Nitric Oxide-Mediated Maize Root Apex Responses to Nitrate are Regulated by Auxin and Strigolactones
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Nitrate (NO₃⁻) is a key element for crop production but its levels in agricultural soils are limited. Plants have developed mechanisms to cope with these NO₃⁻ fluctuations based on sensing nitrate at the root apex. Particularly, the transition zone (TZ) of root apex has been suggested as a signaling-response zone. This study dissects cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying NO₃⁻ resupply effects on primary root (PR) growth in maize, confirming nitric oxide (NO) as a putative modulator. Nitrate restoration induced PR elongation within the first 2 h, corresponding to a stimulation of cell elongation at the basal border of the TZ. Xyloglucans (XGs) immunolocalization together with Brefeldin A applications demonstrated that nitrate resupply induces XG accumulation. This effect was blocked by cPTIO (NO scavenger). Transcriptional analysis of ZmXET1 confirmed the stimulatory effect of nitrate on XGs accumulation in cells of the TZ. Immunolocalization analyses revealed a positive effect of nitrate resupply on auxin and PIN1 accumulation, but a transcriptional regulation of auxin biosynthesis/transport/signaling genes was excluded. Short-term nitrate treatment repressed the transcription of genes involved in strigolactones (SLs) biosynthesis and transport, mainly in the TZ. Enhancement of carotenoid cleavage dioxygenases (CCDs) transcription in presence of cPTIO indicated endogenous NO as a negative modulator of CCDs activity. Finally, treatment with the SLs-biosynthesis inhibitor (TIS108) restored the root growth in the nitrate-starved seedlings. Present report suggests that the NO-mediated root apex responses to nitrate are accomplished in cells of the TZ via integrative actions of auxin, NO and SLs.
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INTRODUCTION

Nitrogen (N) is one of the most important elements for plant life. In soil, N is present in different N-containing compounds. Under aerobic soil conditions, soluble nitrate (NO₃⁻) is the major N source taken up by crop roots (Krouk et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2012). In well-aerated agricultural soils, NO₃⁻ concentrations are extremely variable in time and space (Miller et al., 2007) and current agricultural practices strongly depend on massive applications of synthetized N fertilizers.
(Erisman et al., 2008; Robertson and Vitousek, 2009). Due to the low Nitrogen Use Efficiency (NUE) of crops (Raun and Johnson, 1999; Baligar et al., 2001; Robertson and Vitousek, 2009), more than 50% of the available N is lost from the plant-soil system. This leads to serious concerns about biosphere pollution and human health (Erisman et al., 2013; Fowler et al., 2013; Galloway et al., 2013, and references therein). Therefore the understanding of the molecular and physiological events underlying plant adaptation to NO$_3^-$ fluctuations is crucial in order to improve plant NUE and to reduce negative impacts on environment and humans.

Besides being an essential nutrient, NO$_3^-$ acts as a signal regulating metabolism and development in plants (López-Bucio et al., 2003; Vidal and Gutiérrez, 2008; Ho et al., 2009; Prinsi et al., 2009; Krouk et al., 2010; Bouguyon et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2012; Vidal et al., 2013; Ruiz Herrera et al., 2015). Considering that the root system architecture (RSA) determines the plasticity of plants to explore the soil for searching water and nutrients, investigation on the RSA and root morphology are very important. Despite a huge number of reports on NO$_3^-$ effects on RSA in model plants, crop's studies are still fragmentary (Hirel et al., 2007).

Numerous papers report connections between auxin (IAA) and NO$_3^-$ in the control of primary root (PR) growth and both lateral root (LR) development (reviewed in López-Arredondo et al., 2013). Long distance transport of IAA from shoot to root was proposed to be involved in the inhibition of early LR development by high rates of NO$_3^-$ in Arabidopsis (Forde, 2002; Walch-Liu et al., 2006). A role of IAA was also evidenced in maize, in which high NO$_3^-$ supply inhibited root growth by lowering the IAA levels in roots (Tian et al., 2008). More recently, the involvement of IAA in the LR growth regulation by NO$_3^-$ was reconsidered when the Arabidopsis NO$_3^-$ transporter NRT1.1 was demonstrated to be able to move IAA as well as NO$_3^-$ (Krouk et al., 2010). Furthermore, NO$_3^-$ was recently proposed to regulate downstream root architecture adjustments in maize through the fine-tuning control of nitric oxide (NO) production and scavenging dependent on the coordinated activities of nitrate reductase (NR) and non-symbiotic hemoglobins (nSHbs) (Trevisan et al., 2011, 2014; Manoli et al., 2014), which takes preferentially place in the transition zone (TZ) of root apex. TZ cells are highly sensitive to touch and extracellular calcium (Ishikawa and Evans, 1992; Baluška et al., 1996), aluminum (Marciano et al., 2010; Sivaguru et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2014), osmotic stress (Baluška and Mancuso, 2013), auxin (Mugnai et al., 2014) and gravity (Masi et al., 2015). This high TZ sensitivity to environmental signals make it a sort of information processing and control center, allowing the growing root apex to monitor the rhizosphere in real time and to elicit proper responses (Baluška et al., 2010; Baluška and Mancuso, 2013).

The involvement of NO in root development was postulated also in Arabidopsis (reviewed by Sanz et al., 2015), being at least in part associated with auxin actions (Correa-Aragunde et al., 2004). Fernández-Marcos et al. (2011) reported inhibitory effects of NO on rootward polar transport, due to a PIN1 depletion and decreased numbers of dividing cells in the PR meristem. They also demonstrated that during early root development endogenous NO accumulates mainly in a zone situated between the apical meristem and the elongation zone, namely the TZ. Recently Sanz et al. (2014) demonstrated that the auxin biosynthesis, transport, and signaling are perturbed in noa1 and nia1 nia2 noa1 NO-deficient mutant roots.

Other studies demonstrated that endogenous NO application affects PR growth by reducing the pool of dividing cells in the root apical meristem, causing a reduction in cell-division rates and an increase in cell lengths of the meristem (Méndez-Bravo et al., 2010; Fernández-Marcos et al., 2011). These results suggest that high levels of NO caused by environmental stimuli or elicitors could positively regulate the exit of cells from the PR meristem and the TZ into the elongation and differentiation zones, altering the PR growth.

Moreover NO was inferred to act as a master regulator of primary maize root growth by affecting the functioning of the actin cytoskeleton and actin-dependent mechanisms (Kasprowicz et al., 2009) and modulating cell wall biosynthesis contributing to modification of cell growth (Yu et al., 2014). The present study was aimed to gain new knowledge on the cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying the NO-mediated nitrate action on Zea mays L. PR growth. Confocal microscopy was applied, along with morphometric analysis, in order to evaluate the effect of nitrate supply on PR growth and any cell size modification. IAA, PIN1 and xyloglucan (XG) distributions were examined after immunostaining, and transcriptomic analyses under nitrate applications were also carried on. The results indicate that PR growth stimulation by short term nitrate provision could be attributed to a putative interference with the basipetal (shoootward) auxin flow and the XG deposition, particularly affecting cell expansion in the TZ. Furthermore, strigolactones (SLs) and NO seem to act upstream of this signaling, via regulating the balance between cell division and expansion.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Maize Growth Conditions

Seeds of the maize inbred line B73 were germinated in paper rolls soaked with distilled water and then transferred to hydroponic systems as described in Manoli et al. (2014). Seedlings were grown for 24 h in a nitrate depleted solution (Manoli et al., 2014) and then transferred in either a nitrate supplied (+N, 1 mM) or a nitrate depleted solution (−N). Root growth measurements were carried out at 2, 6, 24, and 48 h after the transfer. For gene expression analysis, immunolocalization and in situ hybridization, tissues were collected after 2 h and immediately frozen (−80°C).

Treatments with 1 mM 2-(4-carboxyphenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethylimidazoline-1-oxyl-3-oxide (cPTIO) in combination with NO$_3^-$ and with 0.01 mM sodium nitroprusside (SNP) (Manoli et al., 2014) were also set up to better evaluate the NO role. For the Brefeldin A (BFA) treatment, a solution diluted in a phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to achieve an effective working solution of 100 μM was utilized.

6-phenoxy-1-phenyl-2-(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl) hexan-1-one (TIS108) (Strigolab, Torino, Italy) was used at a 2 μM concentration as inhibitor of SL biosynthesis (Ito et al., 2011).
Unless stated otherwise, all chemicals were obtained from Sigma Chemicals (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA). The zonation correspond to: meristem (0.5–2 mm from the root cap tip), the TZ (2–4 mm from the root cap tip), the rapid elongation zone (4–8 mm from the root cap tip) and the maturation zone (the residual portion).

**RNA Extraction and cDNA Synthesis**

Primary root portions were harvested from 15 to 20 pooled seedlings, in three independent biological repetitions. Tissues were ground in liquid nitrogen and RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, San Giuliano Milanese, Italy) as previously described by Trevisan et al. (2011). An aliquot of total RNA was treated with RNase-free DNase (Promega, Milano, Italy) as described by Trevisan et al. (2011). RNA was quantified with a Nanodrop1000 (Thermo Scientific, Nanodrop Products, Wilmington, DE, USA) and cDNA was synthesized from 500 ng of total RNA mixed with 1 μl of 10 μM oligo-dT, as described by Manoli et al. (2012).

**Real-Time Quantitative PCR**

To confirm gene expression levels detected by RNA-Seq and to further investigate gene expression in other root portions, qRT-PCR was performed using the StepOne Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Monza, Italy) as described by Nonis et al. (2008), using SYBR Green reagent (Applied Biosystems, Monza, Italy), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Target gene relative expression was determined according to theLivak and Schmittgen (2001) method, using LUG (leunig primers, forward 5′-TCCAGTGCATTACAGGGAAGGT-3′ and reverse 5′-GTTAGTTCTTGAGCCCACGC-3′) and MEP (membrane protein PB1A10.07c, primers: forward 5′-TGTACTCGGCAATGAGTTA-3′ and reverse 5′-TTTATGCTCCAGGCAGGCAA-3′), as reference genes, according to Manoli et al. (2012). Primers used in qRT-PCR were designed using Primer3 web tool (version 0.4.0; http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/primer3/; Rozen and Skalaetsky, 2000) and further verified with the PRATO web tool (Nonis et al., 2011) and are listed in Supplementary Table S1. See Forestan and Varotto, 2010; Forestan et al., 2012 for primers used in RT-PCR *PINs* amplification). Three technical replicates were performed on three independent biological repetitions.

**RNA In Situ Hybridization**

*In situ* hybridization of maize PR with digoxigenin-labeled probes was performed as described by Trevisan et al. (2011). GRMZM2G145008 was amplified as probe in PCR using the primers listed in Supplementary Table S1. The fragment was cloned into the T-easy vector (Madison, WI, USA) for labeling. The sense and antisense probes were synthesized in *vitro* using T7 and SP6 RNA polymerases (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) and labeled with digoxigenin (DIG) RNA labeling mix (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Root tissues were fixed overnight in RNase-free 4% formaldehyde. Samples were dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, embedded in Paraplast Plus (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) and sectioned (7 μm) as described previously (Trevisan et al., 2011). After hybridization and staining, slides were observed with an Olympus BX50 microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Images were captured with an AxioCam Zeiss MRc5 color camera (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany), and processed with Adobe Photoshop 6.0.

**Primary Root Length Analysis, Cell Length Measurement and Confocal Microscopy**

To monitor the influence of different nitrate availabilities on root length, maize seedlings grown for 24 h in NO$_3^-$ depleted solution were scanned (T0) and then transferred to either a nitrate supplied or depleted solution. The root length of each seedlings was monitored at several time points (2, 6, 24, 48 h). Images were taken at each determined time points of the experimental plan using a flatbed scanner. This allowed the root systems of 10 seedlings to be imaged simultaneously. The PR length was measured using the Image J Image Analysis Software and the increment in root length was calculated. Three biological replicates were performed for each treatment (*n* = 30).

To measure cortex cell length and density, whole roots were first stained with propidium iodide (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) and then washed in sterile water twice. Pictures were taken with a Zeiss LSM 510 confocal microscope. Cortex cells were numbered in a region of 100 μm$^2$, and their length was averaged from at least 10 cells per snapshot at a distance of 0.5 mm from the root tips from at least ten roots examined for each treatment. Cell length was measured using Zeiss LSM 510 software.

**Indirect Immunofluorescence Labeling**

Apical root segments (8–10 mm) were excised into 3.7% formaldehyde prepared in stabilizing buffer (MTSB) (50 mM piperazine-N,N′-bis (2-ethanesulfonic acid), 5 mM MgSO$_4$, 5mM EGTA; pH 6.9) and vacuum infiltrated for 1 h at room temperature (RT). After an overnight rinse in MTSB at 4°C, the root apices were dehydrated in a graded ethanol series diluted with PBS (pH 7.3), embedded in Steedman’s wax and processed for immunofluorescence (for details, see Baluška et al., 1990).

Sections were then incubated with the following primary antibodies (diluted in PBS and supplemented with 1% BSA): anti-XG antibodies diluted 1:200 (Sonobe et al., 2000), anti-IAA monoclonal antibodies diluted 1:20 and anti-PIN1 polyclonal antibodies diluted 1:40 for 1 h at RT. After rinsing in PBS, the sections were incubated for 1 h with FITC-conjugated anti-rabbit IgGs (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA), each raised in goat and diluted 1:100 in buffer containing 1% BSA. A further wash with PBS (10 min) preceded both a 10 min staining with 4′,6-diamino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAPI; 100 μM in PBS) and a 10 min treatment with 0.01% Toluidine Blue. The sections were then mounted using an anti-fade mounting medium containing p-phenylenediamine (Baluška et al., 1990) and examined with an Axiovert 405M inverted microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) equipped with epifluorescence and standard fluorescein isothiocyanate excitation and barrier filters.
RESULTS

Primary Root Elongation is Stimulated by Short-Term Nitrate Supply

The effects of nitrate supply on PR growth were tested on maize seedlings grown for 1 day in a nitrate depleted solution (T0), before either being transferred into a similar solution, or to a nitrate supplied solution. The root length was monitored at different time points (2, 6, 24 and 48 h) and the increments (Δ length) were then calculated (Figure 1A).

Root growth responded to nitrate provision, generating significantly elongated PRs compared to seedlings grown on the nitrate depleted solution (Figure 1A), already after 2 h of nitrate provision. The protracted exposure (6 h) to nitrate induced a gradual decline of PR growth respect to the negative control, with a significant inhibition after longer periods of starvation (24 and 48 h). The 2 h treatment was chosen to further characterize the earlier effects of nitrate on root growth.

Nitrate-Mediated Increase in Primary Root Length Reflects Increase in Transition Zone Size

Cell division and elongation contributes to PR growth. To interpret the increased growth exhibited early after nitrate provision, the number and the length of cortex cells were determined in the root TZ (the region between meristem and elongation zone) by staining with propidium iodide and observation under a confocal microscope. Application of 1 mM nitrate resulted in a significant (40%) decrease in the number of cells in this region compared to the negative control (Figures 1B–D). In contrast, the length of cortical cells was increased by 2 fold in NO$_3^-$-fed roots compared with seedlings grown on N-free medium (Figures 1B,C,E), indicating that nitrate availability controls the onset of rapid cell elongation, rather than cell division, giving rise to an increased size of the TZ.

To determine whether the increase of PR growth after nitrate treatment might be related to differences in root meristem length, the size and number of cells were determined in the meristem root zone (Supplementary Figure S1). We found that both the number and the length of cells in the meristem region were not significantly affected by nitrate provision, strengthening the hypothesis that the nitrate-mediated increase in PR length is dependent on an increase in TZ size.

Xyloglucans Modification and ZmXET1 Expression are Induced Concomitantly in Response to Nitrate Provision

Beside cytoskeletal organization, several genes related to cell wall structure and composition, including those encoding XGs, endotransglycosylases, polygalacturonases, and glycosyltransferases, have been previously identified as being involved in the regulation of early nitrate response in root TZ (Trevisan et al., 2015). Moreover, root TZ also shows the highest rate of endocytic vesicle recycling activity in recycling XGs from cell walls into BFA-induced compartments, as demonstrated by Baluška et al. (2005). To further investigate the cell wall rearrangements induced by nitrate supply, the distribution of XGs were analyzed. XGs visualized by labeling with antibodies were abundant, especially at the cell walls that resulted as the main site of XG accumulation. This pattern of tissue-dependent immunofluorescence was observed both in nitrate-depleted (Figure 2A) and nitrate-supplied maize roots (Figure 2B); however, immunofluorescence signal was generally significantly stronger in the root subjected to nitrate treatment (Figure 2B), and this was more evident at the cross-wall (end-poles) domains. Moreover, to test XGs recycling within cells, BFA was supplied for 2 h to both nitrate-supplied (Figure 2E) and nitrate-depleted roots (Figure 2D). In –N roots, almost all XGs were removed from cell walls into BFA-compartments and, in particular, cross walls.
FIGURE 2 | Immunolocalization of xyloglucans (green staining) in cells of root TZ. The blue-emitting fluorescence is due to DAPI (4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) compound which was used to better characterized nuclear staining. (B) Nitrate treatment resulted in a very abundant accumulation of XGs, especially in cross walls, in comparison with the negative control (A) and + NO$_3$- roots treated with cPTIO (C). (D–F) in BFA-treated cells, almost all XGs internalized into BFA compartments. (D) Roots grown in a nitrate-depleted solution, or (E) nitrate-resupply solution, or (F) nitrate-resupply and cPTIO solution. Bars: for (A,B,D) 18 μm; for (F) 20 μm; for (E) 22 μm.

(end-poles) of root TZ cells showed very weak signal after BFA-treatment, in comparison to TZ cells of nitrate-supplied roots (Figure 2D). Indeed in the latter case, BFA-treatment partially failed in removing all XGs from cell walls and a marked signal was still visible, especially at the cross wall domains. To better understand the role of NO in nitrate signaling the effect of a NO scavenger (cPTIO) was evaluated. Nitrate-fed roots supplied with cPTIO (Figure 2C) showed a behavior similar to that observed for nitrate-depleted cells (Figure 2A).

Previous works have shown as XG endotransglycosylase, a key enzyme in cleaving XGs chains, had the most prominent activity in the TZ in both maize and Arabidopsis roots (Pritchard et al., 1993 and Vissenberg et al., 2000, respectively). Transcriptional analysis of the XG endotransglucosylase ZmXET1 (GRMZM2G026980) was performed by quantitative real-time PCR method to monitor differences between expression levels after 2 h of nitrate supply or deprivation in the four different root portions (Figure 3). The expression profile of ZmXET1, encoding the XG endotransglucosylase (GRMZM2G026980) previously identified (Trevisan et al., 2015) evidenced an up-regulation upon short-term nitrate provision (Figure 3B). Real Time analysis here carried out confirmed this result and showed an induction of more than 10 times of its expression after 2 h of nitrate treatment in cells of TZ (Figure 3A). On the contrary, not significant differences of expression were measured in the other three root portions.

Auxin-Related Gene Expression is Not Significantly Changed Under Short-Term Nitrate Starvation

Both localization and size of the TZ are largely controlled by the local establishment of an auxin gradient that regulates the balance between cell proliferation and cell elongation (Blilou et al., 2005). Meta-analysis of a published RNA-seq data that investigated the transcriptome changes in response to 2 h of nitrate provision after 24 h of nitrate starvation in maize root TZ (Trevisan et al., 2015) has been used to investigate the auxin-mediated nature of nitrate action on PR growth. To focus on relevant auxin-related responses, the expression values of a set of genes involved in auxin biosynthesis, signaling and transport were searched. The results showed that in the TZ the IAA-related genes expression is not significantly altered in response to nitrate (Figure 4A). Furthermore, the expression of a set of Zea mays auxin efflux carriers PIN family members (PIN1a, PIN1b,
Vegetative tissues such as roots and shoots are the main sites where nitrate is taken up, and it is stored mainly in the vacuoles, where it is reduced to nitrite by nitrate reductase (NR). The reduced form of nitrate is the most available form for plant utilization in growth and development. In the shoot apices, nitrate is the major source of nitrogen for the synthesis of amino acids, nucleotides, and other nitrogenous compounds. In the root meristematic zone, nitrate is translocated to the elongation zone where it is oxidized to nitrite by NR, which is then reduced to ammonium (NH₄⁺) by nitrite reductase (NR). The ammonium produced is then used for the synthesis of amino acids, nucleotides, and other nitrogenous compounds. The key enzyme in this process is NR, which catalyzes the conversion of nitrate to nitrite. The NR activity is regulated by several factors, including environmental conditions, such as light, temperature, and oxygen levels, and the availability of nitrate and other nitrogen sources. The NR activity is also regulated by the presence of other nitrogen sources, such as ammonium, which can inhibit the activity of NR. The regulation of NR activity is critical for the efficient utilization of nitrate by the plant, and it is essential for the proper development and growth of the plant.
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FIGURE 4 | Nitrate does not affect accumulation of auxin related transcripts in root TZ. (A) RNA-Seq analysis of transcript levels of transcripts involved in auxin biosynthesis, signaling and transport in the roots of 2-day-old plants grown in a nitrate-depleted solution for 24 h and treated without (−N) or with (+N) 1 mM NO₃⁻ for 2 h. Colors indicate the range of each gene expression, with least expression shown in black and highest expression shown in red. Transcripts abundance represented by the heat map are the average of transcript abundance values from three independent. Data shown are expressed as log₁₀ of RPKM values of the RNA-seq analysis (Trevisan et al., 2015) and are means of two independent experiments. (B) Quantitative RT-PCR validation of RNA-seq expression profiling of six PINs transcripts (ZmPIN1a, ZmPIN1b, ZmPIN1c, ZmPIN2, ZmPIN5c, ZmPIN9) in various root portions. The levels of PINs transcripts were measured in total RNAs from: meristem-enriched zone (<3 mm from the root tip); TZ-enriched portion (the next 0.8 cm); elongation zone-enriched portion (the next 0.8 cm); and maturation zone (the residual portion). Expression levels are expressed as +N/−N fold change in the four zones of nitrate-supplied seedlings (+N) relative to nitrate deprived seedlings (−N).
also in the presence of an inhibitor of SL biosynthesis (TIS108). Seedlings were grown in a nitrate-depleted solution for 24 h and then transferred to a nitrate-deprived solution (negative control), to a nitrate-supplied one (positive control) and to a nitrate-free solution supplied with TIS108 (Figure 9).

When the SL inhibitor was provided for 2 h to nitrate-deprived seedlings the PR showed a growth increase similar to that observed after 2 h of nitrate provision and significantly higher than that measured for nitrate-depleted roots. This result suggests that the early induction of PR growth by nitrate is at least in part mediated by a down-regulation of SL production.

### DISCUSSION

Roots represent the interface between plants and the surrounding soil environment and their plasticity is crucial for plants adaptation to a continuously changing environment. They are essential for mining water and nutrients from soil, thus strongly contributing to outline water and nutrients use efficiencies. For this reason, the study of roots provides critical knowledge to develop tools for sustainable agriculture.

The root apex functions as a dynamic sensory organ (Darwin, 1880) perceiving external stimuli, which are then processed into a root growth and developmental responses to environment (Sivaguru and Horst, 1998; Sivaguru et al., 1999; Kollmeier et al., 2000; Illés et al., 2006; Baluška et al., 2010; Mugnai et al., 2012; Baluška and Mancuso, 2013). The ability to re-orientate growth in response to environmental stimuli mainly derives from a unique feature of cells of the TZ which releases cells into the elongation region plastically, providing the growing root apices with an effective mechanisms to re-orientate root growth direction in response to environmental stimuli (Baluška et al., 2010).

Recently, Manoli et al. (2014) suggested that the NO production peaks at the TZ of nitrate-deprived roots immediately after the de novo nitrate supply stimulate root apex elongation, even though the downstream events triggering the root to elongate have still to be identified. Cytoskeletal proteins seem involved in early regulation of root apex by nitrate.

### SL Actions are Involved in Early Regulation of Root Apex by Nitrate

To deepen our understanding of the SL involvement in nitrate regulation of root response, the growth of PR was monitored to that observed for N-supplied roots. The recovery of genes transcription in presence of nitrate and cPTIO and its inhibition in presence of SNP in N-starved seedlings suggest a critical role of endogenous NO as a negative modulator of SL action.

### TABLE 1 | RNA seq expression profile of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) significantly responsive to 1 mM NO\(^{−}\) exposure (P-values ≤0.01) concerned with cytoskeletal organization (Trevisan et al., 2015).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>−N</th>
<th>+N</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Gene ontology annotation (BP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GRMZM2G140455</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Profilin</td>
<td>Cytoskeletal protein binding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRMZM5G860469</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ATP binding</td>
<td>Microtubule motor activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRMZM2G112782</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>Uncharacterized protein</td>
<td>Vesicle-mediated transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRMZM2G318849</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Uncharacterized protein</td>
<td>Microtubule-based process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRMZM2G082484</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Putative actin</td>
<td>ATP binding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRMZM2G045808</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Uncharacterized protein, Microtubule-associated protein RP/EB protein domain</td>
<td>Cytoskeletal protein binding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRMZM2G166082</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>Uncharacterized protein</td>
<td>Small GTPase mediated signal transduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRMZM2G015886</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Putative cellulose synthase-like</td>
<td>Microtubule cytoskeleton organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRMZM2G145008</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Uncharacterized protein</td>
<td>AF6 GTPase activator activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRMZM2G147942</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Subtilisin-like serine protease 2</td>
<td>Cytoskeleton organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRMZM2G045808</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Microtubule-associated protein RP/EB family member 3</td>
<td>Tubulin binding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Differently expressed genes are reported together with their gene ontology classification.
to represent a highly probable molecular target for NO signal and increasing evidences place NO among the key elements in the control of several cytoskeleton-mediated processes in plants (Kasprowicz et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2009; Yao et al., 2012). The effect of nitrate on PR growth seems to be more complex than expected and appears to be strongly variable depending on the NO$_3^-$ concentration, on the exposure times and on the species (Linkohr et al., 2002; Zhao et al., 2007; Gifford et al., 2008; Tian et al., 2008; Walch-Liu and Forde, 2008; Andrews et al., 2013).

In this paper, by monitoring the growth of PRs during the first 48 h of nitrate provision (Figure 1A), a dual effect is reported. Nitrate supplied to N-starved roots significantly stimulates growth during the first 2 h of treatment, as already indicated by Manoli et al. (2014), with no relevant effects after 6 h. However, an inhibitory effect was observed thereafter (24 and 48 h). These results support the hypothesis that growing root apices are able to differentially respond to nitrate depending on its availability in time and space.

**FIGURE 6** | *In situ* mRNA hybridization of maize root with DIG-labeled antisense probes of GRMZM2G145008. All images represent longitudinal sections of B73 inbred line root apexes of 2 days maize seedlings grown for 24 h in a NO$_3^-$ depleted solution and then moved to a NO$_3^-$ supplied solution. The hybridization signal is represented by the red-purple staining. The figure shows sections hybridized with antisense probes (A–F) and with sense probes (G) (negative controls). Longitudinal sections through a primary maize root hybridized with GRMZM2G145008 antisense transcript showing the hybridization signal in root TZ (A,B,E), meristem (C–F), elongation zone (E). (C) Portrays a magnification of the root cap and (D) represents a higher magnification of (C) showing the purple staining in the cells of the root meristem. Bars, 200 μm.
To better understand the biological nature of events involved in the early boost of apex growth triggered by nitrate supply, the time-point corresponding to 2 h of nitrate supply was chosen and further experiments were conducted. The extents of cell division and cell expansion affect overall architecture of the root system (Benfey et al., 1993; Scheres et al., 2002; Jiang et al., 2006). Confocal analyses showed a lower number of cortical cells in TZ of roots supplied with nitrate for 2 h, but a clear increase of the cell size was also recorded, thus leading us to hypothesize that nitrate could stimulate the apex to grow by triggering cellular expansion, more than by accelerating cell division. The enzyme xyloglucan endotransglycosylase (XET) seems to play a cellular expansion, more than by accelerating cell division. The enzyme xyloglucan endotransglycosylase (XET) seems to play a role in the mechanical properties of cell walls in the TZ, through loosening wall structure thus enabling extensive elongation after ceasing mitotic divisions. Endocytosis of pectin-XG complexes and subsequent recycling would fulfill this requirement without loss of molecules and expending energy. In this context, endocytic vesicles filled with ready-to-use cell wall macromolecules would be ideally suited to provide “building blocks” for rapid formation of cell walls in cells that have ceased mitotic division and start to elongate. Data obtained in this work by supplying BFA to nitrate treated roots evidence the presence of a clear signal into BFA-induced compartments, even if a clear immunofluorescence was still visible also at cross walls (Figure 2). According to BFA action (reviewed by Nebenführ et al., 2002; Geldner et al., 2003; Šamaj et al., 2004), these results point out the hypothesis that nitrate might regulate root elongation, by modulating cytoskeleton-mediated cell wall deposition and recycling specifically in the TZ cells. Furthermore, the XG immunofluorescence was inhibited when cPTIO was supplied together with nitrate, suggesting a fascinating scenario in which nitrate might promote rapid cell elongation of root apex by regulating the synthesis and/or the turn-over of XGs within root transition cells, through the fine-tuning production of NO.

As far as auxin immunolabeling was concerned (Figure 5), in nitrate supplied roots IAA signal was strongly localized at the cross wall (end-poles) of TZ cells. In contrast, no such cross wall labeling was detected in the nitrate depleted roots, thus suggesting that IAA end-poles labeling was probably due to increased IAA fluxes triggered specifically by nitrate. Since it has been proposed that in the TZ auxin is transported via endocytosis of IAA molecules embedded within cell wall material like pectins and XGs (Baluška et al., 2005; Schlicht et al., 2006), the actin-dependent endocytosis could be implicated in regulating nitrate effects on root elongation, by targeting both XGs and auxin to plasma membrane. The identification of a number of transcripts involved in cytoskeletal organization (Trevisan et al., 2015; Table 1) and regulated by early nitrate supply, supports this suggestion.

Moreover, the polar localization of PINs also depends on vesicle trafficking between plasma membrane and endosomes which is dependent on F-actin (Geldner et al., 2001; Nagawa et al., 2012) and further studies indicate that PIN1 co-transported with IAA via vesicular system (Šamaj et al., 2004; Schlicht et al., 2006). In NO$_3^-$-treated roots, PIN1 co-localizes with auxin at the cross walls (Figure 5). Interestingly in this respect, NO is known to inhibit Arabidopsis PR growth via reducing the acroptetal (rootward) transport of auxin.
mediated by PIN1, PIN3, and PIN7 (Fernández-Marcos et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2015). Our findings suggest that nitrate is able to increase IAA-fluxes involving at least in part PIN1 re-localization, likely by affecting endocytosis and vesicular trafficking. Consistent with this hypothesis, Tian et al. (2008) found that PR length showed a positive correlation with IAA content in maize roots. Moreover, XGs turnover is correlated with auxin-induced elongation and the gene expression of XETs and XET-related proteins (XTP) are also regulated by auxin (Vissenberg et al., 2000 and references therein). In this scenario, it is not surprising that the IAA and PIN-related genes expression is not significantly altered in response to nitrate, as showed in our data (Figure 4).

Among transcripts putatively involved in cytoskeletal organization (Trevisan et al., 2015) (Table 1) an unknown protein with an ArfGAP-like domain, with predicted orthology with the Arabidopsis ARF GAP-like zinc finger-containing protein AGD14 gene, has been chosen because its putative function is unprecedented in the nitrate network. Adenosine diphosphate Ribosylation Factors (ARFs) are members of the ARF family of the GTP-binding proteins and the ARF-GTPase activating proteins (GAPs) are a family of regulator proteins that induce hydrolysis of GTP-bound ARF, thus switching off the ARF cycle (Randazzo and Hirsch, 2004). ARF act as regulators of vesicular trafficking and actin remodeling (Du and Chong, 2011), but also interfere with auxin transport. The ectopic expression of OsAGAP in Arabidopsis alters the localization of AUX1 (Auxin Influx Carrier 1), which in turn controls auxin-dependent root growth in plants by regulating the vesicle trafficking and the cytoskeleton reorganization (Zhuang et al., 2006; Du and Chong, 2011). The transcription of ArfGAP-like of maize in meristem and TZ is strongly induced by nitrate (Trevisan et al., 2015) and mRNAs, here localized by ISH, are mainly expressed in epidermal cells, but also in pericycle and endodermis (Figure 6). These results allow us to hypothesize a contribution of this protein to the signaling pathway involving cytoskeleton modifications and auxin polar localization in controlling root adaptation to nitrate fluctuations.

Furthermore, the analysis of the transcriptional responses displayed by cells of the TZ in response to 2 h of nitrate provision (Trevisan et al., 2015) highlights also a putative role for SLs in triggering the root apex responses to nitrate. In the present work,
In particular phosphorus (P) and nitrogen (N) deficiency responses to several environment cues and nutrient availability (Guan et al., 2012). They seem also to be involved in plant with numerous functions in plant development (Alder et al., 2014). Strigolactones (SLs) are universally recognized as a novel class of plant hormones (Manoli et al., 2014) localized to this portion (see Figure 7). This was particularly evident in the TZ, but not exclusively observed after nitrate supply (Manoli et al., 2014). SLs may cooperate in regulating the early response of maize root apex immediately after the nitrate supply (Manoli et al., 2014), giving more strength to our results. To conclude, our results highlight a complex effect of nitrate on the maize root apex development, with an earlier stimulating action and a later inhibiting one.

Moreover, in roots, SLs increase cell number in the PR meristem (Ruyter-Spira et al., 2011; Koren et al., 2013), which is coherent with the higher number of cells scored in the TZ of maize nitrate starved roots. SLs exercise their action on auxin transport through regulating PINs localization at the plasma membrane (Crawford et al., 2010; Koltai, 2015). In roots, the SL dependent PIN2 localization to plasma membrane was accompanied by an increase of PIN2 endocytosis and endosome trafficking in epidermal cells, and changes in actin-filament architecture and dynamics (Pandya-Kumar et al., 2014).

Based on our results, the auxin re-localization observed after nitrate supply in the TZ cells of maize root apex could, therefore, depend on the down-regulation of the SL biosynthesis occurring early in responses to nitrate provision. Moreover, the observation that by inhibiting SL biosynthesis for 2 h, the nitrate-depleted root presented a phenotype identical to that exhibited by 2 h nitrate fed seedlings, supports this hypothesis (Figure 9).

cPTIO scavenges NO and it restored, at least partially, the induction of expression of genes involved in biosynthesis and transport of SL in root apices of nitrate supplied seedlings (Figure 8), allowing us to hypothesize an involvement of NO upstream of SL in this pathway. This hypothesis is also supported by the down-regulation of the SL-related gene expression exerted by the NO donor SNP on nitrate deprived seedlings. A recent paper (Bharti and Bhatla, 2015) provided evidence of the role of endogenous NO as a negative modulator of CCD activity and therefore of SL biosynthesis during LR development in sunflower, giving more strength to our results. To conclude, our results highlight a complex effect of nitrate on the maize root apex development, with an earlier stimulating action and a later inhibiting one.

Figure 10 shows an hypothetical scenario of how NO, auxin and SLs may cooperate in regulating the early response of maize root apex to nitrate. The NO burst, occurring specifically in the TZ of root apex immediately after the nitrate supply (Manoli et al., 2014) seems to operate by temporarily lowering, or turning off, the biosynthesis and transport of SL; thus indirectly affecting auxin polar transport and localization. This succession of events would trigger cell expansion and the early root apex growth observed after nitrate supply. Further and more specific evidences will be needed to better outline and complete this preliminary and partial picture.
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Based on our results, the auxin re-localization observed after nitrate supply in the TZ cells of maize root apex could, therefore, depend on the down-regulation of the SL biosynthesis occurring early in responses to nitrate provision. Moreover, the observation that by inhibiting SL biosynthesis for 2 h, the nitrate-depleted root presented a phenotype identical to that exhibited by 2 h nitrate fed seedlings, supports this hypothesis (Figure 9).

cPTIO scavenges NO and it restored, at least partially, the induction of expression of genes involved in biosynthesis and transport of SL in root apices of nitrate supplied seedlings (Figure 8), allowing us to hypothesize an involvement of NO upstream of SL in this pathway. This hypothesis is also supported by the down-regulation of the SL-related gene expression exerted by the NO donor SNP on nitrate deprived seedlings. A recent paper (Bharti and Bhatla, 2015) provided evidence of the role of endogenous NO as a negative modulator of CCD activity and therefore of SL biosynthesis during LR development in sunflower, giving more strength to our results. To conclude, our results highlight a complex effect of nitrate on the maize root apex development, with an earlier stimulating action and a later inhibiting one.

Figure 10 shows an hypothetical scenario of how NO, auxin and SLs may cooperate in regulating the early response of maize root apex to nitrate. The NO burst, occurring specifically in the TZ of root apex immediately after the nitrate supply (Manoli et al., 2014) seems to operate by temporarily lowering, or turning off, the biosynthesis and transport of SL; thus indirectly affecting auxin polar transport and localization. This succession of events would trigger cell expansion and the early root apex growth observed after nitrate supply. Further and more specific evidences will be needed to better outline and complete this preliminary and partial picture.
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FIGURE S1 | Quantification of cortical cell number and calculation of the average cortex cell length in meristem of maize primary root.