

Università degli Studi di Padova

UNIVERSITA' DEGLI STUDI DI PADOVA DIPARTIMENTO DI BIOLOGIA

SCUOLA DI DOTTORATO DI RICERCA IN BIOSCIENZE E BIOTECNOLOGIE INDIRIZZO BIOTECNOLOGIE CICLO XXIV

Longins and the longin domain: pivotal elements in subcellular trafficking and neuronal differentiation

Direttore della Scuola: Ch.mo Prof. Giuseppe Zanotti Coordinatore d'indirizzo: Ch.mo Prof. Giorgio Valle Supervisore: Ch.mo Prof. Francesco Filippini

Dottoranda : Lara Albania

INDEX

ABBREVIA	FIONS	.III
SOMMARIC)	VII
INTRODUC [*]	ГІОЛ	1
1.1 Subc	ellular Trafficking	1
1.1.1 M	embrane fusion and SNARE proteins	2
1.1.2 SN	NARE NT domains, longins and longin-like proteins	5
1.1.3 Hı	uman VAMP7	9
1.1.3	.1 Physiology, functions and correlated pathologies	9
1.1.3	.2 Novel regulatory mechanism at SYBL1 locus: alternative	
	splicing.	. 13
1.2 Neur	itogenesis	. 18
1.2.1 Ne	eurons and neuronal development: a general overview	. 18
1.2.2 Cy	toskeletal components and the growth cone machinery	. 20
1.2.2	.1 Influence of extracellular matrix components on cytoskeletal	
	remodeling during neurite outgrowth	. 24
1.2.2	.2 Diffusible chemotropic cues that drive the growth cone	. 26
1.2.3 M	embrane expansion and exocytosis	. 28
1.2.3	.1 SNARE VAMP7 and its relation to VAMP2 in neuronal	
	development	. 31
AIM OF TH	E PROJECT	. 35
METHODS .		. 37
RESULTS		. 43
1.1 Hum	an VAMP7 isoforms: domain architecture, tissue-specificity a	and
subc	ellular targeting	. 43
1.1.1 Qı	<i>uantitative expression and tissue specific distribution of VAMP</i> ?	7
ise	oforms	. 43
1.1.2 VA	AMP7 isoforms show different SCLs	. 44
1.1.3 VA	AMP7 isoforms are a natural tool for dissecting domain roles in	
S	C targeting	. 46
1.2 VAN	IP7 and neuronal development	. 49
1.2.1 Th	e human model: SH-SY5Y cell line	, 49
1.2.1	.1 Isoform modulation strongly increases during first days of	
	neuronal differentiation	. 49
1.2.1	.2 LD ⁻ /SNARE ⁺ VAMP7dh variant seems to enhance cell	
	differentiation also in the presence of VAMP7i	. 50
1.2.2 Th	e mouse model: E16 cortical/hippocampal neurons	. 53
1.2.2	$.1 LD^{T}/SNARE^{T} VAMP7dh$ variant enhances neurite outgrowth	on
	PDL coating	. 53
1.2.2	.2 Different effects of LN coating	. 56
1.2.2	.3 VAMP7 isoforms and extracellular substrates differentially	
	influence neurite outgrowth	. 58
1.2.2	.4 VAMP7 isoforms have different roles in VAMP7-mediated	
	exocytosis	. 60
1.2.2	.5 Cross-talk between VAMP7 and VAMP2 pathways: a	
	modulation model driven by VAMP7 isoforms	. 62

DISCU	SSION	
1.1	Human VAMP7 isoforms: domain architecture, t	issue-specificity and
	subcellular targeting	
1.2	VAMP7 and neuronal development	
CONC	LUDING REMARKS	
SUPPL	EMENTARY MATERIALS	
REFER	RENCES	1

ABBREVIATIONS

AP: Adaptor Protein Arp: Actin Related Protein AS: Alternative Splicing CALCA: CALCitonin-related polypeptide-a CAM: Cell Adhesion Molecule CCV: Clathrin Coated Vesicles CDC42: Cell Division Cycle 42 **CELF:** CUGBP- and ETR3-Llike Factor **CNS**: Central Nervous System COL: collagen COPII: COat Protein complex II CT: Carboxy-Terminal ECM: ExtraCellular Matrix **EE**: Early Endosome EGFP: Enhanced Green Fluorescent Pprotein Ena/VASP: ENAabled/VAsolidator-Stimulated Phosphoprotein ER: Endoplasmic Reticulum ERGIC: ER-Golgi Intermediate Compartment **ESE**: Exonic Splicing Enhancer ESS: exonic splicing silencer F-actin: Filamentous Actin FAK: Focal Adhesion Kinase FA: Focal Adhesion FC: Focal Contact FN: FibroNectin **GEF**: Guanine Exchange Factor GPI: GlycophosPhatidylInositol HRB: HIV-Rev Binding protein IgCAM: Immunoglobulin superfamily **ISE:** Intronic Splicing Enhancer **ISS:** Intronic Splicing Silencer LD: Longin Domain LE: Late Endosome LeX: LewisX LN: LamiNin

Lyso: Lysosomes

MT1-MMP: Membrane Type 1-Matrix Metalloproteinase Protein

NSF: N-ethylmaleimide-Sensitive Factor

NT: amino-Terminal

PDL: Poly-D-Lysine

PM: Plasma Membrane

PPV: Plasmalemmal Precursor Vesicle

PTB: Polypyrimidine-Tract Binding protein

RA: all-trans-Retinoic Acid

RE: Recycling Endosome

RFP: Red Fluorescent Protein

SC: SubCellular

SCL: SubCellular Localization

SEDT: SpondyloEpiphyseal Dysplasia Tarda

Sema: Semaphorin

SF1: Splicing Factor 1

SG: Secretory Granule

SH-SY5Y: human dopaminergic neuroblastoma cells

SIBLINGS: Small Integrin-Binding glycoproteins

SNAP: SyNaptosomal-Associated Protein

SNARE: Soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor Attachment protein REceptor

snRNP: small nuclear RiboNucleoProtein

SR: Ser–Arg

SRP: Signal Recognition Particle

ss: splice site

SV: Synaptic-like Vesicle

TF: Transcription Factor

TGN: Trans-Golgi Network

TIA1: T cell-restricted Iintracellular Antigen 1

TIS: Translation Initiation Start

TI-VAMP: Tetanus neurotoxin-Insensitive VAMP

TM: TransMembrane

TRA2: TRAnsformer 2

t-SNARE: target membrane SNARE

VacA: Vacuolating cytotoxin A

VAMP: Vesicle-Aassociated Membrane Protein

v-SNARE: vesicle SNARE

WASP/WAVE: Wiscott-Aldrich syndrome

ABSTRACT

N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment Soluble protein receptors (SNAREs) are the most investigated trafficking proteins due to their role in directing the fusion complex. Among these, long VAMPs, or longins are characterized by N-terminal longin domain (LD), controlling both SNARE complex formation and subcellular localization (SCL) and are prototyped by VAMP7, Sec22b and Ykt6. The LD adopts a stable, closed conformation, but the contribution of this state and each different domain to sorting is still unclear. Human VAMP7, encoded by gene SYBL1, is involved in multiple cell pathways, including control of neurite outgrowth. Furthermore, alternative splicing (AS) of SYBL1 results in the production of two isoform subfamilies that retain an intriguingly domain architecture. Non-SNARE longin variants share the inhibitory LD, whereas non-longin SNARE variants share the SNARE motif. Since previous evidence suggests inhibitory functions for the LD construct and growth promoting activity for the Δ -longin construct, these subfamilies are likely to play opposite functions. Therefore, mechanisms mediating neurotogenesis are not clear, in particular the contribution of extracellular stimuli and different SNAREs. This work focused on the characterization of VAMP7 LD and its isoforms in SCL and neuronal development. Expression analysis in different tissues and cell lines, real time RT-PCR and confocal microscopy analyses demonstrated that VAMP7 variants have different tissue specificities and SCL; furthermore, the LD-only isoform VAMP7i displays also a nuclear localization. Considering their variant domain combinations, these physiological splice variants were used as tools for studying targeting determinants in SCL. Moreover, recombinant fragments of the VAMP7a cytoplasmic region confirmed that individual domains are unable to determine sorting by alone, and open/closed conformational switch is not relevant to SCL in the absence of transmembrane region. Gain-of-function experiments on both neuroblastoma cells and primary neurons revealed that VAMP7 AS is able to regulate neurite outgrowth by balanced production of stimulatory (VAMP7dh) and inhibitory (VAMP7i) isoforms. These effects are also subjected to the substrate (Poly-D-Lysine or Laminin) in which neurons are cultured and to the coexpression of other VAMP7 isoforms or SNAREs (VAMP2), suggesting a fine regulatory mechanism mediated by VAMP7 AS. Additional investigation will be helpful in order to manipulate neuritogenesis in cell therapy and clarify the role of tissue-specific variants in some neurological diseases. Further characterization of VAMP7 LD and isoforms can unravel novel molecular partners and mechanisms, helpful in some biotechnological applications.

SOMMARIO

Le proteine SNARE (Soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptors) sono le più studiate nell'ambito del traffico subcellulare, dato il loro ruolo nella formazione del complesso di fusione delle membrane. In questa famiglia, le VAMP lunghe o longine sono caratterizzate da un dominio Nterminale denominato longin (LD), che ha una funzione sia nella formazione del complesso SNARE, sia nella localizzazione subcellulare delle proteine; le longine trovano inoltre un modello in VAMP7, Sec22b e Ykt6. Il LD adotta una conformazione chiusa che risulta stabile, ma non è ancora chiaro il contributo che tale conformazione e ogni differente dominio proteico portano alla determinazione della localizzazione stessa di tali proteine. VAMP7 umana, codificata dal gene SYBL1 è coinvolta in molteplici pathway subcellulari, compreso il controllo della crescita dei neuriti. Lo splicing alternativo nel locus di SYBL1 produce inoltre due famiglie di isoforme che mantengono un'interessante architettura di domini. Le longine non-SNARE condividono il dominio inibitorio LD, mentre le longine non-LD lo SNARE motif. Date le evidenze preliminari che conferiscono tale funzione inibitoria al costrutto artificiale LD e invece un'attività di promozione della crescita al costrutto non-LD, sembra plausibile che le due sottofamiglie rispecchino questi ruoli contrapposti. Tuttavia, i meccanismi coinvolti nella neuritogenesi non sono ancora completamente chiariti, soprattutto per quanto concerne il contributo degli stimoli extracellulari e delle diverse proteine SNARE. Questo lavoro di tesi si è incentrato sulla caratterizzazione del LD di VAMP7 e delle isoforme di splicing di questa proteina nell'ambito del loro ruolo sia nella localizzazione subcellulare, che nel differenziamento neuronale. Analisi di espressione in diversi tessuti e linee cellulari, dati quantitativi di real time RT-PCR e analisi di microscopia confocale hanno dimostrato come le varianti di VAMP7 presentino differenti tessuto-specificità e localizzazioni subcellulari; l'isoforma VAMP7i mostra inoltre una localizzazione anche nucleare. Considerando la loro diversa combinazione di domini, queste varianti di splicing fisiologiche sono state utilizzzate come strumenti per lo studio dei determinanti di localizzazione. Per di più, frammenti ricombinanti della regione citosolica di VAMP7a hanno confermato che i songoli domini non sono in grado da soli di determinare la localizzaione della proteina e che il cambiamento conformazionale aperto/chiuso non è rilevante per la localizzazione subcellulare, in assenza della trasmembrana. Esperimenti di gain-of-function su cellule regione di neuroblastoma e neuroni primari hanno mostrato l'esistenza di una regolazione della crescita dei neuriti mediata dallo *splicing* alternativo di VAMP7, con la produzione di isoforme sia inibitorie (VAMP7i) che stimolatorie (VAMP7dh). Tali effetti dipendono anche dal substrato (Poli-D-Lisina o Laminina) in cui i neuroni sono cresciuti e dalla co-espressione con altre isoforme di VAMP7 o con altre SNARE (VAMP2), indicando la presenza di un meccanismo di regolazione fine da parte dello *splicing* alternativo di VAMP7. Ulteriori investigazioni potranno portare sia alla manipolazione della neuritogenesi per scopi di terapeutici, sia al chiarimento del ruolo che le specifiche varianti di splicing possono avere in alcune malattie neurologiche. La futura caratterizzaione del LD di VAMP7 e delle sue isoforme, ad esempio il ruolo di VAMP7i nel nucleo possono definire nuovi interattori e meccanismi molecolari, utili in alcune applicazioni biotecnologiche.

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Subcellular Trafficking

In the last decades, convincing evidence has demonstrated that diffusion is not the unique and most important process by which soluble proteins reach their target compartment and all the biochemical events occur. Indeed, eukaryotic cells are organized with an endomembrane system that consists of morphologically distinct organelles with dedicated functions in protein and lipid glycosylation, protein sorting, and degradation. These separate compartments communicate each other and with the plasma membrane through the exchange of vesicles that carry out lipids and proteins. Many subcellular trafficking routes are recognizable (Figure 1, for review see Bonifacino and Glick, 2004; Behnia and Munro, 2005; Brocker, 2010).





The scheme depicts different compartments of the secretory, lysosomal/vacuolar, and endocytic pathways. Transport steps are indicated by arrows; colours indicate the locations of COPII (blue), COPI (red), and clathrin (orange). The coat protein complex II (COPII) vesicles accomplish the transport towards the endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC), which functions as an interface between COPII and COPI-mediated transport and represents the first entity discriminating between anterograde and retrograde transport. Slow anterograde transport within the Golgi apparatus occurs by means of cisternae maturation, whereas retrograde cargo retrieval to the ER is mediated by COPI vesicles, and it is still under debate whether COPI vesicles mediate fast anterograde transport within the Golgi apparatus. The post-Golgi cargoes are sorted at the the trans-Golgi network (TGN). Then, vesicles can follow different trafficking routes in the secretory pathway (to and from the plasma membrane - PM), and the major organelles are essentially endosomes and lysosome; thus, it can be subdivided into constitutive secrection (vesicles are immediately delivered to the cell surface) or regulated secrection (vesicles are stored and then released, following specific signals). The former is ubiquitous, whereas the latter is present only within endocrine and neuroendocrine cells. Like in the COPII system, Clathrin Coated Vesicles (CCVs) biogenesis at the TGN and the PM involves sequential binding of a small GTPase, the Clathrin Adaptor Protein (AP) Complexes, and the heterohexameric coat protein Clathrin.

Correct sorting to a specific subcellular (SC) compartment is often crucial for proteins to reach their proper function. This is particularly important not only for normal, intracellular life but also when exogenous addition of proteins, drugs, molecular markers is required: indeed, improper SC targeting may result in ineffective or even negative results. Furthermore, many components of the trafficking machinery are absolutely necessary for cell survival. A number of diseases related to improper trafficking events are being discovered in the last years, and the molecular mechanisms underlying pathogenesis can be various (Albania *et al.*, submitted under revision).

1.1.1 Membrane fusion and SNARE proteins

Membrane fusion is an important process required in several functions of mammalian cells, including hormone and neurotransmitter release, membrane receptors recycling. It is considered the final and irreversible step of each SC trafficking route. Under normal homeostatic conditions, only the appropriate organelles fuse each other, suggesting that all steps are tightly regulated and balanced. Despite the enormous diversity in organelle size and shape, SC targeting is generally mediated by "trafficking machineries" consisting of multi-subunit protein complexes and by "sorting signals" which are present in the sorted molecules and are recognized by specific interactors. Some domains are conserved among all Eukaryotes, suggesting to play a central role in these mechanisms (for review, see Bonifacino and Glick, 2004; Behnia and Munro, 2005; Brocker et al., 2010). The general, accepted model for SC trafficking retains that after cargo recruitment, new vesicles are generated by the deformation of a precursor membrane by coat proteins with the subsequent vesicle scission. In order vesicles are transported to the correct destination, they need to overcome the distance between organelles and must either bring along or acquire the machinery necessary for fusion. In most cells, this transport is mediated by cytoskeleton and its associate proteins. Once the final compartment is reached, vesicles fuse by the coordinated action of membrane-anchored Rab GTPases, Rab effectors (that bind active GTPases and stimulate GTP hydrolysis), tethering complexes that can consist of either long coiled-coil structures (functioning as a path that guides the vesicle) or (on the target membrane) of large hetero-oligomeric complexes and soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor (NSF) attachment protein receptors (SNAREs) (Wickner and Schekman, 2008). SNAREs play a central role in all the fusion events of secretory pathways, carrying out a conformationally controlled reaction cycle of high complexity (Figure 2). Similar to other intermediate involved in this cycle, trans-SNARE complexes are also regulated by other factors: for instance, Synaptotagmin 1, a Ca^{2+} sensing transmembrane protein is essential for fast, Ca²⁺ triggered fusion in neuronal exocytosis (Hong, 2005); NSF

activity guarantees that free, uncomplexed SNAREs are constantly regenerated. Despite this free status, several proteins have been shown to bind to specific SNAREs, for accomplishing their recruitment on vesicles, sorting, entering in the helix bundle, and recycling. Therefore, this regulation is not only mediated by proteins that bind to SNAREs, but also by a panoply of protein kinases and phosphatases, and by other signaling proteins that can control SNAREs either directly or indirectly (Jahn and Scheller, 2006).





Organelle-specific Rabs and Rab-effectors mediate tethering (a) and the assembly of fusion-competent microdomains (b), which achievie efficient fusion without lysis. After enrichment in microdomains, SNAREs located in the opposite membranes assemble with each other (c), forming a *trans*-SNARE complex that include additional bound factors such as Sec1/Munc 18-1 proteins, complexin and synaptotagmin (at synapses). This is able to drive bilayer distortion, triggering hemifusion (d) and then completion of fusion (e) by using the free energy that is released during the formation of a four helix bundle. After fusion, SNARE complexes exhibit a *cis*-configuration, in which all of the SNARE motifs reside together in the fused membrane. Disassembly of the *cis*-complex that is very stable requires a considerable amount of energy, provided by ATP hydrolysis: this process is carried out by the AAA-ATPase protein NSF, which binds indirectly the *cis*-complex through the α - SyNaptosomal-Associated Protein (SNAPs) (Hong, 2005).

SNARE proteins form a superfamily of small proteins with 25 members in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, 36 members in *Homo sapiens* and 54 members in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. They can significantly vary in sequence length (e.g. from <100 amino acids of some synaptobrevins to >1100 residues among tomosyns) because of their modular domain architecture (Figure 3A). The well-known SNARE motif - an α -helical coiled-coil domain of approximately 60-70 residues long - is characterized by an hydrophobic heptad register interrupted at the so called "zero" layer by either a conserved R or Q residue (Fasshauer *et al.*, 1998) (Figure 3B).



Figure. 3. SNARE classification.

A) Domain architecture of SNARE proteins, with the SNARE motif (pale blue) and a NT/CT region (from Rossi *et al.*, 2004). Despite this simple structure, SNAREs have important exceptions. Many SNAREs have independently folded domains that are positioned NT to the SNARE motif and may vary between the subgroups; on the contrary, brevins completely miss this region. Another subset of SNAREs lacks TM regions, but most of them contain hydrophobic post-translational modifications that mediate membrane anchorage. B) Skeleton representation of the SNARE complex and the zero layer (from Jahn and Scheller, 2006): SNARE motifs of Syntaxin 1 (red), SNAP25 (green) and Synaptobrevin II (blue), all oriented with their NT on the left. Based on the identity of the conserved residues at the "zero layer", SNAREs are classified as R-SNARE or Q-SNARE. The Q-SNAREs can be further subdivided into Qa (syntaxin), Qb (SNAP-25 NT SNARE motif) or Qc (SNAP-25 CT SNARE motif) SNAREs. In order to accomplish the lipid bilayer fusion, one of each of the Qa-, Qb-, Qc- and R-SNARE are required. The Qa-, Qb-, Qc-SNAREs are clustered in nanodomains on the target membrane, constituting the acceptor complex, probably stabilized by other factors as Sec/Munc (SM) proteins.

For this reason, they are often referred to as R- or Q-SNAREs, overcoming the first functional classification based on their subcellular localization (SCL): the vesicle (v)-SNAREs or the target membrane (t)-SNAREs. The two classification methods are roughly superimposable, being R-SNARE usually placed on the vesicle in the heterotypic fusion. In addition to SNARE motifs, they can show further regions, such a carboxy-terminal (CT) transmembrane (TM) region or other motifs allowing post-translational addition of lipid anchors (as SNAP-25, Yk6) (Sudhof *et al.*, 2009) and a variable or conserved amino-terminal (NT) domain. The SNARE motif alone is sufficient for SNAREs to carry out the fusion of liposomes *in vitro*; however, its pairing cannot account for the high specificity observed in living cells. Moreover, although is it known that mutations in the SNARE motif can cause missorting, no defined sorting signals have been identified within this motif (Hong, 2005; Jahn and Scheller, 2006), suggesting that conformational changes might be involved in subcellular targeting.

Eukaryotic cells contain many SNAREs, with numerous members in each subfamily; when considering mammals, only nine v-SNARE are found (Ykt6, Sec22b, Synaptobrevin/Vesicle-Associated Membrane Protein (VAMP) 1 and 2, VAMPs 3-5, 7 and 8), with an high cell/tissue-specificity. Intriguingly, highly specialized organisms as human beings only use 36 SNARE proteins (Hong, 2005; Chaineau *et al.*, 2009). This might depend on specific regulatory mechanisms accomplished during gene expression. Although some SNAREs seem to function in only one intracellular fusion step and interact with only one set of partner SNAREs, others are less specialized, providing a healthy mix of robustness and flexibility for intracellular fusion reactions. For example, after fusion events, some SNAREs need to be recycled to their donor compartment, residing in the target membrane of organelles they mediate the fusion, as well as in the membranes of organelles being part of their recycling pathway. Thus, specific sorting mechanisms ensuring equipment of the appropriate set of SNARE proteins are required.

1.1.2 SNARE NT domains, longins and longin-like proteins

NT domains can regulate SNARE motif function, interaction with other proteins and SCL. Several different types have been identified (Figure 4A); in particular, short VAMPs or "brevins" are distinguishable for a short and variable NT domain, whereas long VAMP or "longins" share a 120-140 aminoacids long NT domain called the longin domain (LD) (Figure 4B).



Figure 4. SNARE NT domains.

A) The general structural frameworks of different SNAREs (from Hong, 2005). Unlike the conserved SNARE motifs, there are different types of independently folded NT domains. Qa-SNAREs, and some Qb- and Qc-SNAREs, have NT antiparallel three-helix bundles. These bundles can vary in length and are connected to the SNARE motif by a flexible linker. By contrast, the NT domains of many R-SNAREs have LDs that can be also found in proteins other than SNAREs. R-SNAREs that have LDs are conserved among all Eukaryotes, whereas the evolutionarily younger R-SNARE brevins lack a folded NT domain and have only a few amino acids beyond their SNARE motif (Jahn and Scheller, 2006). B) The LD shows a highly conserved globular fold based on a central beta flat sandwiched in between alpha helices, so that roughly two "sides" can be recognized. Intriguingly, both sides seem to mediate SCL since they bind different partners, possibly acting in cooperative fashion (Mancias and Goldberg, 2007). LDs able to target the protein to different SCLs show most sequence variation at the two "alpha sides" of the domain, i.e. local variation in surface epitopes seems to modulate SC targeting. The "alpha2-alpha3 side" of the LD seems to mediate binding to multi-subunit trafficking complexes as well as to single proteins, whereas the "alpha1 side" is involved in alternative intra- or intermolecular binding to alpha-helical domains, once again resulting in the regulation of SC targeting (Mancias and Goldberg, 2007; Pryor *et al.*, 2008).

Longins are the only R-SNAREs conserved in all Eukaryotes (Filippini *et al.*, 2001), whereas brevins are limited to bilateria and hence are absent from whole taxa (e.g. plants) (Rossi *et al.*, 2004). Longins group into three subfamilies, prototyped by Ykt6, Sec22b and VAMP7 (also called Tetanus neurotoxin-Insensitive (TI)-VAMP - Galli *et al.*, 1998) (Figure 5A).

The functional role of such NT domains has been elucidated only in few cases; for instance, the three helix bundle NT domain of syntaxins (H_{abc} domain) is able to fold back onto the SNARE motif to form a four-helix bundle, giving a closed conformational state. This conformation is required for the syntaxin to bind Munc18-1, which in turn stabilizes the syntaxin closed conformation, thus inhibiting the SNARE complex formation and the membrane fusion (Hong, 2005). The LD of longins (Filippini et al., 2001) was found to play multiple regulatory roles, as SC sorting and membrane fusion (reviewed in (Rossi et al., 2004). In Sec22b, export from the ER is mediated by binding to Sec23/24, a process depending on a conformational epitope created by intramolecular LD-SNARE motif binding; this also results in preventing promiscuous, unspecific binding by sequestering the NT half of the SNARE motif (Mancias and Golberg, 2007). In addition to the SNARE longin Sec22b, the Sec22 family encompasses two further proteins named Sec22a and Sec22c, which share the LD but not the SNARE motif with longins. These proteins are suggested to be involved in trafficking, but their function remains still unclear (Tang et al., 1998; Gonzalez et al., 2001).

The LD targets Ykt6 to its SCL, likely by masking other localization signals (Hasegawa et al., 2004; Wen et al., 2010). Ykt6 does not share the TM region with other longins, undergoing substantially irreversible prenylation and reversible palmitoylation; this is a regulatory mechanism for its cycling between membrane and cytosol (Fukasawa et al., 2004). In yeast, the LD of Ykt6p can regulate membrane fusion by inhibiting Ykt6p participation to the fusion bundle, by competitive intramolecular binding to the SNARE motif (Tochio et al., 2001). VAMP7 LD also regulates membrane fusion (Figure 5B); furthermore, it is crucial to neurite outgrowth, as overexpression of a "deregulated" fragment missing the LD (Δ -longin) increases neurite outgrowth, whereas reverse effect (outgrowth inhibition) is obtained when expressing the LD alone (Martinez-Arca et al., 2000; Martinez-Arca et al., 2001). In addition, LDs serve as a dominant signal for SC targeting. For example, in animals LD targets VAMP7 to late endosomes by binding to the δ -subunit of the AP-3 complex (Martinez-Arca *et al.*, 2003) and the HIV-Rev binding protein (HRB) (Chaineau et al., 2008; Pryor et al., 2008). Interaction with these binding proteins is likely regulated by VAMP7 open/closedconformation, which is based on intramolecular LD-SNARE motif binding (Vivona *et al.*, 2010). In plants, several VAMP7 proteins are targeted to their different SCLs by their LDs (Uemura et al, 2005).



Figure 5. VAMP7 and its LD.

A) Domain architecture of longin VAMP7: NT LD (red), SNARE motif (pale blue), CT TM region (green). B) Roles of VAMP7 LD: 1) regulatory role by inhibition of the SNARE-complex when it is in closed conformation (Pryor *et al.*, 2008) and conformational epitope formation (Mancias and Golberg, 2007); 2) inhibition of neurite outgrowth by expressing LD fragment (Martinez-Arca *et al.*, 2000; Martinez-Arca *et al.*, 2001); 3) role in SCL observed by missorting of VAMP7c isoform that misses LD (Martinez-Arca *et al.*, 2003; Rossi *et al.*, 2004).

Although the longin fold has been originally found in longins, an increasing number of single-domain proteins and multi-domain polypeptides, which are most often part of multi-subunit trafficking complexes share a conserved fold rather than an homologous sequence with the LD (De Franceschi *et al.*, manuscript in preparation). In *Homo sapiens*, example of such longin-like proteins are the σ and μ subunits of the AP-1 and AP-2 complexes, δ and ζ subunits of COPI, TRAPP complex subunits 2 and 4, also called Sedlin and Synbindin. Longin-like proteins

have been found to act also as scaffold proteins: this is the case for MP1 and p14 proteins. Finally, a longin-like fold is shown in the NT region of the Signal Recognition Particle (SRP) Receptor α subunit (SR; the NT is called SRX). For some longin-like proteins, connections with different pathologies have been reported; e.g. mutations in Sedlin can be causative for SpondyloEpiphyseal Dysplasia Tarda (SEDT). The longin-like fold seems in turn to belong to a wider family of domains sharing mixed α/β fold. In particular, also proteins from the DUF 254 family, profilin-like proteins and PAS and GAF domains are somehow to share a structural core similar to the longin fold (Gonzalez *et al.*, 2001; Kinch and Grishin, 2006).

A new subfamily of non-SNARE longins has been recently discovered and it is specific to plants; they are thus referred to as "Phytolongins". Such proteins share NT and CT regions with longins, i.e. LD and TM region; in the central region instead, the SNARE motif is replaced by a specific "Phyl" region which is predicted to bind intramolecularly the LD, but not intermolecularly to other SNAREs (Vedovato *et al.*, 2009). Therefore, Phytolongins potentially share with longins integral membrane insertion and the open/closed conformational switch in the LD, which is crucial to tune SCL. Conversely, the function of the Phyl region has yet to be elucidated in order to understand Phytolongin involvement in the plant trafficking machinery.

1.1.3 Human VAMP7

1.1.3.1 Physiology, functions and correlated pathologies

The human gene SYBL1 (synaptobrevin-like 1) is ubiquitously expressed and finely regulated at different layers. Even if located in the Xq/Yq pseudoautosomal region, SYBL1 is subject to X inactivation (D'Esposito *et al.*, 1996) and its allelic expression is controlled by multiple epigenetic mechanisms (Matarazzo *et al.*, 2002). SYBL1 gene homologues were identified in rat, mice, non human primates, and also in plants and flies. Human SYBL1 gene structure consists of eight exons (Figure 6A) and the corresponding protein VAMP7 is a 220 residues long membrane protein (25 kDa), formed by three distinct domains, as previously described for longins: the LD of 120 residues at the NT is followed by a SNARE motif of 66 residues. At the CT, a TM region of 20 residues is followed by a short topological domain (11 residues). Considering the heptad register at the zero layer, VAMP7 shows the highly conserved RG-SNARE of longins, VAMP4 and some brevins (e.g., VAMP5, VAMP8), in contrast to the RD motif seen in

synaptobrevin/cellubrevin v-SNAREs, e.g. VAMP1/2/3 (Rossi *et al.*, 2004). VAMP7 is also called TI-VAMP due to its insensitiveness to cleavage by clostridial neurotoxins, such as tetanus toxin and other isotypes of botulinum (B, D, F and G) neurotoxins.

A lot of proofs highlight the ubiquitous role of VAMP7, considering its different binding partners and cargoes (extensively reviewd in Chaineau *et al.*, 2009). It interacts with several t-SNAREs (depending upon the cell/tissue type) and proteins involved in its regulation (Figure 6B). Furthermore, VAMP7 trafficking is responsible for different type of cargoes that explain its function in several cell types: the Cell Adhesion Molecule (CAM) L1 in neurons, Membrane Type 1-Matrix Metalloproteinase Protein (MT1-MMP) in metastatic cells, the fucosylated carbohydrate LewisX (LeX) in the Central Nervous System, the tetraspanin CD82 (also known as KAI-1) in metastatic cells. It clear that all these trafficking activities require defined routes to be followed.

VAMP7 plays a pivotal role in the recycling pathway between late endosomes and lysosomes (Figure 7A). It is also involved in constitutive-like exocytosis, during neurite elongation (see neuritogenesis section) and post-synaptic receptor expression at the PM of mature neurons. VAMP7 may also associate with a variety of other SNARE partners to function in multiple membrane traffic steps which may in part be cell type-specific, identifying both basal and specialized functions (Figure 7B). It has been found in macrophages, dendritic cells and polymorphonuclear neutrophils, where actin polymerization is the driving force for morphological changes during phagocytosis or secretion. VAMP7-expressing vesicles are also released during cytotoxic granule exocytosis in NK cells (Krzewski et al., 2011). Other experiments reported a role during cell division. An unconventional route for the trafficking of Kv4/KChIP1 is also mediated by VAMP7 in neurons and cardiac myocytes (Flowerdew and Bourgoyne, 2009). Recently, a contribution in the release of both ATP and cathepsin B from glial cells was also discovered (Verderio et al., 2011); furthermore, VAMP7-Vti1a complex transports the Major Myelin Proteolipid Protein in neurons (Feldmann et al., 2011). An interesting, new role was assessed in mediating homotypic fusion in autophagosome precursor maturation (Moreau et al., 2011).

Since its involvement in several mechanisms, VAMP7 is likely to play a critical role in different pathologies. It delivers MT1-MMP to invadopodia, in invasive tumor cells (Steffen *et al.*, 2008). VAMP7 is also suggested to be the predominant v-SNARE involved in granule-derived mediator release from eosinophils and neutrophils, the principal cause of inflammatory responses in diseases such as asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary (Logan *et al.*, 2006).



Figure 6. VAMP7 and its binding proteins.

A) Genome structure of SYBL1 (adapted from Vacca, Albania et al., 2011). Top: exon-intron structure of the human gene SYBL1 (AJ004799). The mRNA originated from the SYBL1 gene is 2660 nucleotides long and it is composed by 8 exons: exon 1 (85 nt), exon 2 (155 nt), exon 3 (58 nt), exon 4 (138 nt), exon 5 (91 nt), exon 6 (68 nt), exon 7 (93 nt) and exon 8 (1887 nt). Exon 1 is all included in the 5' UnTranslated Region (UTR); exon 2 harbors a cryptic splice site, by which it can be divided into exon 2' (90 nt, containing the canonical start site) and exon 2" (65 nt); within exon 8 the canonical stop codon and the poly A tag are located. Intriguingly, the borders between the protein domains roughly correspond to the limits between exons: the LD is coded by exons 2, 3 and 4; the SNARE motif by exons 5, 6 and the first part of 7; the TM region by the remaining part of exon 7 and by exon 8. The 100 bp reference bar only refers to exons; introns are not to scale. Exons are numbered: coding regions are white and noncoding ones are grey; start and stop codons are indicated. Bottom: domain architecture of the encoded protein VAMP7 (P51809), showing the LD, the SNARE motif (the black vertical bar indicates the conserved arginine of the polar layer) and TM region with intravesicular tail. B) VAMP7 binding proteins (from Chaineau et al., 2009). As a v-SNARE, via its SNARE motif, VAMP7 interacts with syntaxin 1 and SNAP-25 in neurons, with syntaxin 4 and SNAP-23 in fibroblasts. Stable complexes are formed with syntaxin 4 and SNAP-23 at the PM of human mastocytes, with syntaxin 3 in rat mastocytes, and with syntaxin 3 and SNAP-23 at the apical side of the epithelial Caco2 cells. VAMP7 also interacts with the endosomal t-SNARE complex composed of syntaxin 7, syntaxin 8 and vti1b in fibroblasts and brain extracts. Furthermore, the calcium-sensor protein synaptotagmin VII likely interacts with the resulting SNARE complex in a calcium-dependent manner (not shown). Other important interactions for SC sorting are mediated by: the LD, in case of the δ subunit of AP-3; the cytosolic domain, for Hrb and Varp, a Guanine Exchange Factor (GEF) for Rab21. An ArfGAP domain localized at the NT end of HRB has been predicted from in silico analysis (orange). In addition to its interacting domain with VAMP7 (ID), HRB also interacts with clathrin (pink), AP-2 (brown) and Eps15 via its NPF repeats (Asn-Pro-Phe, in gray). Varp regulates neurite outgrowth in association with VAMP7. Varp is also composed of a Vps9 domain (blue) that it is responsible for the GEF activity of the protein for Rab21 and of 11 ankyrin repeats (pink).



Figure 7. VAMP7 routes and functions (from Chaineau et al., 2009).

A) VAMP7 generally localizes in late endosomes (50%), early endosomes (5%) and lysosomes (5%); a widely localization was also found within the TGN region (30%). VAMP7 is involved in the transport from the Golgi to the cell surface (secretory pathway): it is required for insulin and osmotic shock in adypocytes and constitutive exocytosis of growth hormone; moreover, a lot of different cargoes require this route. During neuronal development, it is mainly expressed at the tip of the growth cone in immature neurons and in the somatodendritic compartments of mature neurons (pyramidal cells). After mediating exocytic events via pairing with its t-SNAREs at the PM, VAMP7 is endocytosed in a clathrindependent manner by interacting with HRB. In early endosomes, VAMP7 interacts with AP-3 reaching late endosomes and lysosomes. This binding is important for protein targeting to different compartments, depending upon cell types, which are late endosomes in epithelial cells, and synaptic vesicles in certain neuronal cells. Then, VAMP7 mediates lysosomal and granule secretion in polarized cells and fusion events in phagocytosis. VAMP7 was also found in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and cis-Golgi of enterocytes, whereas it is absent in ER of liver or kidney. It seems to mediate ER to Golgi traffic that contains syntaxin 5 and rBet1, but three distinct complexes have been identified. ER: endoplasmic reticulum, TGN: trans-Golgi Network, Lys: lysosome, EE: early endosome, LE: late endosome. B) VAMP7 is involved in different cellular functions, in dependence of the cell type. PM remodeling is required for phagocytosis to occur in macrophages (1) and during mitosis. VAMP7 also plays a role in neurite outgrowth in neurons and neuronal cell lines (2) and in apical transport in epithelial cells (3), where it forms SNARE complexes with its t-SNAREs partners syntaxin 3 and SNAP-23. Lysosomal secretion is particularly active in cell migration (4), where VAMP7 mediates fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes and for the release of exosomes; it has also been involved in local inflammatory responses mediated by specialized cells such as mastocytes, basophils, eosinophils or neutrophils. Finally, VAMP7 was found to regulate exocytosis in human neutrophils and eosinophils, like perforine and granzyme B.

Furthermore, VAMP7 directly partecipates to the vacuolating cytotoxin A (VacA)-induced vacuolation mechanism, by which *Helicobacter pylori* colonizes the gastric mucosa (Mashima *et al.*, 2008). SYBL1 expression is also altered in human pathologies characterized by DNA methylation derangement (Scarano *et al.*, 2005), such as ICF syndrome (Harsen *et al.*, 2000; Matarazzo *et al.*, 2007) and hyperhomocysteinemia (Ingrosso *et al.*, 2003). Although SYBL1 is ubiquitously expressed in human tissues, its function appears to be particularly interesting in neurons with a possible implication in neurodevelopmental disorders (Saito *et al.*, 2000; Müller *et al.*, 2002).

1.1.3.2 <u>Novel regulatory mechanism at SYBL1 locus: alternative</u> <u>splicing</u>

Like other highly regulated processes typical of eukaryotic cells, SC trafficking needs fine tuning of protein functions and structures. Alternative splicing (AS) is a crucial to both gene regulation and generation of proteomic diversity, by modulation of domain architecture and creation or deletion of sequence motifs. In metazoans, AS plays an important role in generating different protein products that function in diverse cellular processes, including cell growth, differentiation and death. (Stamm, 2002; Chen and Manley, 2009; Turner, 2011). From 50% to 74% of the human genes undergo AS, producing more than one polypeptide product (Stamm et al., 2005; Stetefeld and Ruegg, 2005). AS affects range from subtle modulation to complete variation in protein function, due to the production of different splice variants: truncated proteins, inactive products by deletion or retention of entire domains or changing of signal peptides. As a consequence, missplicing events can cause several human diseases. There are four basic splicing patterns: exon skipping (cassette exons), alternative 5' or 3' splice site (cryptic splice sites), exon shuffling (mutually exclusive cassette exons) and intron retention; among them exon skipping is the most prominent. AS can be either constitutive or induced (e.g. by receptor stimulation, cellular stress, or differentiation during development) and it is mostly due to the activation of cryptic splice site as well as binding of suboptimal sites by components of the splicing machinery or splicing regulators (Stamm et al., 2005; Fox-Walsh and Hertel, 2009).

The decision as to which exon is removed and which exon is included involves RNA sequence elements and protein regulators (Figure 8), but the exact mechanism is still unclear. Depending on the position and function of *cis*-regulatory elements, they are divided into four categories: exonic splicing

enhancers (ESEs), exonic splicing silencers (ESSs), intronic splicing enhancers (ISEs) and intronic splicing silencers (ISSs). Other mechanisms for AS regulation can be: i) secondary structures that mask splice sites or bind sites for splicing factors, ii) the inhibition and the activation of intron definition, iii) the recruitment of distinct splicing factors to the nascent pre-mRNA, resulting in the inclusion or exclusion of an alternative exon. Phosphorylation can also change the ability of splicing factors to interact with other proteins or mRNA substrates, leading to changes in splice site selection (Chen and Manley, 2009).

AS contributes to genomic diversity and tissue specificity, being controlled by differentially expressed splicing regulators and/or ubiquitously expressed splicing factors of different concentrations and/or activity. Among all human tissues, brain is the most functionally diverse tissue, as it has the highest occurrence of tissue-specific AS isoforms (Chen and Manley, 2009). Accordingly, several brain-specific factors have been identified, such as nPTB (Li *et al.*, 2007), NOVA1, NOVA2 (and Hu/Elav proteins). In addition, region- and cell type-specific expression of most of the >300 RNA-binding proteins examined was observed in proliferating and post-mitotic mouse brain cells (McKee *et al.*, 2005).





a) Ser–Arg (SR) proteins bind to ESEs to stimulate the binding of U2AF to the upstream 3' splice site (ss) or the binding of the U1 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein (snRNP) to the downstream 5' ss. SR proteins function with other splicing coactivators, such as transformer 2 (TRA2) and the SR-related nuclear matrix proteins SRm160–SRm300. T cell-restricted intracellular antigen 1 (TIA1) binds to U-rich sequences ISEs immediately downstream of 5' splice sites to facilitate U1 binding. CUGBP- and ETR3-like factor (CELF) proteins, such as ETR3, bind to similar sequences as polypyrimidine-tract binding protein (PTB), thereby activating splicing by competing with PTB. b) Fox1 and Fox2 inhibit the inclusion of calcitonin-related polypeptide- α (CALCA) exon 4 by blocking the binding of splicing factor 1 (SF1) to the branch point (top panel) and of TRA2 and SRp55 to ESEs (bottom panel), thereby inhibiting spliceosome assembly at two stages, the E' and E complexes. The arrow indicates that SRp55 and TRA2 promote binding of the U2AF complex. AS occurs in several trafficking-related genes, including SNARE genes, resulting in the production of isoforms with different SCL and trafficking properties. Developmentally regulated AS of SNAP-23 and SNAP-25 modulates interactions with accessory factors and SC localization (Shukla *et al.*, 2001; Nagy *et al.*, 2005). AS also regulates interaction properties in the large t-SNARE family of syntaxins, by varying their NT and/or CT regions (Nakayama *et al.*, 2004). In R-SNAREs, AS can finely tune vesicle subcellular targeting by varying the extreme CT region in splice variants of VAMP1 (Isenmann *et al.*, 1998; Berglund *et al.*, 1999), and tissue-specific AS of mammalian tomosyn is involved in the regulation of neuronal secretion (Yokoyama *et al.*, 1999; Groffen *et al.*, 2005). In most Eukaryotes, the involvement of VAMP7 in multiple trafficking events at different sub-cellular compartments is mediated by gene amplification and variation (Vedovato *et al.*, 2009). In mammals, VAMP7 is encoded by a single gene and yet it regulates multiple sub-cellular pathways (Chaineau *et al.*, 2009) hence, AS is a likely strategy to achieve such complexity in regulation.

Interestingly, an AS mechanism regulating SYBL1 locus was already characterized: splice variant c is deleted from approximately one-third of its LD sequence (Rossi *et al.*, 2004) and it does not interact with the δ subunit of AP-3 (Martinez-Arca *et al.*, 2003). Further investigation on the occurrence of AS at the SYBL1 gene (being part of this thesis work) reported the existence of several putative VAMP7 isoforms, produced by exon skipping (Vacca, Albania *et al.*, 2011) (Figure 9). They display interesting domain architectures that define two VAMP7 subfamilies: the non-LD and the non-SNARE isoforms. In particular, the novel VAMP7b CT sequence of unknown function partially disrupts the LD-binding motif on the SNARE motif, differently from the main isoform VAMP7a, in which the closed state is prominent (Vivona *et al.*, 2010).

In addition to AS mechanism, isoform d and h are subjected to alternative translation initiation. This is an as yet poorly characterized mechanism for producing several proteins from a single mRNA that is quite frequent in *Homo sapiens* (Kochetov, 2008). Depending on this mechanism, both isoform mRNAs produce the same polypeptide hence named "VAMP7d/h". Although the existence of VAMP7 isoforms were demonstrated at protein level (Figure 10), further investigation were required for correlating AS at SYBL1 locus with expression levels in different cell lines and tissues (Vacca, Albania *et al.*, 2011) and moreover the physiological role of these isoforms.



Figure 9. Putative VAMP7 splice variants (adapted from Vacca, Albania et al., 2011).

The main isoform, VAMP7a (220 aa) retains all 8 exons and the canonical start codon is within exon 2' The cental panel shows non-SNARE isoforms characterized by skipping of respectively: (i) exon 6 (VAMP7b), (ii) exon 5 (VAMP7i) and (iii) both exons (VAMP7j). VAMP7b is 40 amino acids longer than VAMP7a and it shares with the main isoform the LD and NT part of the SNARE motif. Then, a frameshift downstream of exon 5 results in substitution of both the CT half of the SNARE motif and the TM region by a novel CT region of 116 amino acids. On the other hand, VAMP7i basically consists of the LD alone: exons 1 to 4 encode for the first 114 residues of VAMP7, including all secondary structure elements needed to achieve a complete LD fold. Skipping of exon 5 then results in a frameshift that leads to the translation of just two additional residues, followed by a premature stop codon. As for VAMP7j, it is a kind of "membrane-anchored version" of VAMP7i: skipping of both exons 5 and 6 preserves the original frame in which the LD is followed by a short hinge region preceding the original TM and intravesicular tail regions, shared with the main isoform. In the bottom panel, "non-LD" isoforms - i.e. splice variants missing the LD are shown. They retain all the canonical exons from 4 to 8. VAMP7c was already described: the splicing event skips out 40 residues of the NT region (which thus cannot fold as a LD), but the mRNA retains the original reading frame, leading to the correct translation of the "synaptobrevin-like" part of the sequence. Translation of both VAMP7d and VAMP7h mRNAs would result in short peptides (59 and 45 aa, respectively) when starting from the canonical translation initiation start (TIS) of SYBL1, which is located in exon 2. However, a second, alternative TIS in exon 5 shows "optimal status" with respect to sequence context and distance from the upstream stop codons to reinitiate translation. Since the alternative TIS in exon 5 is common to "d" and "h" isoforms, both mRNAs are likely to produce the same polypeptide, hence named "VAMP7d/h". Intriguingly, VAMP7d/h, corresponding to last 94 residues of VAMP7a, lacks the LD and only consists only of the SNARE motif, TM region and intravesicular tail. Coding exons or their fragments are white; non coding ones are grey. Introns are not to scale. Domain architecture: LD, red; SNARE motif, cyan (the conserved arginine of the polar layer is indicated by a vertical bar); TM region and intravesicular tail, light green; NT region of VAMP7c, grey; unknown function region of VAMP7b, magenta.



Figure 10. Expression of VAMP7 isoforms at protein level (from Vacca, Albania et al., 2011).

Immunoblotting with domain-specific anti-peptide antibodies. Each MD antibody shares the color with the recognized domains: MD2, LD, red; MD3, SNARE motif, cyan; MD4 and MD5, unknown function region of VAMP7b, magenta. Other represented regions are the NT region of VAMP7c (grey) and the TM region with intravesicular tail (light green). The two large blots share the same series of whole lysates from *E. coli* cells (lanes 1-5) or Jurkat cells (lane 6) and each blot was incubated with antibodies indicated on the top. Bacterial cells express empty control plasmids (lane 1, pGEX; lane 3, pET32H), or the following VAMP7 fragments: cytoplasmic of VAMP7a fused C-terminally to GST in pGEX (lane 2), LD of VAMP7a fused C-terminally to thioredoxin in pET32H (lane 4), C-ter region of VAMP7b fused C-terminally to thioredoxin in pET32H (lane 5). Molecular weight markers are reported. Anti-MD2 and anti-MD3 oAbs are specific to respectively the LD and the CT half of the SNARE motif: thus, they both recognize isoform "a" and "b". Anti-MD4 and anti-MD5 oAbs were designed instead to be specific only to the novel CT domain of the "b" isoform. The two bands in between 25 kDa and 15 kDa markers are compatible with expected MR of VAMP7c (~20 kDa) and VAMP7j (~19kDa) and the band slightly over the 10 kDa marker is likely to correspond to VAMP7d/h (~10.5 kDa). Absence of a band for VAMP7i is not surprising, given that this isoform shows low expression in Jurkat cells and it would be recognized only by the weakest antibody (MD2).

1.2 Neuritogenesis

1.2.1 Neurons and neuronal development: a general overview

The complexity of brain requires highly specialized cells and a fine regulation of all biological functions. Neurons are polarized cells involved into the synaptic transmission of several, different information required for this regulation. They employ various mechanisms to initiate, maintain and regulate their physiological function. In particular, the formation of neural circuits requires that proper connectivity is established between neurons during development. For this reason, neural precursors need to migrate and appropriately position their cell body for projecting their axon to synaptic target. Failure to achieve correct connectivity results in a dysfunctional nervous system. All neural circuits are defined by the structure of axons and dendrites, with single axons contacting and controlling the function of multiple targets, and individual dendrites integrating inputs from several sources. An early step in the establishment of connectivity involves the growth and guidance of axons. Axon growth is led by the growth cone, a specialized structure that sits at the tip of growing axons. Neuritogenesis and collateral branching (consisting in all processes by which post-mitotic neurons extend long primary axons towards targets to form appropriate connections) are crucial processes for correct wiring of the brain and for the generation of appropriate synaptic networks (Korobova and Svitkina, 2008). In few words, brain development relies on the extension, branching, and connection of axons and dendrites. Thus, deciphering the molecular mechanisms by which all these steps occur is critical for understanding brain ontogenesis, synaptic remodeling, and plasticity. The dynamic series of events characterizing neuronal development were first identified and grouped into five, different stages (Figure 11) on the basis of neuron morphology, in cultured embryonic cortical/hippocampal neurons (Dotti et al., 1988).

Axon and dendrites are complex and regulated structures devoted to several functions. The initial segment of axon is critical for signal transduction at sites where the action potential starts. As a regulatory controller of SC trafficking (Horton and Ehlers, 2004; Allen and Chilton, 2009), axon also acts like a diffusion barrier for membranes and cytoplasm (Song *et al.*, 2009) that becomes competent only when the axon can establish synaptic contact (Dotti and Poo, 2003). A recent work showed that also axons from the central nervous system (CNS) are able to locally synthesize proteins (Taylor *et al.*, 2009) that are principally involved in establishment of the mature synapse (Kundel *et al.*, 2009). This mechanism is particularly active after axon injury (Taylor *et al.*, 2009; Yoo *et al.*, 2010). Nevertheless, the establishment of one axon is not the final destination

of all neurites. Indeed, during neuronal development, other neurites can change their fate and become an axon, if something happens to the others (transection).





Five events characterize dynamic rearrangements in neuronal morphology of cortical/hippocampal neurons (Dotti *et al.*, 1988): i) after dissociation from brain, cultured neurons attach to the substrate and then form several thin extension of filamentous actin bundles, called filopodia (stage 1); ii) after several hours in culture, a number of immature neurites with the same lenght are found (stage 2); iii) after 12h in culture, one of these neurite starts growing, rapidly extending its surface area (stage 3). Neurite extension, as well as breaking of symmetry (polarization) is controlled by positive and negative feedback loops that are able to regulate actin filaments and microtubules, or influence vesicle transports and cargo delivery. The main site at which all these elements act is the neuronal growth cone, found at the tip of each neurite or axon; iv) after a week, these morphological and molecular changes are able to guide axon and dendrite formation (stage 4); v) at this point, dendrites start their maturation and specialization, being short and thick and establishing dendritic components for spine formation (stage 5). Spines are specialized compartments able to receive and elaborate the excitatory synaptic signaling. A mature synapse is finally formed and neurons are now able to form synaptic contacts.

This modulation explains the neuronal plasticity that is known to be retained in stage 4 hippocampal neurons (Goslin and Banker, 1989). Further evidence shows that CNS neurons have some intrinsic capacity for growth after axon injury (Campos et al., 2008; Blesch and Tuszunsky, 2009; Meldolesi, 2011; Lau et al., 2011). Interestingly, molecular mechanism supporting axon repair and growth clearly resemble mechanisms used in uninjured axon, requiring calcium, SNARE and cytoskeletal proteins. Furthermore, non neuronal cells utilize similar mechanisms for membrane repair, suggesting the existence of a common, conserved, basic program (Bloom and Morgan, 2011). Despite the well-known difference between dendrites and axons in both morphology and physiological roles, they share many of the cell body properties. Indeed, a fine cytoskeletal organization, with microtubules of mixed polarity (Burton, 1988; Baas et al., 1989), ER and a Golgi apparatus are found. The ER extends into all dendrites, whereas Golgi is present only in a subset of them (Hanus and Elhers, 2008); lipids and proteins produced in the dendritic ER can be addresses directly to dendrites or back to the perinuclear Golgi network before secretion (Horton and Ehlers, 2003).

Surface membrane trafficking is very active, including both endosome and endocytic/exocytic pathways (Horton and Ehlers, 2004; Chieregatti and Meldolesi, 2005).

Since establishing these morphological and physiological features is the final hallmark of all neurons, outgrowth occurs anywhere they can live in adequate conditions, *in vivo* and *in vitro*. Immortalized neurosecretory cell lines have been used for extensively studies among neurite outgrowth. Despite their many advantages, they considerably differ from neurons. Neither axon nor dendrites are detectable without induction treatment and in any case, their only undergo to a neuron-like differentiation (not complete differentiation), because they are able to establish axon commitment, but not synaptogenesis (Meldolesi *et al.*, 2011). For these reasons, primary cultures from rat/mouse cortical/hippocampal neurons are more fruitful. Anyway, in both cases, neurite outgrowth takes preferentially place at the growth cone (Pfenninger, 2009).

Growth, remodeling, maintenance and repair of axon and dendritic processes rely on both cytoskeleton and membrane trafficking. A great effort in coordinating protein sorting, vesicle budding, transport along the cytoskeleton and vesicle fusion is required (Dent *et al.*, 2007; Pfenninger, 2009; Tsaneva-Atanasova *et al.*, 2009; Gupton and Gertler, 2010). All these SC mechanisms are tightly regulated by members of Rho GTPase family. Furthermore, a linker between vesicles and actin cytoskeleton was identified in Synapsin: its simultaneous interaction with synaptic vesicles and actin positively regulates vesicle mobility (Bloom *et al.*, 2003). It can also bind all other elements of the cytoskeletal component implicated in growth cone and neurite dynamics (Bennet and Baines, 1992). Therefore, the complexity of such mechanisms and recent findings of their mutual interactions (Alberts *et al.*, 2003; Alberts *et al.*, 2006; Dent *et al.*, 2007; Gupton and Gertler, 2010) suggest that a delicate balance between great varieties of molecular elements is needed.

1.2.2 Cytoskeletal components and the growth cone machinery

Cells extend different PM protrusions at their leading edge that are characterized by involvement of filamentous actin (F-actin)-based structures, determined by the type of actin-associated proteins (Small *et al.*, 2002). The best described structures present in neurons are lamellipodia and filopodia: lamellipodia appear as thin, densely branched meshwork of F-actin behind the protruding cell edge, with fastgrowing "barber" filament ends oriented toward the direction of protrusion and migration (Gupton and Gertler, 2007; Dent *et al.*, 2011). In mature neurons *in vivo*, they can extend long distances through the extracellular matrix (ECM), pulling cells through the tissues (Friedl and Gilmour, 2009). Conversely, filopodia are bundled actin filaments that extend in a finger-like manner, exploring the cell surroundings (Dent et al., 2007; Gupton and Gertler, 2007; Dent et al., 2011). In early steps of neuritogenesis, the neuronal sphere is broken by localized budding (Da Silva and Dotti, 2002) or formation of segmented lamellipodia from an initial broad lamellipodium (Dehmelt and Halpain, 2004). Therefore, neurite initiation requires acting capping protein regulators for filopodia formation (Dent et al., 2007). Since establishment of neuronal circuitry is the landmark in neuronal development, the growth cone present at the tip of axon and dendrites acts as a guide for reaching axon final targets with great precision, due to a particularly sensitivity to multiple sources of spatial information (Bentley and Toroian-Raymond, 1986; Dent et al., 2003). Adhesive molecules and ECM provide defined surfaces to which growth cone receptor can adhere, acting as railways for the growing membrane, whereas diffusible chemotropic cues act as signs for its guidance (Lowery and Van Vactor, 2009). Growth cone shape and functions (protrusion and motility) are driven primarily by the cyclical polymerization and depolymerization of actin filaments that ensure the presence of its actin-and microtubule-rich structures (Figure 12).





The function of the growth cone is determined by its structure. Three different domains can be identified, on the basis of cytoskeletal distribution (Dent and Gertler, 2003): 1) a peripheral (P) domain containing filopodia, and lamellipodia-like vails; 2) a central (C) domain in which microtubules enter from the axon shaft (some of them also explore the P zone, but their spreading is limited by actin arcs), as well as various series of organelles and vesicles; 3) the transition (T) zone, in between these two domains. It is a hemicircumferential ring formed by actin arcs, where microtubules are continuous with the axonal stalk (Horton and Ehlers, 2003; Pfenninger, 2009). During neuronal development, all these cytoskeletal components are engaged to drive forward and turn, in a continuous progression of the growth cone through three stages: protrusion, engorgement and consolidation. After binding of a substrate by growth cone receptors, intracellular signaling cascades are activated and a protrusion processes is started, where filopodia and lamellipodia-like veils extend the leading edge. During engorgement there is an advance of the C zone, with a reorientation of actin arcs following direction of the new site of growth and invasion of microtubules. Finally, myosin-II containing actin arcs compress the microtubules into the newly localized C domain and as a consequence, filopodia are not present any more in this region (consolidation).

Actin cytoskeleton remodeling is regulated by a complex repertoire of actin accessory proteins involved in polymerization, capping, severing, branching, bundling, contraction and adhesion to extracellular substrate (Figure 13) (Gupton and Gentler, 2007; Dent et al., 2011). These multiple regulators include the actin nucleators actin related protein (Arp) 2/3 complex and the formins, and the F-actin enabled/vasolidator-stimulated polymerization factor phosphoprotein (Ena/VASP). Arp 2/3 complex is necessary for lamellipodium formation (Small, 1994) and filopodium initiation; its activation is accomplished primarily by Wiscott-Aldrich syndrome (WASP/WAVE) proteins. Actually, the role of this complex in axon outgrowth is difficult to completely define. It is likely that both the guidance cues Semaphorin (Sema) 3A and netrin utilize this actin assembly pathway. Conversely, Ena/VASP proteins are barber-end binding proteins that promote assembly of long, sparsely branched actin filament networks and filopodial/lamellipodial protrusion; furthermore, these proteins have important roles in growth cone guidance downstream of both attractive and repulsive cues (e.g. netrin). Therefore, the precise nature of Ena/VASP function and its molecular mechanism remain controversal (Gupton and Gertler, 2007; Dent et al., 2011). Some works suggest both its interaction with Arp2/3 during neurite outgrowth (Goldberg et al., 2000; Gupton and Gertler, 2007), whereas evidence also promotes the idea of separate functions (Applewhite et al., 2007; Gupton and Gertler, 2010). Furthermore, failure to form filopodia in Ena/VASP-deficient neurons induces a neurite initiation defect and blocks axon fiber tract formation in the cortex (Kwiatkowski et al., 2007; Gupton and Gertler, 2010).

Two alternative models for actin polymerization have been suggested: the convergent elongation and *de novo* nucleation model (Gupton and Gertler, 2007). They could act at the same time, even responding to distinct sets of conditions, such as the abundance of specific cytoskeletal components, different signaling pathways, and diverse composition of the ECM. In the convergent elongation model, Arp2/3-seeded actin filaments are elongated by factors, such as Ena/VASP family proteins, that also protect them from capping, and are assembled into filopodial bundles by fascin (Ridley, 2011). On the contrary, in the *de novo* nucleation model, linear filament nucleators and elongators, such as formin and Ena/VASP family proteins, are assembled into submembranous complexes, promoting the processive elongation of parallel actin filaments, which are cross-linked into filopodial actin bundles (Mattila and Lappalainen, 2008; Menna *et al.*, 2011). Each actin regulator is controlled by several signaling molecules, usually including a Rho GTPase, membrane phospholipids, and protein phosphorylation.

The balance of other actin-binding proteins also contributes to the length of actin filaments in the lamellipodium.



Figure 13. Regulation of the equilibrium between lamellipodia and filopodia by actin-binding proteins (from Menna et al., 2011).

Filopodial initiation and extension require the coordinated activities of actin-capping and anti-capping proteins. They regulate the equilibrium between lamellipodia and filopodia, first maintaining the lamellipodial structure through the devise action of capping and branching proteins, then promoting filopodia formation by their removal and the concomitant activity of actin-cross-linking (bundling) proteins. This step is required for filament elongation.

For example, Cofilin mediates the severing of existing cortical actin filaments, which generates new barbed ends and hence new filaments, to which the Arp2/3 complex can then bind and stimulate branching (van Rheenen et al., 2009). Furthermore, several actin nucleators directly interact with microtubules, including the Diaphanous-related formin (mDia) proteins, Spire, and WHAMM (WAS protein homolog associated with actin, Golgi membranes, and microtubules). MDia proteins can also generate filopodia independently from N-WAS, the Arp2/3 complex, and lamellipodia (Takenawa and Suetsugu, 2007). Interestingly, expression of mDia2 or the motor protein myosin X can also rescue neuritogenesis in Ena/VASP-null neurons. Furthermore, neurons cultured on ECM component Laminin (LN) promotes the formation of filopodia-like actin-rich protrusions (Dent et al., 2007) due to activation of VAMP7-mediated exocytosis driven by activation of Arp2/3 that rescue neuritogenesis (Gupton and Gertler, 2010). Thus, multiple mechanisms seem to drive filopodia formation, in relation to the expression profile of a particular cell type; similarly cell architecture may influence the mechanism used.

During development, filopodia emerging from growing axons, which are already characterized by the presence of actively recycling synaptic vesicles, are representative precursors of presynaptic boutons (Matteoli *et al.*, 2004). They seem to guide the coordinated growth of presynaptic and postsynaptic partners (Dailey and Smith, 1996; Ziv and Smith, 1996; Okabe *et al.*, 2001; Evers *et al.*, 2006). Conversely, dendritic filopodia may serve as precursors of new spines in the context of activity-dependent synaptogenesis (Knott and Holtmaat, 2008).

1.2.2.1 <u>Influence of extracellular matrix components on cytoskeletal</u> <u>remodeling during neurite outgrowth</u>

ECM provides the physical microenvironment in which cells live, a substrate for their anchorage and a tissue scaffold. It consists of a complex assembly of many proteins and polysaccharides, forming an elaborate meshwork within tissues. The primary components are fibrous structural proteins (e.g. collagens, Col; LNs; fibronectin, FN; vitronectin and elastin), specialized proteins [e.g. growth factors, matricellular proteins and small integrin-binding glycoproteins small (SIBLINGS)] and proteoglycans, but the precise composition varies from tissue to tissue. Within a single tissue, the ECM is constantly being remodeled specially during embryonic development, when it is particularly active in transmitting environmental signals to cells and in guiding their migration for tissue morphogenesis. In the nervous system, neuron precursor cells must migrate, differentiate and establish proper connections with defined targets. Thus, ECM proteins are potent mediators of survival and axonal growth and guidance in vivo and *in vitro*, exhibiting either attractive or repellent guidance cues.

Primary neuron cultures require the choice of the best substrate, as it can profoundly affect cellular response (Geiger *et al.*, 2001; Myers *et al.*, 2011). Indeed, the growth cone expresses a variety of receptors at the cell surface and even at the tips of filopodia (O'Donnell *et al.*, 2009), which bind ECM ligands (Figure 14). ECM components, in particular LNs, are required for survival (Mitchell *et al.*, 2001; Chen *et al.*, 2009; Myers *et al.*, 2011), migration and differentiation of neurons in the developing nervous system, when their expression is both spatially and temporally regulated (Nurcombe, 1992) as well as in the remodeling of both normal and diseased adult brain (Rauch, 2004). LNs are heterotrimer with a characteristic cruciform structure, composed of a variety of α , β , and γ chains (Timpl, 1996), of which $\alpha 2$, $\beta 1$, and $\gamma 1$ are highly expressed in the hippocampus (Hagg *et al.*, 1997). There are a number of LN isoforms that vary in tissue distribution and ability to promote neuritogenesis (Plantman *et al.*, 2008).
LNs are particularly expressed in the cerebral cortex and play important roles in neuronal plasticity, degeneration and regeneration (Chen and Strickland, 2003; Yin *et al.*, 2003). Many integrin subunits are expressed at high levels during neuronal development, functioning in migration and axon extension on a variety of ECM proteins, in several CNS regions. LN is the primary ECM protein produced by meningeal fibroblasts (Miner and Yurchenco, 2004), first source of



Figure 14. Schematic representation of key molecular components of the growth cone on the ECM (from Myers et al., 2011).

Receptors function as adhesive contacts with the surrounding environment, but also activate biochemical signals within growth cones. Together with cell adhesion molecules (CAMs), ECM proteins are involved in regulating direction and extension of neurites, along specific pathways (Kiryushko et al., 2004), activating signals that positively or negatively influence axon outgrowth, and if locally generated, graded signals within growth cones promote axon turning. Adhesions are quite heterogeneous, but they share two common features: they are mediated by Integrins (a group of heterodimeric TM adhesion receptors) and interact with the actin cytoskeleton at the cell interior (Geiger et al., 2001; Daley et al., 2008). CAMs such as Integrins and CAMs of the immunoglobulin superfamily (IgCAMs) commonly follow a recycling pathway from the PM (Kamiguchi and Lemmon, 2000). One of those, L1-CAM seems to be particularly involved in neurite outgrowth and shows an interested linkage with VAMP7-mediated exocytosis (Alberts et al., 2003; Alberts et al., 2006). When integrin receptors bind to ECM elements (Col; LN; FN) (Buck and Horwitz, 1987), they become activated, leading to the assembly of multiple scaffolding proteins, such as talin, paxillin, and vinculin to the cytoplasmic tail; integrin receptor clustering directly active FAK and Src. An intracellular signaling cascade is then initiated by phosphorylation of downstream molecules that control cytoskeleton dynamic and promote microtubule assembly to enhance elongation of neuronal processes (Brakebusch and Fässler, 2003; Yoshimura et al., 2005). In growth cones, integrin function is regulated not only by ligand binding, but it also appears to be regulated by receptor trafficking (Ezratty et al., 2009). This has relevant importance, considering that directed insertion or retrieval of integrin receptors may locally amplify signals activated by gradients of guidance cues. These receptors connect cells to their environment at distinct contact points. Structures such as focal contacts (FCs) and focal adhesions (FAs) are highly complex and dynamic macromolecular assemblies that link the actin cytoskeleton to ECM proteins through integrin receptors. This mechanism can be strongly influenced by axon guidance factors (Mayers et al., 2011) through binding and activation of FAK and Src. Cross-talk through FAK/Src signaling modulates adhesion assembly and turnover, as well as regulation of the actin cytoskeleton.

ECM in region linging the cortex. LN is one of the components of the pia basement membrane, whose integrity is assembled and maintained by most of cell adhesion molecules. In the cerebral cortex, LN of the pia basement membrane regulates neuronal migration through the radial glia scaffold, while neuronal LN regulates neuronal migration probably by promoting neurite extension. LNs produced by migrating neurons are hypothesized to be deposited around neurons, neuronal processes, and radial glial fibers. They induce extension of the leading process of migrating neurons toward the pia, and mediate radial glia-neuron interactions (Chen *et al.*, 2009). Although previous studies suggested that LN primarily functions in the pial basement membrane (Halfter *et al.*, 2002), evidence shows that LN also supports migration and process extension within the cortical plate. Cortical neurons are packed tightly and the cortex itself contains only small amounts of both LN and other type of ECM components; so cortical neurons are normally cultured without LN. Therefore, Chen and colleagues demonstrated that these neurons secrete their own substrate to support axon extension in an autocrine manner (Chen *et al.*, 2009).

Neuritogenesis is normally mediated by Ena/VASP protein and VAMP2-mediated exocytosis; anyway, in cortical neurons, another pathway for neurite elongation was found, driven by Arp2/3 and VAMP7-mediated exocytosis (Gupton and Gertler, 2010). In this case, LN is the extracellular signal for molecular switching: attachment to LN rendered Ena/VASP and VAMP2 dispensable. LN, mDia2 and Myosin X are capable of rescuing neuritogenesis in Ena/VASP null neurons (Dent *et al.*, 2007), but LN can specifically activate this second pathway (Gupton and Gertler, 2010). Furthermore, loss of LN in a large group (not all of them) of cortical neurons impairs neuronal morphogenesis and migration. This is probably due to differences in actin structures (architecture) formed by this pathway (Dent *et al.*, 2007.).

The processes of neuronal outgrowth and guidance have typically been studied in classic 2D cell culture systems that do not recapitulate topographical cues present in the *in vivo* ECM. A new study on advanced 3D nanopatterns coated by LN provided evidence for the presence of two distinct filopodia populations at the growth cone of neurons growing, suggesting new insights concerning the physiological sensing of geometric ECM cues (Jang *et al.*, 2010; Pertz, 2011).

1.2.2.2 Diffusible chemotropic cues that drive the growth cone

The role of the growth cone in precisely guiding neurites and axon at their final targets with is due to a particular sensitivity to multiple, attractive and repulsive sources of spatial information (Bentley and Toroian-Raymond, 1986; Dent *et al.*, 2003). These sources secrete guidance cues able to active specific receptors, causing growth cone migration towards or away (Yoshikawa and Thomas, 2004).

Depending on the receptor repertoire and on the signaling machinery expressed by a given neuron, these cues trigger multiple signaling cascades by modulating adhesion to the ECM and other cells. In response to guidance cues, asymmetric rearrangement of cytoskeleton (F-actin and microtubules accumulation) occurs in the growth cone, driving its migration in that direction (Zhou and Cohan, 2004; Menna et al., 2011). At the same time, adhesive molecules and ECM provide defined surfaces to which growth cone receptors can adhere acting as railways for the growing membrane (Lowery and Van Vactor, 2009). As migrating axons encounter different environments, new signals may negatively regulate these steps to control morphological changes that occur during turning, branch formation, and synaptogenesis (Moore et al., 2008). Key signaling pathways downstream of guidance receptors include the Rac GTPase and phosphoinositide 3-kinase signaling pathways (Ming et al., 1999; Lundquist, 2003). Integration of all these signals can depend on the focal adhesion kinase (FAK), acting as a biosensor molecule (Chacón and Fazzari, 2011) that may link different, external stimuli to multiple intracellular pathways to control the maturation of adhesive structures, cell shape and motility (Liu et al., 2004).

Asymmetry has also been observed in other processes, as exocytosis, calcium signaling, and protein translation, suggesting that they are active players in growth cone guidance (Gomez and Zheng, 2006; Tojima *et al.*, 2007; Hutchins and Kalil, 2008). Indeed, they can control receptor activation, clustering, removing from cell surface by recycling vesicles (Sann *et al.*, 2009) and modulate linkage with the cytoskeleton. In addition, signals generated by integrin receptors likely reciprocally influence responses to other axon guidance cues. This cross-talk between signals generated by adhesive integrin receptors and axon guidance cue receptors can provide great versatility for pathway selection by developing neurons.

Four conserved families of axon guidance cues, the netrin, Sema, ephrin, and Slit proteins, mediate guidance effects via receptors of either the Deleted in Colorectal Cancer (DCC) or UNC5, neuropilin/plexin, Eph, and Robo families, respectively (Nakamoto *et al.*, 2004). As previously described, some guidance cues can directly act on integrin receptors, whereas others indirectly influence integrin-associated adhesion complex proteins, in a continuous cross-talk between different stimuli. For example, neurotrophins (Staniszewska *et al.*, 2008), Wnt5a (Kawasaki *et al.*, 2007), and netrin (Yebra *et al.*, 2003) each can bind specific integrin heterodimers. A novel axon guidance molecule, draxin, shares no sequence homology with other known guidance molecules (Islam *et al.*, 2009); nevertheless, it can bind multiple netrin-1 receptors and its high-affinity interaction with DCC is

required for the inhibitory effect on the cortical and olfactory bulb (OB) neurite outgrowth (Ahmed *et al.*, 2011).

Netrins are the most characterized chemotropic cues that belong to the family of extracellular, LN-related proteins (Yurchenco and Wadsworth, 2004). All netrins are composed of ~600 amino acids and their NT sequences are homologous to domains VI and V found at the NTs of LNs. In mammals, three secreted netrins, netrin-1, 3 and 4, and two membrane-tethered glycophosphatidylinositol (GPI)linked netrins, netrin G1 and G2, have been identified. Among them, netrin-1 is expressed in regions of both the developing and adult nervous systems, including the optic disc, forebrain, cerebellum and spinal cord (Livesey and Hunt, 1997). It functions not only in outgrowth and attraction (Métin et al., 1997) but also in migration (Stanco et al., 2009) and in branch formation (Dent et al., 2004; Tang and Kalil, 2005) in cerebral cortical axons. Netrin-1 is also highly expressed in various tissues outside of the nervous system, including the developing heart, lung, pancreas, intestine and mammary gland (Shin et al., 2007; Zhang and Cai, 2010). Its principal receptor in chemoattraction, DCC is a type I single pass TM protein that mediates netrin-1-dependent axon outgrowth (Figure 15). Direct and independent binding of DCC and integrin receptors also supports cell adhesion (Yebra et al., 2003; Shekarabi et al., 2005).

Furthermore, integrin signaling appears to modulate netrin function downstream of DCC receptors, directing the activation of FAK and Src (Höpker *et al.*, 1999). Other studies provided evidence of a netrin-1 signaling mediated by membrane depolarization (Bouchard *et al.*, 2008), where DCC is recruited to the PM via a mechanism that requires PKA, PI3-Kinase, and PKC pathways and this enhances axon growth with a mechanism that requires VAMP7-mediated exocytosis (Cotrufo *et al.*, 2011). Localized binding of netrin to its receptors can also activate translation and function to restrict new protein synthesis to specific subdomains of a cell or growth cone, acting as an anchor for the translation machinery to the PM (Tcherkezian *et al.*, 2010), thus spatially restricting protein synthesis (Sun *et al.*, 2011).

1.2.3 Membrane expansion and exocytosis

PM is the largest neuron's organelle. *In vivo*, its generation occurs in four different moments: during *de novo* outgrowth of a neurite tipped by a growth cone; during axon elongation; during the formation of collateral sprout; during regeneration of a severed neurite (Pfenninger, 2009). Membrane expansion commonly requires SC trafficking of different type of vesicles and exocytosis (Figure 16).



Figure 15. Netrin signaling cascade (from Lai Wing Sun et al., 2011).

(A) During chemoattraction, netrin-1 binds DCC and triggers the homodimerisation via the cytoplasmic domain (Stein et al., 2001; Matsumoto and Nagashima, 2010), for constitutively activating the bound to NCK1 and FAK. This initiates the recruitment of a number of intracellular signaling components. Recent studies have shown that netrin-1 can activate multiple downstream signal transduction molecules that regulate cytoskeletal dynamics and process extension, including Src and members of the Rho GTPase family, the release of Ca2+ stores, protein translation and, ultimately, the rearrangement of the actin cytoskeleton (Huber et al., 2003; Lai Wing Sun et al., 2011). (B) During chemorepulsion, netrin-1 signals activate UNC5/DCC heterodimers (Keleman and Dickson, 2001), which are thought to facilitate long-range responses by increasing the sensitivity to relatively low netrin concentrations, or UNC5 (Hong et al., 1999) in the absence of DCC to mediate relatively short-range repellent responses. Signal transduction components illustrated as 'faded' are speculative and direct evidence for their involvement has not been obtained. 80s, eukaryotic ribosomes; Arp2/3, complex of the actin-related proteins ARP2 (ACTR2) and ARP3 (ACTR3); CDC42, cell division cycle 42; DAG, diacylglycerol; ERM-M, ezrin/radixin/moesin and merlin protein family; GEFs, guanine exchange factors; IP3, inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate; MAX1, motor axon guidance PH/MyTH4/FERM domain cytoplasmic protein; MLC, myosin light chain; mTOR, mammalian target of rapamycin; NWASP, neuronal Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein; NCK1, non-catalytic region of tyrosine kinase adaptor protein 1; pAKT, phosphorylated RACalpha serine/threonine protein kinase; pCofilin, phosphorylated cofilin; pERK1/2, phosphorylated extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2; pFAK, phosphorylated focal adhesion kinase; pFYN, phosphorylated Src family kinase FYN; pLIMK, phosphorylated LIM domain kinase 1; pMEK1/2, phosphorylated mitogenactivated protein kinase kinase 1/2; PAK1, p21-activating kinase 1; PI3K, phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase; PIP, phosphatidylinositol phosphate; PIP2, phosphatidylinositol (4,5) bisphosphate; PIP3, phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5) trisphosphate; PKC, protein kinase C; PLCy, phospholipase Cy; RAC1, ras-related C3 botulinum toxin substrate 1; RHOA, Ras homologue gene family member A; ROCK, RhoA kinase; SHP2, Src homology region 2 domain-containing phosphatase 2: SRC. tyrosine kinase sarcoma.

As extensively discussed in the previous section, general mechanisms underlying membrane traffic can be divided into four essential steps - that include vesicle budding, transport, tethering and fusion (Bonifacino and Glick, 2004) - and are regulated by Rab GTPases (Grosshans et al, 2006) and by SNARE proteins (Jahn and Scheller, 2006). Transport of new components to the PM is crucial for the expression of newly synthesized membrane proteins (CAMs, growth factor, receptors), and all proteins and lipids necessary for membrane expansion, motility of neuronal precursors, neurite outgrowth, and target recognition. In addition, recycling of these proteins plays a role in controlling their density at the PM and

may be involved in vectorial membrane traffic during neurite outgrowth (Kamiguchi and Yoshihara, 2001).

Neuritogenesis and growth cone dinamycs are dependent on a regulated phenomenon of SNARE-mediated exocytosis (Pfenninger et al, 2003; Laurino *et al.*, 2005) of cytoplasmic vesicles that are distinct from the canonical neurosecretory vesicles endowed with VAMP2 (Bonanomi *et al.*, 2006). Indeed, growth cone motility and responsiveness to extracellular cues is influenced by localized insertion of membrane components driven by asymmetrical exocytosis on the peripheral (P)-domain, at the site of the attractive Ca²⁺ signals (Sabo and McAllister, 2003; Alberts *et al.*, 2006; Tojima *et al.*, 2007; Pfenninger, 2009). An example is the DCC insertion for netrin-1 stimulation (Tojima *et al.*, 2007; Hutchins and Kalil, 2008). Generation of PM is also due to and necessary for ionic current propagation within mature neurons.



Figure 16. Sites of synthesis and plasmalemma insertion of membrane components in a growing, polarized neuron (from Pfenninger, 2009).

The perikaryon is the primary site of membrane synthesis, producing plasmalemmal precursor vesicles (PPVs) for export into the axon and dendrites. During axon outgrowth a selective membrane expansion occurs primarily at the growth cone. Therefore, an uniform insertion also occurs, followed by retrieval of vesicles from domains where they do not belong. Transcytosis (plasmalemmal insertion at the perikaryon and dendrites, followed by selective retrieval and targeting of endocytic vesicles to the axon) is another mechanism for membrane fusion. Despite the presence of a basic secretory pathway shared with other cell types, membrane insertion in neurons is more complex due to several membrane domains to which the different types of PPV have to be appropriately targeted. Furthermore, axons and dendrites independently regulate their membrane insertion. All SNAREs not directly involved in neurotransmitter exocytosis are either brainenriched or have distinct neuron-specific functions, suggesting a peculiar role of subcellular trafficking in neurons. Syntaxins 12/13 regulates glutamate receptor recycling via its interaction with neuron-enriched endosomal protein of 21 kDa (NEEP21). Ykt6 is highly enriched in the cerebral cortex and hippocampus and is targeted to a novel compartment in neurons. Syntaxin 16 has a moderate expression level in many tissues, but is rather enriched in the brain (Wang and Tang, 2006). Various Q-SNAREs are involved in this process: SNAP23/25, Syntaxin 3 (Darios and Davletov, 2006), syntaxin 13 (Hirling et al., 2000), syntaxin 6 (Kabayama et al., 2008). Recently, VAMP4 was linked to another type of exocytic vesicle, enlargeosome (Cocucci et al, 2008), seen in at least three types of nerve cells. This organelle sustains a new, very rapid form of neurite outgrowth, induced by the activation of the small GTPase Rac1 (Takefuji et al., 2007; Rachetti et al., 2009). Similar regulated secretory-vesicle exocytosis also occurs in mature neurons, during neurotransmitter release (Pfenninger, 2009) or in dendrite physiology. Although axon protein trafficking seems to be more complex than dendrite one, they have similarities in the mechanism that regulate exocytosis and membrane expansion (Sann et al., 2009). Recently, a study on Vti1a that is known to associate with VAMP4, syntaxin6 and syntaxin13 for early endosome regulation (Antonin et al., 2000) revealed the presence of separated vesicle pools at the mature synapse (Ramirez et al., 2012).

In addition to the secretory pathway, recycling pathways from the PM to late endosomes can regulate neurite outgrowth. Several studies have also revealed coordinate activity among endosomes, cytoskeleton and the exocytosis complex in membrane addition and neurite dynamics. (Sann *et al.*, 2009). Anyway, once an axon has reached the final target, its growth ends and the growth cone machinery is disassembled and replaced by a presynaptic terminal. Thus, further membrane insertion in the processes of a mature neuron occurs with a different mechanism (Pfenninger, 2009).

1.2.3.1 <u>SNARE VAMP7 and its relation to VAMP2 in neuronal</u> <u>development</u>

The above described complex mechanisms explain the difficulty in having a complete vision of all proteins, complexes and pathways that occur in neurite outgrowth. This becomes quite clear when considering v-SNAREs responsible for exocytosis in early steps of neuronal developments. A great effort was made by a

many researchers in order to understanding such mechanisms; therefore, a lot of points are controversial or completely missing.

VAMP7 is known to mediate the fusion of vesicle with both the apical and axonal plasma membranes (Galli et al., 1998; Lafont et al., 1999). Heterogeneouos vesicles commonly characterized by the presence of VAMP7 are seen in the growth cone of both dendrites and axons. This actin-dependent accumulation of the VAMP7 compartment in the growth cone periphery seems to represent a mechanism to integrate actin- and membrane-dynamics to support directed growth (Alberts et al., 2006; Pfenninger, 2009). During neurite outgrowth, VAMP7 displays an essential role for axonal elongation to full extent (Chaineau *et al.*, 2009; Sato et al., 2011), likely being the v-SNARE involved in exocytosis processes for PM addition. Indeed, two artificial constructs (LD and Δ LD) were used as tools for studying VAMP7 role in neuronal development, showing respectively an inhibition and an increase of neurite outgrowth (Martinez-Arca et al., 2000; Martinez-Arca et al., 2001). LD construct can also block exocytosis in developing neurons, confirming the importance of this mechanism for neuronal development. In addition, recent evidence indicates a well-defined neuritogenesis pathway for VAMP7-mediated exocytosis: cortical neurons lacking Ena/VASP protein are rescued in neurite growth when growing on LN or VAMP7 is overexpressed on Poly-D-Lysine (PDL) coating. LD construct display its inhibitory role on VAMP7 pathway (wild type neurons are insensitive to it when cultured on PDL that switch the neuritogenesis pathway) (Gupton and Gertler, 2010). A new VAMP7 partner, Varp (Vsp9 domain and ankyrin-repeat-containing protein) is a positive regulator of neurite outgrowth, controlling the endosomal trafficking of beta-integrins (Pellinen et al., 2006). It is also a GEF for Rab21 (Zhang et al., 2006), implicated in neurite elongation (Burgo et al., 2009). Therefore, a recent work on VAMP7 knockout mice reported no particular differences between ko and wt brains (Sato et al., 2011), highlighting the complexity of mechanisms involved in neuritogenesis.

Although the SNARE brevin VAMP2 is well known for being involved in neurotransmitter release (Schiavo *et al.*, 1992), recent evidence revealed a possible interesting role also in neurite outhgrowth. VAMP2 is usually considered the dominant v-SNARE for synaptic vesicle exocytosis, but VAMP7 was also seen to interact with the dominant t-SNAREs SNAP25 and Stx1 involved in transmitter release (Alberts *et al.*, 2003; Cotrufo *et al.*, 2011). It seems quite apparent that these two SNAREs act in different manner, stages and places during the entire neuron lifetime. Nevertheless, a precise role for them is still unclear. In general, it is known that VAMP7 is a less active but more controlled R-SNARE compared to

VAMP2 and that the two proteins do not co-localize (Alberts et al., 2006; Gupton and Gertler, 2010). Early data reported that costridial neurotoxins cleaving VAMP2 had no effect on the development of neurons in culture (Grosse et al., 1999), suggesting no implication of synaptobrevin 2 in this mechanism. In addition, both VAMP2 and SNAP25 knockout mice were found to develop a normal brain (Washbourne et al., 2002). Alberts and colleagues defined the presence of a differential regulation in the compartmentalization of VAMP7 and VAMP2 vesicles, in immature neurons: VAMP2 silencing did not produce any change in neurite elongation; furthermore only VAMP7 was found in neuronal growth cones in an actin-dependent manner (Alberts et al., 2003). Nevertheless, in the same year, Kimura and coworker found that overexpression of VAMP2 promotes neurite outgrowth (Kimura et al., 2003). Other data showed the involvement of VAMP2 in growth cone guidance: Ca²⁺-induced attraction, but not repulsion, requires VAMP2-mediated exocytosis, in a mechanism involving the acute modification of molecular compositions and the continuous expansion of the plasmalemma in a spatially restricted manner (Tojima *et al.*, 2007). More recently, silencing experiments on both newborn mice neurons and PC12 cells again showed no implication of VAMP2 in neurite elongation (Burgo et al., 2009). When considering netrin-1 chemoattraction during neurite protrusion, DCC receptor was found to associate specifically with the SNARE proteins Sytx1 and VAMP7, while VAMP2 is dispensable (Cotrufo et al., 2011). Conversely, recent results seem to reveal a relationship between these two proteins, relating their action to the extracellular environment (Gupton and Gertler, 2010). All these experiments point out a complex scenario in which multiple stimuli (ECM component, guidance cues) can recruit different machinery for undergoing neuritogenesis; nevertheless components of these machineries can also interact to each other. The activation of these pathways may depend on the capacity and properties of distinct axonal guidance receptors to co-associate with different SNARE proteins. This mutual presence in neuron's life is apparent also in the mature synapse, where VAMP7 is specifically enriched in the resting pool vesicles, while VAMP2 in the recycling pool. Experiments performed with a ΔLD construct showed an increase in the spontaneous releases of VAMP7 that affects also VAMP2 exocytosis, suggesting a specific presence in a subset of synaptic vesicles (Hua et al., 2011). This was recently confirmed by similar experiments of Ramirez and coworkers (Ramirez et al., 2012), suggesting that non canonical SNAREs represent an attractive possibility to mediate specific forms of neurotransmission (Figure 17).



Figure 17. VAMPs in membrane trafficking (from Hamilton and Attwell, 2010).

Different type of VAMPs can share similar pathways in membrane trafficking. The Ca2⁺-dependent exocytosis of neurotransmitter containing small synaptic- like vesicles (SVs) and larger secretory granules (SGs) is TeNT- sensitive due to the presence of VAMP2 and/or VAMP3. However, VAMP3 is also present on early endosomes (EEs) and recycling endosomes (REs), and constitutive exocytosis of proteins from the RE to the plasma membrane is often Ca2⁺ modulated and mediated by VAMP2. Late endosomes (LEs) and lysosomes (Lyso) express TeNT- insensitive VAMP5, like VAMP7 (shown in blue). Proteins that mediate non- exocytotic transmitter release (shown as orange 'channels') can be inserted into the plasma membrane through the constitutive pathway via EEs and REs and possibly via the regulated exocytosis pathway through SGs. Recent data confirm that these molecular pathways need more investigation for being completely unraveled.

AIM OF THE PROJECT

Since the complexity of SC trafficking machinery of which SNAREs are important regulators and all the intricate mechanisms present in the developing brain, my work aims at elucidating the role of human VAMP7 and its LD in this scenario. In particular, it follows three subsequent steps:

- the characterization of VAMP7 splice variants, after the discovery of an AS mechanism that rules SYBL1 gene;
- the characterization of LD role as SC targeting determinant (or codeterminant) in relation to other VAMP7 domains and its open-closed conformation;
- the characterization of VAMP7 splice variants and their mechanisms during neuronal differentiation

METHODS

Human cell culture and neuronal differentiation

The following human cell lines were used for VAMP7 isoform detection by using real time RT-PCR: SH-SY5Y (neuroblastoma); C63 (skin fibroblasts); HepG2 (hepatocellular carcinoma); HeLa (cervical carcinoma); Jurkat (T-cell leukaemia). All cells were grown at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere, 5% CO₂. SH-SY5Y and Jurkat cells were grown in the following media (all reagents from Invitrogen), supplemented with Glutamax and 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum: SH-SY5Y, 1:1 DMEM+Ham's F12 supplemented with gentamicin (50 µg/ml); Jurkat, RPMI supplemented with 10mM HEPES and PEN-STREP. C63, HepG2 and HeLa cells were kindly provided by colleagues from other laboratories.

Neuronal development of SH-SY5Y cells was induced by all-*trans* retinoic acid (RA) (Sigma-Aldrich) (Lopez-Carballo *et al.*, 2002; Merrill *et al.*, 2004) as follows: 6-4-2 10^5 cells were seeded on 24mm Poly-L-Lysine (1µg/ul) (Sigma Aldrich) + gelatine (0.005%) coated coversplips placed in 6-well plates and treated with 10 µM RA 24 h after seeding.

Primary mouse culture

Cortex and hippocampus were isolated from day 16 (E16) black6 mouseembryos, following guidelines approved by the UCSF IACUC. They were dissociated inDissection medium (DM) composed by Hanks' Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) and Hepes, containing trypsin/EDTA(GibcoInvitrogen) for 20 min at 37°C, washed in DM (Gibco BRL), triturated, resuspended in fresh Plating medium (Neurobasal medium containing 2% B27 supplement, 1% glutamine, 10% FBS), and prepared for transfection (electroporation) or seeded, according to the experimental procedure.

Gain-of-function experiments in neuronal cells

Two protocols were used to follow up the effect of VAMP7 isoform overexpression on neuronal differentiation:

- Protocol A: SH-SY5Y cells are transfected by LipofectamineTM2000 reagent; after 24h they are observed (time zero, untreated CTRL) and differentiation is normally induced by addition of RA; imaging (and/or harvesting for RNA extraction) occurs after 1, 3, 5 and 7 days from induction. Cortical/hippocampal

neurons are transfected immediately after dissociation using an electroporation method with 10 μ g DNA per at least 10⁶ cells (mouse neuron kit; AmaxaBiosystems). After transfection, cells were plated in complete Serum Free Medium (Neurobasal medium containing 2% B27 supplement, 1% glutamine) at a density of 10⁶ cells per 35 mm PDL/LN-coated glass bottom dish (MatTeK Corporation) for live cell imaging and 0.2 10⁶ per 12 mm PDL/LN-coated glass coverslips (for immunofluorescence) in a 24-well plate. Transfected primary hippocampal cultures grown for 1-3 days or 1-2 weeks *in vitro* were prepared for immunostaining;

- Protocol B: RA induction of SH-SY5Y is performed first and cells are transfected only 24h before each different time point (i.e. at 0 or 2 or 4 or 6 days after RA induction), at which they are observed as reported above (same time points as in Protocol A). The same method is applied also at primary neurons in culture.

Molecular Biology

The main isoform and splice variants of VAMP7 were tagged by fluorescent protein (either red, RFP, or enhanced green, EGFP) cloning the isoform cds in pRFP-C3, pEGFP-C3 or pEGFP-N1 (Invitrogen). VAMP7b has C-terminal tag, whereas VAMP7d/h, VAMP7i and VAMP7j have N-terminal tag. Most of the plasmids were already used in (Vacca, Albania *et al.*, 2011). In addition, VAMP7i and VAMP7dh sequences were subcloned in RFP-tag. VAMP7a cytosolic fragments (1-118, 1-150, 1-160, 1-180) were amplified by PCR from the VAMP7a cds and fused at C-terminally to GFP/RFP-tags.

Immunofluorescence

SH-SY5Y cells were fixed after 1/3/5/7 days fromdifferentiation, while transfected primary cortical/hippocampal neurons after 1-3 days or 1-2 weeks. Fixing solution was 4% paraformaldehyde, 4% sucrose in PBS; then, cells werepermeabilized, and blocked in 5% BSA solution before immunostaining. Mouse anti-VAMP2 (1 µg/ml) and anti-neurofilament(2 µg/ml) mAbs(Sigma) were used for primary staining of neuroblastoma cells; Alexa fluor 488-555 (4 µg/ml) (Sigma) for secondary staining. Cortical/hippocampal neurons were immunostained for β III tubulin with mouse anti- β III tubulin (Promega), for actin with phalloidin(Invitrogen) at 1:1000 dilution. Alexa fluor568/647-conjugated seconday antibodies (Sigma) were used at 1:200-500 dilution.

RNA extraction and retrotranscription

Total RNA from cell cultures was extracted using TRIzol (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer's instructions. Aliquots (5 μ g) of DNase I-treated total RNA were reverse transcribed using SuperScript[®] III RNase H- reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). Total RNA from human tissues are from BD Clontech. Aliquots (1 μ g) of total RNA from human tissues were reverse transcribed using QuantiTect[®] reverse transcription kit (Qiagen). All these procedures are described in Vacca, Albania *et al.*, 2011.

Real-time RT-PCR

All experiments were performed as follows: RNA for each sample was extracted and reverse transcribed in three replicates; then, to minimize variation depending on experimental error, real-time experiments were performed in five replicates for each sample, resulting in 3x5=15 replicates. Real-time PCR experiments wereperformed using SYBR®-Green core reagent kit and AmpliTaq Gold DNA Polymerase (Applied Biosystem) in a final reaction volume of 25 µl. The thermal cycler (Rotor-Gene 3000 from Corbett Research) was set as follows: 9' at 95°C followed by 40 cycles consisting of 30" melting at 95°C + 30" annealing at 60°C + 35" extension at 72°C. The gene encoding human ribosomal protein S13 is the housekeeping control for normalization [48]. For quantitative data analysis, the Rotor Gene software (version 6.0.34) was used according to (Pfaffl, 2001;Marino *et al.*, 2003). The following F and R primers (SIGMA-Genosys) were used:

VAMP7a-F: 5'-CACTGATGATGATGATTTTGAACG-3';

VAMP7a-R: 5'-CTGAGCTACCAGATCTATGTTTCT-3'; VAMP7b-R: 5'-TTGAAGGTGACAGACTATGTTTC-3'; VAMP7c-F: 5'-CTTCCTGGAGGATTTTGAAC-3'; VAMP7d-F: 5'-CACATGGCAAGATTTTGAAC-3'; VAMP7h-F: 5'-GGAAACTTCCTGGAGTTATTTG-3'; VAMP7h-R: 5'-TCTCCATCACTTTGTCTAGGC-3'; VAMP7i-F: 5'-GCAGATTCTGGCTAAGATACC-3'; VAMP7i-R: 5'-TACCAGATCAGCTGTGCAG-3'; VAMP7j-F: 5'-ACAGCTGTCTGTCACCTTC-3'; VAMP7j-R: 5'-TCAGTATGTGAAAGGCTTGAG-3'; S13-F: 5'-TACAAACTGGCCAAGAAGGG-3'; S13-R: 5'-GGTGAATCCGGCTCTCTATTAG-3'. Such primers were designed and combined in order all amplicons have (or almost) equal length (250 to 254 bps) hence quantitative comparison can be extended to different amplicons from all isoforms; primer combinations to amplify specific isoforms are: VAMP7a, VAMP7a-F + VAMP7a-R; VAMP7b, VAMP7a-F + VAMP7b-R; VAMP7c, VAMP7c-F + VAMP7a-R; VAMP7d, VAMP7d-F + VAMP7a-R; VAMP7h, VAMP7h-F + VAMP7h-R; VAMP7i, VAMP7i-F + VAMP7i-R; VAMP7j, VAMP7j-F + VAMP7j-R.

For following up the neuronal development, total RNA extraction from human cells and tissues was performed as reported (Vacca, Albania *et al.*, 2011). In addition to primers specific to VAMP7 isoforms, the following F and R primers (SIGMA-Genosys) were used:

TrkB-F1: 5'-TAGATCCTGAGAACATCACCG-3' TrkB-F2: 5'-GAGATTGGAGCCTAACAGTGT-3' TrkB-R: 5'-GGATCAGTTCAGACAAGTCAA-3'

Imaging

HeLa cells were transiently co-transfected using LipofectamineTM2000 protocol (Invitrogen) and data were collected after 24h.SH-SY5Y cells were transfected and differentiated as previously described. Live cell imaging was performed using TCS SP2 and TCS SP5 II confocal scanning microscopes (Leica, Heidelberg, Germany).Sequential excitation with 488 nm, 543 nm nm laser beams, 20X air,63X and100Xoil Leica objectives and LAS AF Software were used. Images (size set to either 512x512 or 1024x1024 pixels) were assembled by using ImageJ software.

Transfected neurons were imaged after 1-3 days or 1-2 weeks *in vitro* (DIV). Immunofluorescence images were acquired on an inverted zdc2 microscope (Olympus) using a 20x 85 NA, 40x 1.3 NA uplanfluor oil objectives (Olympus).Time-lapse images were acquired on the same microscope modified to allow for through-the objective multispectral TIR-FM using a 100X 1.49 NA objective (Mattheyses *et al.*, 2010). Laser light was focused at the aperture plane and directed tothe coverslip by a dichromatic mirror, and the laser angle wasadjusted manually with a micrometer. Neurons were kept in Serum Free medium at 37°C and 5% CO2 in an incubation chamber fitted for the microscope. Exocytic fusion events were imaged at 0.5 s intervals for 5min, only in the pHluorin channel using MetaMorph imaging software (Molecular Devices).

Data Analyses

Morphological stages wereidentified and quantified after 3 daysin culture, as reported (Gupton and Gertler, 2010). Neurites were considered any narrow and consolidated extensionproximal to cell body; stage 1 neurons lack neurites, stage 2 have one ormore minor neurites, and stage 3 have one neurite at least twice as long asany other. Exocytosis analyses were imaged at 1/3 days.

Vesicle fusion events were identified as reported (Dent *et al.*, 2007; Gupton and Gertler, 2010; Hua *et al.*, 2011). First appearance of vesicle is then followed by a bright increase in fluorescence intensity (>3-fold over background), and a rapid diffusion (<2 s). Since neurons generally are a mixed population of cells in different stages, we separated events occurring in the soma from events in neurites. Thus, comparison between area/number of events and time lapse duration could be done. All statistical tests were performed with the AnalyzeIT utility of Microsoft Office Excel, using ANOVA with a LDS post-hoc test to determinesignificance. All of the data indicate mean \pm SEM.

Bioinformatics

Melting temperatures of PCR primers were calculated using DNA Calculator (http://www.sigma-genosys.com/calc/DNACalc.asp); possible occurrence of hairpins, self- and hetero-dimers was ruled out by Oligoanalyzer 3.1 (http://eu.idtdna.com/analyzer/applications/oligoanalyzer/default.aspx) and the specificity was checked with MFEprimer (http://biocompute.bmi.ac.cn/MFEprimer/) (Qu *et al.*, 2009).

RESULTS

1.1 Human VAMP7 isoforms: domain architecture, tissue-specificity and subcellular targeting

1.1.1 Quantitative expression and tissue specific distribution of VAMP7 isoforms

Since it was found that exon skipping at the SYBL1 locus can result in modulating VAMP7 isoform domain architecture (Martinez-Arca et al., 2003), we further investigated alternative splicing mechanism at the SYBL1 locus (Vacca, Albania et al., 2011). Therefore, once some putative variants were identified in the collaborating lab at IGB-CNR (see Figure 10, introductive chapter), real-time RT-PCR was performed on a panel of human cell lines and tissues, in order to verify their presence at physiologically meaningful mRNA levels. These analyses allowed to cut off most of multi-exon-skipping variants, the levels of which was found to be in the range of splicing errors, while results confirmed only a subset of SYBL1 mRNA variants (b, c, d, h, i, j), mainly resulting from skipping of a single exon or a couple of contiguous exons or exon fragments. Intriguingly, based on domain architecture, corresponding VAMP7 isoforms fall into two groups: non-SNARE longins (b, i, j) and Δ -longin SNAREs (c, d, h). Quantitative expression data are shown in Figure 18. The upper graph illustrates relative contributions of VAMP7a (grey) and alternative splice variants (black) to the mRNA pool. VAMP7a amounts to 60-70% in cell lines (samples from C63 to SH-SY5Y) and 40-60% in tissues (other samples); in three out of five tissues, the sum of alternative variants (b, c, d, h, i, j) overpass 50% of the VAMP7 mRNA. The central graph illustrates the mRNA levels of each single isoform; splice variants range 5-12% of VAMP7a in cell lines, while their levels are much higher in tissues. For instance, in colon the aggregate level of VAMP7i+j mRNAs is comparable to VAMP7a and in skeletal muscle VAMP7i alone is comparable to the main isoform. In pie charts, 100% is the mRNA pool of alternative variants, excluding VAMP7a. Preponderance of LD⁺, non-SNARE isoforms ("b", "i" and "j") is clear in cell lines and it becomes more evident in tissues, where their aggregate level is comparable or even higher than that of VAMP7a. In particular, the non-SNARE/SNARE isoform ratio can shift from approximately 1:1 in cell lines to up 3:1 in tissues. In most tissues and cell lines, the second most expressed isoform (after VAMP7a) is VAMP7j, which is highly expressed in colon. The

level of alternative variants in neuroblastoma cells (SH-SY5Y) and fetal brain is lower than that found in adult brain, where the expression of VAMP7a is high (in agreement with literature - D'Esposito *et al.*, 1996).



Figure 18. Quantitative analysis of VAMP7 isoform mRNA levels in human cell lines and tissues (from Vacca, Albania *et al.*, 2011).

Color coding for all isoforms is reported; in the upper graph, 100% is the VAMP7 mRNA pool, of which the black part represents the pool of alternative isoforms (b+i+j+c+d+h) mRNA. In the middle, original data (mRNA levels of each isoform) are reported as % of the housekeeping reference gene (ribosomal S13) mRNA. Lower pie charts compare individual levels within the pool of alternative isoforms (excluding VAMP7a).

1.1.2 VAMP7 isoforms show different SCLs

The only VAMP7 splice variant characterized so far is VAMP7c: its truncated Nterminal region - unable to fold as a LD - is thus unable to bind δ -AP3; this results in a different SCL from the main isoform (Martinez-Arca *et al.*, 2003). Since the newly identified isoforms (b, d/h, i, and j) also show variant domain architectures, their SCL was compared to the main isoform using fluorescent protein (FP) tagged chimeras. As explained in the introductive chapter and reported in Vacca, Albania *et al.*, 2011, the same VAMP7d/h protein variants is encoded by two distinct alternative mRNAs due to a Translation Reinitiation mechanism. For clarity and simplicity, hereafter VAMP7d/h will be just named VAMP7dh. Figure 19 shows confocal plane images from living HeLa cells that were co-transfected with enhanced green FP (EGFP)-tagged splice variant and red FP (RFP)-tagged main isoform.



Figure 19. Variation of SC targeting among VAMP7 isoforms (from Vacca, Albania et al., 2011). Confocal planes of HeLa cells, co-expressing an EGFP-tagged splice variant of VAMP7 (left column) and the RFP-tagged VAMP7a (central column). Merged images are presented (right column). Fluorescence was observed 24h after transfection. Scale bars correspond to 5 μm.

VAMP7b shows a somewhat widespread distribution and the SCL of VAMP7j is also different from the punctuate localization of VAMP7a, as red areas are clearly apparent in merged images. However, partial co-localization with VAMP7a cannot be excluded. Intriguingly, VAMP7i is the only isoform that also shows a nuclear localization. However, highly widespread VAMP7i and punctuate, restricted-area VAMP7a indicate a quite different SCL also in the cytoplasm. On the other hand, large yellow areas of co-localization are apparent in merged images for the non-LD variant VAMP7dh.

1.1.3 VAMP7 isoforms are a natural tool for dissecting domain roles in SC targeting

The LD is considered a key regulator of SC sorting in both human and plant VAMP7 (Martinez-Arca et al, 2003; Uemura *et al.*, 2005; Mancias and Goldberg, 2007; Pryor *et al.*, 2008). Recent data have also revealed that the LD adopts a predominantly closed conformation requiring at least NT 160 residues, but it is fully stabilized in the closed state by additional 20 residues (Vivona *et al.*, 2010). Considering the different SCL displayed by each VAMP7 isoform and its relationship to the domain architecture, some of these splice variants were used for studying VAMP7 targeting determinants. In addition, a number of different fragments of the VAMP7a cytoplasmic region were cloned (Figure 20) for understanding the relative contribution of each different domain to sorting.



Figure 20. VAMP7 domains as a tool for studying SC targeting.

Top: combinatorial rearrangement of the three domains in VAMP7a (LD, SNARE motif and TM region) and alternative splice variants. The non-LD VAMP7dh retains SNARE+TM; the non–SNARE VAMP7i is the LD-only isoform and VAMP7j retains LD+TM. Bottom: recombinant fragments (1-118/150/160/180aa) of VAMP7a are identical to those structurally characterized (Vivona *et al.*, J 2010) except for fusion to the FP tag.

In order to determine more precisely the SCL of each isoform, HeLa cells were cotransfected with FP-tagged VAMP7 splice variants and some organelle markers (ER: Calreticulin, EEs: Rab5), or labeled with SCL-specific dyes (PM: FM-464, Mitochondria: Mitotracker) after single isoform transfection (Figure 21A). The main isoform was used as a control; it only partially localizes with Golgi and EEs, according to its well-known secretory and recycling pathways from PM to late endosomes/lysosomes. The ALD isoform dh displays PM localization as well as co-localization with Rab5, accordingly to previously observed co-localization with the main isoform. Intriguingly, an artificial ΔLD construct shows the same early endosome localization (Martinez-Arca et al., 2003). Among non-SNARE isoforms, VAMP7j shows partial co-localization with the ER marker; the nuclear fraction of VAMP7i co-localizes with HRB, according to data reported for another artificial construct (LD-only) (Martinez-Arca et al., 2000). Nevertheless, a similar SCL between an artificial construct (LD) and a VAMP7 splice variant (i) is reached again. Intriguingly, literature data reported that these same constructs display physiological effects both developing (Martinez-Arca et al., 2000; Martinez-Arca et al., 2001; Gupton and Gertler, 2010) and mature neurons (Hua et al., 2011). Recombinant fragments (1-118/150/160/180 aa) of the VAMP7a cytoplasmic region - identical to those used in recent NMR analyses (Vivona et al. 2010) - were designed for SCL observation in HeLa cells (Figure 21B). All four recombinant fragments have a spread SCL in both cytosol and nucleus, different from VAMP7a (full length), which retains a non-nuclear and punctuate distribution. Furthermore, when comparing to VAMP7i (LD-only isoform), a clear co-localization with 1-118 (its artificial counterpart), 1-150 and 1-160 fragments is apparent. The 1-180 fragment represents the third two-domain combination (LD+SNARE), complementary to those already available among physiological splice variants (LD+TM and SNARE+TM); it also shows a different SCL. Considering that each combination of two out of the three domains of VAMP7 displays a specific SCL, these data strongly suggest that individual domains are unable to determine sorting by alone and that open/closed conformational switch is not relevant to SCL in the absence of TM region. Instead, it is known that the conformational switch is relevant to SCL for TM-endowed longin Sec22b (Mancias and Goldberg, 2007) as well as for the main isoform VAMP7a (Pryor et al., 2008).



Figure 21. SCL of physiological isoforms and recombinant fragments.

A) HeLa cells transfected with VAMP7 isoforms were stained with different organelle markers (ER: Calreticulin, early endosomes: Rab5) or labeled with dyes (PM: FM-464, Mitochondria: Mitotracker) for live cell imaging. Merged images are presented: white arrows indicate co-localization areas. In the bottom, VAMP7i was also co-transfected with the nuclear marker H2B-RFP. B) Co-expression of recombinant fragments (1-118/150/160/180aa) of VAMP7a cytoplasmic region with either the full length protein or VAMP7i. Fluorescence was observed 24h after transfection. Scale bars correspond to 5 μ m.

1.2 VAMP7 and neuronal development

1.2.1 The human model: SH-SY5Y cell line

1.2.1.1 <u>Isoform modulation strongly increases during first days of</u> <u>neuronal differentiation</u>

A consistent literature concerns the relationship between VAMP7 and neuritogenesis and artificial construct resembling VAMP7dh and VAMP7i showed peculiar roles in neurite outgrowth (Martinez-Arca et al., 2000; Martinez-Arca et al., 2001; Chaineau et al., 2009; Gupton and Gertler, 2010; Hua et al., 2011; Sato et al., 2011). Indeed, our previous real time RT-PCR data (Vacca, Albania et al., 2011) suggest that also VAMP7 alternative isoforms are involved in this process (Figure 22A) therefore we chose the human dopaminergic SH-SY5Y cell line as a model for studying splicing modulation along neuronal differentiation. This latter was induced by all-trans-Retinoic acid (RA) and cells were harvested at day 1, 3, 5 and 7. Microscopy observation after first days from induction reveals neurite outgrowth and cell polarization followed by neurite elongation. Figure 22B displays further characterizations for confirming cell differentiation both at immunocytochemical and molecular levels. In the upper panel, staining for neuronal markers VAMP2 and neurofilaments is shown: white arrows indicate cell processes. In the lower graph, real time RT-PCR analysis reveals a large increase in TrkB (RA receptor) mRNA expression during 7 days of induction. Furthermore, the expression level of minor isoforms in undifferentiated SH-SY5Y cells fortifies previous results. Then, Figure 23 presents full quantitative analyses concerning all VAMP7 isoforms. The upper graph resumes the overall contribution of minor isoforms along neurite outgrowth: at time zero (undifferentiated cells) splice variants account for 30% mRNA; the major increase is observed in the first 1-2 days after RA addition, reaching 40% of mRNA pool. The central graph illustrates the mRNA levels of each isoform, following up modulation. The lower graph shows single isoform contributions with respect to VAMP7a: a progressive increase in LD^+ , non-SNARE isoforms b, i, j is clearly apparent, whereas VAMP7dh, a Δ LD isoform, is reaching maximum level at day 3. In Figure S1, modulation of non-SNARE (b+i+j) and non-LD (c+dh) isoforms during differentiation is represented, highlighting the reverse modulation of the synaptobrevin-like isoforms (growth stimulation) and non-SNARE, longin ones (growth inhibition).



Figure 22. Human VAMP7 and neuronal development.

A) Quantitative analysis of VAMP7 isoform mRNA levels in the neuroblastoma cell line SH-SY5Y compared to fetal and adult brain tissues (adapted from Vacca, Albania *et al.*, 2011). B) Upper panel, immunocytochemistry of RA-treated SH-SY5Y cells stained for neuronal markers neurofilaments and VAMP2. White arrows indicate detection of these markers in axons of differentiated cells. Reference white bar corresponds to 20 µm. Lower panel, quantitative analyses of TrkB (RA receptor, neuronal differentiation marker) during RA induction on SH-SY5Y cells: the graph shows data obtained using two different forward primers (TrkB w1, TrkB w2).

1.2.1.2 <u>LD'/SNARE⁺ VAMP7dh variant seems to enhance cell</u> <u>differentiation also in the presence of VAMP7i</u>

In order to understand the physiological role of VAMP7 isoforms in neuronal differentiation, SH-SY5Y cells were transiently transfected with these splice variants, checking both morphology and fluorescence parameters. Two different gain-of-function protocols were set up: A) transfection before cell differentiation; B) transfection 24h before each time point (1/3/5/7 days). Counts of different cell populations (r, round; p, polarized; h, highly polarized cells) were performed at each time point; most interesting results were observed during first days of differentiation (Figure 24). Literature data reported that a "synaptobrevin-like" Δ LD fragment and an LD-only construct display opposite effects during early neuronal differentiation stages (Martinez-Arca *et al.*, 2000; Martinez-Arca *et al.*, 2001; Gupton and Gertler, 2010).



Figure 23. Quantitative analysis of the VAMP7 isoform mRNA levels in RA-treated SH-SY5Y cells.

Upper graph: overall contribution of minor isoforms along neurite outgrowth. 100% is the VAMP7 mRNA pool, of which the black part represents the pool of alternative isoforms (b+i+j+c+dh) mRNA. Middle graph: original data (mRNA levels of each isoform) are reported as % of the housekeeping reference gene (ribosomal S13) mRNA. Lower graph: individual levels are compared within the pool of alternative isoforms (excluding VAMP7a).

Interestingly, splice variants VAMP7dh and VAMP7i, roughly correspond to Δ LD and LD-only fragments. The development of neurons expressing GFP was indistinguishable from nontransfected cells, as normal axonal and dendritic outgrowth was observed. In Figure 24A, both protocol A and B highlight that VAMP7dh acts as an enhancer in axonal outgrowth, as an increase in number of polarized and highly polarized cells during first days is clearly apparent. On the contrary, overexpression of VAMP7dh.



Figure 24. Contribution of VAMP7 isoform overexpression on neurite outgrowth in SH-SY5Y cells.

A) Single transfection in the first stage of differentiation, using two gain-of-function protocols: Protocol A, (left panel), transfection before cell differentiation and Protocol B (right panel), transfection 24h before each time point (1/3 days) B) Co-transfection was performed with protocol B; cells were counted based on different morphology (h, highly polarized cells, black; p, polarized cells, gray; r, round cells, white). Relative contribution of each population is referred to 100% (total number of cells counted, n= 50). CTRL= empty EGFP vector.

In order to assess whether in nature VAMP7 modulation is developmentally regulated and isoforms may compensate each other, cells were co-transfected using different combinations of VAMP7 isoforms (Figure 24B). Co-transfection VAMP7i+VAMP7dh resulted in enhanced presence of "p" and "h" neurons after 3 days, suggesting that neurite outgrowth also occurs in presence of the inhibitory VAMP7i. Altogether these results opened a scenario that prompted us to further clarify effects of this modulation during neurite outgrowth.

1.2.2 The mouse model: E16 cortical/hippocampal neurons

1.2.2.1 <u>LD'/SNARE⁺ VAMP7dh variant enhances neurite outgrowth</u> <u>on PDL coating</u>

Recent works enforced the idea of a linkage between VAMP7 and VAMP2 during events that drive neuritogenesis (Gupton and Gertler, 2010). First, wild type neurons were used to assess the contribution of VAMP7 and its splice variants to neurotogenesis, Neurons were grown using both PDL (unconditioned coating) and LN as coating agents. Morphology of transfected neurons - followed along 2 weeks (i.e. during the entire development) - is shown in Figure 25. Then, labeling for the neuronal marker BIII tubulin allowed the distinction from other glial cells; in addition, the actin marker Phalloidin allowed cytoskeletal observations (ex. lamellipodia and filopodia), distinguishing neurons for counts at different morphological stages (Figure 26). Stage 1 neurons are characterized by the absence of neurites; stage 2 neurons show neurites of the same length; stage 3 neurons develop an axon twice longer than other neurites (Dotti et al., 1988; Burgo et al., 2009; Gupton and Gertler, 2010). Enhanced stage 3 neurons were separated from other groups, according to the enhanced length of some axons (>120 µm). When neurons were transfected with VAMP7dh, both transfection protocols showed a strong increase in stage 3 and enhanced stage 3 populations. Such effect was clearly higher than that caused by transfection with VAMP7a, resulting in good levels of differentiated neurons, similar to the control. Such positive modulation was particularly evident using protocol A that was thus followed to perform next experiments. Conversely, transfection with non-SNARE isoforms (b, i, j) reduced the number of neurons in stage 2 and 3 (compared to other splice variants and control), keeping them in stage 1 also after 3 days in



Figure 25. SCL of VAMP7 isoforms in cortical/hippocampal neurons.

Primary neurons transfected with either the empy EGFP vector (CTRL) or VAMP7 isoforms were followed during the entire development, fixed at each time point and stained for the neuronal marker ßIII tubulin and the actin marker Phalloidin (not shown). Scale bar corresponds to 10 µm.

culture. Given that previous results on SH-SY5Y cells differentiated on PDLcoated coverslips were confirmed, the non-SNARE isoform VAMP7dh is likely a physiological enhancer of neuronal development. When VAMP7dh was cotransfected with VAMP7i or VAMP7b, neuritogenesis was found to occur even in co-presence of an inhibitory variant, suggesting that either VAMP7dh is able to overcome inhibition, the physiological role of non-SNARE variants is not just inhibition and/or it is more relevant at later differentiation stages (Figure 27).



Figure 26. Contribution of VAMP7 isoform overexpression to neurite outgrowth in cortical/hippocampal neurons on PDL.

Primary neurons were transfected with either the empy EGFP vector or VAMP7 isoforms, using protocol A or B and grown on PDL. Then, they were stained for the neuronal marker ßIII tubulin and the actin marker Phalloidin. Data from different counts were collected using morphological parameters based on different developmental stages (stage 1: cell without neurites, white; stage 2: cell with neurites, pale gray; stage 3: cell with an axon, gray; enhanced stage 3: cell with an axon longer than 120 μ m). Relative contribution of each population is referred to 100% (total number of cells counted, n \geq 50; 4 different experiments; mean ± SE). Scale bar corresponds to 10 μ m.

When neurons were co-transfected with two non-SNARE isoforms (the LD-only VAMP7i and the LD+TM VAMP7j), they were found more in stage 1 also after 3 days in culture, as shown for VAMP7a/i. Here, the "brake" effect (inhibition of differentiation) is more evident, confirming the idea that isoform modulation is likely involved in "fine tuning" of neuronal development.



Figure 27. Contribution of VAMP7 isoform co-overexpression to neurite outgrowth in cortical/hippocampal neurons on PDL.

Primary neurons were co-transfected with VAMP7 isoforms, using protocol A or B and grown on PDL. Then, they were stained for the neuronal marker ßIII tubulin. Data from different counts were collected and are represented as reported in figure 9 legend. CTRL= empty EGFP vector; scale bar corresponds to 20 µm.

1.2.2.2 Different effects of LN coating

When neurons were grown on LN, events that differ from PDL coating occurred (Figure 28). In single transfections with VAMP7b or VAMP7j, fluorescence was not detectable at day 3 (lower left panel). In co-transfection experiments with the non-SNARE isoforms, fluorescence was detectable only in VAMP7i+VAMP7dh samples, during the entire neuritogenesis (1-3 days). The general evidence is that LN action results in a delay in neuritogenesis progress respect to neurons seeded on PDL (CTRL - Figure 29).



Figure 28. Contribution of VAMP7 isoform overexpression on neurite outgrowth in cortical/hippocampal neurons on LN.

Primary neurons were either transfected or co-transfected with VAMP7 isoforms, using protocol A and grown on LN. Then, they were stained for the neuronal marker ßIII tubulin. Data from different counts were collected and represented as reported in figure 9 legend. CTRL= empty EGFP vector.



Figure 29. Influence of coating on immature neurons transfected with VAMP7 isoforms.

Morphological comparison of neurons grown on either PDL or LN coating. Influence of each isoform in modulating neuron levels in different stages is also considered (see figure 9 legend for neuronal stages codies and calculations criteria). CTRL= empty EGFP vector.

1.2.2.3 <u>VAMP7 isoforms and extracellular substrates differentially</u> <u>influence neurite outgrowth</u>

In order to answer at question concerning the relationship between VAMP7 isoforms and extracellular substrates, we studied neuronal development considering a number of different parameters: neurite number, neurite/axon length, number of neurite/axon branches, number of neurite/axon branches vs length. ANOVA statistical analyses were performed on data collected from neurons after 3 days in culture, considering differences due to all morphological stages. We focused our attention on the non-SNARE variant VAMP7i and the non-LD variant VAMP7dh, using data from other isoforms as internal comparisons and/or controls. Results concerning PDL coating are summarized in Table 1: panel A presents significant levels between CTRL (EGFP empty vector), VAMP7dh and i; panel B adds more information about relationship between these isoforms and the other ones. Starting from the well-known idea that LD has a inhibitory role in neurite outgrowth (Martinez-Arca et al., 2000; Martinez-Arca et al., 2001; Gupton and Gertler, 2010), the only negative effect of the physiological isoform VAMP7i (LD-only) we found on PDL is on the number of axon branches; furthermore, neurons transfected with this isoform significantly increase their axon length respect to the control. During this committed, development status, VAMP7i is also involved in mechanisms forming and maintaining other neurites, compared to VAMP7dh. Conversely, at the same developmental stages, VAMP7dh shows a role in neurite elongation but not in their number or specification, as VAMP7i. The overexpression of both isoforms during these early steps shows typical effects due to VAMP7dh: control of neurite length but not neurite specification in branching. Considering the relationship with other splice variants (Table 1, panel B), in particular the main VAMP7a, we found the same results: VAMP7i does not inhibit axon elongation, whose level is also higher than transfection with VAMP7a; VAMP7dh again shows its involvement in neurite elongation. In addition, neuritogenesis occurs also when VAMP7i is co-expressed with VAMP7dh, highlighting a role of enhancer for the synaptobrevin-like isoform. This positive, strong effect is retained also when the two isoform VAMP7a/dh are co-expressed. On the contrary, the other two non-SNARE variants VAMP7b and VAMP7j display a similar, complete commitment for

	cells in all stages	cells in stage 2	cells in stage 3	cells in enhanced stage 3	cells in all stages 3
> CTRL in <u>ax</u> < CTRL in n ^o <u>ax</u>	<u>on</u> lenght: <u>on</u> branches			< CTRL in n° <u>axon</u> branches vs lenght: > VAMP7dh in n° neurite branches vs lenght	 > CTRL in <u>axon</u> lenght; < CTRL in n^o <u>axon</u> branches; > VAMP7dh in neurite number
		< CTRL in neurite number	> CTRL in neurite lenght	< VAMP7i in n° neurite branches vs lenght	 VAMP71 in neurite number; CTRL in neurite lenght
< CTRL in n° ne and n° neurit len > CTRL in ne	urite branches e branches vs ght: :urite lenght	< CTRL in n° neurite branches; < CTRL in n° neurite branches vs lenght	< CTRL in n° neurite branches; < CTRL in n° neurite branches vs lenght		 CTRL in n° neurite branches and n° neurite branches vs lenght
cells in (ell stages	cells in stage 2	cells in stage 3	cells in enhanced stage 3	cells in all stages 3
× VAMP7a in t	otal <u>axon</u> lenght	> VAMP7j in neurite lenght			> VAMP7= in total <u>axon</u> lenght
			> VAMP7a in neurite lenght		
		> VAMP7Vj in neurite lenght	 VAMP73/dh in n° neurite branches ; VAMP73/dh in n° neurite branches vs lenght 	< VAMP7i/j in n° neurite branches vs lenght	 XAMP7a/dh in n° neurite branches and n° neurite branches vs lenght; XAMP7i/b in neurite branches

Table 1. Schematic summary of statistical analyses performed on morphological data from immature neurons grown on PDL.

Statistical analyses were performed on neurons after 3 days in culture. The following morphological parameters were considered: neurite number, neurite/axon length, number of neurite/axon branches, number of neurite/axon branches vs length. Results concerning CTRL (empty EGFP vector), the non-LD VAMP7i, the non-SNARE VAMP7dh and VAMP7i/dh co-transfection are displayed with respect to different stages; gray columns refer to overall data (all stages or all stage 3), whereas white columns refer to single stages. A) Comparison of CTRL to VAMP7i, VAMP7dh, VAMP7i/dh transfections; B) Comparison also considering other isoforms.



Figure 30. Morphological changes resulting from either VAMP7i, dh or i/dh overexpression. Influence of either VAMP7i or VAMP7dh transfection and of VAMP7i/dh co-transfection on cortical/hippocampal neurons during neurite outgrowth on PDL. Data represent results from statistical analyses. Dash arrows indicate opposite effect of VAMP7i and VAMP7dh.

being involved in neurite branching. A schematic representation of morphological effects due to the LD-only VAMP7i and the synaptobrevin-like VAMP7dh overexpression is reported in Figure 30.

1.2.2.4 <u>VAMP7 isoforms have different roles in VAMP7-mediated</u> <u>exocytosis</u>

In addition to morphological parameters, exocytosis has an important role in neuritogenesis establishment. Few works already studied VAMP7-mediated exocytosis, but they focused on different conditions: neurons in stage 1 (Gupton and Gertler, 2010) and mature neurons (synaptic boutons - Hua *et al.*, 2011). Nevertheless, further studies are needed to clarify the role of exocytosis during neuronal development; thus, we performed experiments on mixed population of neurons, after 1-3 days in culture. Analyses of exocytic vesicles were separated considering events occurring either at the soma or in the neurites/axon, because exocytosis is spatially and developmentally regulated (Tsaneva-Atanasova *et al.*, 2009; Chernyshova *et al.*, 2011). Neurons were co-transfected with both a pH-sensitive pHluorin-tagged VAMP7 and RFP-tagged VAMP7 splice variants a,dh and i; pHluorin VAMP7 alone was used as control. This labeling system let us to identify vesicle fluorescence of exocytic events, using live cell total internal
reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIR-FM) (Alberts *et al.*, 2006; Gupton and Gertler, 2010; Hua *et al.*, 2011) in time-lapse experiments. We observed transient puffs of light representing secretory vesicle fusion events (Figure 31A); in addition, tubules fusing with the plasma membrane were detected (Figure 31B) (Alberts *et al.*, 2006).



Figure 31. Exocytic events at the PM of immature neurons.

A) Time lapse, representative images of cortical/hippocampal neurons showing fusion events at the PM. Neurons transfected with a pH-sensitive VAMP7 or VAMP2 were imaged using TIRF microscopy. The fluorescence intensity of pHluorin is low within vesicle lumen (t=0 sec). Upon fusion with the PM, a rapid increase in fluorescence intensity (t=0.5 sec) is observed because of the higher pH of cytosol; then, rapid diffusion of fluorescence depends on molecules diffusion within the PM (t=1.0-2.0 sec). B) Imaging of tubules fusing with the PM. Scale bars correspond to 2 μ m in (A) and 5 μ m in (B).

The most consistent data regard the soma; when considering neurite/axon events (data not shown), no significant differences between samples were found, probably due to the less amount of cells counted in stage 3/ enhanced stage 3. Considering the soma, we found that double overexpression of the same protein (pHluorin VAMP7+RFP-VAMP7a) strongely reduces their exocytosis, in particular on PDL (Figure 32, upper graphs). Intiguingly, early during the neurite outgrouth, the inhibitory role of LD is not apparent when VAMP7i is added to VAMP7 and the overall level of exocytic vesicles is comparable to the non-LD VAMP7dh that also increase VAMP7 fusion events, with no dependence from coating conditions. Figure S2 summarizes isoform effects. Taken together, these

results reveal that early during neuritogenesis process, VAMP7 is more subjected to isoform influence (both dh and i produce a similar increase).



Figure 32. Influence of VAMP7 isoforms on VAMP7-mediated exocytosis in the soma.

Immature neurons were co-transfected with both pHluorin-VAMP7 and respectively RFP-tagged VAMP7a, VAMP7dh or VAMP7i, on either PDL or LN. Live cell imaging was performed after 1 and 3 days, acquiring frames every 0.5 sec for 5 min. Fusion events were counted and related to area and time. Graphs report statistical data collected from several cells ($n \ge 10$; 2 different experiments; mean ± SE).

1.2.2.5 <u>Cross-talk between VAMP7 and VAMP2 pathways: a</u> <u>modulation model driven by VAMP7 isoforms</u>

VAMP2 is the v-SNARE for synaptic vesicle exocytosis, but VAMP7 also interacts with the t-SNAREs SNAP25 and Stx1 involved in transmitter release (Alberts *et al.*, 2003; Cotrufo *et al.*, 2011). Furthermore, recent evidence seems to suggest an involvement of synaptobrevin 2 in neurite outgrowth (Gupton and Gertler, 2010). In this complex scenario, we performed preliminary experiments to shed light on possible relationship between VAMP7 splice variants and VAMP2 in neurite outgrowth. Neurons were co-transfected with pHluorin-VAMP2 in combination to VAMP7 isoforms, as previous reported. VAMP2-mediated exocytosis was not particularly affected by isoform addition, rather than VAMP7dh, after 1 day (Figure 33, upper graphs). Probably, similar structure (SNARE+TM region) shared by synaptobrevin 2 and VAMP7dh might account for this. At day 3, expression of VAMP2 on LN shows a strong increase in exocytosis that is clearly reduced by VAMP7a and i addition; interestingly, the LD-only isoform display this inhibitory effect in both coating conditions.



Figure 33. Influence of VAMP7 isoforms on VAMP2-mediated exocytosis in the soma. Immature neurons were co-transfected, live cell imaging was performed, fusion events were counted and graphs report statistical data as indicated in Figure 16 legend.

More in general, it seems that when neurons are seeded on LN, all VAMP7 isoforms decrease VAMP2 exocytosis over time, with an opposite behavior respect to the control. Figure S3 summarizes these isoform effects. Thus, the most interesting data concern the strong effect mediated by LN on VAMP2 pathway that produce a relevant increase in fusion events and the inhibitory action displayed by VAMP7i. These two results are enforced during neuritogenesis progression.

Finally, comparing what happens in the soma of developing neurons transfected with either pHluorin-VAMP7 or VAMP2 (Figure 34), it was found that VAMP7a decreases VAMP2 levels at day 3. When considering VAMP7dh, it decreases exocytic events in presence of VAMP2 after 1 day from transfection; then, at day 3 both SNAREs are strongly inhibited with respect to controls. At day 1, VAMP7i does not have negative effect on VAMP7 on PDL, but it strongly decreases VAMP2-mediated exocytosis on LN, particularly after 3 days in culture; a sensitive increase is visible for other conditions. Interestingly, VAMP7a and VAMP7i seem to preferentially act on VAMP2 (Figure S4), whereas VAMP7dh acts on VAMP7, suggesting that in immature neurons, complex pathways and multiple players are involved in the control of neuritogenesis.



Figure 34. Influence of VAMP7 isoforms on both VAMP7 and VAMP2-mediated exocytosis.

Comparison between pHluorin-VAMP7 and pHluorin-VAMP2 experiments, considering influence of both VAMP7 isoforms, coating conditions (PDL, LN) and neuronal development.

DISCUSSION

1.1 Human VAMP7 isoforms: domain architecture, tissue-specificity and subcellular targeting

Increased complexity in higher organisms is achieved by fine tuning of gene functions without needing a proportional increase in gene number. This tuning can be mediated by several mechanisms at different levels (ex. DNA methylation, phosphorylation, miRNAs); recent estimates indicate that nearly 60% of the human genome generates splice-variant mRNAs, of which 80% result in changes in the primary amino acid sequence (Modrek et al., 2002). Humans have only one gene encoding for the SNARE VAMP7, whereas plants display more genes. When this work started, the existence of an AS mechanism governing SYBL1 locus and resulting in multiple variants production was just discovered; thus, the first aim was to characterize such splice variants that show varying domain architecture and probably function(s). Real time RT-PCR analyses revealed the subset of isoforms expressed at physiological level; interestingly, they retain a particular domain architecture: two non-SNARE isoforms consist of the LD alone (VAMP7i) or "membrane-anchored" LD (VAMP7i); two Δ -longin isoforms (VAMP7dh and VAMP7c,- Martinez-Arca et al., 2003-) share synaptobrevin-like architecture with different NT extensions. Finally, VAMP7b is only partially "non-SNARE" because it still keeps the NT part of the SNARE, which is crucial for intramolecular binding to the LD, closed conformation and targeting (Mancias and Goldberg, 2007). Moreover, tissue-specific expression of these isoforms suggests that AS at the SYBL1 locus is developmentally regulated along cell lineage/tissue differentiation. Alternative mRNAs encoding variants with different domain combinations are expressed at levels ranging 5-12% of VAMP7a in cell lines. In differentiated tissues the aggregate level of non-SNARE, inhibitory variants is comparable or even higher than VAMP7a. Moreover, interesting evidence correlates VAMP7 isoforms with a developmentally-regulated expression in brain, where VAMP7 is supposed to have a specific function (extensively reviewed in Chaineau et al., 2009). More in general, each isoform might contribute to finely tune VAMP7-mediated pathways involved in different aspects of cell physiology, according to different cell types: indeed, several regulatory mechanisms do not require 1:1 interactions. This is particularly important when considering that SCL analysis also revealed a nuclear localization for the LD-only isoform VAMP7i. The difficulty in transfecting this isoform, the controversial point of the inhibitory

role suggested for LD (Martinez-Arca et al., 2000; Martinez-Arca et al., 2001; Martinez-Arca et al., 2003) prompt us to further investigate on possible role of VAMP7i at the nucleus. Indeed, VAMP7i consists only of the LD, similar to some longin-like proteins (Rossi et al., 2004) like sigma AP-3 (Collins et al., 2002) and sedlin (Jang et al., 2002). Intriguingly, sedlin has been recently reported to show nuclear localization and to interact with transcription factors (TF) and TF-binding proteins (Jeyabalan et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2010). Thus, next experiments will try to better characterize this intriguing VAMP7i feature. When considering other isoforms, VAMP7b and VAMP7a show different SCL, in agreement with evidence that other protein regions/domains can modulate SC targeting capacity of the LD (Pryor et al., 2008; Chaineau et al., 2009). This is evident when comparing VAMP7i and VAMP7j, both sharing the LD and missing the SNARE motif: the presence of the TM anchor in VAMP7 is able to prevent nuclear localization. Production of non-SNARE isoforms by AS further strengthens the concept that the LD can also play an important role in trafficking mechanisms independently on the SNARE motif. In agreement with such an idea, data on neurite outgrowth link VAMP7i to the regulation of vesicle pools during exocytosis.

Given the peculiar domain architecture, VAMP7 isoforms can represent a useful tool in understanding the function(s) of the LD and other regions/domains as SC sorting signals. Previous results from other groups only came from artificial constructs: the SCL of several chimeric VAMP7 proteins was compared in plants (Uemura et al., 2005), whereas separated VAMP7 domains were used to investigate both SCL and effects on mammalian cell physiology. In particular, a synaptobrevin-like Δ -longin fragment and an LD-only constructs were found to elicit somewhat opposite effects (Martinez-Arca et al., 2000; Martinez-Arca et al., 2001). Indeed, such "artificial" fragments mime the "natural" domain architecture of isoforms VAMP7dh and VAMP7i, which are thus the physiological mediators of equal/similar effects. Indeed, both VAMP7dh and Δ -longin fragment partially co-localize with VAMP7a, while both VAMP7i and LD-only do not, thus showing congruent SC sorting in two different cell types (neuronal and epithelial). Furthermore, labeling with several organelle markers highlighted that colocalization between VAMP7dh and the main isoform VAMP7a is in regions like PM and EEs. Additional investigation using different, recombinant VAMP7a cytosolic fragments showed that nuclear entrance is observed in the absence of a TM region. Preliminary results concerning another longin, Sec22b and its recombinant fragments without TM region show the same behavior (Rossi V, personal communication), therefore, a nuclear localization for the LD is not peculiar to VAMP7 and seems to be conserved among longins. Furthermore, differences in VAMP7 fragment length were used to study the relationship between VAMP7 open-closed conformation and SCL, recently investigated using NMR analyses (Vivona *et al.*, 2010). However, all fragments without the TM showed the same localization, suggesting that either closed or open, LD conformation is not relevant for nuclear targeting.

1.2 VAMP7 and neuronal development

When considering different SCL shown by the VAMP7 isoforms, it is evident that VAMP7a is spread over multiple locations along its trafficking routes, because of interactions mediated by all its domains, whereas alternative variants missing either the LD or the SNARE motif, or even showing new regions, cannot share all interactors with the main isoforms hence are likely to influence specific trafficking events. Early evidence suggesting a peculiar physiological role for VAMP7 splice variants came out from gPCR analyses on human cell lines and tissues, as modulation of their mRNA levels is clearly evident along different developmental stages, from SH-SY5Y cells to fetal and adult brain. This prompted us to further investigate the role of VAMP7 isoforms in neuronal development. The relevance of this work can be better appreciated when taking into account that in mammals and in particular in humans - brain is devoted to accomplish multiple, very complex functions, because of the much higher behavioral complexity of these organisms and in particular of primates and humans. Neuronal connections, plasticity etc. depict an intricate system in which developmental and environmental conditions need to be continuously controlled and maintained. Cortical/hippocampal neurons are considered to be an elective model for studying mammalian neuron physiology (Pfenninger, 2009); therefore, environmental conditions and developmental stage of embryonic cultures in vivo, as well as time and modality for protein overexpression *in vitro* are frequently not comparable in different works or even in the same set of experiments. Neurons cultured with or without ECM components, prepared at different embryonic stages, transfected with diverse methods, at different points during development may result in difficulties to compare data. Most often, collected data and new results are poorly supported by a comparative discussion that was taking into account protocol and environmental differences, making it more and more difficult to properly and rigorously define and distinguish molecular pathways and protein roles/effects. Alberts and colleagues described differences in cellular response to LD transfection in dependence to embryonic age (apoptosis phenotype against cell survival) (Alberts et al., 2003). In addition, the signaling cascade for

neuritogenesis activation is sensitive to cell types and/or developmental stages (Chacòn and Fazzari, 2011). Other evidence highlights the influence of the cell substrate on cell motility and axon outgrowth (Geiger *et al.*, 2001; Tojima *et al.*, 2007; Murray *et al.*, 2009; Myers *et al.*, 2011); strikingly, this point is well-considered for designing of specific biomaterials in cell therapy. Thus, finding the best conditions should be the first aim of every study, in particular considering the brain complexity (Geiger *et al.*, 2001; Jang *et al.*, 2010; Pertz, 2011; Myers *et al.*, 2011).

This work took into account all considerations above to define best parameters for characterizing VAMP7 isoforms in neuronal developments. First, quantitative analyses of VAMP7 isoform mRNA expression were performed on differentiated neuroblastoma cells. It has to be stressed that, although primary neurons are an elective model system, obviously they cannot be obtained from human brains. Given that available primary neurons are derived from mice or rats brains, they are not suitable for investigating physiological, endogenous levels of human mRNAs hence human cells only should be used for this aim. In early differentiation steps (1-3 days), a progressive increase in minor isoforms (in particular, non SNARE ones) levels is observed, followed by a decrease for the non-LD variant VAMP7dh. Then, both human cells and mouse cortical/hippocampal neurons were used for checking isoform overexpression effects, following two different transfection protocols in order to better follow effects with respect to overexpression time. Effects in human SH-SY5Y cell line were more clearly evident when using Protocol B (transfction 24h before each time point for cell imaging), whereas Protocol A (transfection before differentiation) produced best results when using primary mouse neurons. Although it is well known that cell lines and primary cultures cannot be directly compared due to different behavior in vitro, choosing such best conditions was of great help in finding a common rationale for the results. Proper culture conditions are essential for avoiding non physiological responses; to this aim, both neuronal cell lines and rat/mouse neurons are usually cultured on either neutral substrate (PDL) or different feeder layer/coating for the most common brain extracellular environment (glial cells, gelatin, collagen, matrigel, LN, adhesion molecules) (Martinez-Arca et al., 2000; Martinez-Arca et al., 2001; Alberts et al., 2003/2006; Burgo et al., 2009; Hua et al., 2011; Cotrufo et al., 2011). Anyway, during their differentiation, neurons reach different part of the developing brain and are subjected to specific environments in proximity or not of ECM components (Chen et al., 2003; Yin et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2009). Although SH-SY5Y cells and primary neurons display morphological differences in neurite outgrowth (in terms of protrusion number, length, synaptogenesis etc.) (Meldolesi *et al.*, 2011), using the same coating conditions (PDL) made comparison more manageable. Finding that the non-LD isoform VAMP7dh acts as an enhancer and the LD-only isoform VAMP7i as a breaker provided a physiological rationale to preliminary observations with artificial constructs (Martinez-Arca *et al.*, 2000; Martinez-Arca *et al.*, 2001). Using also a primary culture model, it was found that such opposite effects are further influenced by coating conditions. Further relevant information was inferred by statistical analyses of peculiar, morphological parameters: VAMP7dh seems particularly involved in the control of neurite length, rather than of neurite branching; on the contrary, non SNARE isoforms show inhibitory effect on both neurite/axon elongation and branching. This is in agreement with current evidence on independent regulation of axon outgrowth and branching, during development (Hutchins and Kalil, 2008).

Neuritogenesis is based on several mechanisms and players: control of cytoskeletal remodelling, PM addition, receptor endo/exocytosis, extracellular signaling for growth cone direction. In such space-regulated molecular processes, SNARE involvement cannot be restricted to a single, simple role. At the same time, distinguishing between macroscopic, morphological observations that concern whole, cellular responses and single biological/molecular processes is essential. They are strictly related each other, but the latter is only part of a more complex phenotype. Since VAMP7 role in neuritogenesis is suggested to rely on SC sorting capacity of driving exocytosis routes (Alberts et al., 2003), this pathway was also studied to clarify cross-talk with the deeply investigated synaptobrevin VAMP2. A surprising discovery was the implication of VAMP7 isoforms on VAMP2-mediated exocytosis, when using LN coating. Taken together, data from both morphological and exocitosis analyses reveal that the synaptobrevin-like isoform dh is a general neuritogenesis enhancer, whereas VAMP7i inhibits neurite outgrowth and this is even more evident when using LN coating. This is in agreement with the idea that multiple pathways are involved in the control of neuritogenesis in immature neurons: in particular, SNARE dependent exocytosis is finely tuned both spatially and temporally to properly challenge progressive changes in neuronal morphology and physiology. In such a general view, relevance of having a number of specific regulators, such as multiple VAMP7 isoforms, instead of just a few basic players, i.e. main isoforms only, can be easily argued. Results from co-expression experiments with different combinations of VAMP7 isoforms and VAMP7/VAMP2 are just in this track and provided us with a better representation of complex, physiological cross-talks within the differentiating cell. Both VAMP7 and VAMP2 are present in immature

neurons and can undergo neuritogenesis; nevertheless cell exposure to particular environments seems to add selectivity for specific pathways (Gupton and Gertler, Dev Cell 2010). In a LN environment, coordinate action of VAMP7a and VAMP7i displays a strong, inhibitory effect on VAMP2-mediated exocytosis, in particular at day 3. This is likely to address neuritogenesis to VAMP7 pathway. An artificial interaction between VAMP7 LD and VAMP2 SNARE motif was previously tested in some experiments, showing reduced fusion capacity respect to VAMP2 alone (Martinez-Arca et al., 2003). This results clearly remind the LD inhibitory role when VAMP7 is in closed-conformation and it is tempting to speculate about possible heterologous binding to other SNARE motifs, as confirmed by our results in neuronal culture. Thus, the LD can act as a competitor for other SNARE motifs, regulating membrane fusion in particular membrane area or in determinate moments of development. In the same conditions (LN coating), at day 1 the synaptobrevin-like isoform VAMP7dh directly acts on VAMP7 pathway, increasing vesicle fusion. In later stages (3 days), VAMP7 is the only SNARE able to mediate exocytosis, possibly because VAMP2 is inhibited by VAMP7i. It is important to remark that exocytosis analyses are referred to fusion events occurring in the soma, whereas morphological data clearly consider the shape of a whole, developing neuron. It is known that exocytosis usually takes place in a patchy manner along both neurites and in somata; nevertheless, events at the growth cone greatly promote neurite outgrowth, whereas along neurites they are more important for other functions, such as neurite branching or formation of nascent synapses (Sytnyk et al., 2002; Chernyshova et al., 2011).

The presence at the same time of two proteins with similar domain architecture (VAMP2 and VAMP7dh) but different SNARE motif (Rossi et al, 2004) suggest that diverse vesicle pools could coexist and be driven by either VAMP7dh or VAMP2-mediated exocytosis. At early differentiation stages, VAMP2 vesicles are also secreted in absence of any formed synapse, but they do not directly contribute to membrane expansion (Matteoli *et al.*, 1992). In agreement with evidence from this work, recently Ramirez and coworkers set up a system for contemporary detecting VAMP2 and VAMP7-mediated exocytosis, highlighting independent action of the two SNAREs (Ramirez *et al.*, 2012). The overall exocytic pathway acting during neurite outgrowth is likely very complicate, hence not completely explainable by results from the "single" pH-sensitive system used in this work. It is well-known that neurons within the CNS offer one of the more striking examples in biology of the specialization of the SC structure–function relationship. Therefore it is quite unlikely that a single, main master would drive all neuritogenesis pathways (as extracellular cues), and a more balanced view is

needed, where a straight collaboration between cytoskeltal rearrangement machinery (in particular actin accessory proteins) and SNAREs occurs. A peculiar relationship between Arf2/3 and VAMP7 or Ena/VASP and VAMP2-mediated exocytosis was reported (Gupton and Gertler, 2010); the Ena/VASP pathway seems to be linked also to chemotropic guidance by Netrin-1 (a LN-like protein) (Dent et al., 2011). Further evidence (Lafuente et al., 2004; Moore and Kennedy, 2006) suggests that VAMP2 exocytosis might be responsive to the same extracellular cues of VAMP7, in agreement with indications from this work on the inhibitory role of VAMP7i on VAMP2. Different stimuli are involved in cytoskeletal remodeling, using several mediator as Rac, CdC42, src, FAK (Gupton and Gertler, 2010): L1-cam (Alberts et al., 2006), Netrin-1 (Cotrufo et al., 2011; Dent et al., 2011), LN-integrin signaling; this clearly highlights the complexity of mechanisms involved in neurite outgrowth and the importance of a fine regulation, for example by VAMP7 AS. Indeed, new evidence indirectly and/or directly underlines the crescent importance of VAMP7 isoforms for the neuronal system. Another Δ LD artificial construct (that does not completely match with the previous one and the VAMP7dh isoform) was recently used for performing similar exocytosis analyses at the synaptic boutons of mature neurons, showing an interesting behavior (Hua et al., 2011). It localizes at the same membrane of the main VAMP7a and affects the behavior of both VAMP7 and VAMP2, but not VGLUT1, suggesting a specific role for a subset of synaptic vesicles. It can also contribute to evoked release (recycling pool) mediated by AP-1, even if VAMP7 is normally seen in the resting pool, pointing out the idea that the absence of LD is useful for changing the physiological role in particular cellular responses. In conclusion, current evidence is drawing a complex picture but it is still unable to clearly define and separate (whenever possible) all roles played by VAMP2 and VAMP7 in both immature and mature neurons. In such a mosaic, VAMP7 isoforms might account for some missing pieces. For instance, evidence that KO mice for VAMP7 protein shows no particular anomalies (Sato et al., 2011) is difficult to be explained when considering only the main isoform, and could rely upon some isoform-dependent compensatory effects.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The existence of an AS mechanism for human gene SYBL1 and the involvement of VAMP7 protein isoforms in the fine modulation of neurite outgrowth is a further example of increased complexity of pathway regulation in higher organisms and, in particular, in the nervous system. Moreover, since the conservation of the LD among all Eukaryota, it is noteworthy its role in directing this fine system with effects on other isoforms and/or SNAREs. Cross-talk between VAMP7 and VAMP2-mediated exocytosis might depend on LD ability to inhibit SNARE complex formation and protein interactions for recycling from the PM. Intriguingly the only isoform able to bypass nuclear membrane seems to play an important role in neuronal regulation. Furthermore, evidence that VAMP7i shares its LD fold with longin-like proteins such as sedlin that is known to interact with TF and TF-binding proteins, suggests to perform further experiments aimed at identifying its nuclear interactors and check whether the inhibitory role can be mediated (also) by modulation of transcriptional regulation. Despite an important role for LD is confirmed, all tree VAMP7 domains (LD, SNARE motif and TM region) were found to co-determine SC sorting, whereas a role for open/closedconformational switch could not be found. Understanding the main sorting signals and thus, the contribution of each domain to SC sorting in key regulatory events is not only relevant for defining molecular mechanisms in cell physiology, but it is also an essential biotechnological goal for drug delivery (Rajendran et al., 2010). This field needs to take advantage by cell biological strategies for avoiding frequent toxicity and therapy failure due to other used strategies. In this light, information carried out by cellular machineries is a real promise, in particular in the CNS (Miyashiro et al., 2009). Another biotechnological implication of this work relies on the close relationship between extracellular cues, VAMP7 isoforms and neuronal development. Thus, VAMP7 splice variants can really represent a useful tool for studying novel stimuli in order to drive neuritogenesis and to improve ongoing efforts in regenerative medicine (Liu et al., 2012). Indeed, the design of functionalized polymers that can elicit specific biological responses and the development of methods to fabricate new devices that incorporate biological cues are of great interest to the biomedical community.



SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Figure S1. Modulation of non-SNARE and non-LD isoforms during neuronal differentiation. Quantitative analysis of RA-treated SH-SY5Y are shown as total contribution of the two distinct VAMP7 subfamilies of non-LD (b+i+j) and non-SNARE(c+dh) splice variants respect to the main VAMP7a.



Figure S2. Influence of VAMP7 isoforms on VAMP7-mediated exocytosis in the soma.

Immature neurons were co-transfected, live cell imaging was performed, fusion events were counted and graphs report statistical data as indicated in Figure 16 legend. Data are shown considering VAMP7 isoform contribution to exocytic events.



Figure S3. Influence of VAMP7 isoforms on VAMP2-mediated exocytosis in the soma.

Immature neurons were co-transfected, live cell imaging was performed, fusion events were counted and graphs report statistical data as indicated in Figure 16 legend, except for using pHluorin-VAMP2 instead of pHluorin-VAMP7. Data are reported considering VAMP7 isoform contribution to exocytic events.



Figure S4. Influence of VAMP7 isoforms on both VAMP7 and VAMP2-mediated exocytosis.

Comparison between pHluorin-VAMP7 and pHluorin-VAMP2 experiments, considering influence of both VAMP7 isoforms, coating conditions (PDL, LN) and neuronal development. Data are reported considering VAMP7 isoform contribution to exocytic events.

REFERENCES

Ahmed G, Shinmyo Y, Ohta K, Islam SM, Hossain M, Naser IB, Riyadh MA, Su Y, Zhang S, Tessier-Lavigne M, Tanaka H. Draxin inhibits axonal outgrowth through the netrin receptor DCC. J Neurosci. 2011;31(39):14018-23.

Alberts P, Rudge R, Hinners I, Muzerelle A, Martinez-Arca S, Irinopoulou T, Marthiens V, Tooze S, Rathjen F, Gaspar P, Galli T. Cross talk between tetanus neurotoxin-insensitive vesicle-associated membrane protein-mediated transport and L1-mediated adhesion. Mol Biol Cell. 2003;14(10):4207-20.

Alberts P, Rudge R, Irinopoulou T, Danglot L, Gauthier-Rouvière C, Galli T. *Cdc42* and actin control polarized expression of TI-VAMP vesicles to neuronal growth cones and their fusion with the plasma membrane. Mol Biol Cell. 2006;17(3):1194-203.

Allen J, Chilton JK. *The specific targeting of guidance receptors within neurons: who directs the directors?* Dev Biol. 2009;327(1):4-11.

Antonin W, Holroyd C, Fasshauer D, Pabst S, Von Mollard GF, Jahn R. A SNARE complex mediating fusion of late endosomes defines conserved properties of SNARE structure and function. EMBO J. 2000;19(23):6453-64.

Applewhite DA, Barzik M, Kojima S, Svitkina TM, Gertler FB, Borisy GG. *Ena/VASP proteins have an anti-capping independent function in filopodia formation.* Mol Biol Cell. 2007;18(7):2579-91.

Arimura N, Kaibuchi K. Neuronal polarity: from extracellular signals to intracellular mechanisms. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2007;8(3):194-205.

Atwal JK, Massie B, Miller FD, Kaplan DR. The TrkB-Shc site signals neuronal survival and local axon growth via MEK and P13-kinase. Neuron. 2000;27(2):265-77.

Baas PW, Black MM, Banker GA. *Changes in microtubule polarity orientation during the development of hippocampal neurons in culture.* J Cell Biol. 1989;109(6 Pt 1):3085-94.

Behnia R, Munro S. Organelle identity and the signposts for membrane traffic. Nature. 2005;438(7068):597-604.

Bennett AF, Baines AJ. Bundling of microtubules by synapsin 1. Characterization of bundling and interaction of distinct sites in synapsin 1 head and tail domains with different sites in tubulin. Eur J Biochem. 1992;206(3):783-92.

Bentley D, Toroian-Raymond A. *Disoriented pathfinding by pioneer neurone growth cones deprived of filopodia by cytochalasin treatment*. Nature. 1986;323(6090):712-5.

Berglund L, Hoffmann HJ, Dahl R, Petersen TE. VAMP-1 has a highly variable Cterminus generated by alternative splicing. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1999;264(3):777-80.

Blesch A, Tuszynski MH. Spinal cord injury: plasticity, regeneration and the challenge of translational drug development. Trends Neurosci. 2009;32(1):41-7.

Bloom O, Evergren E, Tomilin N, Kjaerulff O, Löw P, Brodin L, Pieribone VA, Greengard P, Shupliakov O. Colocalization of synapsin and actin during synaptic vesicle recycling. J Cell Biol. 2003;161(4):737-47.

Bloom OE, Morgan JR. *Membrane trafficking events underlying axon repair, growth, and regeneration.* Mol Cell Neurosci. 2011;48(4):339-48.

Bonanomi D, Benfenati F, Valtorta F. *Protein sorting in the synaptic vesicle life cycle.* Prog Neurobiol. 2006;80(4):177-217.

Bonifacino JS, Glick BS. *The mechanisms of vesicle budding and fusion.* Cell. 2004;116(2):153-66.

Bouchard JF, Horn KE, Stroh T, Kennedy TE. Depolarization recruits DCC to the plasma membrane of embryonic cortical neurons and enhances axon extension in response to netrin-1. J Neurochem. 2008;107(2):398-417.

Bouchard JF, Moore SW, Tritsch NX, Roux PP, Shekarabi M, Barker PA, Kennedy TE. *Protein kinase A activation promotes plasma membrane insertion of DCC from an intracellular pool: A novel mechanism regulating commissural axon extension.* J Neurosci. 2004;24(12):3040-50.

Brakebusch C, Fässler R. *The integrin-actin connection, an eternal love affair*. EMBO J. 2003;22(10):2324-33.

Bröcker C, Engelbrecht-Vandré S, Ungermann C. *Multisubunit tethering complexes and their role in membrane fusion.* Curr Biol. 2010;20(21):R943-52.

Bu W, Lim KB, Yu YH, Chou AM, Sudhaharan T, Ahmed S. *Cdc42 interaction with N-WASP and Toca-1 regulates membrane tubulation, vesicle formation and vesicle motility: implications for endocytosis.* PLoS One. 2010;5(8).

Buck CA, Horwitz AF. Integrin, a transmembrane glycoprotein complex mediating cell-substratum adhesion. J Cell Sci Suppl. 1987;8:231-50.

Burgo A, Sotirakis E, Simmler MC, Verraes A, Chamot C, Simpson JC, Lanzetti L, Proux-Gillardeaux V, Galli T. *Role of Varp, a Rab21 exchange factor and TI-VAMP/VAMP7 partner, in neurite growth.* EMBO Rep. 2009;10(10):1117-24.

Burton PR. Dendrites of mitral cell neurons contain microtubules of opposite polarity. Brain Res. 1988;473(1):107-15.

Campos LW, Chakrabarty S, Haque R, Martin JH. Regenerating motor bridge axons refine connections and synapse on lumbar motoneurons to bypass chronic spinal cord injury. J Comp Neurol. 2008;506(5):838-50.

Chacón MR, Fazzari P. *FAK: dynamic integration of guidance signals at the growth cone.* Cell Adh Migr. 2011;5(1):52-5.

Chaineau M, Danglot L, Galli T. *Multiple roles of the vesicular-SNARE TI-VAMP in post-Golgi and endosomal trafficking*. FEBS Lett. 2009;583(23):3817-26.

Chaineau M, Danglot L, Proux-Gillardeaux V, Galli T. Role of HRB in clathrindependent endocytosis. J Biol Chem. 2008;283(49):34365-73.

Chen M, Manley JL. *Mechanisms of alternative splicing regulation: insights from molecular and genomics approaches.* Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2009;10(11):741-54.

Chen ZL, Haegeli V, Yu H, Strickland S. Cortical deficiency of laminin gammal impairs the AKT/GSK-3beta signaling pathway and leads to defects in neurite outgrowth and neuronal migration. Dev Biol. 2009;327(1):158-68.

Chen ZL, Strickland S. Laminin gammal is critical for Schwann cell differentiation, axon myelination, and regeneration in the peripheral nerve. J Cell Biol. 2003;163(4):889-99.

Chernyshova Y, Leshchyns'ka I, Hsu SC, Schachner M, Sytnyk V. The neural cell adhesion molecule promotes FGFR-dependent phosphorylation and membrane targeting of the exocyst complex to induce exocytosis in growth cones. J Neurosci. 2011;31(10):3522-35.

Chieregatti E, Meldolesi J. Regulated exocytosis: new organelles for non-secretory purposes. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2005;6(2):181-7.

Cocucci E, Racchetti G, Rupnik M, Meldolesi J. The regulated exocytosis of enlargeosomes is mediated by a SNARE machinery that includes VAMP4. J Cell Sci. 2008;121(Pt 18):2983-91.

Collins BM, McCoy AJ, Kent HM, Evans PR, Owen DJ. *Molecular architecture and functional model of the endocytic AP2 complex.* Cell. 2002;109(4):523-35.

Cotrufo T, Pérez-Brangulí F, Muhaisen A, Ros O, Andrés R, Baeriswyl T, Fuschini G, Tarrago T, Pascual M, Ureña J, Blasi J, Giralt E, Stoeckli ET, Soriano E. A

signaling mechanism coupling netrin-1/deleted in colorectal cancer chemoattraction to SNARE-mediated exocytosis in axonal growth cones. J Neurosci. 2011;31(41):14463-80.

Da Silva JS, Dotti CG. Breaking the neuronal sphere: regulation of the actin cytoskeleton in neuritogenesis. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2002;3(9):694-704.

Dailey ME, Smith SJ. *The dynamics of dendritic structure in developing hippocampal slices.* J Neurosci. 1996;16(9):2983-94.

Daley WP, Peters SB, Larsen M. *Extracellular matrix dynamics in development and regenerative medicine*. J Cell Sci. 2008;121(Pt 3):255-64.

Darios F, Davletov B. *Omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids stimulate cell membrane expansion by acting on syntaxin 3.* Nature. 2006;440(7085):813-7.

Dehmelt L, Halpain S. Actin and microtubules in neurite initiation: are MAPs the missing link? J Neurobiol. 2004;58(1):18-33.

Dent EW, Barnes AM, Tang F, Kalil K. Netrin-1 and semaphorin 3A promote or inhibit cortical axon branching, respectively, by reorganization of the cytoskeleton. J Neurosci. 2004;24(12):3002-12.

Dent EW, Gertler FB. *Cytoskeletal dynamics and transport in growth cone motility and axon guidance*. Neuron. 2003;40(2):209-27.

Dent EW, Gupton SL, Gertler FB. *The growth cone cytoskeleton in axon outgrowth and guidance.* Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2011;3(3).

Dent EW, Kwiatkowski AV, Mebane LM, Philippar U, Barzik M, Rubinson DA, Gupton S, Van Veen JE, Furman C, Zhang J, Alberts AS, Mori S, Gertler FB. *Filopodia are required for cortical neurite initiation*. Nat Cell Biol. 2007;9(12):1347-59. **Dent EW, Tang F, Kalil K.** *Axon guidance by growth cones and branches: common*

cytoskeletal and signaling mechanisms. Neuroscientist. 2003;9(5):343-53.

D'Esposito M, Ciccodicola A, Gianfrancesco F, Esposito T, Flagiello L, Mazzarella R, Schlessinger D, D'Urso M. A synaptobrevin-like gene in the Xq28 pseudoautosomal region undergoes X inactivation. Nat Genet. 1996;13(2):227-9.

Dotti CG, Poo MM. *Neuronal polarization: building fences for molecular segregation.* Nat Cell Biol. 2003;5(7):591-4.

Dotti CG, Sullivan CA, Banker GA. *The establishment of polarity by hippocampal neurons in culture.* J Neurosci. 1988;8(4):1454-68.

Evers JF, Muench D, Duch C. Developmental relocation of presynaptic terminals along distinct types of dendritic filopodia. Dev Biol. 2006;297(1):214-27.

Ezratty EJ, Bertaux C, Marcantonio EE, Gundersen GG. Clathrin mediates integrin endocytosis for focal adhesion disassembly in migrating cells. J Cell Biol. 2009;187(5):733-47.

Fader CM, Sánchez DG, Mestre MB, Colombo MI. TI-VAMP/VAMP7 and VAMP3/cellubrevin: two v-SNARE proteins involved in specific steps of the autophagy/multivesicular body pathways. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2009;1793(12):1901-16.

Fasshauer D, Sutton RB, Brunger AT, Jahn R. Conserved structural features of the synaptic fusion complex: SNARE proteins reclassified as Q- and R-SNAREs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1998;95(26):15781-6.

Feldmann A, Amphornrat J, Schönherr M, Winterstein C, Möbius W, Ruhwedel T, Danglot L, Nave KA, Galli T, Bruns D, Trotter J, Krämer-Albers EM. Transport of the major myelin proteolipid protein is directed by VAMP3 and VAMP7. J Neurosci. 2011;31(15):5659-72.

Filippini F, Rossi V, Galli T, Budillon A, D'Urso M, D'Esposito M. Longins: a new evolutionary conserved VAMP family sharing a novel SNARE domain. Trends Biochem Sci. 2001;26(7):407-9.

Flowerdew SE, Burgoyne RD. A VAMP7/Vti1a SNARE complex distinguishes a nonconventional traffic route to the cell surface used by KChIP1 and Kv4 potassium channels. Biochem J. 2009;418(3):529-40.

Fobian K, Owczarek S, Budtz C, Bock E, Berezin V, Pedersen MV. *Peptides derived from the solvent-exposed loops 3 and 4 of BDNF bind TrkB and p75(NTR) receptors and stimulate neurite outgrowth and survival.* J Neurosci Res. 2010;88(6):1170-81.

Fox-Walsh KL, Hertel KJ. *Splice-site pairing is an intrinsically high fidelity process.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106(6):1766-71.

Friedl P, Gilmour D. Collective cell migration in morphogenesis, regeneration and cancer. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2009;10(7):445-57.

Fukasawa M, Varlamov O, Eng WS, Söllner TH, Rothman JE. Localization and activity of the SNARE Ykt6 determined by its regulatory domain and palmitoylation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004;101(14):4815-20.

Galli T, Zahraoui A, Vaidyanathan VV, Raposo G, Tian JM, Karin M, Niemann H, Louvard D. A novel tetanus neurotoxin-insensitive vesicle-associated membrane protein in SNARE complexes of the apical plasma membrane of epithelial cells. Mol Biol Cell. 1998;9(6):1437-48.

Geiger B, Bershadsky A, Pankov R, Yamada KM. Transmembrane crosstalk between the extracellular matrix--cytoskeleton crosstalk. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2001;2(11):793-805.

Goldberg DJ, Foley MS, Tang D, Grabham PW. Recruitment of the Arp2/3 complex and mena for the stimulation of actin polymerization in growth cones by nerve growth factor. J Neurosci Res. 2000;60(4):458-67.

Gomez TM, Zheng JQ. *The molecular basis for calcium-dependent axon pathfinding.* Nat Rev Neurosci. 2006;7(2):115-25.

Gonzalez LC Jr, Weis WI, Scheller RH. *A novel snare N-terminal domain revealed by the crystal structure of Sec22b.* J Biol Chem. 2001;276(26):24203-11.

Goslin K, Banker G. *Experimental observations on the development of polarity by hippocampal neurons in culture.* J Cell Biol. 1989;108(4):1507-16.

Groffen AJ, Jacobsen L, Schut D, Verhage M. Two distinct genes drive expression of seven tomosyn isoforms in the mammalian brain, sharing a conserved structure with a unique variable domain. J Neurochem. 2005;92(3):554-68.

Grosse G, Grosse J, Tapp R, Kuchinke J, Gorsleben M, Fetter I, Höhne-Zell B, Gratzl M, Bergmann M. SNAP-25 requirement for dendritic growth of hippocampal neurons. J Neurosci Res. 1999;56(5):539-46.

Grosshans BL, Ortiz D, Novick P. *Rabs and their effectors: achieving specificity in membrane traffic.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006;103(32):11821-7.

Gupton SL, Gertler FB. *Filopodia: the fingers that do the walking.* Sci STKE. 2007;2007(400).

Gupton SL, Gertler FB. Integrin signaling switches the cytoskeletal and exocytic machinery that drives neuritogenesis. Dev Cell. 2010;18(5):725-36.

Hagg T, Portera-Cailliau C, Jucker M, Engvall E. Laminins of the adult mammalian CNS; laminin-alpha2 (merosin M-) chain immunoreactivity is associated with neuronal processes. Brain Res. 1997;764(1-2):17-27.

Halfter W, Dong S, Yip YP, Willem M, Mayer U. A critical function of the pial basement membrane in cortical histogenesis. J Neurosci. 2002;22(14):6029-40.

Hamilton NB, Attwell D. Do astrocytes really exocytose neurotransmitters? Nat Rev Neurosci. 2010;11(4):227-38.

Hansen RS, Stöger R, Wijmenga C, Stanek AM, Canfield TK, Luo P, Matarazzo MR, D'Esposito M, Feil R, Gimelli G, Weemaes CM, Laird CD, Gartler SM. Escape from gene silencing in ICF syndrome: evidence for advanced replication time as a major determinant. Hum Mol Genet. 2000;9(18):2575-87.

Hanus C, Ehlers MD. Secretory outposts for the local processing of membrane cargo in neuronal dendrites. Traffic. 2008;9(9):1437-45.

Hasegawa H, Yang Z, Oltedal L, Davanger S, Hay JC. Intramolecular protein-protein and protein-lipid interactions control the conformation and subcellular targeting of neuronal Ykt6. J Cell Sci. 2004;117(Pt 19):4495-508.

Hazuka CD, Foletti DL, Hsu SC, Kee Y, Hopf FW, Scheller RH. The sec6/8 complex is located at neurite outgrowth and axonal synapse-assembly domains. J Neurosci. 1999;19(4):1324-34.

Hirling H, Steiner P, Chaperon C, Marsault R, Regazzi R, Catsicas S. Syntaxin 13 is a developmentally regulated SNARE involved in neurite outgrowth and endosomal trafficking. Eur J Neurosci. 2000;12(6):1913-23.

Hong K, Hinck L, Nishiyama M, Poo MM, Tessier-Lavigne M, Stein E. *A ligand-gated association between cytoplasmic domains of UNC5 and DCC family receptors converts netrin-induced growth cone attraction to repulsion*. Cell. 1999;97(7):927-41. **Hong W.** *SNAREs and traffic*. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2005;1744(3):493-517.

Höpker VH, Shewan D, Tessier-Lavigne M, Poo M, Holt C. *Growth-cone attraction to netrin-1 is converted to repulsion by laminin-1*. Nature. 1999;401(6748):69-73.

Horton AC, Ehlers MD. Dual modes of endoplasmic reticulum-to-Golgi transport in dendrites revealed by live-cell imaging. J Neurosci. 2003;23(15):6188-99.

Horton AC, Ehlers MD. Secretory trafficking in neuronal dendrites. Nat Cell Biol. 2004;6(7):585-91.

Hsu SC, Hazuka CD, Foletti DL, Scheller RH. *Targeting vesicles to specific sites on the plasma membrane: the role of the sec6/8 complex*. Trends Cell Biol. 1999;9(4):150-3.

Hua Z, Leal-Ortiz S, Foss SM, Waites CL, Garner CC, Voglmaier SM, Edwards RH. *v-SNARE composition distinguishes synaptic vesicle pools*. Neuron. 2011;71(3):474-87.

Huber AB, Kolodkin AL, Ginty DD, Cloutier JF. Signaling at the growth cone: ligand-receptor complexes and the control of axon growth and guidance. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2003;26:509-63.

Hutchins BI, Kalil K. Differential outgrowth of axons and their branches is regulated by localized calcium transients. J Neurosci. 2008;28(1):143-53.

Ingrosso D, Cimmino A, Perna AF, Masella L, De Santo NG, De Bonis ML, Vacca M, D'Esposito M, D'Urso M, Galletti P, Zappia V. Folate treatment and unbalanced methylation and changes of allelic expression induced by hyperhomocysteinaemia in patients with uraemia. Lancet. 2003;361(9370):1693-9.

Isenmann S, Khew-Goodall Y, Gamble J, Vadas M, Wattenberg BW. A spliceisoform of vesicle-associated membrane protein-1 (VAMP-1) contains a mitochondrial targeting signal. Mol Biol Cell. 1998;9(7):1649-60.

Islam SM, Shinmyo Y, Okafuji T, Su Y, Naser IB, Ahmed G, Zhang S, Chen S, Ohta K, Kiyonari H, Abe T, Tanaka S, Nishinakamura R, Terashima T, Kitamura T, Tanaka H. Draxin, a repulsive guidance protein for spinal cord and forebrain commissures. Science. 2009;323(5912):388-93.

Jahn R, Scheller RH. *SNAREs--engines for membrane fusion*. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2006;7(9):631-43.

Jang KJ, Kim MS, Feltrin D, Jeon NL, Suh KY, Pertz O. Two distinct filopodia populations at the growth cone allow to sense nanotopographical extracellular matrix cues to guide neurite outgrowth. PLoS One. 2010;5(12).

Jang SB, Cho YS, Eom SJ, Choi EJ, Kim KH, Suh PG, Oh BH. *Crystallization and preliminary X-ray crystallographic analysis of SEDL*. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. 2002;58(Pt 3):564-6.

Jeyabalan J, Nesbit MA, Galvanovskis J, Callaghan R, Rorsman P, Thakker RV. SEDLIN forms homodimers: characterisation of SEDLIN mutations and their interactions with transcription factors MBP1, PITX1 and SF1. PLoS One. 2010;5(5).

Kabayama H, Tokushige N, Takeuchi M, Mikoshiba K. Syntaxin 6 regulates nerve growth factor-dependent neurite outgrowth. Neurosci Lett. 2008;436(3):340-4.

Kamiguchi H, Lemmon V. *IgCAMs: bidirectional signals underlying neurite growth.* Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2000;12(5):598-605.

Kamiguchi H, Yoshihara F. The role of endocytic l1 trafficking in polarized adhesion and migration of nerve growth cones. J Neurosci. 2001;21(23):9194-203.

Kawasaki A, Torii K, Yamashita Y, Nishizawa K, Kanekura K, Katada M, Ito M, Nishimoto I, Terashita K, Aiso S, Matsuoka M. Wnt5a promotes adhesion of human dermal fibroblasts by triggering a phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase/Akt signal. Cell Signal. 2007;19(12):2498-506.

Keleman K, Dickson BJ. Short-and long-range repulsion by the Drosophila Unc5 netrin receptor. Neuron. 2001;32(4):605-17.

Kimura K, Mizoguchi A, Ide C. Regulation of growth cone extension by SNARE proteins. J Histochem Cytochem. 2003;51(4):429-33.

Kinch LN, Grishin NV. Longin-like folds identified in CHiPS and DUF254 proteins: vesicle trafficking complexes conserved in eukaryotic evolution. Protein Sci. 2006;15(11):2669-74.

Kiryushko D, Berezin V, Bock E. *Regulators of neurite outgrowth: role of cell adhesion molecules.* Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2004;1014:140-54.

Knott G, Holtmaat A. Dendritic spine plasticity--current understanding from in vivo studies. Brain Res Rev. 2008;58(2):282-9.

Kochetov AV. Alternative translation start sites and hidden coding potential of eukaryotic mRNAs. Bioessays. 2008;30(7):683-91.

Kononenko NL, Haucke V. Spontaneous Neurotransmission: A SNARE for the Rest. Neuron. 2012;73(1):3-5.

Korobova F, Svitkina T. *Arp2/3 complex is important for filopodia formation, growth cone motility, and neuritogenesis in neuronal cells.* Mol Biol Cell. 2008;19(4):1561-74.

Krzewski K, Gil-Krzewska A, Watts J, Stern JN, Strominger JL. VAMP4- and VAMP7-expressing vesicles are both required for cytotoxic granule exocytosis in NK cells. Eur J Immunol. 2011;41(11):3323-9.

Kundel M, Jones KJ, Shin CY, Wells DG. Cytoplasmic polyadenylation elementbinding protein regulates neurotrophin-3-dependent beta-catenin mRNA translation in developing hippocampal zneurons. J Neurosci. 2009;29(43):13630-9.

Kwiatkowski AV, Rubinson DA, Dent EW, Edward van Veen J, Leslie JD, Zhang J, Mebane LM, Philippar U, Pinheiro EM, Burds AA, Bronson RT, Mori S, Fässler R, Gertler FB. Ena/VASP Is Required for neuritogenesis in the developing cortex. Neuron. 2007;56(3):441-55.

Lafont F, Verkade P, Galli T, Wimmer C, Louvard D, Simons K. Raft association of SNAP receptors acting in apical trafficking in Madin-Darby canine kidney cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1999;96(7):3734-8.

Lafuente EM, van Puijenbroek AA, Krause M, Carman CV, Freeman GJ, Berezovskaya A, Constantine E, Springer TA, Gertler FB, Boussiotis VA. *RIAM*, an Ena/VASP and Profilin ligand, interacts with Rap1-GTP and mediates Rap1-induced adhesion. Dev Cell. 2004;7(4):585-95.

Lai Wing Sun K, Correia JP, Kennedy TE. *Netrins: versatile extracellular cues with diverse functions*. Development. 2011;138(11):2153-69.

Lau BY, Foldes AE, Alieva NO, Oliphint PA, Busch DJ, Morgan JR. Increased synapsin expression and neurite sprouting in lamprey brain after spinal cord injury. Exp Neurol. 2011;228(2):283-93.

Laurent V, Loisel TP, Harbeck B, Wehman A, Gröbe L, Jockusch BM, Wehland J, Gertler FB, Carlier MF. Role of proteins of the Ena/VASP family in actin-based motility of Listeria monocytogenes. J Cell Biol. 1999;144(6):1245-58.

Laurino L, Wang XX, de la Houssaye BA, Sosa L, Dupraz S, Cáceres A, Pfenninger KH, Quiroga S. *PI3K activation by IGF-1 is essential for the regulation of membrane expansion at the nerve growth cone*. J Cell Sci. 2005;118(Pt 16):3653-62.

Li Q, Lee JA, Black DL. Neuronal regulation of alternative pre-mRNA splicing. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2007;8(11):819-31.

Liu G, Beggs H, Jürgensen C, Park HT, Tang H, Gorski J, Jones KR, Reichardt LF, Wu J, Rao Y. Netrin requires focal adhesion kinase and Src family kinases for axon outgrowth and attraction. Nat Neurosci. 2004;7(11):1222-32.

Liu J, Yue P, Artym VV, Mueller SC, Guo W. The role of the exocyst in matrix metalloproteinase secretion and actin dynamics during tumor cell invadopodia formation. Mol Biol Cell. 2009;20(16):3763-71.

Liu T, Houle JD, Xu J, Chan BP, Chew SY. Nanofibrous collagen nerve conduits for spinal cord repair. Tissue Eng Part A. 2012.

Liu X, Wang Y, Zhu H, Zhang Q, Xing X, Wu B, Song L, Fan L. Interaction of Sedlin with PAM14. J Cell Biochem. 2010;109(6):1129-33.

Livesey FJ, Hunt SP. Netrin and netrin receptor expression in the embryonic mammalian nervous system suggests roles in retinal, striatal, nigral, and cerebellar development. Mol Cell Neurosci. 1997;8(6):417-29.

Logan MR, Lacy P, Odemuyiwa SO, Steward M, Davoine F, Kita H, Moqbel R. *A critical role for vesicle-associated membrane protein-7 in exocytosis from human eosinophils and neutrophils*. Allergy. 2006;61(6):777-84.

López-Carballo G, Moreno L, Masiá S, Pérez P, Barettino D. Activation of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt signaling pathway by retinoic acid is required for neural differentiation of SH-SY5Y human neuroblastoma cells. J Biol Chem. 2002;277(28):25297-304.

Lowery LA, Van Vactor D. The trip of the tip: understanding the growth cone machinery. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2009;10(5):332-43.

Lundquist EA. *Rac proteins and the control of axon development*. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2003;13(3):384-90.

Mancias JD, Goldberg J. *The transport signal on Sec22 for packaging into COPIIcoated vesicles is a conformational epitope*. Mol Cell. 2007;26(3):403-14.

Marino JH, Cook P, Miller KS. Accurate and statistically verified quantification of relative mRNA abundances using SYBR Green I and real-time RT-PCR. J Immunol Methods. 2003;283(1-2):291-306.

Martinez-Arca S, Alberts P, Zahraoui A, Louvard D, Galli T. Role of tetanus neurotoxin insensitive vesicle-associated membrane protein (TI-VAMP) in vesicular transport mediating neurite outgrowth. J Cell Biol. 2000;149(4):889-900.

Martinez-Arca S, Coco S, Mainguy G, Schenk U, Alberts P, Bouillé P, Mezzina M, Prochiantz A, Matteoli M, Louvard D, Galli T. *A common exocytotic mechanism mediates axonal and dendritic outgrowth*. J Neurosci. 2001;21(11):3830-8.

Martinez-Arca S, Rudge R, Vacca M, Raposo G, Camonis J, Proux-Gillardeaux V, Daviet L, Formstecher E, Hamburger A, Filippini F, D'Esposito M, Galli T. *A dual* mechanism controlling the localization and function of exocytic v-SNAREs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003;100(15):9011-6.

Mashima H, Suzuki J, Hirayama T, Yoshikumi Y, Ohno H, Ohnishi H, Yasuda H, Fujita T, Omata M. Involvement of vesicle-associated membrane protein 7 in human gastric epithelial cell vacuolation induced by Helicobacter pylori-produced VacA. Infect Immun. 2008;76(6):2296-303.

Matarazzo MR, Boyle S, D'Esposito M, Bickmore WA. Chromosome territory reorganization in a human disease with altered DNA methylation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104(42):16546-51.

Matarazzo MR, De Bonis ML, Gregory RI, Vacca M, Hansen RS, Mercadante G, D'Urso M, Feil R, D'Esposito M. Allelic inactivation of the pseudoautosomal gene SYBL1 is controlled by epigenetic mechanisms common to the X and Y chromosomes. Hum Mol Genet. 2002;11(25):3191-8.

Matsumoto H, Nagashima M. Netrin-1 elevates the level and induces cluster formation of its receptor DCC at the surface of cortical axon shafts in an exocytosis-dependent manner. Neurosci Res. 2010;67(2):99-107.

Matteoli M, Coco S, Schenk U, Verderio C. Vesicle turnover in developing neurons: how to build a presynaptic terminal. Trends Cell Biol. 2004;14(3):133-40.

Matteoli M, Takei K, Perin MS, Südhof TC, De Camilli P. Exo-endocytotic recycling of synaptic vesicles in developing processes of cultured hippocampal neurons. J Cell Biol. 1992;117(4):849-61.

Mattheyses AL, Simon SM, Rappoport JZ. *Imaging with total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy for the cell biologist.* J Cell Sci. 2010;123(Pt 21):3621-8.

Mattila PK, Lappalainen P. *Filopodia: molecular architecture and cellular functions*. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2008;9(6):446-54.

McKee AE, Minet E, Stern C, Riahi S, Stiles CD, Silver PA. A genome-wide in situ hybridization map of RNA-binding proteins reveals anatomically restricted expression in the developing mouse brain. BMC Dev Biol. 2005;5:14.

Meldolesi J. Neurite outgrowth: this process, first discovered by Santiago Ramon y Cajal, is sustained by the exocytosis of two distinct types of vesicles. Brain Res Rev. 2011;66(1-2):246-55.

Menna E, Fossati G, Scita G, Matteoli M. From filopodia to synapses: the role of actin-capping and anti-capping proteins. Eur J Neurosci. 2011;34(10):1655-62.

Merrill RA, Ahrens JM, Kaiser ME, Federhart KS, Poon VY, Clagett-Dame M. Alltrans retinoic acid-responsive genes identified in the human SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cell line and their regulated expression in the nervous system of early embryos. Biol Chem. 2004;385(7):605-14.

Métin C, Deléglise D, Serafini T, Kennedy TE, Tessier-Lavigne M. A role for netrinl in the guidance of cortical efferents. Development. 1997;124(24):5063-74.

Miner JH, Yurchenco PD. *Laminin functions in tissue morphogenesis*. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2004;20:255-84.

Ming G, Song H, Berninger B, Inagaki N, Tessier-Lavigne M, Poo M. *Phospholipase C-gamma and phosphoinositide 3-kinase mediate cytoplasmic signaling in nerve growth cone guidance*. Neuron. 1999;23(1):139-48.

Mitchell KJ, Pinson KI, Kelly OG, Brennan J, Zupicich J, Scherz P, Leighton PA, Goodrich LV, Lu X, Avery BJ, Tate P, Dill K, Pangilinan E, Wakenight P, Tessier-Lavigne M, Skarnes WC. Functional analysis of secreted and transmembrane proteins critical to mouse development. Nat Genet. 2001;28(3):241-9.

Miyashiro KY, Bell TJ, Sul JY, Eberwine J. Subcellular neuropharmacology: the importance of intracellular targeting. Trends Pharmacol Sci. 2009;30(4):203-11.

Modrek B, Lee C. A genomic view of alternative splicing. Nat Genet. 2002;30(1):13-9.

Moore SW, Correia JP, Lai Wing Sun K, Pool M, Fournier AE, Kennedy TE. *Rho inhibition recruits DCC to the neuronal plasma membrane and enhances axon chemoattraction to netrin 1.* Development. 2008;135(17):2855-64.

Moore SW, Kennedy TE. Protein kinase A regulates the sensitivity of spinal commissural axon turning to netrin-1 but does not switch between chemoattraction and chemorepulsion. J Neurosci. 2006;26(9):2419-23.

Moreau K, Ravikumar B, Renna M, Puri C, Rubinsztein DC. Autophagosome precursor maturation requires homotypic fusion. Cell. 2011;146(2):303-17.

Müller DJ, Schulze TG, Jahnes E, Cichon S, Krauss H, Kesper K, Held T, Maier W, Propping P, Nöthen MM, Rietschel M. Association between a polymorphism in the pseudoautosomal X-linked gene SYBL1 and bipolar affective disorder. Am J Med Genet. 2002;114(1):74-8.

Murray AJ, Tucker SJ, Shewan DA. *cAMP-dependent axon guidance is distinctly regulated by Epac and protein kinase A*. J Neurosci. 2009;29(49):15434-44.

Myers JP, Santiago-Medina M, Gomez TM. Regulation of axonal outgrowth and pathfinding by integrin-ECM interactions. Dev Neurobiol. 2011;71(11):901-23.

Nagy G, Milosevic I, Fasshauer D, Müller EM, de Groot BL, Lang T, Wilson MC, Sørensen JB. Alternative splicing of SNAP-25 regulates secretion through nonconservative substitutions in the SNARE domain. Mol Biol Cell. 2005;16(12):5675-85.

Nakamoto T, Kain KH, Ginsberg MH. *Neurobiology: New connections between integrins and axon guidance.* Curr Biol. 2004;14(3):R121-3.

Nakayama T, Mikoshiba K, Yamamori T, Akagawa K. Activation of syntaxin 1C, an alternative splice variant of HPC-1/syntaxin 1A, by phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) suppresses glucose transport into astroglioma cells via the glucose transporter-1 (GLUT-1). J Biol Chem. 2004;279(22):23728-39.

Nurcombe V. Laminin in neural development. Pharmacol Ther. 1992;56(2):247-64.

O'Donnell M, Chance RK, Bashaw GJ. Axon growth and guidance: receptor regulation and signal transduction. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2009;32:383-412.

Okabe S, Miwa A, Okado H. Spine formation and correlated assembly of presynaptic and postsynaptic molecules. J Neurosci. 2001;21(16):6105-14.

Pellinen T, Arjonen A, Vuoriluoto K, Kallio K, Fransen JA, Ivaska J. *Small GTPase Rab21 regulates cell adhesion and controls endosomal traffic of beta1-integrins.* J Cell Biol. 2006;173(5):767-80.

Pertz O. Filopodia: Nanodevices that sense nanotopographic ECM cues to orient neurite outgrowth. Commun Integr Biol. 2011;4(4):436-9.

Pfaffl MW. *A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR.* Nucleic Acids Res. 2001;29(9).

Pfenninger KH, Laurino L, Peretti D, Wang X, Rosso S, Morfini G, Cáceres A, Quiroga S. Regulation of membrane expansion at the nerve growth cone. J Cell Sci. 2003;116(Pt 7):1209-17.

Pfenninger KH. *Plasma membrane expansion: a neuron's Herculean task.* Nat Rev Neurosci. 2009;10(4):251-61.

Plantman S, Patarroyo M, Fried K, Domogatskaya A, Tryggvason K, Hammarberg H, Cullheim S. *Integrin-laminin interactions controlling neurite outgrowth from adult DRG neurons in vitro*. Mol Cell Neurosci. 2008;39(1):50-62.

Pommereit D, Wouters FS. An NGF-induced Exo70-TC10 complex locally antagonises Cdc42-mediated activation of N-WASP to modulate neurite outgrowth. J Cell Sci. 2007;120(Pt 15):2694-705.

Pryor PR, Jackson L, Gray SR, Edeling MA, Thompson A, Sanderson CM, Evans PR, Owen DJ, Luzio JP. *Molecular basis for the sorting of the SNARE VAMP7 into endocytic clathrin-coated vesicles by the ArfGAP Hrb.* Cell. 2008;134(5):817-27.

Qu W, Shen Z, Zhao D, Yang Y, Zhang C. *MFEprimer: multiple factor evaluation of the specificity of PCR primers.* Bioinformatics. 2009, 25:276-278.

Racchetti G, Lorusso A, Schulte C, Gavello D, Carabelli V, D'Alessandro R, Meldolesi J. *Rapid neurite outgrowth in neurosecretory cells and neurons is sustained by the exocytosis of a cytoplasmic organelle, the enlargeosome*. J Cell Sci. 2010;123(Pt 2):165-70. **Rajendran L, Knölker HJ, Simons K.** Subcellular targeting strategies for drug design and delivery. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2010;9(1):29-42

Ramirez DM, Khvotchev M, Trauterman B, Kavalali ET. *Vti1a Identifies a Vesicle Pool that Preferentially Recycles at Rest and Maintains Spontaneous Neurotransmission*. Neuron. 2012;73(1):121-34.

Rauch U. *Extracellular matrix components associated with remodeling processes in brain.* Cell Mol Life Sci. 2004;61(16):2031-45.

Ridley AJ. Life at the leading edge. Cell. 2011;145(7):1012-22.

Rossi V, Banfield DK, Vacca M, Dietrich LE, Ungermann C, D'Esposito M, Galli T, Filippini F. Longins and their longin domains: regulated SNAREs and multifunctional SNARE regulators. Trends Biochem Sci. 2004;29(12):682-8.

Rossi V, Picco R, Vacca M, D'Esposito M, D'Urso M, Galli T, Filippini F. VAMP subfamilies identified by specific R-SNARE motifs. Biol Cell. 2004;96(4):251-6.

Sabo SL, McAllister AK. *Mobility and cycling of synaptic protein-containing vesicles in axonal growth cone filopodia*. Nat Neurosci. 2003;6(12):1264-9.

Saito T, Parsia S, Papolos DF, Lachman HM. Analysis of the pseudoautosomal Xlinked gene SYBL1in bipolar affective disorder: description of a new candidate allele for psychiatric disorders. Am J Med Genet. 2000;96(3):317-23.

Sann S, Wang Z, Brown H, Jin Y. Roles of endosomal trafficking in neurite outgrowth and guidance. Trends Cell Biol. 2009;19(7):317-24.

Sato M, Yoshimura S, Hirai R, Goto A, Kunii M, Atik N, Sato T, Sato K, Harada R, Shimada J, Hatabu T, Yorifuji H, Harada A. *The role of VAMP7/TI-VAMP in cell polarity and lysosomal exocytosis in vivo*. Traffic. 2011;12(10):1383-93.

Scarano MI, Strazzullo M, Matarazzo MR, D'Esposito M. *DNA methylation 40 years later: Its role in human health and disease.* J Cell Physiol. 2005;204(1):21-35.

Schiavo G, Benfenati F, Poulain B, Rossetto O, Polverino de Laureto P, DasGupta BR, Montecucco C. Tetanus and botulinum-B neurotoxins block neurotransmitter release by proteolytic cleavage of synaptobrevin. Nature. 1992;359(6398):832-5.

Shekarabi M, Moore SW, Tritsch NX, Morris SJ, Bouchard JF, Kennedy TE. Deleted in colorectal cancer binding netrin-1 mediates cell substrate adhesion and recruits Cdc42, Rac1, Pak1, and N-WASP into an intracellular signaling complex that promotes growth cone expansion. J Neurosci. 2005;25(12):3132-41.

Shin SK, Nagasaka T, Jung BH, Matsubara N, Kim WH, Carethers JM, Boland CR, Goel A. *Epigenetic and genetic alterations in Netrin-1 receptors UNC5C and DCC in human colon cancer*. Gastroenterology. 2007;133(6):1849-57.

Shukla A, Corydon TJ, Nielsen S, Hoffmann HJ, Dahl R. Identification of three new splice variants of the SNARE protein SNAP-23. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2001;285(2):320-7.

Small JV, Stradal T, Vignal E, Rottner K. *The lamellipodium: where motility begins.* Trends Cell Biol. 2002;12(3):112-20.

Small JV. *Lamellipodia architecture: actin filament turnover and the lateral flow of actin filaments during motility.* Semin Cell Biol. 1994;5(3):157-63.

Song L, Shi QM, Yang XH, Xu ZH, Xue HW. *Membrane steroid-binding protein 1 (MSBP1) negatively regulates brassinosteroid signaling by enhancing the endocytosis of BAK1*. Cell Res. 2009;19(7):864-76.

Stamm S, Ben-Ari S, Rafalska I, Tang Y, Zhang Z, Toiber D, Thanaraj TA, Soreq H. *Function of alternative splicing*. Gene. 2005;344:1-20.

Stamm S. Signals and their transduction pathways regulating alternative splicing: a new dimension of the human genome. Hum Mol Genet. 2002;11(20):2409-16.

Stanco A, Szekeres C, Patel N, Rao S, Campbell K, Kreidberg JA, Polleux F, Anton ES. *Netrin-1-alpha3beta1 integrin interactions regulate the migration of interneurons through the cortical marginal zone.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106(18):7595-600.

Staniszewska I, Sariyer IK, Lecht S, Brown MC, Walsh EM, Tuszynski GP, Safak M, Lazarovici P, Marcinkiewicz C. Integrin alpha9 beta1 is a receptor for nerve growth factor and other neurotrophins. J Cell Sci. 2008;121(Pt 4):504-13.

Steffen A, Le Dez G, Poincloux R, Recchi C, Nassoy P, Rottner K, Galli T, Chavrier P. *MT1-MMP-dependent invasion is regulated by TI-VAMP/VAMP7*. Curr Biol. 2008;18(12):926-31.

Stein E, Zou Y, Poo M, Tessier-Lavigne M. Binding of DCC by netrin-1 to mediate axon guidance independent of adenosine A2B receptor activation. Science. 2001;291(5510):1976-82.

Stetefeld J, Ruegg MA. *Structural and functional diversity generated by alternative mRNA splicing*. Trends Biochem Sci. 2005;30(9):515-21.

Südhof TC, Rothman JE. *Membrane fusion: grappling with SNARE and SM proteins*. Science. 2009;323(5913):474-7.

Sun Y, Yan Y, Denef N, Schüpbach T. Regulation of somatic myosin activity by protein phosphatase $l\beta$ controls Drosophila oocyte polarization. Development. 2011;138(10):1991-2001.

Sytnyk V, Leshchyns'ka I, Delling M, Dityateva G, Dityatev A, Schachner M. Neural cell adhesion molecule promotes accumulation of TGN organelles at sites of neuron-toneuron contacts. J Cell Biol. 2002;159(4):649-61.

Takefuji M, Mori K, Morita Y, Arimura N, Nishimura T, Nakayama M, Hoshino M, Iwamatsu A, Murohara T, Kaibuchi K, Amano M. Rho-kinase modulates the function of STEF, a Rac GEF, through its phosphorylation. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2007;355(3):788-94.

Takenawa T, Suetsugu S. *The WASP-WAVE protein network: connecting the membrane to the cytoskeleton.* Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2007;8(1):37-48.

Tang BL, Low DY, Hong W. *Hsec22c: a homolog of yeast Sec22p and mammalian rsec22a and msec22b/ERS-24*. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1998;243(3):885-91.

Tang F, Kalil K. *Netrin-1 induces axon branching in developing cortical neurons by frequency-dependent calcium signaling pathways.* J Neurosci. 2005;25(28):6702-15.

Taylor AM, Berchtold NC, Perreau VM, Tu CH, Li Jeon N, Cotman CW. Axonal mRNA in uninjured and regenerating cortical mammalian axons. J Neurosci. 2009;29(15):4697-707.

Tcherkezian J, Brittis PA, Thomas F, Roux PP, Flanagan JG. *Transmembrane receptor DCC associates with protein synthesis machinery and regulates translation*. Cell. 2010;141(4):632-44.

Timpl R. *Macromolecular organization of basement membranes*. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 1996;8(5):618-24.

Tochio H, Tsui MM, Banfield DK, Zhang M. An autoinhibitory mechanism for nonsyntaxin SNARE proteins revealed by the structure of Ykt6p. Science. 2001;293(5530):698-702.

Tojima T, Akiyama H, Itofusa R, Li Y, Katayama H, Miyawaki A, Kamiguchi H. *Attractive axon guidance involves asymmetric membrane transport and exocytosis in the growth cone.* Nat Neurosci. 2007;10(1):58-66.

Tsaneva-Atanasova K, Burgo A, Galli T, Holcman D. *Quantifying neurite growth mediated by interactions among secretory vesicles, microtubules, and actin networks.* Biophys J. 2009;96(3):840-57.

Turner M. Is transcription the dominant force during dynamic changes in gene expression? Adv Exp Med Biol. 2011;780:1-13.

Uemura T, Sato MH, Takeyasu K. *The longin domain regulates subcellular targeting of VAMP7 in Arabidopsis thaliana*. FEBS Lett. 2005;579(13):2842-6.

Vacca M, Albania L, Della Ragione F, Carpi A, Rossi V, Strazzullo M, De Franceschi N, Rossetto O, Filippini F, D'Esposito M. Alternative splicing of the

human gene SYBL1 modulates protein domain architecture of Longin VAMP7/TI-VAMP, showing both non-SNARE and synaptobrevin-like isoforms. BMC Mol Biol. 2011;12:26.

van Rheenen J, Condeelis J, Glogauer M. A common cofilin activity cycle in invasive tumor cells and inflammatory cells. J Cell Sci. 2009;122(Pt 3):305-11.

Vedovato M, Rossi V, Dacks JB, Filippini F. Comparative analysis of plant genomes allows the definition of the "Phytolongins": a novel non-SNARE longin domain protein family. BMC Genomics. 2009;10:510.

Verderio C, Cagnoli C, Bergami M, Francolini M, Schenk U, Colombo A, Riganti L, Frassoni C, Zuccaro E, Danglot L, Wilhelm C, Galli T, Canossa M, Matteoli M. *TI-VAMP/VAMP7 is the SNARE of secretory lysosomes contributing to ATP secretion from astrocytes*. Biol Cell. 2011.

Vivona S, Liu CW, Strop P, Rossi V, Filippini F, Brunger AT. *The longin SNARE VAMP7/TI-VAMP adopts a closed conformation*. J Biol Chem. 2010;285(23):17965-73.

Wang Y, Tang BL. *SNAREs in neurons--beyond synaptic vesicle exocytosis*. Mol Membr Biol. 2006;23(5):377-84.

Washbourne P, Thompson PM, Carta M, Costa ET, Mathews JR, Lopez-Benditó G, Molnár Z, Becher MW, Valenzuela CF, Partridge LD, Wilson MC. Genetic ablation of the t-SNARE SNAP-25 distinguishes mechanisms of neuroexocytosis. Nat Neurosci. 2002;5(1):19-26.

Wen W, Yu J, Pan L, Wei Z, Weng J, Wang W, Ong YS, Tran TH, Hong W, Zhang M. Lipid-Induced conformational switch controls fusion activity of longin domain SNARE Ykt6. Mol Cell. 2010;37(3):383-95.

Wickner W, Schekman R. Membrane fusion. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2008;15(7):658-64.

Yebra M, Montgomery AM, Diaferia GR, Kaido T, Silletti S, Perez B, Just ML, Hildbrand S, Hurford R, Florkiewicz E, Tessier-Lavigne M, Cirulli V. Recognition of the neural chemoattractant Netrin-1 by integrins alpha6beta4 and alpha3beta1 regulates epithelial cell adhesion and migration. Dev Cell. 2003;5(5):695-707.

Yin Y, Kikkawa Y, Mudd JL, Skarnes WC, Sanes JR, Miner JH. *Expression of laminin chains by central neurons: analysis with gene and protein trapping techniques.* Genesis. 2003;36(2):114-27.

Yokoyama S, Shirataki H, Sakisaka T, Takai Y. Three splicing variants of tomosyn and identification of their syntaxin-binding region. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1999;256(1):218-22.

Yoo S, van Niekerk EA, Merianda TT, Twiss JL. *Dynamics of axonal mRNA transport and implications for peripheral nerve regeneration*. Exp Neurol. 2010;223(1):19-27.

Yoshikawa S, Thomas JB. Secreted cell signaling molecules in axon guidance. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2004;14(1):45-50.

Yoshimura T, Kawano Y, Arimura N, Kawabata S, Kikuchi A, Kaibuchi K. *GSK-3beta regulates phosphorylation of CRMP-2 and neuronal polarity.* Cell. 2005;120(1):137-49.

Yurchenco PD, Wadsworth WG. Assembly and tissue functions of early embryonic laminins and netrins. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2004;16(5):572-9.

Zhang J, Cai H. *Netrin-1 prevents ischemia/reperfusion-induced myocardial infarction via a DCC/ERK1/2/eNOS s1177/NO/DCC feed-forward mechanism.* J Mol Cell Cardiol. 2010;48(6):1060-70.

Zhou FQ, Cohan CS. *How actin filaments and microtubules steer growth cones to their targets.* J Neurobiol. 2004;58(1):84-91.

Ziv NE, Smith SJ. *Evidence for a role of dendritic filopodia in synaptogenesis and spine formation*. Neuron. 1996;17(1):91-102.

Zuo X, Zhang J, Zhang Y, Hsu SC, Zhou D, Guo W. *Exo70 interacts with the Arp2/3 complex and regulates cell migration*. Nat Cell Biol. 2006;8(12):1383-8.