 | NS | 0,496 | NS | 0,018 | NS | 13 |
| Chlorine | 9,423 | 0,0002 | 0,144 | NS | 1,419 | NS | 1,059 | NS | 0,045 | NS | 6,367 | 0,014 | 6,181 | 0,015 | <0.01 |
| Phosphorus | 14,213 | <0,0001 | 1,458 | NS | 0,024 | NS | 1,910 | NS | 2,489 | NS | 1,527 | NS | 2,394 | NS | 31 |
| Magnesium | 6,593 | 0,002 | 0,458 | NS | 0,591 | NS | 0,427 | NS | 0,304 | NS | 0,682 | NS | 8,945 | 0,004 | 35 |
| Potassium | 1,620 | NS | 0,762 | NS | 0,364 | NS | 0,027 | NS | 0,000 | NS | 1,532 | NS | 4,615 | 0,035 | 36 |
| Sodium | 2,229 | NS | 1,653 | NS | 0,401 | NS | 2,346 | NS | 0,528 | NS | 1,979 | NS | 5,195 | 0,025 | 22 |
| RQUICKI | 6,116 | 0,003 | 1,318 | NS | 6,280 | 0,014 | 0,363 | NS | 0,969 | NS | 0,006 | NS | 1,620 | NS | 20 |
| НОМА | 1,421 | NS | 2,358 | NS | 6,465 | 0,013 | 2,557 | NS | 0,134 | NS | 1,669 | NS | 0,038 | NS | <0.01 |

A summary of the statistics performed by inclusion of the FGTT class was proposed in table 8 and 9 above. The most physiologically interesting and significant effects due to FGTT and herd classes have been pointed out in table 10. Differences in T80/T0 ratios between class 0 and 1 of FGTT Week-1 were given by both lower T0 and higher T80 glucose concentrations (Fig. 9). In the pre-partum weeks a deficiency in FGTT response was accompanied by lowered levels of plasma insulin at T10 (class 0 FGTT = 350,72, class 1 FGTT = 180,73), whereas the same difference was not noted at T10 in Week +1 (class 0 FGTT = 261,07 ± 38,57, class 1 FGTT = 270,27 ± 36,37; P > 0,05) (Fig. 12). Cows with pre-partum T80/T0 ratios > 1.2 interestingly had higher RQUICKI and lower HOMA indexes for the first couple of weeks after calving as displayed in Fig. 15. Herds effects over FGTT parameters and IR indexes were confirmed both pre and post-partum. Herd B and C could be qualified as more "insulin resistant" if compared to herd A on the basis of their post-partum RQUICKI indexes (Fig. 13). In particular, herd C also showed higher glucose concentrations at T0 in Week +1 against the other two farms. On the contrary the same herds B and C exhibited a higher insulin sensitivity pre-partum according to their HOMA indexes (Fig 11), which were lower than herd A, and were both characterized by lower basal insulin levels. A comment about this point will be given in the discussion.

Table 10: Least squared means (Ismean) and pooled standard errors (PSE) of Field Glucose, Insulin, Albumin, HOMA and RQUICKI for most physiologically interesting pre and postpartum effects due to FGTT Week-1 class and Herd class, and statistical significance observed. Only significantly different Ismeans have been reported; NS = Not Significant (P < 0,05). FGTT class 0 = cows with field T80/T0 \leq 1.2; FGTT class 1 = cows with field T80/T0 > 1.2.

| Parameter | | Unit | FGTT We | ek-1 Class | | PSF | | |
|-----------|-------------------|--------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|
| | | Unit | 0 | 1 | А | В | С | |
| | Field Glucose T0 | mg/dl | 51,32 ^c | 46,70 ^d | NS | NS | NS | 1,32 |
| Week -1 | Field Glucose T80 | mg/dl | 52,30 ^f | 64,78 ^e | 57,05 | 54,75 ^d | 63,24 ^c | 1,73 |
| | Insulin TO | pmol/l | NS | NS | 31,76 ^e | 21,16 ^f | 19,14 ^f | 2,45 |
| | Insulin T10 | pmol/l | 350,72 ^c | 180,73 ^d | NS | NS | NS | 40,53 |
| | НОМА | - | NS | NS | 0,53 ^c | 0,31 ^d | 0,30 ^d | 0,04 |
| +2 | Field Glucose T0 | mg/dl | NS | NS | 42,40 ^b | 43,60 ^b | 48,40 ^ª | 1,77 |
| eek +1/+ | Albumin | g/l | 32,20 ^b | 33,40 ^ª | 33,90 ^e | 34,40 ^e | 30,20 ^f | 0,43 |
| | RQUICKI | - | 0,59 ^ª | 0,55 ^b | 0,61 ^c | 0,54 ^d | 0,55 ^d | 0,02 |
| Μ | НОМА | - | 0,29 ^d | 0,62 ^c | NS | NS | NS | 0,10 |

ab, means with different superscripts on the same line, within FGTT or Herd class, differ with P < 0,05; cd P < 0,01; ef P < 0,001.

Fig. 9: Least squared means for Field Glucose at T0 and T80 in Week-1 within FGTT Week-1 class. Means with different letters between class 0 and class 1 differ as indicated by superscripts in table 10.



Fig. 10: Least squared means for Insulin at T0 in Week-1 within Herd class. Different letters between herds indicate a significant difference as indicated by superscripts in table 10.





Fig. 11: Least squared means for HOMA in Week-1 within Herd class. Different letters between herds indicate a significant difference as indicated by superscripts in table 10.

Fig. 12: Least squared means for Insulin at T10 in Week-1and Week+1 within FGTT Week-1 class. Means with different letters between class 0 and class 1 differ as indicated by superscripts in table 10.



Fig. 13: Least squared means for post-partum RQUICKI and Field Glucose at T0 in different herds. Means with different letters between herds differ as indicated by superscripts in table 10.



Fig. 14: Least squared means for post-partum Albumin concentration at T0 in different herds. Means with different letters between herds differ as indicated by superscripts in table 10.



Fig. 15: Least squared means for post-partum RQUICKI and HOMA within FGTT Week-1 class. Means with different letters between class 0 and class 1 differ as indicated by superscripts in table 10.



In order to assess the accuracy of our FGTT and the applicability of RQUICKI and HOMA as marker of insulin sensitivity in dairy cattle, we applied the calculation of R.O.C. curves by comparing tests between each others and using FGTT classes (0 and 1), performed in Week -1, as classification variable. We interpreted the values of area under the curves (AUC) following Bottarelli's schema: both RQUICKI and HOMA produced an AUC of about 0,6 which would classifie the tests as poorly accurate. The value of Z is similar and higher than a critic value of 1.96 for both indexes, which means that these indexes are significantly different between class 0 and 1 of FGTT. The Z of RQUICKI is slightly higher than HOMA so it is possible to state that its performance is superior in discriminating cows above or below the T80/T0 ratio of 1.2 (with P < 0,05). The associated criterion gives the cut-off values of tests for identifing "insulin resistant" cows: if RQUICKI is $\leq 0,558$, than the respective T80/T0 is > 1.2 (P < 0,01); again if HOMA is > 0,246, than the T80/T0 is > 1.2 (P < 0,05).

Table 11. Area Under the R.O.C. Curves (AUC), Z test, and cut-off values (Association criterion) for determination of best Sensitivity and Specificity as diagnostic test of RQUICKI and HOMA used post calving (Week +1 and +2) as indexes of IR and compared to FGTT performed in Week-1 as classification variable. All statistics are followed by respective levels of significance (Standard Errors, SE; 95% Confidence Interval, 95% CI; P values, P).

| Tested variables | RQUICKI Week+1/+2 | HOMA Week +1/+2 | | |
|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| AUC | 0,619 | 0,602 | | |
| SE | 0,0413 | 0,0415 | | |
| 95% CI | 0,545 - 0,689 | 0,528 - 0,673 | | |
| Z statistic | 2,873 | 2,459 | | |
| Р | 0,0041 | 0,0139 | | |
| Associated criterion | ≤ 0,558 | > 0,246 | | |
| 95% CI | 0,476 - 0,582 | 0,124 - 0,326 | | |
| Sensitivity (%) | 63,00 | 55,00 | | |
| 95% CI | 52,8 - 72,4 | 44,7 - 65,0 | | |
| Specificity (%) | 65,12 | 67,44 | | |
| 95% CI | 54,1 - 75,1 | 56,5 - 77,2 | | |

Graphically looking at the curves, a test A is considered better than a test B when its curve is completely above the curve of the second one. Sensitivity and Specificity of tests compared to a reference variable are graphically showed by the curve trend. In this case, post-partum RQUICKI and HOMA curves are almost identical: sensitivity is 63% and 55% for RQUICKI and HOMA, respectively; specificity is inverted and slightly higher for HOMA (67%) than for RQUICKI (65%).

Fig. 16: R.O.C. curve of RQUICKI calculated during Week +1 and +2 and tested as an indicator of insulin resistance in dairy cows in association with FGTT performed in Week -1.



Fig. 17: R.O.C. curve of HOMA calculated during Week +1 and +2 and tested as an indicator of insulin resistance in dairy cows in association with FGTT performed in Week -1.


For concluding results, cows with lower milk production had higher basal glycaemia (EVM class $1 = 50,04 \pm 2,32$; class $2 = 43,07 \pm 1,39$; class $3 = 41,30 \pm 2,06$) as presented in Fig. 18. Moreover, older animals suffered from post-calving more severe negative energy balance (NEFA in parity 2 class = 0,64 ± 0,05; NEFA in parity 3 class = 0,82 ± 0,04; P < 0,01) and ketosis (BHB in parity 2 class = 0,75 ± 0,08; BHB in parity 3 class = 0,99 ± 0,08; P < 0,05) (Fig. 19).

Fig. 18: Least squared means for post-partum Field Glucose concentrations at T0 within EVM class. EVM class 1: EVM305 < 9.500 kg; class 2: 9.500 kg \leq EVM305 \leq 11.123 kg; class 3: EVM305 > 11.123 kg. Means with different letters between classes differ (P = 0,01 - 0,05).



Fig. 19: Least squared means for post-partum BHB and NEFA concentrations within Parity class. Parity class 2: cows at their second lactation; parity class 3: cows \ge 3 lactations. Means with different letters between class 2 and 3 differ (ab, P < 0,05; cd, P = 0,001 – 0,01).



6. Discussion

6.1 The FGTT

The central aim of this study was to assess the insulin response to a glucose load at different time points relative to calving in cows with different glucose clearance capacity and health status. Patterns of plasma glucose and insulin responses to GTT in this trial were comparable to those obtained elsewhere: our decision to measure glucose at T80 was derived by the expectation that it should be returned to basal levels within 80 minutes from the end of infusion under physiological conditions, in line with other authors findings during GTT carried out in the transition cow (Roche et al., 2008; Terao et al., 2010; Grunberg et al., 2011). Samples were also collected 10 minutes later with particular interest for insulin determination because T10 could likely be the moment of insulin serum peak concentration as it was found in prepartum GTT (Hayirli, 2001) and postpartum GTT (Balogh et al., 2009) characterized by similar glucose dosage administration (0.15 g/kg of BW). We verified these assumptions by performing our GTT as described above in a small number of cows and measuring both glucose and insulin concentrations at close intervals over time (every 10 minutes over 2 hours), before the beginning of our survey. In our results, a fair concordance (R = 0,5) was found between glucose ratios measured by Optium Exceed and laboratory methods, as previously affirmed by other authors: both Oetzel and McGuirk (2008) reported a correlation with R2 = 0,56, and Voyvoda and Erdogan (2010) showed a correlation coefficient (r) of 0,63 between the same hand-held device and laboratory analysis from basal samples of clinically healthy Holstein cows. A notable reduction in insulin release was pointed out at T10 within Week -1 in cows with pre-partum T80/T0 ratios > 1.2: this outcome suggests that glucose uptake by peripheral tissues in late gestation cows could be compromised not only by peripheral insulin resistance but also by an excessive reduction in insulin secretion and pancreatic synthesis in specific subjects. The degree of insulin production and insulin action are known to be affected by various hormones, as GH, cortisol, progesterone and thyroxine (Hayirli, 2006; Stern et al., 1971) and free fatty acids (Bassett & Gluckman 1987). All these hormones and metabolites normally undergo important modifications in late pregnancy. Plasma NEFA concentration increases because fat is mobilized in response to decreased plasma insulin concentration, which starts declining beginning several weeks pre-partum. Insulin and NEFA exert a reciprocal negative effect even if the exact molecular mechanisms are not extensively explained yet. In humans, prolonged periods of elevated NEFA concentrations, such as obesity, increase the risk of type II diabetes mellitus. This seems to be due to exhaustion of the Langerhans islets after prolonged episodes of insulin resistance and hyper-insulinaemia (Golay and Ybarra, 2005). Apart from this, a direct deleterious effect of fatty acids on the pancreatic β -cell insulin secretion and viability has been demonstrated by in vitro studies in man (Zhou and Grill, 1995) and rat (Maedler et al., 2001). Because dairy cows are also exposed to high-NEFA concentrations throughout periods of NEB, it could be hypothesized that they also suffer from an impaired insulin secretion.

Higher NEFA were seen in cows enrolled in our trial at less than 7 d before calving. Indeed, free fatty acids usually increase remarkably approaching calving and they reach peak concentration within the first week of lactation (Bossaert et al., 2008). Simultaneously, these animals had lower insulin detectable before glucose infusion and 10 minutes later and their glycaemia at T80 was slightly higher as opposed to cows involved in the trial between 14 and 7 d pre-partum. A possible interference of NEFA and peri-parturient endocrinal changes with insulin secretion and glucose clearance can be assumed. Herd B and C were characterized by an elevated number of animals selected in the week period closest to delivery and were also suffering from higher NEFA and lower insulin concentrations. Other basal biochemical parameters as urea, albumin, total proteins, GOT-AST and GPT-ALT in Week -1 in these herds suggest a risk of lower dry matter intake (DMI) on the whole. In general DMI is gradually decreasing in the few weeks before parturition. Therefore, the exact time of performance of glucose challenge in terms of days relative to calving must be considered before any in-depth analysis of other factors responsible for IR problems on farms. Otherwise, the observation of a strong herd effect over glucose, insulin, energetic metabolites and IR indexes is indicative of a potential responsibility of nutritional and managerial elements in causing metabolic disorders and compromised glucose tolerance. Looking at diets composition, herd C can be theoretically differentiated by the other two herds in particular for its reduced NEL, starch and crude protein percents in close-up stage. Indeed, these elements would predispose to IR syndrome in transition cows according to many researchers. Besides this, DMI could play a crucial role: social stressors as bunk space availability and overcrowding could further affect DMI despite similar diets composition. However, we did not measure DMI and the extension of close-up periods for single cows: investigating IR causes was not our purpose. To elucidate the causal factors of this phenomenon, further experimental studies are required with particular attention to energy and protein density of close-up diets and length of close-up stage. Furthermore, hepatic and body fat content and their effects on liver processes and fat storage seem to be highly variable among cows. This indicates that individual cow factors beyond environment and feeding management affect energetic metabolism and consequently performance of high-yielding dairy cows (McNamara, 2000; Ingvartsen, 2006; Hammon et al., 2009) and should be better investigated. In addition, usually plasma glucose concentration drops precipitously at calving and partially recovers over the course of the next several weeks. Low plasma glucose likely limits milk yield because glucose is required by the mammary gland for the production of milk lactose, the primary determinant of milk volume. Unexpectedly, we observed higher glucose levels in cows with limited milk yield at first milk test as already seen by Balogh et al. (2008): a rapid insulin-independent uptake of glucose by mammary gland following intravenous infusion could be supposed in higher producers, with concurrent reduced glycaemia. The herds, B and C, with the higher glycaemia in Week +1 and +2, had also lower insulineamia and productivity. Thus, the level of glycaemia could also be a direct consequence of actual impairment of insulin release. Reduced feed consumption may be related to insulin availability and contribute to limited milk yield in herds with lowered insulinemia and apparently elevated glucose concentrations.

In humane medicine, a form of Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) exists as a "slight and temporary glucose intolerance that occurs or is recognized during pregnancy for the first time and usually ceases after calving" (American Diabetes Association, Diabetes Care, Volume 27, Supplement 1, 2004). The GDM is the result of an altered adjustment of metabolism to insulin resistance produced by hormonal changes during gestation (Catalano et al., 1991). Pregnant women with no GDM reply to a reduced peripheral tissue sensitivity to insulin by increasing insulin release. In the subjects who cannot raise insulin secretion, hyper-glycaemia appears and stimulates the fetal pancreas with consequent increase of fetus growth and other metabolic disturbances (Phillipps and Jeffries, 2006). In the post-partum the decline of peripheral insulin resistance reduces the insulin demand and therefore its secretion, so that β -cells activity is sufficient regardless of persistency of a certain degree of deficit in insulin production. Anyway, controversial findings have been reported on the levels of insulin secretion in GDM patients. Peripheral insulin concentrations likely do not adequately reflect insulin secretion. A high percent of secreted insulin is metabolized by the liver at a different rate between GDM and non-GDM women (Xiang et al., 1999). Moreover, in the past many analytical assays crossreacted with insulin precursors, which could be elevated in GDM patients (Kautzky-Willer et al., 1997). Nowadays, the best method for assessment of pre-hepatic insulin secretion is considered the detection of C peptide concentration in the venous peripheral blood and thus its use in the bovine species could be evaluated. Similarly, the development path of GDM syndrome in humans may be comparable to the dynamics of insulin resistance complex in dairy cows during pregnancy and after calving.

6.2 The Negative Energy Balance

In our study we also aimed at relating cows' response to glucose load to their metabolic status. For evaluation of possible associations between responses to GTT and ketosis syndrome, we considered BHB and NEFA concentrations. Serum concentrations of the ketone body BHB are commonly used to diagnose ketosis in dairy cows. By use of a case definition of serum BHBA concentration > 1.4 mmol/l, Geishauser and colleagues (2000) estimated an incidence rate of 12% for subclinical ketosis among postpartum cows in their first lactation; another study (Seifi et al., 2007) reported that 16% of 1.162 cows tested in the first 8 days of lactation had ketosis. Recently, McArt et al. (2012), found that 43% of 1.717 cows in 4 dairy herds had at least one BHB test of 1.2 to 2.9 mmol/l, which they defined as subclinical ketosis, when tested 6 times between 3 and 16 DIM. Ketosis incidence may vary with different case definitions but we can state that our sample was in agreement with latest epidemiological surveys on subclinical ketosis since we concluded that between 24 and 34% of recruited cows within each herd and 16 DIM had plasma BHB > 1.4 mmol/l. A greater severity of ketosis in older cows as in our sample is a consequence of a more severe refusing of food intake during transition and a rapid fat mobilization of more obese animals compared to heifers (Hayirli et al., 2002b). Cows with ketosis were expected to show low tissue responsiveness to insulin and low insulin concentrations (Holtenius, 1993; Sakai et al., 1993; Steen et al., 1997).

NEFA concentrations are a marker of fat mobilization in states of negative energy balance (Mulligan et al., 2006). Ketosis and energy balance in transition cows should be monitored by measuring the proportion of animals above a certain biological cut-point of test results, specifically NEFA in close-up and BHB in post-calving cows, within the subsample (Oetzel, 2006). Low NEFA and BHB concentrations are of little to no significance to the cow and the cows are affected only when these parameters are elevated above alarm levels. Therefore we were less concerned with the mean value of the tested groups and we aimed at interpreting herd results on a proportional basis through risk analysis. Levels of approximately 0.3 mEq/l of pre-partum NEFA and 0.7 mEq/l post-partum have recently been identified as critical limit for predicting diseases risk after calving (Ospina et al., 2010). The same author demonstrated that although both elevated NEFA, pre and post-partum, and BHB post-partum are significantly associated with development of clinical ketosis, metritis, displaced abomasum and retained placenta within 30 DIM, postpartum serum NEFA is most associated with this risk. We used 0.5 mEq/L of NEFA Week -1 and 1.0 mEq/l of NEFA Week +1 as thresholds for classification of animals. A significant relative risk was found only pre-partum by comparing NEFA class and GTT class; absence of RR of GTT class 1 cows to experience ketosis, high NEFA or any other disease after calving can be due to treatments interference: although we tried to avoid blood collections within 24 h from any treatments, a certain influence from pharmacological therapies cannot be excluded in a field trial such this. Other researchers have encountered difficulties in analyzing these kinds of data. Drackley (1999) affirmed that: "The transition period presents several challenges to the conduct of research. Perhaps the biggest challenge is that events happen quickly and physiological state changes rapidly, with most of the adaptations probably completed within about a 4-wk period from 2 wk before to 2 wk after calving. Measurements during this time are fraught with a high degree of variability, reflecting differences among individual cows in the success of adaptation to lactation. [...] The high incidence of health problems during this time contributes to the variation in DMI, milk yield, and responses to imposed treatments. Lack of suitable covariate measurements makes analysis more difficult and requires larger numbers of cows to detect differences statistically. [...] Finally, treatments may be confounded by the changes in facilities and environments that the cows may be moved through during the transition period."

6.3 The surrogate markers of insulin sensitivity: RQUICKI and HOMA

An agreement between pre-partum GTT results and surrogate markers of insulin sensitivity, RQUICKI and HOMA, was stressed after calving. In recent research, Kerestes et al. (2009) did not obtain any relevant correlation between GTT parameters and the RQUICKI, whereas Balogh et al. (2008) have already identified a significant relationship between some variables derived by GTT and the RQUICKI. A low RQUICKI index value indicates decreased insulin sensitivity. On the other side, the higher HOMA-IR, the higher is IR and the lower is insulin sensitivity. Both a lower RQUICKI and higher HOMA in class 1 cows for pre-partum GTT confirmed our expectations of an overall reduced insulin sensitivity in animals not responding to GTT within 80 minutes from infusion. As regards HOMA calculation, other researchers (Kusenda, 2010) have used a different equation, that is HOMA = G T0 x I T0 according to Matthews et al., (1985), whereas the denominator used in our formula would be specific for humans (Singh and Saxena, 2010). The denominator of 22.5 in our formula is a normalizing factor and derives from the product of normal fasting blood glucose (4.5 mmol/L) and insulin (5 µU/mL) in typical "healthy humans" (Muniyappa et al., 2008). However, it was hard to determine "normal" reference glucose and insulin levels in the first weeks of lactation in dairy cows to estimate a specific constant for HOMA in this species. Overall both HOMA calculation methods used by these authors have led to statistically significant differences and similar patterns in their trends and IR identification before and after calving in line with other studies (Sano et al., 1993; Hayirli et al., 2006). It can be noted that herds B and C had a lower HOMA in Week -1 compared to herd A, which is expression of a greater insulin sensitivity of these 2 herds pre-partum. This outcome is conflicting with their lower RQUICKI after calving, which on the contrary reveals minor insulin sensitivity. Again, a more pronounced lowered insulin production in numerous cows at less than 7 days pre-partum in these two herds could be responsible for this finding. Perhaps the use of HOMA to test IR in the proximity of calving should be avoided. The R.O.C. curves have ultimately declared RQUICKI and HOMA as poorly accurate diagnostic test for classification of cows recovering from glucose tolerance test. In effect, despite a rather clear distinction between herds when considering patterns of plasma metabolites, such as NEFA, urea, GOT-AST and GPT-ALT, in pre-partum weeks, the distribution of cows on the basis of FGTT was almost the same within each herd and the test had difficulties in underlining differences of metabolic status at the herd level. However, it must be admitted that the same differences between herds were not as easily recognizable by hematochemical parameters, diseases incidence and treatments frequency after calving as in pre-partum scenario. Although pre-analytical handling of samples, any kind of stress and feed consumption can affect baseline glucose, NEFA and insulin concentrations (Quiroz-Rocha et al. 2010; Leroy et al. 2010) and should be carefully considered before interpretation of any results, RQUICKI and HOMA would be likely best indicators of insulin sensitivity per se than a single GTT. A further limitation of GTT could be the loss of glucose by urinary excretion after intravenous infusion or a rapid consumption by the mammary gland for colostrum synthesis and by placenta in late gestation since the absorption of glucose through these organs is insulin-independent. Cows enrolled within one single day before parturition were excluded from the trial. Anyway, these animals reported evident higher glucose levels at T80 at first sight. This observation could be associated to a considerable glucose intolerance at the time of calving and its physiological mechanisms should be better understood and fluid therapy with glucose solutions carefully assessed in clinical practice during this very delicate phase.

6.5 Conclusions

In conclusion, our study demonstrates a promising opportunity for application of surrogate indices of insulin sensitivity and GTT in field trials to detect insulin resistance syndrome in dairy cows in the future. The T80/T0 ratio derived by GTT seemed to be useful in detecting a low insulin secretion as a likely complication of altered glucose uptake following glucose load in transition cows. Withal, it positively correlates with negative energy balance represented by NEFA concentrations in the 2 weeks before calving. These findings deserve further research about the epidemiology of IR syndrome at the herd level and among herds; its correlation with several pathological conditions commonly found in the transition cows should also be investigated. However, establishment of standardized tests protocols, strategies to minimize stress and feed delivery interferences under field conditions, and accurate analytical procedure, in particular for insulin and glucose, is worthwhile before further experimentation on this topic.

7. References

Agenas S, E Burstedt and K Holtenius, 2003; Effects of feeding intensity during the dry period. 1. Feed intake and milk production. J. Dairy Sci. 86: 870–882

Aguggini G, V Beghelli, LF Giulio, 2000; Fisiologia degli animali domestici con elementi di etologia. Pag. 712 – 722 UTET

Allen MS, 2000; Effects of diet on short-term regulation of feed intake by lactating dairy cattle. J. Dairy Sci. 83: 1598-1624

Allen MS, BJ Bradford and KJ Harvatine, 2005; The cow as a model to study food intake regulation. Ann. Rev. Nutr. 25: 523-547

Allen MS and BJ Bradford, 2006; From the liver to the brain: increasing feed intake in transition cows. Pag. 115-124. Proc. 68th Meeting of the Cornell Nutrition Conference for Feed Manufacturers, Department of Animal Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14850

Allen MS and BJ Bradford, 2010; Effects of Metabolites on Feed Intake in Dairy Cows, Presentation at Symposium on "Gastrointestinal interactions between microbiota and host" at the 2010 Hulsenherger Gesprache, Lübeck, Germany, June 2-4, 2010

Annison EF, JL Linzell, S Fazakerley and BW Nicholas, 1967; The oxidation and utilization of palmitate, stearate, oleate, and acetate by the mammary gland of the fed goat in relation to their overall metabolism and the role of plasma phospholipids and neutral lipid in milk fat synthesis. Biochemical Journal, 102: 637 – 641

Al-Trad B, K Reisberg, T Wittek, GB Penner, A Alkaassem, G Gäbel, M Fürll and JR Aschenbach, 2009; Increasing intravenous infusions of glucose improve body condition but not lactation performance in mid lactation dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 92: 5645–5658

Baird GD, 1981. Lactation, pregnancy and metabolic disorder in ruminant. Proceedings of the Nutrition Society, 40: 115–120

Balogh O, O Szepes, K Kovacs, M Klucsar, J Reiczigel, JA Alcazar, M Keresztes, H Febel, J Bartyik,

SG Fekete, L Fesus and G Huszenicza, 2008; Interrelationship of growth hormone Alul polymorphysm, insulin resistance, milk production and reproductive performance in Holstein-Friesian cows. Veterinarni Medicina 53: 604-616

Bauman DE and WB Currie, 1980; Partitioning of nutrients during pregnancy and lactation: a review of mechanisms involving homeostasis and homeorhesis. J. Dairy Sci. 63: 1514–1529

Beam SW and WR Butler, 1999; Effects of energy balance on follicular development and first ovulation in postpartum dairy cows. J. Reprod. Fertil. Suppl. 54: 411–424.

Beauchemin KA, BI Farr, LM Rode, GB Schaalje, 1994; Effects of alfalfa silage chop length and supplementary long hay on chewing and milk production of dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 77: 1326-1339.

Bell AW, 1995; Regulation of organic nutrient metabolism during transition from late pregnancy to early lactation. J. Animal Sci. 73: 2804–2819

Bergman RN and SD Mittelman, 1998; Central role of the adipocyte in insulin resistance. J. Basic Clinical Physiol. Pharmacol. 9: 205–221

Bergman EN, SS Reulein and RE Corlett, 1998; Effects of obesity on insulin sensitivity and responsiveness in sheep. Am. J. Physiol. 257: 772–781

Berne RM and MN Levy, 1993; Hormones of the pancreatic islets. Physiology, 3rd edn, (Mosby Year Book, St Louis, MO), 851–875

Bertics SJ, RR Grummer, C Cadorniga-Valino and EE Stoddard, 1992; Effect of prepartum dry matter intake on liver triglyceride concentration and early lactation. J. Dairy Sci. 75: 1914 – 1922

Besong S, JA Jackson, DS Trammell and V Akay, 2001; Influence of supplemental chromium on concentrations of liver triglyceride, blood metabolites and rumen VFA profile in steers fed a moderately high fat diet. J. Dairy Sci. 84: 1679–1685

Bertics SJ and Grummer RR, 1999; Effects of fat and methionine hydroxy analog on prevention or alleviation of fatty liver induced by feed restriction. J. Dairy Sci. 82: 2731–2736

Bigner DR, JP Goff, MA Faust, JL Burton, HD Tyler, and RL Horst, 1996; Acidosis effects on insulin response during glucose tolerance tests in jersey cows. J. Dairy Sci. 79: 2182–2188

Bionaz M, E Trevisi, L Calamari, F Librandi, A Ferrari and G Bertoni, 2007; Plasma paraoxonase, health, inflammatory conditions, and liver function in transition dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 90: 1740–1750

Blom AK, K Hove and JJ Nedkvitne, 1976; Plasma insulin and growth hormone concentrations in pregnant sheep II: post-absorptive levels in mid- and late pregnancy. Acta Endocrinol (Copenh). Jul; 82 (3): 553 – 560

Boden, G, 1977; Free fatty acids, insulin resistance, and type II diabetes mellitus. American Journal of Physiology, 111: 241–248

Borai A, C Livingstone, I Kaddam and G Ferns, 2011; Selection of the appropriate method for the assessment of insulin resistance. BMC Medical Research Methodology 11: 158

Bossaert P, JLMR Leroy, S De Vliegher and G Opsomer, 2008; Interrelations between glucoseinduced insulin response, metabolic indicators and time of first ovulation in high-yielding dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 91: 3363–3371

Bossaert P, Leroy JLMR, De Campeneere S, De Vliegher S and Opsomer G, 2009; Differences in the glucose-induced insulin response and the peripheral insulin responsiveness between neonatal calves of the Belgian Blue, Holstein-Friesian, and East Flemish breeds. J. Dairy Sci. 92: 4404–4411

Bradford BJ, LK Mamedova, JE Minton, JS Drouillard and BJ Johnson, 2009; Daily Injection of Tumor Necrosis Factor-a Increases Hepatic Triglycerides and Alters Transcript Abundance of Metabolic Genes in Lactating Dairy Cattle. J. Nutr. 139: 1451–1456

Brockman RP, 1978; Roles of glucagon and insulin in the regulation of metabolism in ruminants. Canadian Veterinary Journal, 19: 55–62

Brockman RP, 1979; Roles for insulin and glucagon in the development of ruminant ketosis. Canadian Veterinary Journal, 20: 121–126

Brockman RP, 1984; Pancreatic and adrenal hormonal regulation of metabolism. In Control of Digestion and Metabolism in Ruminants, Proc. 6th Int. Symp. on Ruminant Physiology, Banff, Canada, (Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ), 405–419

Brockman RP and B Laarveld, 1986; Hormonal regulation of metabolism in ruminants. Livestock Production Science, 14: 313–334

Bruss, ML, 1993; Metabolic fatty liver of ruminants. Advances in Veterinary Sciences and Comparative Medicine, 37: 417–449

Buckley F, P Dillon, M Rath and RF Veerkamp, 2000; The relationship between genetic merit for yield and live weight, condition score, and energy balance of spring calving Holstein-Friesian dairy cows on grass based systems of milk production. J. Dairy Sci. 83: 1878–1886

Buckley F, K O'Sullivan, JF Mee, RD Evans and P Dillon, 2003; Relationship among milk yield, body condition, cow weight and reproduction in spring-calved Holstein-Friesians. J. Dairy Sci. 86: 2308–2319

Catalano PM, NM Drago and SB Amini, 1998; Longitudinal changes in pancreatic β -cell function and metabolic clearance rate of insulin in pregnant women with normal and abnormal glucose tolerance. Diabetes Care. Mar; 21 (3): 403-8. Erratum in: Diabetes Care 1999 Jun; 22 (6): 1013

Chagas, LM, JJ Bass, D Blache, CR Burke, JK Kay, DR Lindsay, MC Lucy, GB Martin, S Meier, FM Rhodes, JR Roche, WW Thatcher and R Webb, 2007a; New perspectives on the roles of nutrition and metabolic priorities in the subfertility of high-producing dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 90: 4022–4032

Chagas LM, BA Clark, FM Rhodes, D Blache, ES Kolver and GA Verkerk, 2003; Metabolic responses to glucose challenge in New Zealand and overseas Holstein-Friesian dairy cows. Proc. N.Z. Soc. Anim. Prod. 63: 31–34

Chagas, LM, MC Lucy, PJ Back, D Blache, JM Lee, PJS Gore, AJ Sheahan and JR Roche, 2009; Insulin resistance in divergent strains of Holstein-Friesian dairy cows offered fresh pasture and increasing amounts of concentrate in early lactation. J. Dairy Sci. 92: 216–222

Collier RJ, McNamara JP, Wallace CR and Dehoff MH, 1984; A review of endocrine regulation of metabolism during lactation. J. Animal Sci. 59: 498–510

Contreras LL, CM Ryan and TR Overton, 2004; Effects of dry cow grouping strategy and prepartum body condition score performance and health of transition dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 87: 517–523.

Coppock CE, 1985; Energy nutrition and metabolism of the lactating dairy cow. J. Dairy Sci. 68: 3403–3410

Correa MT, CR Curtis, HN Erb, JM Scarlett and RD Smith, 1990; An ecological analysis of risk factors for postpartum disorders of Holstein-Friesian cows from thirty-two New York farms. J. Dairy Sci. 73: 1515–1524

Curtis CR, HN Erb, CJ Sniffen, RD Smith and DS Kronfeld, 1985; Path analysis of dry period nutrition, postpartum metabolism and reproductive disorders, and mastitis inHolstein cows. J. Dairy Sci. 68: 2347–2360.

Dann HM, GA Varga and DE Putnam, 1999; Improving energy supply to late gestation and early postpartum dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 82: 1765–1778

DeBoer G, A Trenkle and JW Young, 1985; Glucagon, insulin, growth hormone, and some blood metabolites during energy restriction ketonemia of lactating cows. J. Dairy Sci. 68: 326–337

Debrass E, J Grizard, E Aina, S Tesseraud, C Champredon and M Arnal, 1989; Insulin sensitivity and responsiveness during lactation and dry period in goats. Am. J. Physiol. 256: E295–E302

DeFronzo RA, RC Bonadonna and E Ferrannini, 1992; Pathogenesis of NIDDM. Diabetes Care, 3, 318–368

Deluyker HA, JM Gay, LD Weaver and AS Azari, 1991; Change of milk yield with clinical diseases for a high producing dairy herd. J. Dairy Sci. 74: 436–445.

Devendra C and D Lewis, 1974; The interaction between dietary lipids and fibre in the sheep. Anim. Prod. 19: 67–76

Dewhurst RJ, JM Moorby, MS Dhanoa, RT Evans and WJ Fisher, 2000; Effects of altering energy and protein supply to dairy cows during the dry period. 1. Intake, body condition and milk production. J. Dairy Sci. 83: 1782–1794

Doll K, M Sickinger, T Seeger, 2009; Review: New aspects in the pathogenesis of abomasal displacement. Vet J 181: 90–96

Douglas GN, JK Drackley, TR Overton and HG Bateman, 1998; Lipid metabolism and production by Holstein cow fed control or high fat diets at restricted or ad libitum in takes during the dry period. J. Dairy Sci. 81: 295–301

Drackley JK, MJ Richard, DC Beitz and JW Young, 1992; Metabolic changes in dairy cows with ketonemia in response to feed restriction and dietary 1,3-butanediol. J. Dairy Sci. 75: 1622–1634

Drackley JK, 1999; Biology of dairy cows during the transition period: the final frontier? J. Dairy Sci. 82: 2259–2273

Driksen G, H Liebich and K Mayer, 1985; Adaptive changes of the ruminal mucosa and functional and clinical significance. Bovine Practitioner, 20: 116–120

Dyk PB, RS Emery, JL Liesman, HF Bucholtz and MJ VandeHaar, 1995; Prepartum non esterified fatty acids in plasma are higher in cows developing periparturient health problems. J. Dairy Sci. 78(Suppl. 1): 264

Emery RS, JS Liesman and TH Herdt, 1992; Metabolism of long chain fatty acids by ruminant liver. Journal of Nutrition, 122: 832–837

Erb HN and YT Grohn, 1988; Epidemiology of metabolism disorders in the periparturient dairy cow. J. Dairy Sci. 71: 2557–2571

Erb, HN, RD Smith, PA Oltenacu, CL Guard, RB Hilman, PA Powers, MC Smith and ME White, 1985; Path model of reproductive disorders and performance, milk fever, mastitis, milk yield, and culling in Holstein cows. J. Dairy Sci. 68: 3337–3349.

Fenwick MA, R Fitzpatrick, DA Kenny, MG Diskin, J Patton, JJ Murphy and DC Wathes, 2008; Interrelationships between negative energy balance (NEB) and IGF regulation in liver of lactating dairy cows. Domest. Anim. Endocrinol. 34: 31–44 Flipot PM, GL Roy and JJ Dufuour, 1988; Effect of peripartum energy concentration on production performance of Holstein cows. J. Dairy Sci. 71: 1840–1850

Forbes JM, 1996; Integration of regulatory signals controlling forage intake in ruminants. J. Animal Sci., 74: 3029–3035

Forhead AJ and H Dobson, 1997; Plasma glucose and cortisol responses to exogenous insulin in fasted donkey. Research in Veterinary Medicine, 62: 256–269

Franklin ST, JW Young and BJ Nonnecke, 1991; Effects of ketones, acetate, butyrate, and glucose on bovine lymphocyte proliferation. J. Dairy Sci. 74: 2507–2516

Ganong WF, 1991; Fisiologia medica. Ed. Piccin Pag. 302 – 324

Gao F, Y-C Liu, Z-H Zhang, C-Z Zhang , H-W Su and S-L Li, 2012; Effect of prepartum maternal energy density on the growth performance, immunity, and antioxidation capability of neonatal calves. J. Dairy Sci. 95: 4510–4518

Garnsworthy PC and JH Topps, 1982; The effect of body condition of dairy cows at calving on their food intake and performance when given complete diets. Animal Production, 35: 113–119

Garvey WT, JM Olefsky and S Marshall, 1986; Insulin induces progressive insulin resistance in cultures rat adipocytes: sequential effects at receptor and multiple post-receptor sites. Diabetes, 35: 258–267

Geishauser T, KE Leslie and J Tenhag, 2000. Evaluation of eight cowside ketone tests in milk for detection of subclinical ketosis in dairy cows. J Dairy Sci. 83: 296–299

Gellrich K, 2012; Metabolic and productive characterisation of multiparous cows grouped for fat-corrected milk yield and milk protein concentration. Inaugural dissertation

Gerloff BJ, TH Herdt and RS Emery, 1986a; Relationship of hepatic lipidosis to health and performance in dairy cattle. J. Am. Vet. Med. 188: 845–850

Gerloff BJ, TH Herdt, WW Wells, JS Liesman and RS Emery, 1986b; Effect of inositol supplementation and time from parturition on liver and serum lipids in dairy cattle. J. Animal Sci. 62: 1682–1692

Golay A and J Ybarra, 2005; Link between obesity and type 2 diabetes. Best Pract. Res. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 19: 649–663

Grantham BD and VA Zammit, 1988; Role of carnitine palmitoyltransferase I in the regulation of hepatic ketogenesis during the onset and reversal of chronic diabetes. Biochem. J. 249: 409–419.

Gruffat D, D Durand, B Graulet and D Bauchart, 1996; Regulation of VLDL synthesis and secretion in the liver. Reproduction, Nutrition, Development, 36: 375–389

Grummer RR, 1993; Etiology of lipid-related metabolic disorders in periparturient dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 76: 3882–3896

Grummer RR, 1995; Impact of changes in organic nutrient metabolism on feeding the transition dairy cow. J. Anim. Sci. 73: 2820–2833

Grünberg W, SS Donkin and PD Constable, 2011; Periparturient effects of feeding a low dietary cation-anion difference diet on acid-base, calcium, and phosphorus homeostasis and on intravenous glucose tolerance test in high-producing dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 94: 727–745

Gutierrez CG, JG Gong, TA Bramley and R Webb, 2006; Selection on predicted breeding value for milk production delays ovulation independently of changes in follicular development, milk production and body weight. Anim. Reprod. Sci. 95:193–205.

Guyton AC and JE Hall, 2000; Fisiologia medica. Edi SES Pag. 906 – 920

Guyton AC and JE Hall, 2006; "Chapter 78: Insulin, Glucagon, and Diabetes Mellitus". Textbook of Medical Physiology (11th ed.). Philadelphia: Elsevier Saunders. pp. 963–68.

Hadley ME, 1996; Pancreatic hormones and metabolic regulation. Endocrinology. 4th edn, (Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ), 231–255

Hammon HM, G Stürmer, F Schneider, A Tuchscherer, H Blum, T Engelhard, A Genzel, R Staufenbiel and W Kanitz, 2009; Performance and metabolic and endocrine changes with emphasis on glucose metabolism in high-yielding dairy cows with high and low fat content in liver after calving. J. Dairy Sci. 92 :1554–1566

Hartwell JR, MJ Cecava and SS Donkin, 2000; Impact of dietary rumen undegradable protein and rumenprotected choline on intake, peripartum liver triglyceride, plasma metabolites, and milk production in transition dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 83: 2907–2917

Hay WW, CC Lin and HK Meznarich, 1988; Effect of high levels of insulin on glucose utilization and glucose production in pregnant and non-pregnant sheep. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med. Dec; 189 (3): 275 – 284

Hay WW, 1995; Regulation of placental metabolism by glucose supply. Reprod. Fertil. Dev. 7 (3): 365 – 375

Hayirli A, DR Bremmer, SJ Bertics, MT Socha and RR Grummer, 2001; Effect of chromium supplementation on production and metabolic parameters in periparturient dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 84: 1218–1230

Hayirli A, RR Grummer, EV Nordheim and PM Crum, 2002b; Animal and dietary factors affecting feed intake during the prefresh transition period in Holsteins. J. Dairy Sci. 85: 3430–3443

Hayirli A and RR Grummer, 2004; Factors affecting dry matter intake prepartum in relationship to etiology of peripartum lipid-related metabolic disorders. Canadian Journal of Animal Science, 84: 337–347

Hayirli A, 2006; The role of exogenous insulin in the complex of hepatic lipidosis and ketosis associated with insulin resistance phenomenon in postpartum dairy cattle. Veterinary Research Communications 30: 749–774

Heimberg M and HG Wilcox, 1972; The effect of palmitic and oleic acids on the properties and composition of very low-density lipoprotein secreted by the liver. J. Biol. Chem. 247: 875–879

Herbein JH, RJ Aiello, LI Eckler, RE Pearson and RM Akers, 1985; Glucagon, insulin, growth hormone, and glucose concentrations in plasma of lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 68: 320–325

Herdt H, JS Liesman JS, BJ Gerloff and ES Emery, 1983; Reduction of serum triacylglycerol-rich lipoprotein concentrations in cows with hepatic lipidosis. Am. J. Vet. Res. 44: 293–296

Herdt TH, T Wensing, HP Haagsman, LMG van Golde and HJ Breunink, 1988; Hepatic triacylglycerol synthesis during a period of fatty liver development in sheep. J. Anim. Sci. 66: 1997–2003

Hiss S, C Weinkauf, S Hachenberg and H Sauerwein, 2009; Short communication: Relationship between metabolic status and the milk concentrations of haptoglobin and lactoferrin in dairy cows during early lactation. J. Dairy Sci. 92: 4439–4443

Holcomb CS, HH Van Horn, HH Head, MB Hall and CJ Wilcox, 2001; Effects of prepartum dry matter intake and forage percentage on postpartum performance of lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 84: 2051–2058.

Holtenius P, 1993; Hormonal regulation related to the development of fatty liver and ketosis. Acta Veterinaria Scandinavia, 89: S55–S60

Holtenius K, K Sternbauer and P Holtenius, 2000; The effect of the plasma glucose level on the abomasal function in dairy cows. J Anim. Sci. 78: 1930-1935

Holtenius K, S Agenas, C Delavaud and Y Chilliard, 2003; Effects of feeding intensity during the dry period.2. Metabolic and Hormonal Responses. J. Dairy Sci. 86: 883–891

Holtenius P and K Holtenius, 2007; A model to estimate insulin sensitivity in dairy cows. Acta Vet. Scand. 49: 29–31

Horan B, P Dillon, P Faverdin, L Delaby, F Buckley and M Rath, 2005; Strain of Holstein-Friesian by pasture-based feed system interaction for milk production, body weight and body condition score. J. Dairy Sci. 88: 1231–1243

Horino M, LJ Machlin, F Hertelendy and DM Kipnis, 1968; Effect of short-chain fatty acids on plasma insulin in ruminant and non-ruminant species. Endocrinology, 83: 118–128

Hsu WH and MH Crump, 1989; The endocrine pancreas. In: L. E. McDonald (ed), Veterinary Endocrinology and Reproduction, 4th edn, (Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia, PA), 186–201

Ingle DL, DE Bauman and US Garrigus, 1972; Lipogenesis in the ruminant: in vitro study of tissue sites, carbon source and reducing equivalent generation for fatty acid synthesis. J. Nutrition, 102, 609–616

Ingvartsen KL and JB Andersen, 2000; Integrtion of metabolism and intake regulation: A review focusing on periparturient animals. J. Dairy Sci. 83:1573–1597

Ingvartsen KL and NC Friggens, 2005; To what extent do variabilities in hormones, metabolites and energy intake explain variability in milk yield? Domest. Anim. Endocrinol. 29:294–304

Ingvartsen KL, 2006; Feeding- and management-related diseases in the transition cow. Physiological adaptations around calving and strategies to reduce feeding-related diseases. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 126: 175–213

Jarret IG, OH Filsell and FJ Ballard, 1976; Utilization of oxidizable substrates by the sheep hind limb: effects of starvation and exercise. Metabolism 25: 523–531

Kahn CR, 1978; Insulin resistance, insulin sensitivity, and insulin unresponsiveness: a necessary distinction. Metabolism 27: 1893–1902

Kahn CR, 1994. Insulin action, diabetogenes, and the cause of type II diabetes. Diabetes, 43: 1066–1084

Kaneene JB, R Miller, TH Herdt and JC Gardiner, 1997; The association of serum nonesterified fatty acids and cholesterol, management and feeding practices with peripartum disease in dairy cows. Preventive Veterinary Medicine, 31: 59–72

Katz A, SS Nambi, K Mather, AD Baron, DA Follmann, G Sullivan, MJ Quon, 2000; Quantitative insulin sensitivity check index: a simple, accurate method for assessing insulin sensitivity in humans. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 85: 2402-2410

Kay JK, CVC Phyn , JR Roche and ES Kolver, 2009; Extending lactation in pasture-based dairy cows. II: Effect of genetic strain and diet on plasma hormone and metabolite concentrations. J. Dairy Sci. 92: 3704–3713

Kerestes M, V Faigl, M Kulcsa, O Balogh, J Foldi, H Febel, Y Chilliard and G Huszenicza, 2009; Periparturient insulin secretion and whole-body insulin responsiveness in dairy cows showing various forms of ketone pattern with or without puerperal metritis. Domest. Anim. Endocrinol. 37: 250-261 Katzung, BG, 1995; Pancreatic hormones and antidiabetic drugs. Basic and Clinical Pharmacology. 6th edn., (Appleton and Lange, Norwalk, CT), 637–654

Kautzky-Willer A, Thomaseth K, Ludvik B, Nowotny P, Rabensteiner D, Waldhausl W, Pacini G and Prager R, 1997; Elevated islet amyloid pancreatic polypeptide and proinsulin in lean gestational diabetes. Diabetes. Apr; 46 (4): 607-614

Kerestes M, 2010; Role of insulin in the development of metabolic and reproductive malfunctions of periparturient dairy cows. Theses of Ph.D. dissertation

Koopmans SJ, HC Sips and HM Krans, 1996; Pulsatile intravenous insulin replacement in streptozotocin diabetic rats is more efficient than continuous delivery: effect of glycemic control, insulin-mediated glucose metabolism and lipolysis. Diabetologia, 39: 391–400

Kumar V, AK Abbas and N Fausto, 2005; "Chapter 24: The Endocrine System". Robbins and Cotran, Pathologic Basis of Disease (7th ed.). Philadelphia: Elsevier Saunders. Pag. 1191–193.

Kumar V, AK Abbas and N Fausto, 2006; Le basi patogenetiche delle malattie. Elsevier Pag. 1189 – 1205

Kusenda M, 2010; Insulin-Sensitivität und Insulin-Response nach einer einmaligen Dexamethasonbehandlung bei, Milchkühen in der Frühlaktation. Inaugural dissertation -Doctor medicinae veterinariae

Kusenda M, M Kaske, M Piechotta, L Locher, A Starke, K Huber and J Rehage, 2012; Effects of a single dose of dexamethasone-21-isonicotinate on the peripheral insulin action of dairy cows in early lactation (submitted)

Kushibiki S, K Hodate, Y Ueda, H Shingu, Y Mori, T Itoh and Y Yokomizo, 2000; Administration of recombinant bovine tumor necrosis factor-alpha affects intermediary metabolism and insulin and growth hormone secretion in dairy heifers. J Anim Sci 78: 2164-2171

Kushibiki S, K Hodate, H Shingu, Y Ueda, Y Mori, T Itoh and Y Yokomizo, 2001; Effects of longterm administration of recombinant bovine tumor necrosis factor-alpha on glucose metabolism and growth hormone secretion in steers. Am J Vet Res. 62: 794-798 Kushibikia S, K Hodate, H Shingu, Y Ueda, M Shinoda, Y Mori, T Itoh and Y Yokomizo, 2001; Insulin resistance induced in dairy steers by tumor necrosis factor alpha is partially reversed by 2,4 –thiazolidinedione. Dom. Anim. Endocrinology. 21: 25–37

Kushibiki S, K Hodate, H Shingu, Y Obara, E Touno, M Shinoda, and Y Yokomizo, 2003; Metabolic and Lactational Responses during Recombinant Bovine Tumor Necrosis Factor-α Treatment in Lactating Cows. J. Dairy Sci. 86: 819–827

Lais UM and H Osama, 2003; Evaluation of Insulin Sensitivity in Clinical Practice and in Research Settings. Nutrition Reviews 61: 397–412

Laron Z, 2001; Insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1): A growth hormone. Mol. Pathol. 54: 311–316.

Laville M, V Rigalleau, JP Riou and M Beylot, 1995; Respective role of plasma non-esterified fatty acid oxidation and total lipid oxidation in lipid-induced insulin resistance. Metabolism, 44: 639–644

Leroy JL, P Bossaert, G Opsomer and PE Bols, 2010; The effect of animal handling procedures on the blood non-esterified fatty acid and glucose concentrations of lactating dairy cows. Vet J. 187: 81-84

Lomax MA, GD Baird, CB Mallison and HW Symomds, 1979; Differences between lactating and non-lactating dairy cows in concentration and secretion rate of insulin. Biochem J. May 15; 180 (2): 218 – 219

Lucy MC, 2008; Functional differences in the growth hormone and insulin-like growth factor axis in cattle and pigs: Implications for post-partum nutrition and reproduction. Reprod. Domest. Anim. 43(Suppl 2): 31–39

Macdonald KA, GA Verkerk, BS Thorrold, JE Pryce, JW Penno, LR McNaughton, LJ Burton, JAS Lancaster, JH Williamson and CW Holmes, 2008; A comparison of three strains of Holstein-Friesian grazed on pasture and managed under different feed allowances. J. Dairy Sci. 91: 1693– 1707

Maedler K, GA Spinas, D Dyntar, W Moritz, N Kaiser and MY Donath, 2001; Distinct effects of saturated and monounsaturated fatty acids on β -cell turnover and function. Diabetes 50: 69–76

Mahler RJ, 1981; The relationship between the hyperplastic pancreatic islet and insulin sensitivity in obesity. Acta Diabetologica, 18: 1–17

Matthews DR, JP Hosker, AS Rudenski, BA Naylor, DF Treacher and RC Turner, 1985; Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. Diabetologia 28: 412-419

McArt JAA, DV Nydam, GR Oetzel, 2012; Epidemiology of subclinical ketosis in early lactation dairy cattle. J. Dairy Sci. 95 (9): 5056 – 5066

McCance KL and SE Huether, 1994; Alterations of hormonal regulations. Pathophysiology, 2nd edn., (Mosby, St Louis, MO): 674–692

McCann JP and TJ Reimers, 1985; Glucose response to exogenous insulin and kinetics of insulin metabolism in obese and lean heifer. J. Anim. Sci. 61: 612–618

McCann JP, MB Ullmann, MR Temple, TJ Reimes and EN Bergman, 1986; Insulin and glucose response to glucose injection in fed and fasted obese and lean sheep. Journal of Nutrition, 116: 1287–1297

McCarthy S, B Horan, P Dillon, P O'Connor, M Rath and L Shalloo, 2007; Economic comparison of divergent strains of Holstein-Friesian cows in various pasture-based production systems. J. Dairy Sci. 90: 1493–1505

McCarthy SD, ST Butler, J Patton, M Daly, DG Morris, DA Kenny and SM Waters, 20009; Differences in the expression of genes involved in the somatotropic axis in divergent strains of Holstein-Friesian dairy cows during early and mid lactation. J. Dairy Sci. 92: 5229–5238

McNamara JP, 2000; Integrating genotype and nutrition on utilization of body reserves during lactation of dairy cattle. Ruminant Physiology: Digestion, Metabolism, Growth and Reproduction. P. B. Cronje, ed. CAB International, London, UK. Pag. 353-369

Meier S, PJ Gore, CM Barnett, RT Cursons, DE Phipps, KA Watkins and GA Verkerk, 2008; Metabolic adaptations associated with irreversible glucose loss are different to those observed during under-nutrition. Domest. Anim. Endocrinol. 34: 269–277 Minor DJ, SL Trower, DB Strang, RD Shaver and RR Grummer, 1998; Effects of non-fiber carbohydrate and niacin on periparturient metabolic status of lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 81: 189–200

Mizutani H, T Sako, Y Toyoda, H Fukuda, M Urumuhang, H Koyama and H Hirose, 2003; The intravenous xylitol tolerance test in non lactating cattle. Vet Res. Communications 27: 633 – 642

Mulligan FJ, L O'Grady, DA Rice et al., 2006; A herd health approach to dairy cow nutrition and production diseases of the transition cow. Anim Reprod Sci. 96: 331–353

Muniyappa R, S Lee, H Chen and MJ Quon, 2008; Current approaches for assessing insulin sensitivity and resistance in vivo: advantages, limitations, and appropriate usage. Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. 294: E15–E26

Nieuwenhuizen AG, GA Schuiling, A Bonen, AM Paans, W Waalburg and TR Koiter, 1998; Glucose consumption by various tissues in pregnant rats: effects of a 6-day euglycaemic hyperinsulinaemic clamp. Acta Physiologica Scandinavica, 164: 325–334

Nishimoto H, R Matsutani, S Yamamoto, T Takahashi, KG Hayashi, A Miyamoto, S Hamano and M Tetsuka, 2006; Gene expression of glucose transporter (GLUT) 1, 3 and 4 in bovine follicle and corpus luteum. J. Endocrinol. 188: 111–119

Nocek JE, 1995; Nutritional aspects of the transition cow. Proceedings of the Cornell Nutrition Conference for Feed Manufacturers, Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY, 121–137

Noshiro O, R Hirayama, A Shimaya, T Yoneta, K Niigata and H Shikama, 1997; Role of plasma insulin concentration in regulating glucose and lipid metabolism in lean and obese Zucker rats. International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders, 21: 115–121

Oakes ND, GJ Cooney, S Camilleri, DJ Chisholm and EW Kraegen, 1997; Mechanisms of liver and muscle insulin resistance induced by chronic high-fat feeding. Diabetes, 14: 1768–1774

O'Brien RM and DK Granner, 1990; PEPCK gene as a model of inhibitory effects of insulin on gene transcription. Diabetes Care, 13: 327–334

Oetzel GR, 2006; Herd-based evaluations for nutritional and metabolic disease in dairy herds. Lecture notes from Production Medicine Fresh Cows and Calves, UW School of Veterinary Medicine

Oetzel GR and SM McGuirk, 2008; Evaluation of a hand-held meter for cowside evaluation of blood betahydroxybutyrate and glucose concentrations in dairy cows. Proc. Am. Assoc. Bov. Pract. 41: 234

Oetzel GR, 2010; On-farm ketosis monitoring.

http://www.livestocktrail.illinois.edu/uploads/dairynet/papers/On%20Farm%20Ketosis%20Oet zel.pdf

Oikawa S and GR Oetzel, 2006; Decreased Insulin Response in Dairy Cows Following a Four-Day Fast to Induce Hepatic Lipidosis. J. Dairy Sci. 89: 2999–3005

Ospina PA, DV Nydam, T Stokol and TR Overton, 2010; Evaluation of non-esterified fatty acids and β -hydroxybutyrate in transition dairy cattle in the northeastern United States: Critical thresholds for prediction of clinical diseases. J. Dairy Sci. 93 (2): 546-554

Ospina PA, DV Nydam, T Stokol and TR Overton, 2010; Association between the proportion of sampled transition cows with increased non esterified fatty acids and β -hydroxybutyrate and disease incidence, pregnancy rate, and milk production at the herd level. J. Dairy Sci. 93 (8): 3595-3601

Palmquist DL and TC Jenkins TC, 1980; Fat in lactation rations: review. J. Dairy Sci. 63: 1–14

Patton J, DA Kenny, JF Mee, FP O'Mara, DC Wathes, M Cook and JJ Murphy, 2006; Effect of milking frequency and diet on milk production, energy balance, and reproduction in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 89: 1478–1487

Patton J, DA Kenny, S McNamara, JF Mee, FP O'Mara, MG Diskin and JJ Murphy, 2007; Relationships among milk production, energy balance, plasma analytes, and reproduction in Holstein-Friesian cows. J. Dairy Sci. 90: 649–658

Patton J, JJ Murphy, FP O'Mara and ST Butler, 2008; A comparison of energy balance and metabolic profiles of the New Zealand and North American strains of Holstein Friesian dairy cow. Animal 2: 969–978.

Pearson EG and J Maas, 1990. Hepatic lipidosis. In: B. P. Smith (ed), Large Animal Internal Medicine, (C. V. Mosby, St Louis, MO), 860–866

Pechova A, A Podhorsky, E Lokajova, L Pavlata and J Illek, 2002; Metabolic effects of chromium supplementation in dairy cows in the peripartal period. Acta Veterinarni Bruno, 71: 9–18

Perseghin G, A Caumo, M Caloni, G Testolin, L Luzi, 2001; Incorporation of the fasting plasma FFA concentration into QUICKI improves its association with insulin sensitivity in non obese individuals. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 86: 4776-4781

Petterson, JA, R Slepetis, RA Ehrhardt, FR Dunshea and AW Bell, 1994. Pregnancy but not moderate undernutrition attenuates suppression of fat mobilization in sheep. J. Nutrition, 124, 2431–2436

Phillips PJ and Jeffries B, 2006; Gestational diabetes – worth finding and actively treating. Aust Fam Physician, Sep; 35 (9): 701-3

Piepenbrink MS and TR Verton, 2003; Liver metabolism and production of cows fed increasing amounts of rumen-protected choline during the periparturient period. J. Dairy Sci. 86: 1722–1733

Pires JAA, JB Pescara and RR Grummer, 2007a; Reduction of Plasma NEFA Concentration by Nicotinic Acid Enhances the Response to Insulin in Feed-Restricted Holstein Cows. J. Dairy Sci. 90: 4635–4642

Pires JAA, AH Souza and RR Grummer, 2007b; Induction of Hyperlipidemia by Intravenous Infusion of Tallow Emulsion Causes Insulin Resistance in Holstein Cows. J. Dairy Sci. 90: 2735– 2744

Plaizier JC, DO Krause, GN Gozho, BW McBride, 2008; Subacute ruminal acidosis in dairy cows: the physiological causes, incidence and consequences. Vet J 176: 21–31

Prior RL and RK Christenson, 1978; Insulin and glucose effects on glucose metabolism in pregnant and nonpregnant ewes. J. Anim. Sci. 46: 201–210

Prior RL and Scott RA, 1980; Effects of intravenous infusions of glucose, lactate, propionate or acetate on the induction of lipogenesis in bovine adipose tissue. J. Nutrition, 110: 2011–2019

Quiroz-Rocha GF, SJ LeBlanc, TF Duffield, B Jefferson, D Wood, KE Leslie and RM Jacobs, 2010; Short communication: Effect of sampling time relative to the first daily feeding on interpretation of serum fatty acid and β -hydroxybutyrate concentrations in dairy cattle. J. Dairy Sci. 93: 2030–2033

Rabasa-Lhoret R, JP Bastard, V Jan, PH Ducluzeau, F Andreelli, F Guebre, J Bruzeau, C Louche-Pellissier, C Maltrepierre, J Peyrat, J Chagne, H Vidal and M Laville, 2003; Modified quantitative insulin sensitivity check index is better correlated to hyperinsulinemic glucose clamp than other fasting-based index of insulin sensitivity in different insulin-resistant states. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 88: 4917-4923

Rabelo ER, RL Rezende, SJ Bertics and RR Grummer, 2003; Effects of transition diets varying in dietary energy density on lactation performance and ruminal parameters of dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 86:916–925.

Radziuk J, 2000; Insulin sensitivity and its measurement: structural commonalities among the methods. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 85: 4426-4433

Rajala-Schultz PJ, YT Grohn and CE McCulloch, 1999; Effects of milk fever, ketosis, and lameness on milk yield in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 82: 288–294.

Reid IM, CJ Roberts and R Manston, 1979a; Reduced fertility associated with fatty liver in high yielding dairy cows. Veterinary Research Communications, 3: 231–236

Reid IM, CJ Roberts and R Manston, 1979b; Fatty liver and infertility in high-yielding dairy cows. The Veterinary Record, 104: 75–76

Reid IM, 1980; Incidence and severity of fatty liver in dairy cows. The Veterinary Record, 107: 281–284

Reis MA, EM Carnerio, MA Mello, AC Boschero, MJ Saad and LA Vellosa, 1997; Glucose induced insulin secretion is impaired and insulin-induced phosphorylation of the insulin receptor and insulin receptor subtrate-1 are increased in protein deficient rats. J. Nutrition, 127: 403–410

Reynolds CK, PC Aikman, B Lupoli, DJ Humphries and DE Beever, 2003; Splanchnic metabolism of dairy cows during the transition from late gestation through early lactation. J. Dairy Sci. 86: 1201–1217

Rizk A, S Herdtweck, H Meyer, J Offinger, A Zaghloul, J Rehage, 2012; Effects of xylazine hydrochloride on hormonal, metabolic, and cardiorespiratory stress responses to lateral recumbency and claw trimming in dairy cows. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 240: 1223-1230

Roberts CJ, IM Reid, GJ Rowlands and A Patterson, 1981; A fat mobilization syndrome in dairy cows in early lactation. Veterinary Record, 108: 7–9

Roche JR, DP Berry and ES Kolver, 2006; Holstein-Friesian strain and feed effects on milk production, body weight, and body condition score profiles in grazing dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 89: 3532–3543

Roche JR, KA Macdonald, CR Burke, JM Lee and DP Berry, 2007; Associations among body condition score, body weight and reproductive performance in seasonal-calving dairy cattle. J. Dairy Sci. 90: 376–391

Roche JR, AJ Sheahan, AM Chagas and RC Boston, 2008; Short Communication: Change in Plasma Ghrelin in Dairy Cows Following an Intravenous Glucose Challenge. J. Dairy Sci. 91: 1005–1010

Ropstad E, HJ Larsen and OA Refsdal, 1989; Immune function in dairy cows related to energy balance and metabolic status in early lactation. Acta Vetetrinaria Scandinavica, 30: 209–219

Roseler DK, DG Fox, AM Pell and LE Chase, 1997a; Evaluation of alternative equations for prediction of intake for Holstein dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 80: 864–877

Rukkwamsuk T, T Wensing and MJH Geelen, 1998; Effect of overfeeding during the dry period on regulation of adipose tissue metabolism in dairy cows during the periparturient period. J. Dairy Sci. 81: 2904–2911

Rukkwamsuk T, TA Kruip and T Wensing, 1999; Relationship between overfeeding and overconditioning in the dry period and the problems of high producing dairy cows during the postparturient period. Vet. Q. 21: 71–77

Rukkwamsuk T, T Wensing and MJH Geelen, 1999a; Effect of fatty liver on hepatic gluconeogenesis in periparturient period. J. Dairy Sci. 82: 500–505

Rukkwamsuk T, T Wensing and MJH Geelen, 1999b; Effect of overfeeding during the dry period on the rate of esterification in adipose tissue of dairy cows during the periparturient period. J. Dairy Sci. 82: 1164–1169

Ruth BSH, 1992; Adipocyte insulin responsiveness in female Spraugue-Dawley rats fed a low fat diet containing a fat-mimetic carbohydrate. J. Nutrition, 122: 1802–1810

Ruth BSH and H Kor, 1992; Insulin sensitivity is rapidly reversed in rats by reducing dietary fat from 40 to 30% of energy. J. Nutrition, 122: 1811–1822

Ryan EA and L Enns, 1988; Role of gestational hormones in the induction of insulin resistance. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, 67: 341–347

Sakai T, T Hayakawa, M Hamakawa, K Ogura and S Kubo, 1993; Therapeutic effects of simultaneous use of glucose and insulin in ketotic dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 76: 109–114

Sano H, M Nakai, T Kondo and Y Terashima, 1991; Insulin responsiveness to glucose and tissue responsiveness to insulin in lactating, pregnant, and nonpregnant, nonlactating beef cows. J. Anim. Sci. 69: 1122–1127

Sano H, S Narahara, T Kondo, A Takahashi and Y Terashima, 1993; Insulin responsiveness to glucose and tissue responsiveness to insulin during lactation in dairy cows. Domestic Animal Endocrinology 10: 191–197

Sato S, T Suzuki and K Okada, 1994; Suppression of mitogenic response of bovine peripheral blood lymphocytes by ketone bodies. J. Vet. Med. Sci. 57: 183–188

Saremi B, A Al-Dawood, S Winand, U Müller, J Pappritz, D von Soosten, J Rehage, S Dänicke, S Häussler, M Mielenz and H Sauerwein, 2011; Bovine haptoglobin as an adipokine: Serum concentrations and tissue expression in dairy cows receiving a conjugated linoleic acids supplement throughout lactation. Vet Immunol Immunopathol, 146: 201-211

Schalm JW and LH Schultz, 1976; Relationship of insulin concentration to blood metabolites in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 59: 255–261

Schultz LH, 1971; Management and nutritional aspects of ketosis. J. Dairy Sci. 54: 962–973

Sebokova E, I Klime, R Moss, A Mitkova, M Wiersma and P Bohov, 1995; Decreased glucose transporter protein (GLUT4) in skeletal muscle of hypertriglyceridemic insulin-resistant rat. Physiology Research, 44: 87–92

Seifi HA, SJ LeBlanc and E Vernooy, 2007; Effect of isoflupredone acetate with or without insulin on energy metabolism, reproduction, milk production, and health in dairy cows in early lactation. J Dairy Sci. 90: 4181–4191

Simmons MA, FC Battaglia and G Meschia, 1979; Placental transfer of glucose. J. Dev. Physiol. Jun; 1 (3): 227 – 243

Singh B and A Saxena, 2010; Surrogate markers of insulin resistance: a review. World J. Diabetes 1(2): 36-47

Schoenberg, KM and Overton TR, 2010; The changing roles of insulin during the transition period.

http://www.ansci.cornell.edu/cnconf/2010proceedings/CNC_proceedings.pdf#page=181

Schoenberg KM, KL Perfield, JK Farney, BJ Bradford, YR Boisclais and TR Overton, 2011; Effects of prepartum 2,4-thiazolidinedione on insulin sensitivity, plasma concentration of tumor necrosis factor α and leptin, and adipose tissue gene expression. J. Dairy Sci. 94: 5523–5532

Schoenberg KM and TR Overton, 2011; Effects of plane of nutrition and 2,4-thiazolidinedione on insulin responses and adipose tissue gene expression in dairy cattle during late gestation. J. Dairy Sci. 94: 6021–6035

Schoenberg KM, RM Ehrhardt and TR Overton, 2012; Effects of plane of nutrition and feed deprivation on insulin responses in dairy cattle during late gestation. J. Dairy Sci. 95: 670–682

Schlumbohm C,HP Sporleder, H Burtler and J Harmeyer, 1997; Effect of insulin on glucose and fat metabolism in ewes during various reproductive states in normal and hypocalcemia. Deutsche Tierarztliche Wochenschrift, 104: 359–365

Skaar TC, RR Grummer, MR Dentine and RH Stauffacher, 1989; Seasonal effects of prepartum and postpartum fat and niacin feeding on lactation performance and lipid metabolism. J. Dairy Sci. 72: 2028–2038

Sparks JD and CE Sparks, 1995; Insulin regulation of triacylglycerol-rich lipoprotein synthesis and secretion. Biochemica et Biophysica Acta, 1215: 9–32

Spector AA and JE Fletcher, 1978; Transport of fatty acids in the circulation. Disturbances in Lipid and Lipoprotein Metabolism. (American Physiology Society, Bethesda, MD), 232–235

Sporndly R, 1999; Fodertabell for idisslare. Rapport 247, Dept. of Anim. Nutr. and Management, Swedish Univ. Agric. Sci., Uppsala, Sweden (in Swedish)

Steen A, H Grantor and PA Tureen, 1997; Glucose and insulin responses to glucagon injection in dairy cows with ketosis and fatty liver. J. Vet. Med. 44: 521–530

Stengärde L, K Holtenius, M Tråvén, J Hultgren, R Niskanen and U Emanuelson, 2010; Blood profiles in dairy cows with displaced abomasum. J. Dairy Sci. 93: 4691–4699

Sternbauer K, J Luthman and SO Jacobsson, 1998; Flumethasone-induced insulin resistance in calves. Zentralbl Veterinarmed A 45: 441-443

Strang BD, SJ Bertics, RR Grummer. and LE Armentano, 1998; Effect of long-chain fatty acids on triglyceride accumulation, gluconeogenesis, ureagenesis in bovine hepatocytes. J. Dairy Sci. 81: 728–739

Studer VA, RR Grummer, SJ Bertics and CK Reynolds, 1993. Effect of prepartum propylene glycol administration on periparturient fatty liver in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 76: 2931–2939

Subiyatno A, DN Mowat and ZW Yang, 1996; Metabolic and hormonal responses to glucose and propionic acid infusions in periparturient cows supplemented with chromium. J Dairy Sci. 79: 1436–1445

Swenson MJ and WO Reece, 2002; Fisiologia degli animali domestici. Ed. Italiana Idelson – Gnocchi Pag. 704 – 708

Targowski SP, W Klucinski and T Littledike, 1985; Suppression of mitogenic response of bovine lymphocytes during experimental ketosis in calves. Am. J. Vet. Res. 46: 1378–1384.

Taylor VJ, Z Cheng, PG Pushpakumara, DE Beever and DC Wathes, 2004; Relationships between the plasma concentrations of insulin-like growth factor-I in dairy cows and their fertility and milk yield. Vet. Rec. 155: 583–588

Terao H, M Fujita, A Tsumagari, T Sugino and T Bungo, 2010; Insulin dynamics in transition dairy cows as revealed by intravenous glucose tolerance testing. J. Vet. Anim. Advances 9 (18): 2333-2337

Thissen JP, JM Ketelslegers and LE Underwood, 1994; Nutritional regulation of the insulin-like growth factors. Endocr. Rev. 15: 80–101

Treacher RJ, IM Reid and CJ Roberts, 1986; Effect of body condition at calving on the health and performance of dairy cows. Animal Production, 43: 1–6

Tse EO, FM Gregoire, B Reusens, C Remacle, JJ Hoet, PR Johnson and JS Stern, 1998; Changes of islet size and islet distribution resulting from protein-malnutrition in lean (Fa/Fa) and obese (fa/fa) Zucker rats. Obesity Research, 5: 563–571

Van Epps-Fung M, J Williford, A Wells and RW Hardy, 1997; Fatty-acid induced insulin resistance adipocytes. Endocrinology, 138: 4338–4345

Van der Walt JG, J Procos and FJ Labuschagne, 1980; Glucose turnover, tolerance and insulin response in wethers, ewes and pregnant ewes in the fed and fasted state. Onderstepoort J. Vet Res. Sep; 47 (3): 173 – 178

Vandehaar MJ, G Yousif, BK Sharma, TH Herdt, RS Emery, MS Allen and JS Liesman, 1999; Effect of energy and protein density of prepartum diets on fat and protein metabolism of dairy cattle in the periparturient period. J. Dairy Sci. 82: 1282–1295

VanHolder T, J Leroy, J Dewulf, L Duchateau, M Coryn, A deKruif and G Opsomer, 2005; Hormonal and metabolic profiles of high-yielding dairy cows prior to ovarian cyst formation or first ovulation post partum. Reprod. Domest. Anim. 40: 460–467 Van Knegsel ATM, H van den Brand, EAM Graat, J Dijkstra, R Jorritsma, E Decuypere, S Tamminga and B Kemp, 2007; Dietary energy source in dairy cows in early lactation: Metabolites and metabolic hormones. J. Dairy Sci. 90: 1477–1485

Van Saun JR, 1991; Dry cow nutrition: the key to improve fresh cow performance. Veterinary Clinics of North America: Food Animal Practice, 7: 599–620

Vazquez-Anon M, SJ Bertics, M Luck and RR Grummer, 1994; Peripartum liver triglyceride and plasma metabolites. J. Dairy Sci. 77: 1521–1528

Veenhuizen JJ, JK Drackley, MJ Richard, TP Sanderson, LD Miller and JW Young, 1991; Metabolic changes in blood and liver during development and early treatment of experimental fatty liver and ketosis in cows. J. Dairy Sci. 74: 4238–4253

Vernon RG, RA Clegg and DJ Flint, 1981; Metabolism of sheep adipose tissue during pregnancy and lactation. Adaptation and regulation. Biochem J. Nov 15; 200 (2): 307 – 314

Voelker Linton JA and MS Allen, 2007; Nutrient demand affects ruminal digestion responses to a change in dietary forage concentration. J. Dairy Sci. 90

Voyvoda H and H Erdogan, 2010; Use of a hand-held meter for detecting subclinical ketosis in dairy cows. Res. Vet. Sci. 89 (3): 344-351

Wagner SA and DE Schimek, 2010; Evaluation of the effect of bolus administration of 50% dextrose solution on measures of electrolyte and energy balance in postpartum dairy cows. AJVR 71 (9): 1074 – 1080

Watari T, M Kobayashi, T Sasaoka, M Iwasaki and Y Shigeta, 1988; Alteration of insulin receptor kinase activity by fat feeding. Diabetes, 37: 1397–1404

Wathes DC, M Fenwick, Z Cheng, N Bourne, S Llewellyn, DG Morris, D Kenny, J Murphy and R Fitzpatrick. 2007; Influence of negative energy balance on cyclicity and fertility in the high producing dairy cow. Theriogenology 68(Suppl. 1): S232–S241

White HM, SS Donkin, MC Lucy , TM Grala and JR Roche, 2012; Short communication: Genetic differences between New Zealand and North American dairy cows alter milk production and gluconeogenic enzyme expression. J. Dairy Sci. 95: 455–459

Wilson S, JC MacRae and PJ Buttery, 1983; Glucose production and utilization in non-pregnant, pregnant, and lactating ewes. Br. J. Nutr. 50: 303–316

Yang WZ, DN Mowat, A Subiyatno and RM Liptrap, 1996; Effects of chromium supplementation on early lactation performance of Holstein cows. Canadian J. Animal Sci. 76: 221–230

Xiang AH, Peters RK, Trigo E, Kjos SL, Lee WP and Buchanan TA, 1999; Multiple metabolic defects during late pregnancy in women at high risk for type 2 diabetes. Diabetes. Apr, 48 (4): 848-854

Yokoyama H, M Emoto, S Fujiwara, K Motoyama, T Morioka, M Komatsu, H Tahara, T Shoji, Y Okuno and Y Nishizawa, 2003; Quantitative insulin sensitivity check index and the reciprocal index of homeostasis model assessment in normal range weight and moderately obese type 2 diabetic patients. Diabetes Care 26: 2426-2432

Young JW, 1976; Gluconeogenesis in cattle: significance and methodology. J. Dairy Sci. 60: 1–15

Zammit VA, 1981; Extrahepatic regulation of ketogenesis. Trends Biochem. Sci. 6: 46–49

Zammit VA, 1990; Ketogenesis in the liver of ruminants-adaptations to a challenge. J. Agric. Sci. (Camb.) 115: 155–162.

Zammit VA,1996; Role of insulin in hepatic fatty acid partitioning: emerging concepts. Biochem. J. 314: 1–14

Zhao FQ, WT Dixon and JJ Kennelly, 1996; Localization and gene expression of glucose transporters in bovine mammary gland. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 115: 127–134

Zhou YP and V Grill, 1995; Long term exposure to fatty acids and ketones inhibits B-cell functions in human pancreatic islets of Langerhans. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 80: 1584–1590

Zhou L, H Chen, P Up, LN Cong, S Sciacchitano, Y Li, D Graham, AR Jacobs, SI Taylor and MJ Quon, 1999; Action of insulin receptor subtrate-2 (IRS-3) and IRS-4 to stimulate translocation of GLUT4 in rat adipose cells. Molecular Endocrinology, 13: 505–514

Zhu LH, LE Armentano, DR Bremmer, RR Grummer and SJ Bertics, 2000; Plasma concentration of urea, ammonia, glutamine around calving and their relation to liver triglyceride, to plasma ammonia removal and blood acid-base balance. J. Dairy Sci. 83: 734–740